NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

MICROCOPY

215

ROLL

16

NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

Microcopy No. 215

MINUTES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Roll 16

Volumes 47-50

October 27, 1884 - April 25, 1887

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Washington: 1954

NOTES ON ROLL 16

The four volumes reproduced on this roll have the following backstrip titles: (1) "Minutes / Supreme Court / U. S. / 47 / Oct. 27, 1884 / to / Mar. 30, 1885"; (2) "Minutes / Supreme Court / U. S. / 48 / March 30, 1885 / to / January 8, 1886"; (3) "Minutes / Supreme Court / U. S. / 49 / Jan. 11, 1886 / to / Nov. 12, 1886"; and (4) "Minutes / Supreme Court / U. S. / 50 / Nov. 12, 1886 / to / April 25, 1887." They contain the minutes of the Supreme Court of the United States from October 27, 1884, to April 25, 1887.

The first volume contains the minutes of the Court from October 27, 1884, to March 30, 1885; the second, from March 30, 1885, to January 8, 1886; the third, from January 11 to November 12, 1886; and the fourth, from November 12, 1886, to April 25, 1887.

VOLUME

47

	The Commissioners of Buncombe County et al.	Mr. W. E.
136.	vs. Appellants	Earle, of coun.
	Vincent R. Tommey et al.	sel for the ap.
		pellees, filed
		an amended

motion to dismiss in this cause.

	Francis Peronto	Mr. H. E. Davis,
515.	vs. Appellant	of counsel for the
	The Northern Pacific	appellant, sug.
		gested the death

of Francis Peronto, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court to make Charles W. Buttz, Executor of Francis Peron. to, deceased, the party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Charles W. Buttz, Executor of Francis Peronto, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party appellant in this cause.

	S. W. Wheelock et al	On motion of Mr.
101.	vs. Plffs. in Error	Fillmore Beall, in
	Henry H. Gilman	behalf of counsel,
		Ordered by the

Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation.

878. The Central Railroad Co. of New Jersey, et als. vs. Appellants / Alfred Mills and J. K. Lidgerwood, Executors &c. — Mr. W. A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the appellees, submitted

to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause pursuant to the 32d rule.

1176. James Crawford and William A. Harrington, copartners under the firm name and style of Crawford & Harrington vs. Appellants / William Cochrane and Michael Day, copartners under the firm name and style of Cochrane & Day. — Mr. W. A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following

certificate, to wit:

"In the Supreme Court of Washington Territory

No. 342.

James Crawford and William A. Harrington, Copartners under the firm name and style of Crawford & Harrington

vs. Appellants

William Cochrane and Michael Day. co. partners under the firm name and style of Cochrane & Day.

Appellees.

I. R. G. O'Brien, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Washington, do hereby certify that at a regular term of the aforesaid Supreme Court begun and holden at Olympia, W.T. as and for the July term of said Court, having convened on the second Monday of July, 1882, that being the tenth day of said month of July, the above entitled action having been brought on to be heard upon the transcript from the record of the District Court of the Third Judicial District holding terms at Seattle, W.T. and upon the argument of the respective counsel, And the Court having been fully advised in the premises and the opinion of the

Court having been filed, the judgment of said Court was, on the 24th day of August, 1882, rendered in said cause, and entered of record of said date, whereby it was considered, ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the District Court be, and whereby it was, affirmed with costs; and that the appellees recover of and from the appellants the costs of said action; and whereby it was further ordered that the said cause be remitted to the said District Court for further proceedings in accordance with the opinion filed.

And I further certify that on the same day, to wit, the 24th day of August 1882, the appellants, by their attorneys, in open Court, gave notice of an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States, and that said appeal was thereupon the same day duly allowed by said Court, and the allowance thereof duly indorsed on said judgment and entered therewith; and the amount of the bond upon said appeal was thereupon fixed by said Court at six thousand dollars, and said appellants were

allowed thirty days from that date within which to file the same.

That thereafter, to wit, on the 22d day of September, 1882, the appeal of the appellants and the bond upon said appeal, were duly presented to the Hon. Roger S. Greene, Chief Justice of said Supreme Court, at Seattle, W.T., and the bond was thereupon taken and approved as the bond upon appeal in said action; and that thereupon the usual citation was by said Chief Justice issued to the appellees on the said 22d day of September, 1882; That said appeal, bond upon appeal and citation were thereafter, on the 28th day of September, 1882, duly filed in the office of the aforesaid Clerk of the Supreme Court at Olympia, W.T.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the (Seal) seal of the Supreme Court of Washington Territory this 21st day of August, 1884.

R. G. O'Brien
Clerk Supreme Ct. Wash. Terry.

and having stated that the appellants

had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Washington be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

176. James Crawford & William A. Harrington, copartners &c. vs. William Cochrane & Michael Day, copartners &c. Appellants

On motion of Mr. W. A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk

Calvin Finney Stevenstal. Appellants
112. vs.
The Memphis & Charleston Railroad Company et al.

And

Same - Appellants
Nos. 43 to 55 inclusive } vs.
Nos. 164 & 165.
Various Railroad Companies

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Charles F. Southmayd. Mr. Ed. Baxter and Mr. W. M. Ramsay of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, October 28th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

42. Calvin Amory Stevens et al. vs. The Memphis and Charleston Rail road Company et al. and Appellants

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. William M. Ramsey, of counsel for the appellees, by Mr. Wager

The Same. — Appellants
Nos. 43 to 55 inclusive } vs.
Nos. 164 & 165 }
Various Railroad Companies.

Swayne, of counsel for the appellants and by Mr. Edward H. East and Mr. P. Hamilton, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, October 29th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
William B. Woods.
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

009. The Ogdensburg & Lake Champlain R.R. Co. vs. The Nashua & Lowell R.R. Co. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and brief of arguments, pursuant to the 20th rule by Mr. Sidney Bartlett, of counsel for the

appellant, and by Mr. F. A. Brooks, of counsel for the appellee.

Calvin Armory Stevens et als. Appellants
vs.
The Memphis & Charleston Railroad Company et als.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. P. Hamilton and Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellees and by Mr. Geo. Hoadly, of counsel for the appellants.

No. 13 to 55 inclusive and Nos. 164 & 165 } Appellants
vs.
Various Railroad Companies

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, October 30th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

S. W. Wheelock et als. vs. Pfts in Error / Henry N. Gilman. — On motion of Mr. Fillmore Beall, in behalf of counsel, It is ordered that the order entered in this cause on the 24th inst. continuing the same until the next term, be, and the same is hereby, rescinded.

101.

	S. W. Wheelock, Ira Emery v Josiah L. Perkins	In Error to the Cir-
101.	vs. Plffs. in Error	cuit Court
	Henry N. Gilman	of the Uni-
		ted States

for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and on the stipulation of counsel on file and motion of Mr. Fillmore Beall, in behalf of counsel; it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, each party paying their own costs in this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Calvin. Emery Stevens et al.	The argument
112.	vs. Appellants	of these cases
	The Memphis & Charleston	was conclu-
	Railroad Company et al.	ded by Mr.
	and	George Hoadly

The same Appellants
43 to 55 inclusive and 165 and 166 } vs.
Various Railroad Companies

of counsel for the appellan[t]s. The cases were submitted on the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. E. L. Andrews, Mr. J. C. F. Gardner, Mr. E. M. Johnson and Mr. Edward Colston, of counsel for the appellants, and by Mr. W. M. Baker, Mr. T. W. Symes, Mr. D. H. Poston, Mr. W. K. Poston, Mr. J. B. Heiskell and Mr. George Brown, of counsel for the appellees.

Mr. Justice Matthews having been of counsel, was not present during the argument of these cases.

1088. Chew Heong
vs. Pff. in Error
The United States

The argument of this case was commenced by Mr. Harvey S. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Thomas D. Riordan, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Friday, October 31st 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. P. Nash it is ordered that George A. Nott, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The New Orleans & North Eastern Railroad Company vs. Isaac D. Fletcher

1185 Plff. in Error

Mr. J. D. Rouse, of counsel for the defendant in error, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"United States of America
Circuit Court of the United States.
Fifth Circuit and Eastern District of Louisiana.

I, Edward R. Hunt, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein Isaac D. Fletcher was plaintiff and the New Orleans & North Eastern Railroad Company was defendant, No. 10424 of the docket of this Court, a final judgment was rendered by said Circuit Court on the 13th day of May, A.D. 1884 in favor of the plaintiff and against said defendant, The New Orleans and North Eastern Railroad Company, and that on the 20th day of May, A.D. 1884 said

New Orleans and North Eastern Railroad Company sued out a writ of error to said Circuit Court directed to remove said cause to the Supreme Court of the United States.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at New Orleans, this 28th day of October, A.D. 1884.

(Seal)

E. R. Hunt, Clerk."

and having stated that the plaintiff in error had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute said writ of error, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said writ of error docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana be, and the same is hereby docketed and dismissed with costs; And that

this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per. Mr Chief Justice White.

No. The County of Buena Vista vs. Plff. in Error The Iowa Falls & Sioux City Railroad Company. This cause was argued by Mr. Charles Partridge, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr E. S. Bailey, of counsel for the defendant in error.

No. The City of New London vs. Plff in Error William Long. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and on the stipulation

of counsel on file. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed. Clerk's costs in this cause to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Schooner "Bertie Calkins", her boats &c., James Godman & Solomon Polzehica, claimants & appellants vs. William H. Wolf & Thomas Davidson. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and on the stipulation of counsel on file. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Friday, October 31st 1862 continued.

The People of the State of California ex rel. L. L. Hastings
60. vs. Pltff. in Error
A. P. Jackson et als.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record & printed arguments by Mr. John Norton Pomeroy, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. H. H. Wheaton, of counsel for the defendants in error.

L. L. Hastel Lamon,
Executor &c.
61. vs. Appellant
Mary J. L. Nicol.
Adm'x. &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. A. Lee, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 3d 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James K. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. C. Usher it is ordered that Edward M. Hulett, Esquire, of Fort Scott, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The City of Fort Scott
vs. Pltf. in Error
Samuel B. Dickman

In Error to the
Circuit Court of
the United States
for the District of
Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to enter a judgment for the plaintiff on bond No 78 for $500. with proper interest thereon, less a credit on said bond of $9.90., of the date of November 8th 1875; and as to the other bonds sued on to enter a judgment for the defendant with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	The United States	In Error to the
28.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	Benjamin F. Flanders,	of the United
	John S. Whitaker and	States for the
	John 2. A. Fellows	Eastern District
		of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Edward R. Mellen	Appeal from the
16.	vs. Appellant	Supreme Court of
	Susan L. Wallach	the District of
		Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now hereordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court, in General Term, made July 9th 1881, in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with direction to affirm with costs the decree of the Court in special term made December 29th 1880, and to take or direct such further proceedings as may be in conformity with law and not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

John Elk vs. Plff. in Error
Charles Wilkins

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on

The transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan
" " Woods.

Joseph J. Mersman. Appeal from
vs. Appellant the Circuit
Caspar A. Werges and Court of the
Lucy W. Werges United States
for the District
of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is

now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court, in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa, with directions to enter a decree for the complainant with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Benjamin Butterworth,
Commissioner of Patents,
132. vs. Pff. in Error } In Error to the
The United States ex rel: } Supreme Court
Richard M. Hoe, Robert } of the District
Hoe, Peter S. Hoe, Stephan } of Columbia.
D. Tucker, Robert Hoe Jr.,
Theodore N. Mead and Stephen
S. Hoe, trading as R. Hoe
& Co. and George C. Gill.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and

was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Beatrice Moran, widow in community and tutrix of the minor heirs of Joseph Cooper, deceased. Plff. in Error.
vs.
The City of New Orleans. Deft. in Error.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

33.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court

with instructions to render a judgment reversing that of the Third District Court for the Parish of Orleans and directing that Court to render a judgment dismissing the petition of the City of New Orleans

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Edward A. Yorke and John D. Hamilton	In Error to the Supreme Court
34. vs. Plffs. in Error	of the State of
The City of New Orleans	Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to render a judgment reversing that of the Third District Court for the Parish of Orleans and

directing that Court to render a judgment dismissing the petition of the City of New Orleans.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Pickwick Low Boat Company		In Error to the Supreme Court
35. vs.	Plff. in Error	of the State of
The City of New Orleans.		Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to render a a judgment reversing that of the Third District Court for the Parish of Orleans and directing that Court to render a judgment dismissing the petition of the City

of New Orleans.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Rufus P. Ranney, Administrator of Silas S. Stone, deceased.		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.
29.	vs. Plff. in Error	
Samuel L. M. Barlow and Charles Day.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to grant a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

	Louis N. Mahn	The death of
1.	vs. Appellant	Harrison Har-
	Harrison Harwood et als.	wood, one of
		the appellees

in this cause, having been heretofore suggested, an order of publication granted, and a certificate of the appointment of Henry G. Harwood and Harrison Harwood as Executors of Harrison Harwood, deceased, having been filed. It is ordered by the Court that said Henry G. Harwood & Harrison Harwood as Executors of Harrison Harwood, deceased, &c, and they are hereby, made parties appellees in this cause.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

	Louis N. Mahn	Appeal from
1.	vs. Appellant	the Circuit
	Henry G. Harwood & Harrison	Court of the
	Harwood, Executors of Harrison	United States
	Harwood, deceased, & Henry	for the Dis-
	G. Harwood & Harrison Har-	trict of
	wood Junior, Trading as N.	Massachu-
	Harwood & Sons.	setts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

-per Mr Justice Bradley.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Miller.

37. John A. Hathaway vs. Edward B. Hill — Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court

in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

Per Mr. Justice Field.

113. The United States vs. Plaintiff
Lewis Wardell.
Samuel McDaniel,
James Rowland,
C. M. Evans,
Joel Hubbard and
Benjamin F. Palmer.

On a certificate of division in opinion between the judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas, and on the points or questions on which the Judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion and which were certified to this Court for its opinion, agreeably to the act

of Congress in such case made and provided, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the first and second questions certified should be answered in the affirmative; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein according to law.

Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

20. John B. Nix vs. Appellant / Ann R. Allen, Executrix, & William T. Allen & George W. Allen, Executors of Thomas Allen, deceased. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas, and was

argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Adams County
38. vs. Plff. in Error
The Burlington & Missouri River Railroad Company et al.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Central Railroad Co. of New Jersey etal. vs. Appellants. Alfred Mills & S. Sedgewood Executors. Appellees.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, pursuant to the 32nd rule, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

Jno. Mr. Chief Justice Wicks.

Ex parte: In the matter of William T. Bayard. Petitioner.

On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. It is ordered that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, but prior to determining whether a rule to show cause should be ordered we desire to hear counsel upon the following questions pertinent to that inquiry, to wit:

1. Whether the Hustings Court of the City of Richmond is the highest Court of the State in which there can be a decision under the indictment in this case upon the question whether

the statute for the violation of which the petitioner is held in custody is in contravention of the Constitution of the United States.

2. Whether this Court has jurisdiction to issue a writ of habeas corpus to enquire into the cause of the detention of a person held in the custody of a State court for trial, and before his trial if he is held in violation of the Constitution or of a law or treaty of the United States.

Counsel may argue these questions orally or in print, as best suits their convenience. The case will be taken up for that purpose on application and after notice to the Attorney General of the State, during any motion day of the term when the Court is not otherwise engaged.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Alfred Richards
vs
Appellant
Brooks-Hurlbut &c.

On consideration of the motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that unless the parties

agree that the record sufficiently shows the names of the Justices who heard and decided this case at the General Term and also the date of the final adjournment of the General Term at which the decree appealed from was rendered, a certiorari may issue to supply the defects in the record according to the suggestion of diminution in those particulars. The other portions of the application for this writ are denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Joseph F. Lynch et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
1084 of Oct. T. 1883. C. K. Bailey et al.

and

John O. Tupper et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
1085 of Oct. T. 1883. Tully R. Wise

On consideration of the motions for leave to file petitions to recall the judgments entered in these cases, to recall the mandates issued and to restore the cases to the docket for hearing on their merits, It is ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied. per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

F. W. Einbusch.		Appeal from the
113.	vs. Appellant	Circuit Court
Bailey, Maxwell &		of the United
Miller, George Stan-		States for the
wood et al.		District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa, and on the stipulation of counsel on file and motion of Mr. James Hagerman, of counsel for the appellees. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Soon King		Mr. Thomas D. Riordan,
114.	vs. Pff in Error	of counsel for the Plain-
O'Connor, Chief		tiff in error, filed and
of Police &c.		submitted to the con-
		sideration of the

Court's motion to advance this cause.

James W. Harvey et al. vs. The United States. — Mr. Enoch Ellis, of counsel for Harvey et al., filed and submitted the consideration of the Court's motion to advance these cause.

The United States vs. James W. Harvey et al. — Appellant

The Union Pacific Railway Company vs. Josiah P. Miller. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, and on the motion of Mr. J. C. Usher, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause

be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Union Pacific Railway Company, The Kansas Pacific Railway Company et al.		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri.
93. vs.	Appellants	
The Kansas City Elevator Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and on the motion of Mr. J. P. Usher, of counsel for the appellants. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Monday, November 3rd 1873, continued

The Union Pacific Railway Company v. The Kansas Pacific Railway Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri
90.	vs. Appellants	
The Kansas City Elevator Company & Charles A. Ichner.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and on the motion of Mr. J. C. Usher, of counsel for the appellants, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Maria U. Brown		Mr. Andrew C. Bradley, of counsel for the appellee, having filed in open Court the following
103.	vs. Appellant	
Alexander C. Stephens, Anna J. Moss and Isaac L. Filbert.		

certificate, to wit:

In the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

Alexander R. Shepherd
James C. Moss
Frank T. Gilbert
Complainants
Joseph F. Brown
Maria U. Brown
Alexander Sharp
Defendants

No. 5319 Equity Docket 16.

I do hereby certify that on the 5th day of July 1884. this Court in General Term passed its decree granting unto the above named complainants the relief prayed by them in and by their original and amended bills from which decree said defendant Maria U. Brown, on the same day, prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States which was allowed in open Court.

R. J. Meigs
Clerk Sup. Ct. D. C.
by R. J. Meigs Jr.
Asst. Clk."

and having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of said

cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have this appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

339. The County of San Mateo, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

340. The County of Santa Clara, Plff in Error, vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

342. The County of San Bernardino, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

343. The People of the State of California, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Northern Railway Co.

344. The People of the State of California, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Central Pacific Ry. Co.

No. The People of the State of California, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

No. The County of Sacramento, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Central Pacific R.R. Co.

No. The County of Sacramento, Plff in Error, vs.
The Central Pacific R.R. Co.

Mr. Fillmore Beall, in behalf of counsel, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance these cases, and on motion of Mr. N. S. Brown leave was granted to file a printed argument in opposition to said motion.

Ex parte: In the matter of James S. Wilson, Petitioner. Mr. Nathaniel Wilson, in behalf of counsel, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

John J. Wallace, Appellant, vs. Edward Johnston et al. Mr. James Ha. german, of counsel for the

appellees, moved the Court to docket and dismiss this case pursuant to the 9th rule. Whereupon, the Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concerning what order to render in the premises, but time to consider.

G. DeRosset Lamar, Executor &c. vs. Mary E. C. Micou. Adm'x. &c. vs. Appellant

The argument of the cause was continued by Mr. C. J. Dickerson, of counsel for the appellant and concluded by Mr. C. P. Nash, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at ten o'clock.

Tuesday, November 11th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford } Associate Justices.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

David F. Hollister, Collector &c. vs. The General Manufacturing Co. Appellant

On motion of Mr. Assistant Attorney General

Harvey, of counsel for the appellant, leave is hereby granted him to withdraw from

the files the original exhibits in this cause.

Charles A. Snyder, Claimant vs. U.S. Plff. in Error The United States. No. 1

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed argument by Mr. William Grant and Mr. J. D. Rouse of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Edwin C. Fancourt vs. Appellant Mrs. Eliza Jane Holbrook, now Mrs Eliza Jane Nicholson, et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Jeff. Chandler, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Robert Mott and Mr. Thomas J. Semmes, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. J. D. Rouse, of counsel for the appellant.

Tuesday. November 10th 1891. continued.

The Kansas Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe R.R. Company

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. H. P. Usher, of of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. H. M. McGowan, of counsel for the appellee

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, November 5th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present:

The Honorable William A. Wash Chief Justice

Samuel E. Pitts

Stephen J. Field

Joseph P. Bradley

John M. Harlan Associate

William B. Woods Justices

Stanley Matthews

Horace Gray Jr

Samuel Blatchford

John L. Marston Esquire Marshal

James H. McKenney Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court opened

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Jam. ell Marion Esquire of New York may be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Thomas N. McCarter it is ordered that Charles B. Alexander, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Kansas City Railway Co. vs. The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fé Railroad Company. Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. James Hagerman, of counsel for the appellas, and concluded by Mr. J. P. Usher of counsel for the appellant.

James H. Morris et al. vs. John S. McMillin et al. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Woodward of counsel for the applicants, and continued by Mr. W. Boswell & Mr. George H. Christy of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

107. William Fisher vs. Plff. in Error
Augustus Schell, late Collector &c.

Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, suggested the death of Augustus Schell, the defendant in error in this cause, and moved

the Court to make Robert Schell, James W. Fellows and Edward Schell, Executors of Augustus Schell, deceased, the parties defendants in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Robert Schell, James W. Fellows and Edward Schell, Executors of Augustus Schell, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made the parties defendants in error in this cause.

William Fisher	In Error to
vs. Plff. in Error	the Circuit
Robert Schell, James W.	Court of the
Fellows and Edward Schell,	United States
Executors of Augustus Schell,	for the South-
deceased, Collector of Customs.	ern District
	of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and on the stipulation of counsel on file and motion of Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the defendants in error. It is now

have entered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the writ of error in the cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Mr. Chief Justice White.

Orlando F. Cardozo, Plaintiff in error, vs. Alfred Conkling, late Collector of Customs, Defendant in error.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

No. 108

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and on the motion of counsel on the next motion of Mr. Solicitor General Wickersham, of counsel for the defendant in error. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Mr. Chief Justice White.

James H. Harris et als. vs. John S. McMillin et als. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. George H. Christy, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Rowland Cox, of counsel for the appellants.

The Exchange National Bank of Pittsburgh vs. The Third National Bank of New York City. Plff. in Error

The Exchange National Bank of Pittsburgh vs. The Third National Bank of New York City. Plff. in Error

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. John R. Emery, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, continued by Mr. A. L. Mealey, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Thomas N. McCarter, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Thursday, November 6th 1884. Continued.

No. 14. A. F. Lafourcade vs. Supt. in Charge &c. and U. S. Marshal &c. and Attorney at Law.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana, and on hearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 1, Rule 10 of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, it is ordered that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per. Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

No. 15. The Boston Hydraulic Gold Mining Company vs. Supt. in Charge &c. The Eureka Consolidated Mining Company.

Ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted the U. S. Supt. to withdraw his appearance as counsel for

the plaintiff in error in this cause, as per application on file.

The Boston Hydraulic Gold Mining Company vs. Pff. in Error The Eagle Copper & Silver Mining Company.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

The Kansas Pacific Railway Co. vs. Pff in Error Lewis Pomeroy.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. P. Usher, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, December 7th 1852.

Continued to adjournment. The Court met
today at the capitol.

Present

The Honorable Nicholas Hill, Jr. Presiding
Samuel R. Betts
Stephen A. Foot
Joseph C. Hornblower
John W. Taylor
William W. Morris
Charles Butler
Samuel Nelson
John W. Brockholst, Esquire, Marshal
Francis M. Scott, Esquire, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is
opened.

On motion duly made to the Court in
this behalf by Mr. Joshua F. Bolton it is
ordered that J. Fredwell Richards, Esquire,
of New York City, be admitted to practice
as an attorney and counsellor of this
Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, it is ordered that James Morrow, Junior, Esquire, of Fairmont, State of West Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Roxanna Simonton, Executrix &c. Appellant vs. Miriam Sibley et al.

On motion of Mr. W. F. Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, leave is hereby granted him to withdraw the certified copies of papers filed in this cause on October 20th, 1884. in connection with a motion for a writ of certiorari.

The Kansas Pacific Railway Company vs. Lewis Dunmeyer.

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. J. P. Usher, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

Tuesday 4 November 6th 1834 continued

Sergeant Goodall
vs. Ott in Error
Eliz. Elizabeth Cockcroft &c.

Exr. assignment
of Error reads
with permission
by Mr Serjt
Cresswell for the Plaintiff in
error and Mr Serjt Goodall Counsel for
the Defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is ad-
journed until Monday next at Eleven
o'clock.

Monday, November 10th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

Wm. A. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips it is ordered that Theodore S. Garnett Jr., Esquire, of Norfolk, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The County of Buena Vista		On Error to
in the State of Iowa		The Supreme
57. vs.	Plff in Error	Court of the
The Iowa Falls & Sioux City		State of
Railroad Company		Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Jonathan H. Pugh. surviving Trustee &c.		Appeal from
		The Circuit
36. vs.	Appellant	Court of the
The Fairmount Gold and		United States
Silver Mining Company.		for the District
Hilbert B. Reed et als.		of Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of

the United States for the District of Colorado, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for such further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court as the case may require.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Great Western Insurance Company vs. The United States — Appellant

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

335.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

John C. Paulson, surviving
Ptl. sole assignee of the Columbian
Insurance Company
vs. Appellant
The United States.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.
On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause &c. and the same is hereby affirmed.
Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Scotland County
vs. Plff. in Error
William Hill.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District.

of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Frederick S. Ayres, James S. Ayres & Ebenezer C. Ayres	Appeal from the
402. vs. Appellants	Circuit
Charles E. Wiswall, William H. Wiswall, Ebenezer S. Wiswall, Louisa L. Wiswall and Ann E. Baerman.	Court of the United States for the Eastern Dis-

trict of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan and was argued by

counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of C. Hemble Brown Petitioner. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, it is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of William L. Royall Petitioner. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of certiorari, it is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	John Foster, County Attorney of Saline County, Kansas	In Error to the Su-
1056	vs. Plff. in Error	preme
	The State of Kansas ex rel: W. A. Johnston, Attorney Genl of the State of Kansas.	Court of the State of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas and on the motion to dismiss the writ of error or affirm the judgment, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Cooper Manufacturing Company of Mt. Vernon, Ohio.	Ordered by the Court
		that this
110.	vs. Plff. in Error	cause be, and
	James W. Ferguson et al.	the same is
		hereby,

assigned for reargument on the second Monday of December next. Arguments may be submitted orally or in brief as best suits the convenience of counsel. As the questions involved are of considerable practical importance in Colorado and require the construction of a provision of the State constitution which, so far as we are advised has not been interpreted by the local courts, we are anxious, if possible, to hear from both sides. The Clerk will, therefore, forward a copy of this order by mail addressed to the defendants in error at Loveland, Larimer County, Colorado, and to W. S. Decker, care of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado at Denver, Colorado.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of James C. Wilson, Original — Petitioner. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, it is

4.

now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of James S. Wilson, Original — Petitioner } On petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

On consideration of the petition of James S. Wilson, It is now here ordered by the Court that cause be shown by Joseph Nicholson, Keeper of the House of Correction, Detroit, Michigan, before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia, on the second Monday of December next, at twelve o'clock, noon, of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of habeas corpus should not issue as prayed in said petition.

It is further ordered that a copy of this rule and of the petition on file be served not only on Mr. Nicholson, but on the United States Attorney for the

for the Eastern District of Arkansas, and the Attorney General of the United States, on or before November 17th 1884.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

625. James W. Harvey et al. vs. The United States — Appellants

And

626. The United States vs. James W. Harvey et al. — Appellant

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases pursuant to Gen. R. Rule 26,

It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the cases are assigned for argument at the foot of the call on the second Monday in December next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

181. Soon Hing vs. P. Crowley, Chief of Police of the City of San Francisco. — Plff. in Error

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause,

It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the case is assigned for argument on the second Monday in December next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac K. Barksdale, Treasurer &c., et al. Petitioners. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

54. The Canada Central Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error George B. Phelps. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on

The transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York and on the stipulation of counsel on file and motion of Mr Leslie W. Russell, in behalf of counsel, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, with costs in this Court to be paid by plaintiff in error.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

James H. Carter, Survivor &c. Carter and Thomer &c. Pittsburg

vs. Appellants

The Moline Plow Company, E. A. Lunn, O. W. Tobias, George Stephenson & A. Swanson.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

113.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and on the stipulation

of counsel on file and motion of Mr. Andrew McCallum, of counsel for the appellants. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Samuel A. Peugh vs. Henry S. Davis. Appellant

On motion of Mr. J. J. Crittenden, of counsel for the appellant. It is ordered that this cause be reassigned for argument at the foot of the call on January 20th 1885.

916. Edward F. Bullard vs. The Des Moines & Fort Dodge R.R. Co. et al. Pltff. in Error

Mr. J. F. Farnsworth submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

The East Tennessee, Virginia & Georgia R. R. Co. vs. The Southern Telegraph Co. — Writ of Error — The motion to dismiss or modify the Supersedeas in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. A. Gunter and Mr. P. C. Semble, in support of the same, and by Mr. Gaylord B. Clark, in opposition thereto.

William R. Cady & wife Fannie E. Cady vs. Heman D. Walbridge, Gil. Bert M. Wight & Reginald Fendall, trustees under the last will and testament of Helen B. Corthill, deceased. — Appellants — Mr. Reginald Fendall, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"In the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

Hemain D. Wallbridge, Gilbert M. Wight, Reginald Fendall } Trustees under the last will & testament of Helen B. Corkhill. Complainants

vs.

Charles J. Smith and wife, Mary F. Smith, William R. Cady and wife Fannie E. Cady, Mary Anne Smith, Charles C. Smith, Robert J. Smith, Hester Ellen Smith, Isabmadge A. Lambert, Assignee vs., George B. Corkhill. Defendants

} No 8251 Equity.

I, R. G. Mains, Clerk of said Court, hereby certify that a decree in the above entitled cause was granted in the General Term of said Court on the twenty sixth day of November 1883; from which decree the defendants Cady and wife prayed an appeal in open Court to the Supreme Court of the United States, which appeal was granted, and that no further action in said cause appears to have been taken.

Given under my hand and

(Seal) The seal of our Supreme Court of the District of Columbia this fourth day of November A.D. 1884.

R. J. Meigs, Clerk.

By H. J. Harvey, Asst. Clerk.

and having stated that the appellants had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 7th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed.

On consideration whereof, It is now ordered and adjudged by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that the mandate, issue in the same is hereby commanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in conformity to this rule and decree.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

August Heidritter
69. vs. Pff. in Error
The Elizabeth Oil Cloth Co.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. Trumbull Richards, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Edward A. Day, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Harris Reynolds
71. vs. Appellant
The First National Bank of Crawfordsville, Indiana.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record & printed arguments by Mr. D. W. Voorhees, of counsel for the appellant & Mr. J. E. McDonald & Mr. J. M. Butler, of counsel for the appellee.

Brooke Mackall Jr.
72. vs. Appellant
Alfred Richards et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. W. Willoughby, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. W. B. Webb and Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourn-

Tuesday November 17th 1863.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Harmon S. Greene, Chief Justice

Samuel L. Selden

Stephen D. Pratt

Joseph C. Hornby

John C. Spencer, Associate

William B. Wright, Justice

Stanley Matthews

Noah Davis 2nd

Samuel Shellabarger

John A. Lowrey, Esquire, Marshal

James M. McKinney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion Court made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. M. Lowrey it is ordered that Burdett A. Rice, Esquire of Cattaraugus, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. B. Henderson it is ordered that G. M. Stewart, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

73. The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company vs. Pfff. in Error C. H. Pendleton.

This cause was argued by Mr. Leslie W. Russell of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

and Mr. W. K. Poston, of counsel for the defendant in error.

74. Frank Ayers et al. vs. Pffs. in Error A. E. Watson.

This cause was argued by Mr. W. W. Boyce, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. L. W. Goodrich of counsel for the defendant in error.

75. Samuel Head vs. The Amoskeag Manufacturing Company. Pltff. in Error. Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby passed, subject to the provisions of Section 9. rule 24.

148. Chong Sh Moy vs. The United States. Pltff. in Error. On motion of Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted him to file affidavits in this cause.

76. David F. Hollister, Collector vs. The Benedict & Burnham Manufacturing Company. Appellant. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday November 12th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray Jr.
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Martin E. Olmsted, Esquire, of Harrisburg, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John A. Wallace
vs. Appellant
Edward Johnstone
A. J. Connable and
C. F. Davis.

Mr. James Grant
counsel for complainants
for the appellee
having filed
the following
certificate, to
wit:

United States Circuit Court.

Southern District of Iowa. Central Division.

Edward Johnstone. A. J.
Connable and C. F. Davis
vs.
John A. Wallace & C. R. Ford
} No. 1296. Eq.
Original Bill

John A. Wallace
vs.
Edward Johnstone, A. J.
Connable and C. F. Davis
} Cross Bill.

I, E. T. Mason,
Clerk of said Court do hereby certify
that on the 16th day of May 1883 a decree
was entered in the above cause in fa
vor of complainants and cross defend.
ants from which decree an appeal was
prayed for and allowed to the defendant

and cross complainant John A. Wallace, to the Supreme Court of the United States and the supersedeas bond fixed at the sum of $500.00. That on the 16th day of July 1883 an appeal bond in the penal sum of $500.00 was filed in my office by the said John A. Wallace, duly approved by Hon. G. W. McCrary, U. S. Circuit Judge.

Witness my hand and the seal of said Court hereto affixed at the City of Des Moines in said District this 14th day of November A. D. 1884.

(Seal)

E. R. Mason, Clerk
U. S. Cir. Court, S. Dist. of Iowa

and having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, pursuant to the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa be, and the

same is hereby, accepted and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Hartford Life & Annuity Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut. vs. Caroline Lueders, Executrix of J. H. Lueders, deceased.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and on the stipulation of counsel on file and motion of Mr. George A. Blanchard, of counsel for the defendant in error. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be and the same is hereby, dismissed.—

Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

116. David F. Hollister, Collector &c. vs. The Benedict & Burnham Manufacturing Company — Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. E.W. Kellogg, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. John S. Beach, of counsel for the appellee.

117. John C. Birdsell et al. vs. Gerhart Shaliol et al. — Appellants

This cause was argued by Mr. W.W. Leggett, of counsel for the appellants - No counsel appearing for the appellees.

Bates County. Missouri
vs. Writ in Error
Valentine Winters et als.

This cause was argued by Mr. J. A. Sterrett for Mr. J. B. Henderson of counsel for the defendants in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. L. V. Bell and Mr. J. F. Shipley, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at ten o'clock.

Thursday, November 13th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. W. Hornor, it is ordered that George H. Braughn, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr. E. D. Wells it is ordered that Samuel Lowe, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr. J. Hinkley Jr. it is ordered that Gilbert Combs, Esquire, of Elkton, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Central Iowa Railway Company vs. J. C. Connell, Receiver.

On motion of Mr. E. D. Wells, of counsel for the appellee, it is ordered by the Court that the appellant has failed to comply with the terms of the order of this Court entered on this cause on the 11th day of January 1884, granting leave to docket this appeal on payment

of costs. It is now here ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted the Clerk to issue the mandate under the order entered on the 19th day of November 1883, docketing and dismissing this appeal.

No. 9. Laurence Hart vs. Plff. in Error The Pennsylvania Railroad Company. This cause was argued by Mr. George M. Stewart, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. Everett W. Pattison, of counsel for the defendant in error.

No. 10. James King vs. Plff. in Error The National Mining & Exploring Company. In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana, and the plaintiff in error having been three times solemnly called by the Marshal to come into Court and prosecute his

writ of error, and failing to appear, it is therefore, on motion of the Attorney General, ordered for the writ and in error, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the same be and the same is hereby dismissed with costs: And that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Ward.

A. U. Hamptons
vs. Pltff. in Error
The Shreveport
National Bank.

In Error to the
Circuit Court of
the United States
for the Northern
District of Texas

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Texas, and on the stipulation of counsel on file. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this

cause be, and the same is hereby. dismissed with costs.

per. Mr. Chief Justice Marshall.

Marshall N. Jones et al. This cause
72. vs. Appellants was argued
James R. Slawson et al. by Mr. Wirt
Dexter, of
counsel for the appellants and Mr. Robert
Rae, of counsel for the appellees.

The State of Maryland The argument
use of Charles Markley of this cause
83. vs. Pff. in Error was commenced
William T. Baldwin et al. by Mr. Albert
Constable, of
counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, November 11th 1853

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Solomon Heydenfeldt Chief Justice

Hugh C. Murray

Stephen J. Field

Joseph P. Bradley

Peter H. Burnett

William B. Hoyt Justices

Stanley Matthews

Nathaniel Bray Clerk

Samuel Bratchford

John G. Downey Esquire Attorney General

James H. Hutchinson Deputy Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion just made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Peter Burnett it is ordered that George H. Smith, Esquire, of Los Angeles, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

David Wright & Benjamin Roadhouse, surviving partners of the late firm of Wright, Roadhouse & Company
vs.
Emma C. Edwards.

Mr. L. H. Bisbee, of counsel for the defendant in error, having filed in open

Court the following certificate, to wit:

United States of America
Southern District of Illinois } ss.

I, J. A. Jones, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court, wherein Emma C. Edwards was plaintiff and David Wright and Benjamin Roadhouse, surviving partners of the late firm of Wright, Roadhouse & Co. were defendants, a final judgment was rendered by said Circuit Court on the 17th day of May, A. D. 1884 in favor of said plaintiff and against said defendants, and that on the 17th day of June, A. D. 1884, said defendants sued out

a writ of error to said Circuit Court, it is ordered to remove said cause to the Supreme Court of the United States.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at Springfield this 9th day of November A.D. 1855.

(Seal)

S. A. Lowe
Clerk Circuit Court U.S.
South. Dist. Ills.

And having stated that the plaintiffs in error have altogether failed to file the record in said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute said writ of error, as the Court in pursuance of the 9th rule of the Court in such cases made and provided, it is ordered and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; that

that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The State of Maryland, use of Charles Markley vs. Pltf. in Error
William F. Williamson

13. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Archibald Stirling Jr., of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. Albert Constable, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Mary Ann Batchelor vs. Appellant
Sarah A. Brereton et al.

14. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Andrew B. Duvall, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Leigh Robinson and Mr. A. S. Worthington, of counsel for the appellees.

	Charles A. Richardson	Prize causes not
82	vs.	submitted to
	Sloop of Goods.	the consideration
		Court of the

Court on the account and petition of payment by Mr. Pinkney & Ingraham of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Key under Atty Comp. of counsel for the appellee.

	Sloop Superbus	Continued by the
	Lawrence Appellants	Court for the
	Talbot Johnson & Co.	causes to next
83	vs.	Term
	The United States.	Tuesday January

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 15th 1880.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Upon motion being made the Court is adjourned.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Brewster it is ordered that Thomas F. Wilson, Esquire, of Pittsburg, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

In the Supreme Court of the United States.

October Term, 1915.

No. [illegible]

[illegible] Appellant,

vs.

The United States.

Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

To the Honorable the Chief Justice and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Your petitioner respectfully represents that on the [illegible] day of [illegible], 1915, the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit rendered a decree in the above-entitled cause, which was a cause in said Court on appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California, wherein your petitioner was plaintiff and the United States was defendant, and which said decree affirmed a decree of said District Court in favor of the United States and against your petitioner.

Your petitioner prays that a writ of certiorari may be issued out of and under the seal of this Court directed to the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, commanding that Court to certify and send to this Court for its review and determination a full and complete transcript of the record and all proceedings in the case numbered and entitled on the docket of said Circuit Court of Appeals as aforesaid, and that the decree of said Circuit Court of Appeals may be reviewed by this Court, and for such other and further relief as may be meet in the premises.

And your petitioner will ever pray, etc.

[illegible]

Solicitor for Petitioner.

[illegible]

Of Counsel.

Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

per. Mr. Justice Gray.

The Board of County Commissioners of Labette County, Kansas and the Clerk of said Board and the Clerk and Treasurer of said County of Labette, Kansas		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.
236 vs.	Pffs. in Error	
The United States ex rel: Clarence T. Moulton.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel.

On Motion ordered that Mr. Thomas Wm. Ashton be and he is hereby admitted as an attorney of this Court.

On motion ordered that Mr. Frederick Whittaker be and he is hereby admitted as an attorney of this Court.

No. 62. James C. Smith & Sons & Smith &c. vs. John C. Smith Executor &c. et al. Appellees. John C. Mc. Gillin, John Sinclair & Robert L. Miller & Henry C. Miller Executors of Hugh Sinclair &c. Appellants.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and

adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company vs. The Union Trust Company of New York, Trustee for the children of William Orton, deceased.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the

sum is hereby affirmed with costs and interest until paid, at the rate of six per annum that similar judgments do now in the Courts of the State of New York.

per Mr. Justice Swayne.

The Board of Supervisors of Hancock County, Wiley M. Coward, Assistant, et al. vs. Wm. Ogden, Wm. Brown, Wm. Wilkinson, Wm. Washington, Wm. DeBaus, Harris, Wm. Lee, Reed and Reuben E. Mann, surviving members of the firm of J. Ogden & Company.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of

The said District Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Mississippi.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The People of the State of California, ex rel: S. C. Hastings vs. A. T. Jackson and John Doe.	Pltff. in Error	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State Californ.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Monday, November 9th 1846. Continued.

Edward D. Hanna
vs
Appellant
Wm. Eliza from Natchez.
now Wm. Eliza from
Mississippi, George Archer.
Executor Edward D. Hanna.

Appeal from
the District
Court of the
United States
for the East
ern District
of Louisiana

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said District Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, reversed, annulled and for naught holding for the costs in the Court. And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said District Court with instructions to remand it to the State Court from which it was originally removed, and with directions to make such order as to costs accrued in the District Court after the removal as equity and justice may require.

The Gradstreet Company		In Error to the
659. vs.	Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
Forrester M. Higgins.		of the United
		States for the

Western District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and on the motion to dismiss the writ of error, or affirm the judgment which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

379. The County of San Mateo, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

780. The County of Santa Clara, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

782. The County of San Bernardino, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

Thursday, November 17th 1859. Continued.

The Supervisors of the County of Calaveras, Plff in Error.
vs.
The Northern Railway Co.

The Supervisors of the County of Calaveras, Plff in Error
vs.
The Central Pacific Railway Co.

The Supervisors of the County of Calaveras, Plff in Error
vs.
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

The County of Sacramento, Plff in Error.
vs.
The Central Pacific Railway Co.

The County of Sacramento, Plff in Error.
vs.
The Central Pacific Railway Co.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

Jan. Mr. Chief Justice Wells.

Leonard Whitwell
vs.
The Des Moines Canal
Lodge R.R. Co. et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause It is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby, denied.

Jan. Mr. Chief Justice Wells.

	The New Orleans Insurance Company	The motion to vacate the
981	vs. Pff. in Error	Supersedeas, to dismiss
	The E. D. Albro Company.	the writ of

error or to affirm the judgment in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. O. B. Samsum, in support of the same, and Mr. G. E. Schmidt, in opposition thereto.

	Francis E. Ayres.	Mr. J. A. McGowan, of counsel for the defendants in error, having filed in open Court certificates of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of
	James E. Ayres and	
	Ebenezer R. Ayres	
1219	vs. Pff's. in Error	
	Charles E. Wiswall,	
	William McA. Wiswall,	
	Ebenezer E. Wiswall,	
	Isaac G. Wiswall and	
	Ann E. Baerman.	

the State of Michigan, and having shown that the plaintiffs in error had altogether failed to file the record of said cause on

Monday November 17th 1856 Continued

the Court or in any way to prevent the writ of error in this cause from the Court in pursuance of the 25th rule. It is therefore ordered & decreed that the motion be overruled. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered & adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that the cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William N. Nesbitt	}	Mr. Stanwood
vs.	} Appeal	& Smith for
The Philadelphia & Erie	}	appellee moves
Erie & Steubenville Railway	}	to dismiss
Company.	}	filed in open
	}	Court the fol-

lowing certificate, to wit:

Circuit Court of the United States
For the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

In Equity.

Alexander Hay vs. The Alexandria & Washington Railroad Company et als.	In original and amended bill
The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company vs. Alexander Hay et als.	On cross bill

I, M. F. Pleasants, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia, do hereby certify that in a cause in Equity pending in said Court wherein Alexander Hay was complainant, and the Alexandria and Washington Railroad Company; The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company; The District of Columbia, Joseph H. Bradley and A. Thomas Bradley, trustees for the Corporation of the City of Washington and the District of Columbia; Edward Snowden, surviving partner of Fowle, Snowden and Company; William

A. Fowle Jr., Administrator of William H. Fowle, deceased, Joseph Thornton, H. Rutany Ball, Thos. Wattson, Harbury, John Elliott, Alexander Elliott, Charles G. Moore, Thomas Hyde, F. Francis Riggs and Thomas L. Riggs. The suit so many parties under the firm name of Riggs & Company, were defendants in said original cause; and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company was complainant, and Alexander Hay; The Alexandria & Washington Railroad Company, The Rector of Columbia; Joseph H. Bradley & al & Thomas Bradley, Trustees for the Corporation of the City of Washington and the Rector of Colum &, Edward Simpson, Surviving partner of Fowle, Simpson & Company; William H. Fowle Jr, Administrator of William H. Fowle deceased, Joseph Thornton, H. Rutany Ball, trustee; William L. Harbury; John Elliott, Alexander Elliott, Charles G. Moore, Thomas Hyde, F. Francis Riggs and Thomas L. Riggs. The suit six being partners under the firm name

of Riggs and Company, were defendants in said cross cause, a final decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the fifth day of April, A.D. 1884, in favor of said The Alexandria and Fredericksburg Rail way Company and against the said Alexandria and Washington Railroad Company, and that on the fifth day of April A.D. 1884 the said William H. Marbury prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States, which was duly allowed.

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at Alexandria, this 29th day of October A.D. 1884.

(Seal)

M. F. Pleasants, Clerk."

And having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute this appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 4th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On

Monday, December 9th 1850 continued

consideration whereof it is ordered and decreed by the Court that the injunction granted by the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia be and the same is hereby dissolved and discontinued with costs; and that the cause be remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Jas. D. Hay Justice U.S.

The Orators of Alexandria
vs. Appellant
The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company

The Circuit Court of the United States having heard the arguments of counsel on the appeal from the decree of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia, sitting in Equity, and on the transcript of the record, is of opinion that there is no error in the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause, and that the same be affirmed with costs.

Alexander Hay
vs.
The Alexandria & Washington

On original and Cross Bill

and

The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company vs. Alexander Hay et al. } On Cross Bill.

I, M. F. Sloasants, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia, do hereby certify that in a certain cause in Equity pending in said Court wherein Alexander Hay was complainant and the Alexandria & Washington Railroad Company; The Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company; The District of Columbia; Joseph H. Bradley and A. Thomas Bradley, Trustees for the Corporation of the City of Washington and the District of Columbia; Edward Lowden, surviving partner of Fowle, Lowden & Company; William H. Fowle Jr., Administrator of William H. Fowle, deceased; Joseph Thornton, M. Dulany Ball, Trustee; William H. Marbury; John Elliott, Alexander Elliott, Charles C. Glover, Thomas Hyde, E. Francis Riggs and Thomas L. Riggs, the

And the said Justices upon the further hearing of said cause upon the original bill, the answers thereto, the Exceptions to said answers, the replication, and the depositions of the witnesses for the complainant Company, and upon the motion of Mr. Alexander for the Complainant, Counsel learned in the law, and upon the motion of Joseph M. Bradley and C. Howard Gorley, Counsel for the Corporation of the City of Washington and the District of Columbia, Edward Simmons, Attorney for the Fourth Auditor of the Treasury, William H. Fourth for Commissioner of Children A. Fourth, Assistant, Joseph Gibbons, H. Rahway Bell, Esqr., William L. Marbury, John Elliott, Alexander Elliott, Charles C. Howard, Francis Pope, C. Francis Riggs and Samuel H. Riggs. The said Defendants under the firm name of Riggs & Company, were dependents in said cause, a final decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the fifth day of May A. D. 1881, in favor of said The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company

and against the said The Alexandria and Washington Railroad Company; and that on the fifth day of April A.D. 1916 the said The District of Columbia prayed an appeal to The Supreme Court of the United States which was duly allowed.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at Alexandria, this 20th day of October, A.D. 1916.

M. F. Peasants, Clerk."

and having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute this appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed; On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia be and the same is hereby docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause

In which the cause is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and this

Opinion.

Virginia & Tennessee Railroad Company vs. Thomas Hoge's Executors &c.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the said Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia, and was argued by counsel on the consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and damages at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date of the judgment of the said Circuit Court until the same be paid.

Virginia & Tennessee Railroad Company vs. Thomas Hoge's Executors &c.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the said Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia, and was argued by counsel on the consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and damages at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date of the judgment of the said Circuit Court until the same be paid.

Virginia & Tennessee Railroad Company vs. Alexander Hay.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the said Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia, and was argued by counsel on the consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and damages at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date of the judgment of the said Circuit Court until the same be paid.

Virginia & Tennessee Railroad Company vs. Alexander Hay.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the said Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia, and was argued by counsel on the consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and damages at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date of the judgment of the said Circuit Court until the same be paid.

Virginia & Tennessee Railroad Company vs. Thomas Hoge's Executors &c

The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company
v.s.
Alexander Hay et als.
} On Cross Bill.

I. M. F. Pleasants, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia, do hereby certify that in a certain cause in Equity pending in said Court, wherein Alexander Hay was complainant, and The Alexandria & Washington Railroad Company; The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company; The District of Columbia; Joseph M. Bradley and A. Thomas Bradley, Trustees for the Corporation of the City of Washington and the District of Columbia; Edward Snowden, Surviving partner of Fowle, Snowden & Company; William M. Fowle Jr. Administrator of William M. Fowle, deceased; Joseph Thornton; M. Dunlap Bell, Trustee; William H. Marbury; John Elliot, Alexander Elliott, Charles C. Lowe, Thomas Hyde, E. Francis Riggs & Thomas L. Riggs, the last six being

partners under the firm name of Riggs and Company, were defendants in said original cause; and the Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company, a corporation organized and chartered by the Legislature of Virginia, and the Alexandria & Washington Railroad Company; the District of Columbia, Joseph M. Bradley and W. Thomas Bradley, trustees for the Corporation of the City of Washington and the District of Columbia, Edward Lowndes, Executor of Lowndes Executors & Company; William H. Fowle Jr., Administrator of William H. Fowle, deceased; Joseph Thornton; H. Delany Ball, trustee; William H. Marbury; John Elliott, Alexander Hunter, Charles C. Glover, Thomas Hyde, E. Francis Riggs and Thomas L. Riggs, the last six being partners under the firm name of Riggs and Company, were defendants in said cross cause, a decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the fifth day of April A.D. 1886, in favor of said The Alexandria & Fredericksburg Railway Company and against

the said Geo. Alexandria and Washington Railroad Company; and that on the fifth day of April, A.D. 1884, the said Hays and Company prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States which was duly allowed.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at Alexandria, this 29th day of October A.D. 1884.

(Seal) M. F. Pleasants, Clerk."

and having stated that the appellants had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute this appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs. And

that this cause be remitted to the Circuit Court of the City, mentioned in the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

The New Orleans Insurance Company vs. The C. H. Mitchell Company

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and on the motion of Mr C. H. Fenton, of counsel for the defendant in error, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this writ be, and the same is hereby, dismissed from the docket at the cost of the defendant in error.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

The Kansas Pacific Railway Co.
vs. Plff. in Error
Lewis Dunmeyer.

On motion of Mr. C. K. Sims leave is hereby granted counsel for the plaintiff in error to file a supplemental brief in this cause.

The Davenport National Bank.
vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Equalization in and for the City of Davenport Township et als.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. C. Cook, in support of the same, and Mr. W. J. Ditto, in opposition thereto.

William H. Pollens et al.
vs. Plffs. in Error
The Black River Improvement Company.

The motion to dismiss the writ of error or appeal from the judgment in this cause was

Monday November 9th 1914 Continued.

Submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. C. U. Conway, in behalf of the same, and by Mr. J. G. Olson and Mr. M. S. Wise, in opposition thereto.

Mary Ann Battistelli
vs. Appellant
Sarah A. Brantner et al.

The cause was argued by Mr. Joseph S. Bradley, of counsel for the appellant.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company
vs. Def. in Error
The Pittsburg, Wheeling & Kentucky Railroad Company

On Error to the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of West Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of West Virginia and on the authority of

of counsel for the plaintiff in error on file. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase.

Celestine Louise Fortier
vs. Appellant
The New Orleans
National Bank
and
The New Orleans
National Bank
vs. Appellant
Celestine Louise Fortier

This cause was argued by Mr. John A. Campbell of counsel for the New Orleans National Bank, and by Mr. P. Morris of counsel for Celestine Louise Fortier.

John Hewitt
vs. Plff. in Error
Robert L. McOuat.

Mr. U. E. Earle, in behalf of coun. sel. suggested the death of Robert L. McOuat, the defendant in error in this cause; and on motion, It is

ordered by the Court that This cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next Term.

Adolphus Branch et ux. Appeal from
vs. Appellants order of
John Cochrane et ux. Dist Court
Mecklenburg
Argued by the Solicitor & Court of Appeals for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, November 18th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan, Associate
William B. Woods, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

Cairn H. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Adolphus Brandies et al. vs. Appellants
John Cochrane et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. John S. Monk, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. George W. Smith, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded

Tuesday - January 18th 1859 continued.

by the Judge of Court of appeal for the defendants.

Robert Evans Executor &c. vs. Ord in Error
The Mutual Assurance Society of Virginia

The argument of the matter in dispute in this case was resumed by Mr. William L. Hunt in behalf of the Defendant in Error and by the Court the case was submitted to the Court.

John Howard, an infant &c. vs. Ord in Error
The Mutual Assurance Society of Virginia.

The argument of this case was resumed by Mr. Evans & Mr. Hunt in behalf of the Defendant in Error and by the Court the case was submitted to the Court.

The Union Ferry Railway Company vs. Appellant
Andrew Ryan
Marshal &c. et al.

The argument of this cause was resumed by the Attorney General for the appellant

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 9th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices
John F. Finerty, Esquire, Marshall.
James H. McKenney, Esquire, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General McKenney, it is ordered that James Lyons, Esquire, of Richmond, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Wednesday November 19th 1884 continued

On motion leave needs to be given on behalf of the Crown at present that Robert Watson Esquire of Darlington Barrister at Law may be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court and be sworn accordingly.

On motion leave needs to be given on behalf of the Solicitor General Phillips it is ordered that Arthur Hanbury Esquire of New York City be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court, and be sworn accordingly.

Robert Greaves, Executor
of John Greaves, deceased
vs. Pltf in Error
The Mutual Insurance
Society of Virginia.

In Error to
the Supreme
Court of Ap-
peals of the
State of
Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Wednesday December 9th 1896 Continued

Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia on the motion to dismiss which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

Jas. D. Christian Clerk

Chesterfield Justice, Executor of L. C. Clay, deceased Pltf in Error vs. To the Circuit Court of the County of Chesterfield

Error to the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia and on the motion to dismiss which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be,

1

and the same is hereby dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

Jno. Thos. Goode Clerk

Nelson M. Slaton
vs. Pet. in Error
Eliza Jane Simpson
widow of Andrew
Simpson, deceased.

In Error to the
Court of Com.
on Pleas of
Erie County.
Pennsylvania

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Common Pleas of Erie County Penn sylvania, and on the Submission of the same on file, and motion of Mr. E. H. Pruner, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Jno. Thos. Goode Clerk

	The Limbstone Mill'g Mining Company	On motion of Mr. W. Hallett Phillips,
1086	vs. Appellant	in behalf of coun-
	The Way Up Mining Co.	sel for the appel-

lant, It is ordered by the Court that counsel for the appellant be granted an extension of thirty days within which to file brief in opposition to the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause.

	The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company	Mr. W. Hallett Phillips,
1184	vs. Pff. in Error	in behalf
	Emanuel H. Crane.	of counsel for the

plaintiff in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause pursuant to the 32d rule.

	The Union Pacific Railway Company	The argu-
		ment of
97	vs. Appellant	This cause
	Andrew Ryan, Marshal &c et al.	was

continued by Mr. Forbes & Dickson of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Cassels Q.C. of counsel for the respondents, and concluded for the appellant by Mr. Forbes & Dickson of counsel for the appellant.

Henry W. Eb. Estey et ux. (Pltff in Court below) (Appellant)
vs.
The Guelph Sebastopol & Owen Sound Steamship Company (Limited) (Deft in Court below) (Respondent)

The respondent objects to the case as not containing the pleadings or orders in No 755, and contained by Mr Evans for the Respondent, of counsel for the plaintiff on error in Nos 754 and 755.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, November 20th 1882.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

No business being ready the Court adjourned.

The New Orleans Water Works Company
vs.
Robert C. Rivers.
Appellant

This cause was submitted to the considera. tion, pursuant to the 20th

183.

rule, on the record and printed argu. ments by Mr. J. K. Beckwith, of coun-

Thursday, November 20th 1890. continued

sel for the appellant and Mr. [illegible] Hall
counsel for the appellee, submitted by
Mr. Assist Solicitor General Maury for the
United States, and by Mr. [illegible] for the
appellee.

The United States
vs. Appellant
William T. Emery

No. 1000. Oct. Term, 1890.

This cause was
submitted to the
consideration
of the Court on
the record and printed arguments by
Mr Solicitor General Wallace, of counsel
for the appellant, and Mr. [illegible] Smith
and Mr. [illegible] Mahony, of counsel for
the appellee.

The United States
vs. Appellant
James G. Scott

No. 1001. Oct. Term, 1890.

This cause was
submitted on the
consideration of
the Court on the
record and printed arguments by Mr. Assistant
Solicitor General Wallace, of counsel for the ap-
pellant, and Mr. [illegible] Hamilton Bliss, of
counsel for the appellee.

1055. Isaac W. England vs. Plff. in Error Jacob W. Gebhardt

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, per stipulation of counsel, by Mr. A. Z. Noasbey, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John R. Emery, of counsel for the defendant in error.

772. Henry W. O. Edye et al., Plffs. in Error, vs. W. H. Robertson, Collector.

754. The Cunard Steamship Co. (limited), Plff. in Error, vs. W. H. Robertson, Collector

755. The Cunard Steamship Co. (limited), Plff. in Error, vs. W. H. Robertson, Collector

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. George De Forest Lord, of counsel for the plaintiff in error in Nos. 754 & 755, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Philip J. Joachimsen, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error in No. 772.

29. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
Union Pacific

30. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
The City of Kansas

31. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
A. E. Wellington

32. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
Union Pacific

33. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
Union Pacific

34. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
Union Pacific

35. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Appellant
vs.
Union Pacific

The argument in these cases was resumed by Mr. Justice S. Wilson of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Monday December 21st 1874.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr. J. W. Bartley, it is ordered that John W. Zenner, Esquire, of Mansfield, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

Frederick Hoyt
vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the
Territory of Utah.

On motion of Mr.
Assistant Attorney
General Henry, of
counsel for the de-
fendants in error,

leave is hereby granted to withdraw the
transcript of the record in this cause
for proper authentication.

791. The Union Pacific Railway Co., C & vs. Abram Myers.
761. " " " " " " vs. The City of Kansas.
810. The Texas & Pacific Railway Co. vs. A. J. McAllister.
797. " " " " " vs. Laura Clark.
810 The Union Pacific Railroad Co. vs. Lucia Smith
824. The Texas & Pacific Railway Co. vs. James Murphy
890. The Union Pacific Railway Co. vs. Frank Harwood

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, Mr. W. Hallett Phillips and Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the defendants in error and by Mr. Walter D. Cowdrey, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 24th 1851.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Norman S. Hall Chief Justice

Samuel C. Miller

Stephen J. Field

Joseph C. Hartley

John H. Harlan Associate

William C. Woods Justices

Stanley Matthews

Horace Gray Jr.

Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal

James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter D. Davidge it is ordered that William H. Cogswell, Esquire, of Rochester, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion it is ordered by the Court in this behalf by Mr. Edward W. Dupuis it is ordered that Richmond A. Parker Esquire of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was thereupon accordingly.

On motion it is ordered by the Court in this behalf by Mr. Edward W. Dupuis it is ordered that Richmond A. Parker Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was thereupon accordingly.

The Exchange National Bank of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	In Error to the Circuit Court
vs.	of the United
36. Appeal or Error	States for the
The First National Bank	District of
of the City of New York.	New Jersey

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Tradesman's National Bank of Pittsburgh, Penn. Plff. in Error vs. The Third National Bank of the City of New York. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by

costs. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs, and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Laurence Kirk
vs. Pfc. in Error
The Pennsylvania Coal
Coal Company

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be,

No. 1 The cause is hereby affirmed with costs and interest, and this Court do order and adjudge that a special mandate issue to the Circuit Court of the State of Missouri

for the Eastern District.

Circuit Restriction
of
The Union Pacific
Railroad Co. Plaintiff
in Error
vs.
Company

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by Coun. sel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Harris Reynolds
vs. Appellant
The First National
Bank of Crawfordsville
Indiana.

Appeal from
the Circuit
Court of the
United States
for the District
of Indiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Wood.

Brooke Mackall Junior
vs. Appellant
Alfred Richards et als.

Appeal from
the Supreme
Court of the
District of
Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the

Judgment of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to set aside the decree from which this appeal is prosecuted, and to order the bill in satisfaction of complainants demands and in such mode as may be consistent with the practice of the Court and with law, of all of Lot seven (7) outside of that upon which the Building known as The Palace Market stands.

per Mr. Justice Harlan

1884. The Ogdensburg & Lake Champlain Railroad Company vs. The Nashua & Lowell Railroad Company. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Hampshire.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Hampshire and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

Per Mr Justice Wells.

(Mr Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

78. Bates County, Missouri vs. Pgs. in Error
Valentine Winters and
Jonathan N. Winters.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and was argued by

counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest until paid, at the rate of six per centum per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Missouri.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The East Tennessee, Virginia & Georgia Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error
The Southern Telegraph Company.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss the writ of error or to modify the supersedeas in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, denied.

It is further ordered that the supersedeas upon the writ of error from this Court shall not, during the pendency of the writ, prevent or hinder the Telegraph

Company from occupying the ground
appropriated for its use and proceeding
to erect and operate its line of telegraph
thereon after it has been into the Circuit
Court for the Parish in which [illegible]
thereto the amount of damages and com-
pensation assessed by the jury empanneled
as in the Circuit Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Davenport National Bank
vs. Appeal from
The Board of Equalization
in and for the City of Dav.
enport Scott County Iowa.

Ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued for argument with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chicago & Pacific
Railway Company
1185. vs. Plff. in Error
Emanuel N. Crane

On motion of the motion to advance this cause, pursuant to the 32d rule, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby granted.

-per Mr. Chief Justice Waite

The Wabash, St. Louis &
Pacific Railway Company
1118. vs. Appellant
Benjamin F. Ham, et al.

On motion of Mr. E. B. Smith, in behalf of counsel for the appellees,

Ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, granted in this cause, subject to exceptions hereafter.

The California Artificial
Stone Paving Company
1213. vs. Appellant
Charles A. Molitor
and

On motion of Mr. E. M. Marble, of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that the

Monday November 24th 1902 continued.

The Southern Pacific Co. — Submission of
Stone Quarry Company — Plaintiff — this cause for
vs. — Defendant — determination on
Charles A. Moller — petition for writ of
certiorari and
the record
is printed.

The State of Alabama — Mr. H. B. Tompkins
vs. — Plaintiff — of counsel for the
Evans & Dunn et al. — plaintiff in error
submitted to the
consideration of the Court a motion for
leave to file a declaration in this cause.

223. Daniel B. Cox — Mr. Whitman L.
vs. — Cox, in error — Congdon, plaintiff
Henry Morgan, imleaded — in error, for the writ
with the Saginaw Tate — and on motion
Railroad Company. — Harvey filed in
open Court the
following certificate, to wit:
"Circuit Court of the United States
For the Northern District of New York.
I, William L. Proctor, Clerk of the Circuit
Court of the United States for the Northern

District of New York, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in the said Court wherein Daniel B. Coe was plaintiff, and The Cayuga Lake Railroad Company and Henry Morgan were defendants a judgment was rendered by the said Circuit Court on the 22d day of November, A.D. 1882, in favor of the said defendant Henry Morgan impleaded with The Cayuga Lake Railroad Company and against the said plaintiff Daniel B. Coe, and that on the 28th day of February, A.D. 1883, said plaintiff, Daniel B. Coe, sued out a writ of error to said Circuit Court, directed to remove said cause to the Supreme Court of the United States

In testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the said Circuit Court, at Utica in said district This 14th day of November, A.D. 1884.

(Seal)

U. S. Doolittle. Clerk."

And having alleged that the plaintiff in

Monday, December 19th 1892, continued

error that adequate failure to print the record of said cause in this Court at the very way to prosecute this writ of error must be taken, in pursuance of the 16th rule, to have said writ of error dismissed and dismissed. Upon consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs, and that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to Law.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Thomas C. Cowen et als.
vs. Appellants
Francis L. Baker et als.

No. 168. On motion to recall the supersedeas bond in this cause and submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. James H. Davidson, in support of the same, and

506. Chas Stephens vs. Plff. in Error Sarah J. Whittemore — On motion of Mr. W. A. Maury, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, leave is hereby granted to file an assignment of errors in this cause.

506. Chas Stephens vs. Plff. in Error Sarah J. Whittemore — The motion to dismiss the writ of error or affirm the judgment in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Alfred Russell, in support of the same, and Mr. [illegible] in opposition thereto.

514. Lazarus Scharff et al. vs. Plffs. in Error James Levy et al. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John W. Noble and Mr. John C. Orrick, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and

and Mr. Josiah S. Gibbs and Mr. Geo. Edwards, appointed for the defendants in error, pursuant to the rule.

168. The City of Kansas vs. Pff. in Error
Joshua D. Myers

The motion to dismiss or affirm the writ of error or of from the judgment in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Nathaniel Myers, in support of the same and by Mr. Samuel Gibbs, in opposition thereto.

291. The Union Pacific Railway Co. Pff. in Error vs.
Abram Myers.

161. The Union Pacific Railway Co., Pff. in Error, vs.
The City of Kansas.

110. The Texas & Pacific Railway Co., Pff. in Error, vs.
A. F. McAllister.

197. The Texas & Pacific Railway Co., Pff. in Error, vs.
Laura Kirk.

210. The Union Pacific Railway Co., Pff. in Error, vs.
Laura Kirk.

No. 211. The Texas Pacific Railway Co., Plff. in Error, vs. James Murphy.

No. 180. The Union Pacific Railway Co., Plff. in Error, vs. Grant Hammond.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

No. 98. Kersey & W. Carter, Appellant, vs. Martha Jane Burr, Admx. &c. et al.

No. 99. John C. Carter, Plff. in Error, vs. Eugene Carusi & Nathaniel Carusi, Executors &c.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. H. C. Claughton, of counsel for the appellant in No. 98 and plaintiff in error in No. 99, and continued by Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the appellees in No. 98 and defendants in error in No. 99.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve

Tuesday, November 25th 1902.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met
today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Romaine I. Wade, Chief Justice
Samuel E. Allen
Walter L. Frear
Joseph T. Dowsett
Robert F. Perkins, Associate
William F. Lindsay, Justices
Alexander Robertson
Seaton Schaefer
Samuel Whitehouse
Peter C. Achiving, Deputy Marshal
James H. McGregor, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is
opened.

213 The California Petroleum Stone Paving Company vs. Charles A. Austin

The motion of the Plaintiff in Error

Case ready to be submitted to the consideration of the Court

214 The California Petroleum Stone Paving Company vs. Plaintiff in Error

and the motion of the Defendant in Error to dismiss the writ of error herein coming on to be heard and

arguments by Mr. H. A. Wheaton of counsel for the appellant and plaintiff in error, and Mr. E. M. Marble, of counsel for the appellee and defendant in error.

The United States, appellant, vs. William S. Ladd, Asahel Bush, W. Lair Hill, George H. Durham, N. Y. Thompson and J. H. Alberts. Mr. J. W. Douglas, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"In the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon.

The United States vs. Wm C. Griswold, A. Bush, Geo. N. Burnett, Andrew Kelly, Thomas A. Maury, W. H. Holmes, Benj. Hayden, W. G. Woodworth, J. H. Alberts, Ed. Chamberlain, W. S. Ladd, W. H. Watkinds, George H. Durham, N. Y. Thompson, W. Lair Hill and L. W. Nesmith. No. 536.

J. U. N. Thomas Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon do hereby certify that on July 21st 1883 the United States, complainant in the above entitled cause filed by the James C. Watson, United States District Attorney for Oregon its petition for the appeal of the defendants to the Supreme Court of the United States from the decree entered in said Court and cause on August 7th 1882, and that on July 30, 1883 the said Circuit Court allowed said petition and the appeal in said cause. The Honorable Matthew P. Deady, Judge of the District Court of the United States for Oregon and one of the Judges of said Circuit Court did sign a citation in said cause, which citation was directed to William S. Ladd, Charles Burt, W. Lair Hill, George H. Durham, N. J. Dunbar and A. N. Gilbert, and which citation was duly served upon the said defendants to appear at the Supreme Court of the United States to be holden at Washington, on the second Monday of October eighteen hundred and eighty three. In testimony of any

here for, why the said decree should not be corrected; and which citation was duly served by the Marshal for Oregon upon H. Y. Thompson, W. W. Thayer and E. B. Bellinger, the attorneys of record of the said defendants, on August 20, 1883.

Witness my hand and the seal
(Seal) of said Circuit Court this No-
vember 11, 1883.

R. N. Ramson, Clerk
By G. G. Hammans, Deputy.

And having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the records of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in

Tuesday, November 26th 1850. Continued.

According to Law and Justice

Let the Decree for the Plaintiff

The Memphis & Charleston Railroad Co. Complt. in Error vs. James A. Merry etc., etc. The Board of Railroad Commissioners

This cause was argued and submitted to the Court by the Counsel of the parties upon the 3rd rule. On the record and printed arguments by Mr. B. B. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. [illegible], of counsel for the defendants in error.

Francis Cantie Complt. in Error vs. Fabrick Connolly.

This cause was also submitted to the Court by the counsel of the parties upon the 3rd rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. L. Sneed, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. [illegible], of counsel for the defendant in error.

Harvey E. Wheeler
Appellant
vs.
Martha Jane Beard, Admx. &c.

and

John E. Carter
vs. Pltf. in Error
Eugene & Nathaniel Carusi.
Executors &c.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Walter D. Davidge and and Mr. R. Ross Perry, of counsel for the appellees in No. 98 and defendants in error in No. 99, and concluded by Mr. S. D. Claughton, of counsel for the appellant in No. 98 and plaintiff in error in No. 99.

The [illegible]rent v. Kirns
Lumber Company
vs. Pltf. in Error
Alexander Rodgers.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. B. F. Thurston, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. C. C. Chamberlain, of counsel for the defendant in error and concluded by Mr. R. A. Parker, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Leave was granted Mr. Chamberlain

Tuesday 1st November 26th Day continued

To fix a subscription bond in Dollars on or before December 2nd and to appoint for the principal one surety & for each thirty thousand

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday. November 26th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller Chief Justice

Samuel F. Miller

Joseph P. Bradley

John M. Harlan

William B. Wood

Stanley Matthews

Horace Gray

Samuel Blatchford

John L. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal

James H. McKenney Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Jeff Chandler it is ordered that C. W. Wright, Esquire, of Cheyenne, Wyoming Territory, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Maria W. Mattoon
vs. Appellant
John A. M'Shaw.

The argument of this cause was resumed by Mr. A. H. Handley of counsel for the appellant. The Court declined to hear further argument at present.

Charles K. Bissell
103. vs. Appellant
Simon H. Foss et al.

Ordered by the Court that the cause be, and the same is hereby, docketed.

William L. Scott & Joseph McCarter, Executors &c.
104. vs. Appellants
James N. Burnes.

On motion of Mr. G. W. Kneass of counsel for the appellants, Ordered by the Court that this cause lie, and the same is hereby passed and assigned for argument at the foot of the call on the second Monday in January next.

The Provident Savings Life Assurance Society of New York. vs. Pltf. in Error David H. Ford

This cause was argued by Mr. Edwin B. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Fred Bowen, of counsel for the defendant in error.

William Britton et al. vs. Pltfs. in Error John Russell Thornton.

This cause was argued by Mr. George Shea, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. D. C. Beale, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Charles C. Schneider et al. vs. Pltfs. in Error Miriam Barney, late Collector &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. W. Griswold, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Curtis A. Arthur
et al. vs. The
United States
et al.
vs.
Peter Morgan.

Case heard and submitted by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Edward Bentley, and Mr. Walter S. Cochran, of counsel for the defendant in error.

528. P. L. Morgan vs. Appellants, vs.
The United States.

529. The United States, Appellant, vs.
The Manhattan Savings Institution.

530. L. Von Hoffman vs. Appellants vs.
The United States.

529. The United States, Appellant, vs.
The Manhattan Savings Institution.

Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance these cases.

1011. W. A. Scott et Joseph H^c Carter, Executors vs. James M. Burnes. Appellants

On motion of Mr. G. W. Ray Camp, of counsel for the appellants, Ordered by the Court that the appellants have leave to print such parts of the record in this cause as they may deem necessary subject to exceptions on the part of the appellee; and upon failure of the appellants to print such parts of the record as may be necessary for the proper presentation of the case they shall be subjected to the provisions of section 2, rule 10, in relation to the dismissal of cases when the records are not printed.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, December 1st, 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court
met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
John F. Harlan, Esquire, Marshal
and James H. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is
opened.

Mary Ann Brotherton
vs. Appellant
Sarah A. Cranston et al
Haines J. Cranston.

} Appeal from the
Supreme Court
of the District
of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the
transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court, in general term, in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

E. De Rosset Lamar, Executor of Lazaway B. Lamar, deceased
vs. Appellant
Mary J. C. Nicou, Administratrix of Martha M. Sims, deceased.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit

Court entered on this cause on the 6th day of February A.D. 1850 and also the same entered on the 21st day of May A.D. 1851, be and the same are hereby reversed with costs. And that the mandate be sent the same to hereby remanded to the Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr Justice Story.

Adolphus Francis Williams
W. Crawford, Charles H.
Crawford, Arthur E. Crawford, Ida E. Crawford & Rosamond Crawford.
vs.
John Cochrane, James H. Wallace, Robert Forsyth & John Forsyth.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued

by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Celestine Dousse Fortier	
vs. Appellant	Appeals from
The New Orleans National Bank	the Circuit Court of the United States
vs.	for the Eastern
The New Orleans National Bank	District of
vs. Appellant	Louisiana.
Celestine Dousse Fortier	

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, on appeal and cross-appeal, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this

cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, at each appellant to pay costs of this appeal in this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Hagarty.

Mr. Justice Hagarty — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 32nd rule, on the argument had in presence of Mr. Bethune, Mr. E. F. Clarke, Mr. W. R. Meredith, and Mr. Wilkinson, Counsel for the appellant, and Mr. E. D. Blake, of counsel for the respondent.

Mr. Justice Patterson — The questions to be considered on this appeal were submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. W. Mulock and by him in support of the same, and by Mr. W. R. Meredith and Mr. Edmund Bristol, in opposition thereto.

121. John C. McCord vs. Susan D. Maclay, Moses B. Maclay & Thomas M. Hoyne. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and on the stipulation of counsel on file and motion of Mr. John M. Thacher, in behalf of counsel, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

251. The Adams & Westlake Manufacturing Company vs. Ferdinand Meyrose and Bernard Meyrose. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

Monday November [illegible] continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and on the motion of Mr. John M. Parker, of counsel for the appellant, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice White.

No. [illegible] Joseph W. Dyer et al. vs. The National Steam Navigation Company. Appellants

On motion of Mr. F. W. Hart et al., on behalf of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to Section 4 rule 26.

No. [illegible] The United States vs. John W. Murphy. Pltf. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana, and on the motion of Mr. Solicitor Gen. eral Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

Per Mr. Chief Justice White.

Ex parte: In the matter of William L. Royall
5. Original — Petitioner.

The motion for a rule to show cause was argued by Mr. William L. Royall and Mr. B. H. Chamberlain, of counsel for the petitioner.

The United States
vs. Appellant
111. The Great Falls Manufacturing Company.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. John

Thursday November 1st 1838 continued

Mr Pleas of counsel for the respondent

William L. Strong et al
vs.
Jacob K. Moore.

Decree reversed
& cause remanded
with costs to
be taxed for the appellants

Further motion being made by Counsel
adjourned until tomorrow at twelve
o'clock.

Tuesday, December 2nd, 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices
John K. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Archibald Sterling Jr., it is ordered that Nicholas P. Bond, Esquire, of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

Saturday December 21st 1850 Adjournment

[illegible] vs. [illegible] Appellants
[illegible] Appellees
[illegible] Appeal from [illegible]
[illegible] Argument
[illegible] Court
[illegible] Counsel
[illegible] Court
[illegible] Counsel
[illegible] Court
[illegible] Counsel

Emeline S. Whitney et al. Pltf. in Error
vs.
Elisha Morrow. This cause was argued by Mr. Enoch Fallen, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

Mason M. Hill Pltf. in Error
vs.
William Martin. In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah, and the plaintiff in error having been three times solemnly called by the Marshal to come into Court and prosecute his writ of error, and failing to appear, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 16th rule of this Court, and on the motion of Mr. Wm. E. Earle, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs: And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme

Friendship . Received 2nd Oct. Continued.

Court to be pronounced on Wednesday the
first next sederunt.

for the Chief Justice Wilde.

William N Hewitt . Appeal from the
vs. . Circuit Court of
Lewis T. Knox. the United States
for the Northern
District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the
transcript of the record from the Circuit
Court of the United States for the Northern
District of Illinois, and it appearing
to the Court that the parties have failed to
comply with the provisions of Section 21.
Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to print-
ing the transcript of the record, & thereu-
pon, on motion of Mr Cooper & Bishop
Counsel for the appellee, the appeal herein
aforesaid was dismissed by this Court this
day appeal be and the same is hereby
dismissed with costs.

for Mr Chief Justice Waite.

Gabriel Keating
117. vs. Pff. in Error
Thomas McElhatton.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and the briefs filed herein by Mr. J. J. Cutts and Mr. C. E. Hughes, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. N. H. Cunningham, Mr. J. C. Broadhead, Mr. C. W. McCrary and Mr. James Hagerman, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Solomon Halferty
118. vs. Pff. in Error
Norman Wiseneiny.

This cause was argued by Mr. Galusha Parsons, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

The Independent School District of Ackley, Hardin County, Iowa.
119. vs. Pff. in Error
I. V. Hall.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Galusha Parsons, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Wednesday, December 3rd 1879.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James M. McKenney, Esq. Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Solomon Halferty vs. Plff. in Error
Herman Wilmering

On motion of Mr. Galusha Parsons, Jr. counsel for the plaintiff in error, leave is hereby granted him to file a supplemental brief in this cause.

In Error to the Circuit Court of Hickory County, Iowa.

The argument of this cause was continued by the Solicitor General, on behalf of the plaintiff in error, and concluded by Mr. S. C. Campbell, of counsel for the defendant in error, and was replied to by Mr. Solicitor General, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

1858. Thomas H. Brown, vs. Benjamin F. Shaw. Appellant

On motion of Mr. N. B. Smithey, of counsel for the appellee, it is ordered by the Court that the mandate in this cause be postponed for the present in connection with the 99 appeal in this cause, until there be filed an estimate of the Clerk's fee and cost of printing the record in this cause to the appellant with the estimates in the 99 appeal in this cause indorsed.

Wednesday, November 21st 1860 continued.

Thomas E. Durant et al. vs. William M. Austin.

This cause was again taken up & the whole time of this Court occupied in hearing the Complainants counsel & submitted on printed argument by the Solicitor General for the defendant in error.

Samuel S. Hinkley vs. The Circuit Judges of the State of Michigan.

This cause was argued by the Solicitor General, whose time was occupied for the plaintiff in error, and submitted on printed argument by the Hon. Alex. Ramsey for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made by Crier it was ordered that Court adjourn until tomorrow at 10 o'clock A.M.

Thursday December 4th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

Chas. K. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Esquire, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Clifford Anderson it is ordered that Samuel Barnett, Esquire, of Washington, State of Georgia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Thursday, December 1st 1898 - Continued

The motion first made to the Court on this behalf by the Hon. Wm. McDermott & so ordered that Thomas A. Johnson, Esquire of East Carthage, State of Colorado be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was then accordingly.

5.	Ex parte: In the matter of James A. Wilson Original.	Petitioner.	On motion of Mr. Attorney General Harry and Mr. Henry.

Ordered by the Court that the argument on the return to the rule to show cause in this case be and the same is hereby postponed to the 15th instant.

87.	The Southwestern Railroad Co. vs. The State of Georgia.	Writ of Error	On motion it is dismissed the writ of error or appeal

the judgment in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Clifford Anderson and Mr. Robert

Coombs, in support of the same, and Mr. A. R. Lawton and Mr. R. F. Lyon, in opposition thereto.

The Winona & St. Peter R.R. Co. vs. Abbot & Barney et al. — Appellant — On motion of Mr. Thomas Wilson of counsel for the appellant. Ordered by the Court that counsel on each side be allowed one hour additional time in the argument of this case

1222. The Winona & St. Peter R.R. Co. vs. Abbot & Barney et al. — Appellant — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Thomas Wilson, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Gordon E. Cole, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, November 5th 1852.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court
met today at the Court House.

Present

The Honorable Rufus Choate Chief Justice
Samuel S. Wilde
Stephen C. Perley
Joseph T. Bradley
John H. Hartwell Assistants
William C. Thomas Justices
Stevens Nutting
Simeon Strong
Samuel Boutelle

John T. Heard, Esquire, Sheriff.
Samuel M. Hunt, Crier.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened

On motion for Leave to be heard in
this behalf by Mr. E. K. Foster it is order-
ed that Joseph B. Warner, Esquire, of
Boston State of Massachusetts, be ad-
mitted to practice as an attorney and
counsellor of this Court and he was
sworn accordingly.

Term of, December 6th 1884, continued.

	James W. Harvey et al.	On motion of Mr.
625	vs. Appellants	Solicitor General
	The United States	Phillips, Ordered
	and	by the Court that
	The United States	these cases be
626	vs. Appellant	passed subject
	James W. Harvey et al.	to the provision
		of section 9.
	rule 21.	

	The County of Sacramento	On Error to the
986	vs. Pff. in Error	Circuit Court
	The Central Pacific Rail-	of the United
	road Company.	States for the
		District of
	California	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and on the stipulation of counsel on file and motion of Mr. Henry Beard, of counsel for the defendant in error, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this

cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

for the Chief Justice Wade

The Union Mutual Life Ins. Co. — Appellant — The argument of this cause was continued and concluded by Mr. Gordon E. Cook, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Thomas Watkins, of counsel for the appellant.

'22. vs.

Isabel M. Barney et al.

Charles U. Bourjaily et al — Appellants — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term

'23. vs.

Thomas E. Burns.

The Union Metallic Cartridge Co. — Appellant — On motion of Mr. B.C. Butler, of counsel.

'24. vs.

The United States Cartridge Co.

The United States Cartridge Co. — Appellant — Ordered by the Court that

'25. vs.

The Union Metallic Cartridge Co.

counsel on each side be allowed one hour additional time in the argument of these cases.

	The Union Metallic Cartridge Co.		The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. F. P. Fish, of counsel for the United States Cartridge Company.
124.	vs.	Appellant	
	The United States Cartridge Co.		
	"	"	
	The United States Cartridge Co.		
125.	vs.	Appellant	
	The Union Metallic Cartridge Co.		

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, December 2nd 1872.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods "
Stanley Matthews "
Horace Gray "
Samuel Blatchford "
John L. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr Solicitor General Phillips it is ordered that Philip [illegible] Esquire of the State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. W. Talbmadge it is ordered that H. H Sullivan, Esquire. of Oxford. State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. B. F. Thurston it is ordered that Joshua N. Millett, Esquire. of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John C. Birdsell & The Birdsell Manufacturing Company vs. Gerhart Shaliol and John Seibert. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

77

This cause came on to be heard on the

Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court filed herein.

Per Curiam.

Chew Heong
vs. } Appeal from the
1088. Ekiu et al Circuit Court of
The United States. the United States
for the District of
California.

Submitted.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is ordered

here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed: and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Nelson.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Field
" " Bradley.

George W. Middleton
vs.
The Inhabitants of the Township of Millville, County of Atlantic.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that

that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and that the mandate issued to the said Circuit Court with directions to proceed in accordance herewith do issue.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Chicago, Minneapolis & St Paul Railway Company vs. Cliff in Error Dennis E. Cass.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and interest until paid at the same

said Constitution that similar judgments have been in the Courts of the State of Minnesota.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting

Mr. Justice Bradley

" " Matthews

" " Gray

" " Blatchford

The Kansas Pacific Railway Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.
18.	vs. Appellant	
The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fé Railroad Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this

cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a decree substantially that the bill in the record mentioned be dismissed as to the plaintiff under the act of Congress of 1861 and 1864, and that the defendants recover from the plaintiffs a compensation of the claim and interest thereon.

Jan. 8. Justice Field

Henry W. C. Hepburn and William Dodge
vs.
William M. Robertson, Collector of the Port of New York.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment

of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

The Cunard Steamship Company (Limited) vs. William H. Robertson, Collector of the Port of New York.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Monday, December 8th 1902 continued

The Concord Township Company Limited	In Error to the Circuit Court
vs.	of the United
Mr. in Error	States for the
William H. Robertson	Southern Dis-
Collector of the Port of	trict of New
New York.	York.

This Cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Moody.

Charles A. Richardson	Appeal from the
vs.	Circuit Court
Henry J. Brauer.	of the United
	States for the

Northern District of Illinois.

Monday, December 8th 1884 continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States, Appellants, vs. Stanton et al. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the de-

Monday December 8th 1884 - continued

The United States
vs. Appeal from the
Julian A. Emery Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

Isaac W. England
vs. Pltf. in Error
Jacob W. Gebhardt. } In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration

Supreme Court of the United States.

whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The New Orleans Insurance Company		On Error to The Circuit Court of the United States for
981. vs.	Pff. in Error	
The E. D. Albro Company.		

The Eastern District of Louisiana

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and interest until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the

State of Louisiana
for Mr. Chief Justice Waite

William L. Postlethwaite et al. vs. Cotton Planters Levee Improvement Company. On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that the further hearing of the motion be continued until the record shall be printed, or a certain Thursday on which the date of the judgment in the Supreme Court the writ of error and the citation issued, the return thereon, the date of the return of the writ in the Clerk's office and the date of the judgment in the Circuit Court.
for Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Wm. Stephens vs. Appellee Error Sarah J. Whittemore On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, and of the argument of counsel

thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States

539 The United States, Appellant, vs.
The Manhattan Savings Inst'n.

528 S. Jon Hoffman & Co., Appellants, vs.
The United States

520 The United States, Appellant, vs.
The Manhattan Savings Inst'n.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cases are assigned for argument at the head of the call for the first Monday in January next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Southwestern Railroad Co. vs. The State of Georgia. On consideration of the mo-

78 tion to

Monday, November 5th 1900 continued.

claims as evidence on Defendant's part of the assignment of mineral Entries filed and rests on motion of and against the same. It is ordered by the Court that the motion to suppress be and the same is hereby denied and that the motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

Upon the Court Justice White.

The State of Alabama
vs. Original. In Equity
Isaac S. Barr et al

On consideration of the motion for leave to file a declaration in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that leave be and the same is hereby granted the State of Alabama to enter and prosecute this cause as an original action in this Court, and that a summons issue returnable on the fourth Monday in January next, and requiring the defendants to answer or demur to the declaration on or before the first Monday in March. Every second Monday thereafter shall be a rule day until Pleadings are made up.

Upon Mr. Justice White.

Benjamin Tallmadge
Commissioner of State
Lands
vs. Appellant
Samuel Sill et al.

Mr. Chandler
Counsel for
appellant
submitted
his argument
without the consideration of the Court in
writing & withdrew his name

Ex parte Story
vs. Plff. in Error
Mrs. E. A. Fuller et al.

The motion to
dismiss in this
cause was sub-
mitted to the
consideration of the Court on the record and
printed arguments by Mr. A. J. Britton,
Mr. S. H. McGowan and Mr. A. B. Browne,
in support of the same, and Mr. George F.
Edmunds and Mr. W. F. Johnston, in oppo-
sition thereto.

Andrew Stewart, Robert Thomas
R. H. Haley, partners as Stewart Bros. & Co.
vs. Appellants
James N. Dunham, William T. Buckley,
and Charles N. Webb, partners as
Dunham, Buckley & Co., et al

Mr. John
F. Hanna,
of counsel
for the ap-
pellees,
having

filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

United States Circuit Court
Southern District of Mississippi.
In Equity

James N. Dunham, William J. Buckley and Charles A. Webb, partners as Dunham Buckley & Co., Edwin Bates, Charles N. Bates and Thomas A. McGahan, partners as Edwin Bates & Co., Diamond Katz, Jacob Katz, Nathaniel Barnett and Selvia Barnett, partners as Katz & Barnett, John F. Adams and W. H. Renaud, partners as John F. Adams & Co.
No. 312. vs.
John W. Broughton, Emily Noland, Julia Noland, Emma Broughton, Claude S. Norfard, W. A. Stewart, and Andrew Stewart, Andrew & Burgess and C. H. Kelly, partners as Stewart, Burgess & Co.

I, James M. McGee, Clerk of said Court, do hereby certify that on the second day of December, eighteen hundred and eighty two (1882), being a day of the regular November Term of said Court, a final decree was entered in above entitled

cause in favor of the complainants therein against the defendants John W. Broughton and Andrew Stewart, Andrew D. Gwynne and C. H. Raley, partners as Stewart Brothers & Co. for the sum of $16,250.77 and costs of suit: That is to say, in favor of Dunham, Buckley & Co. for $10,222.50; in favor of Edwin Bates & Co. for $4,391.08; in favor of Raly & Barnett for $930.32; and in favor of Geo. E. Adams & Co. for $706.36; and that [illegible] in this court, in the presence of solicitors for complainants, the said Andrew Stewart, Andrew D. Gwynne and C. H. Raley, partners as Stewart Brothers & Co., prayed and obtained an appeal from said decree, with supersedeas, to the next ensuing term of the Supreme Court of the United States, which was allowed by order of said Court made and entered on said 2nd day of December 1882, and said appellants were granted sixty days from that date within which to execute an appeal bond in the penalty of twenty thousand dollars, payable and conditioned according to law, with two or more sureties to

be approved by said District Court of one of the Judges thereof.

And I further certify that said Court was duly adjourned by said appellant with sufficient sureties, and that the same was duly approved by the Honorable Robert A. Hill one of the Judges of said Court, within the time fixed by law: on the 5th day of January 1883, and was duly filed in my office on the 8th day of January 1883 and that by the October Term 1883 of the said Supreme Court it made due for the appellant to deliver a full true and perfect transcript of the record of said cause.

I therefore certify that said appeal was duly and legally allowed as stated.

Given under my hand and the seal of said Court at Charleston, S.C. this the 9th day of October A.D. 1883

(Seal) Jno. A. McGee Clerk."

and having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of

said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The St. Paul & Duluth Railroad Company vs. Appellant
The United States.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. F. Farnsworth, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

Monday, December 5th 1904 continued.

The Mont & Lac Marquette Railway Company vs. Appellant The United States.

521. Cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. F. Farnsworth, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

William O. Cole vs. Plff. in Error The City of Muskogee

15. Cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record & printed arguments by Mr. George H. Sanders, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Moses Wagner, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Union Metallic Cartridge Co. Appellant
vs.
The United States Cartridge Co.

and

The United States Cartridge Co. Appellant
vs.
The Union Metallic Cartridge Co.

124.
125.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Edmund Wetmore and

Mr. Causten Browne, of counsel for the Union Metallic Cartridge Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday. November 4th 1834.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable. Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray Jun
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay. Esquire. Marshal
James H. McKenney, Esqr. Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. [illegible] it is ordered that J. C. Yonge, Esquire, of Pensacola, State of Florida, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. H. Garland it is ordered that Thomas Ryan, Esquire, of Lincoln, State of Nebraska, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

The Board of Commissioners of Cherokee County, Kansas, The County Clerk and Treasurer of Cherokee County, Kansas and Salamanca Township, Cherokee County, Kansas, vs. Pffs. in Error
1241. William G. Wilson.

Mr. Enoch Sutton, of counsel for the defendant in error, having filed in open Court the following

certificate, to wit:

In the Circuit Court of the United States
For the District of Kansas.

I, A. G. Thomas, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Circuit Court, wherein William G. Wilson was

plaintiff, and the Board of Commissioners of Cherokee County, Kansas, the County Clerk and the Treasurer of Cherokee County, Kansas, and Wilkinson Lowrie, Cherokee County, Kansas, were defendants. And said a final judgment was rendered by said Circuit Court on the 6th day of June A.D. 1884, in favor of said plaintiff and against said defendants, and that on the same day said defendants filed and filed a writ of error to said Circuit Court, desiring to remove said cause to the Supreme Court of the United States.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at Topeka the 8th day of November A.D. 1884.

(Seal)

J. S. Thomas
Clerk Circuit Court U. S.
District of Kansas"

and having stated that the plaintiffs in error had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or

in any way to prosecute this writ of error, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule to have said writ of error docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company vs. Plff. in Error
216. The Union Trust Co., Trustees.

On motion of Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, Leave is hereby granted the Court to issue the Mandate in this cause, by stipulation.

Charles E. Hess vs. Plff. in Error
8444. William Reynolds, Admr. &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration

of the Court, pursuant to the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Henry Newbegin, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. H. Webb, of counsel for the defendant in error.

E. Mary Gregory et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
Joseph W. Harley et al. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court pursuant to the 30th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Charles C. Wharton, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. C. Brown and Mr. W. J. Lamb, of counsel for the defendants in error.

The Union Metallic Cartridge Co. vs. Appellant
The United States Cartridge Co. and
The United States Cartridge Co. vs. Appellant
The Union Metallic Cartridge Co. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Causten Browne, of counsel

for the Union Metallic Cartridge Co., and concluded by Mr. B. F. Butler, of counsel for the United States Cartridge Company.

Virginia B. Matthews
vs. Appellant
Caleb H. Warner et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. W. A. Abbott, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. J. E. Warner, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, December 10th 1873.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
Ward. H. Nelson Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray "
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John G. Thomas it is ordered that J. Wesley Bartlett, Esquire, of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Board of County Commissioners of Labette County, Kansas et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
286. The United States ex rel: L. F. Moulton.

On motion of Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Virginia B. Matthews Appellant
126. vs.
Caleb H. Warner et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. B. Warner, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. W. A. Abbott, of counsel for the appellant.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Company of Hartford, Conn.
127. vs. Appellant
The Crosby Steam Gage & Valve Co.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Thomas Wm. Clarke, of counsel for the appellant, and continued

Wednesday, November 10th 1915. Continued.

The Connecticut Safety Valve Company of Hartford, Conn. vs. Appellant
The Crosby Steam Gage & Valve Company

Leg & Mr. Dodge & Mr. Elliott, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at Ten o'clock.

Thursday, December 11th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices.

John F. Finerty, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George H. Christy it is ordered that James L. Boyce, Esquire, of Bradford, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Co. of Hartford, Conn.
127. vs. Appellant
The Crosby Steam Gage & Valve Co.
No 1

The Consolidated Safety Valve Co. of Hartford Conn.
128. vs. Appellant
The Crosby Steam Gage & Valve Co.

for the appellant.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. F. H. Mellott and Mr. B. F. Thurston of counsel for the appellee and concluded by Mr. Parker on Petition of Counsel

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at ten o'clock.

Friday January 9th 1874.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Upon motion being made the Court is pleased

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George D. Reynolds it is ordered that Matthew G. Reynolds, Esquire, of Louisiana, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Tuesday December 12th 1854. continued.

Gordon & Hartshorne		On motion of
208 vs.	Appellant	Mr Brown he
Edward Fahnestock.		obtained leave of
		Court for further

time. Court is hereby pleased the Order to issue the Mandate in this cause.

William L. Hayes, Trustee		This cause
97.	Plff. in Error	was argued
Ferdinand E. Nunnelee et al.		by Mr.
		Henry

Stanbery for the Plaintiff in error, and Mr. Joseph R. Ingersoll counsel for the defendants in error.

Edward Shirley		On motion of Mr
98. vs.	Plff. in Error	Solicitor General
The United States.		Phillips leave is given
		to withdraw

the appearance filed in this cause.

	Marison W. Beard, Collector of Customs for the Revenue District of Boston and Charlestown	Err. Error to the Circuit Court of the of the United States for the District of Massachusetts
694	vs. Plff. in Error	
	James W. Newell, doing business as Page, Newell & Company	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and on the motion of Mr. Solicitor Gen. eral Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	John R. Moffitt	This cause being
129	vs. Appellant	reached in the
	Samuel B. Rogers et al.	regular call of the
		docket and a

Stipulation of counsel for the respective parties

to review the decree of the Circuit Court having been heretofore filed. But this Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concurring with in order to render in the premises For this time to consider.

J. H. Davison et al. vs. George A. Von Lingen et al. Appellants

This cause was argued by Mr. J. Willis Pratt for the appellees and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Archibald Sterling Jr. of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock

Monday, December 15th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Brewster it is ordered that Thomas De Witt Cuyler and William Herbert Washington Esquires, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

Marshall A. Jones et al. vs. James T. Lawson and William R. Pugh. Appellants

In the death of William R. Pugh one of the appellees in this cause, having been suggested. It is ordered by the Court that Abram Pugh, Executor of William R. Pugh, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made a party appellee in this cause.

Per Mr Justice Blatchford.

Marshall A. Jones by Henry R. Jones vs. James T. Lawson and Abram Pugh, Executor of William R. Pugh, deceased. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsels.

On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court

that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, but without interest on the amount of said decree.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

William Britton, George E. Hogg and George A. Wilson
vs.
John Russell Thornton.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

106. Pffs. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Gray.

Monday, December 6th 1824, continued.

Peter E. Curtis
vs. Error to the
Eugene Cornick and Supreme Court of
Nathaniel Cornick. the District of
Executors of Nathaniel Columbia.
Cornick, deceased.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in the cause in the declaration mentioned be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and interest until paid. And it is further ordered that a mandate issue to the Court of the District of Columbia according to law.

per Mr. Justice Thompson

The State of Maryland, use of
Charles Markley
33. vs. Error to
William F. Baldwin, Enoch
D. Tagart & William Kershaw.

the Circuit
Court of the
United States
for the District
of Maryland

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Benjamin Butterworth, Commissioner of Patents
1044. vs. Appellant
Samuel Hill et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this causes, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Rufus McCreery
578. vs. Plff. in Error
Mrs. E. A. Fuller et al.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this

Monday, December 13th 1858. Continued

cause, and of the argument of counsel therein upon final, and due consideration had, it is the decree, It is ordered by the Court that the decree be, and the same is hereby, reversed

Jno. A. Jackson. Clerk.

Maria H. Mattoon
vs. Appellant
Silas A. M^c Grew.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs: And that the cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to enter a decree in accordance with the prayer of the bill, enjoining the appellee M^c Grew from selling or attempting to sell the marital right or interest of the husband of the appellant

on the property described in the bill, for the payment of this judgment against the husband.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite
(announced by Mr. Justice Miller.)

Rudolph Dawson
235. vs. Appellant
The United States.

On motion of Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the 5th day of January next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

Frederick Hopt
111. vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the Territory of Utah.

On motion of Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendants in error, It is ordered by the Court that this case be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the 20th day of January next at the foot of the call.

In re: In the matter of James A. Watson, a Corporate. — Petitioner

Petition to the Supreme Court of the State of California for writ of review

Petition, return to writ and brief in support thereof by Mr. Alfred Bramson of counsel for Petitioner, and Mr. Harold Kennedy, City Attorney of Long Beach, of counsel for the respondent.

Simon Tatar
vs.
The People of the State of California.

Mr. Watson
Mr. Kennedy
Set for the hearing on writ of review

The following proceedings were had:

Supreme Court of the State of California

I, J. H. McCarthy, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of California, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Supreme Court wherein Simon Tatar was appellant, and the People of the State of California were respondents, a final judgment was rendered by said Supreme Court on the 18th day of September, A.D. 1933,

in favor of said respondents, and against said appellant, and that thereupon the said Hibbard, Simon Kalen, sued out a writ of error to said Supreme Court, directed to remove said cause to the Supreme Court of the United States which was allowed.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Supreme Court, at San Francisco, this 24th day of November, A.D. 1884.

(Seal)

J. W. McCarthy
Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of California.

and having stated that the plaintiff in error had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute the writ of error in this cause, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said writ of error docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Supreme Court of the State of

California be, and the same is hereby docketed and dismissed with costs: And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Simon Eaton
Plff. in Error
vs.
The People of the State of California.

On motion of Mr W. H. McChesney. Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause

286 The Board of County Commissioners of Labette County Kansas, et all. Plffs in Error
vs.
The United States ex rel: E. F. Houlton.

Mr. B. U. Watson of counsel for the plaintiffs in error moved the Court to recall the order entered in this cause on the 10th instant, granting leave to the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause, which

was opposed by Mr. Wm. A. McKenney, on behalf of counsel for the defendant in error.

Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said order be, and the same is hereby, rescinded. And the Clerk is directed not to issue the Mandate in this cause until the close of the term.

366 The Town of Martinton vs. Plff. in Error
E. N. Fairbanks.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments, by Mr. Robert Doyle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. T. C. McClelland and Mr. G. A. Sanders, of counsel for the defendant in error.

367 The Town of Sheldon vs. Plff. in Error
L. W. Ray.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Robert Doyle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. T. C. McClelland and Mr. G. A. Sanders, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Town of Chatham
vs. Appellant in Error
J. H. Fairbanks.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court pursuant to the 29th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Robert Thorpe, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. H. S. McClelland and Mr. F. A. Anders, of counsel for the defendant in error.

William H. Thompson et al.
vs. Appellants
The Victor Luming Machine Co.
et al

This cause was submitted to the Court for consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 29th

Rhoda Murphy, Admrx. &c.
vs. Appellant
The Victor Luming Machine Co.

rule, on the records and printed argument by Mr. J. J. Sutherland and Mr. F. R. McBride, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Edwards W. Bennett, of counsel for the appellees.

Monday, November 15th 1852. Continued.

	Silas Griffith	The cause was argued
131.	vs. Appellant	by Mr. F. W. Hackett
	Isaac Linsay et al.	of counsel for the ap-
		pellant. No counsel

appearing for the appellees.

	John L. Powell et al.	The argument
132.	vs. Appellants	of this cause
	Edmund J. Lindsay et al.	was commenced
		by Mr. J. J.

Dick, of counsel for the appellants.

	The Cooper Manufacturing	Ordered by the
	Company, of Mt. Vernon, Ohio	Court that this
110.	vs. Plff. in Error	cause be, and the
	J. W. Ferguson et al.	same is hereby,
		passed to be sub-

mitted on printed arguments on or before the first Monday in January next.

	Thomas C. Power et als.	On consideration
116.	vs. Plff. in Error	of the motion to
	Isaac G. Barker et als.	vacate the super-
		sedeas in this

cause, It is ordered by the Court that said

motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

announced by Mr. Justice Miller.

Lazarus Scharff and
A. Scharff
vs. Writs of Error to the
James Levy & Albert Levy Circuit Court
of the United
States for the
Eastern Dist
of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Announced by Mr. Justice Miller.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday December 6th 1881.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met to-day at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthew
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Hoar, it is ordered that B. Wadleigh, Esquire, of Milford, State of New Hampshire, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Tuesday, November 18th 1884, Continued.

No. 21. Jos. A. Rouse et al
vs. Appellants
Edward J. Lovejoy et al

The argument of the cause was continued by Mr. C. C. Cole, counsel for the appellants, and concluded by Mr. J. J. Hoge, of counsel for the appellants.

No. 181 Lewis King
vs. Pff in Error
Peabody, Clerk of Cumb. Co.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Howard M. Ellis and Mr. Thomas H. Riordan, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. H. H. Hibbard, of counsel for the defendant in error.

No. 138 Otis A. Cheyney et al.
vs. Appellants
The Tide Water Association of Oysters et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. C. Cole and Mr. J. Hoddswith Gordon, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. R. G. Barr, of counsel for the appellees.

Samuel Head
vs. Pff. in Error
The Amoskeag Manufacturing Company.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. C. R. Morrison, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. B. Woodbury and Mr. G. F. Hoar, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday November 19th 1851.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Court House.

Present

The Honorable Samuel C. Fisher

Stephen D. Barnes

Joseph B. Bradley

John D. Vernon Associate

William C. Woods do

Stanley Matthews

Thomas Corwin Jr.

Samuel Burkhard

John F. Keating Esquire Marshal

Samuel A. M. Conway Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion ordered by the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. A. Comstock, it is ordered that W. H. Clopton, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion duly made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John R. Thomas, it is ordered that Samuel T. Wheaver, Esquire, of Ouincy, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E.S. Burdett it is ordered that D.F. Urmy, Esquire, of Pueblo, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

William D. Hardin, Appellant
886. vs.
Bland P. Boyd, Adm'rs.

Mr. A.H. Garland, in behalf of counsel, suggested the death of William D. Hardin, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court to make Lida C. Hardin, Administratrix of William D. Hardin, deceased, the party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Lida C.

Hardin Administratrix of William K. Hardin, deceased, be, and she is hereby, made the party appellant in this cause.

89. Celestine Louise Fortier vs. The New Orleans National Bank. Appellant

Ord

90. The New Orleans National Bank vs. Celestine Louise Fortier. Appellant

On motion of Mr. B. J. Jonas, of counsel, or dered by the Court that leave be granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause, per stipulation

75. Samuel Hood vs. Pfff. in Error The Amoskeag Manufacturing Company.

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. C. K. Morrison, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

134. Mary Ellis vs. W. H. Patterson et al. Appellant

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the

same is hereby, continued until the next term.

Samuel A. Peuah
vs. Appellant
Richard H. Porter et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. S. V. White, one of the appellees, in person.

The Commissioners of
Buncombe County et al.
vs Appellant
Vincent K. Tommey et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. S. F. Phillips, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, December 18th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at 11 o'clock A.M.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

The County of Sacramento
vs. Plff. in Error
The Central Pacific R.R. Co.

On motion of Mr. C. N. Armes, on behalf of

188.

counsel, Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

136. The Commissioners of Buncombe County et al. vs. Vincent R. Tommey et al. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. William E. Earle, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. L. F. Phillips, of counsel for the appellants.

137. William K. Pancher et al., Owners of the Steam Yacht "Mamie" her engines &c. vs. John H. Cuddy, Administrator of the respective estates of John Kelly, deceased, et al. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court from the stipulation of counsel on file that the matters in controversy in this cause have been settled, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be,

and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs on this Court to be paid by the appellants.

-per Mr. Justice Miller.

William McCarthy — Appeal from the
138. vs. — Appellant — Circuit Court of the
Seth J. Bane. — United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

-per Mr. Justice Miller.

The St. Paul & Sioux City Railroad Co. et al. vs. Pltfs. in Error
139. The Winona & St. Peter R.R.Co.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. C. Palmer, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. Thomas Wilson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Pullman's Palace Car Co. et al. vs. Appellants
1060. Robert J. Spark et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 32nd rule, on an agreed record and printed arguments by Mr. Huntington W. Jackson and Mr. Edward S. Isham, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. A. M. Pence, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday. November 19th 1858.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph G. Swayne
John A. Campbell
William B. Woods Justices
Nathan Clifford
Isaac Shelby and
Samuel Nelson

John F. Hartley, Esquire, Marshal
James B. McKenney Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in the behalf by Mr William Lawrence it is ordered that E. J. C. Brenner, Esquire, of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Richard J. Merrick, it is ordered that Charles A. Gregory, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

John Chambers
1215. vs. Appellant
The United States
and
Emma U. Brown, Adm'x. &c.
1216. vs. Appellant
The United States.

Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance these cases.

Benjamin Butterworth,
Commissioner of Patents
1044. vs. Appellant
Samuel Hill et al.

On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned for argument on the third Monday in Jan.

John. S. Ellicott
vs. Appellant
Isaac. S. Archer.

Committee of the Summary of cause set for the appellant Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned and continued for argument until the 2nd day.

The St. Paul's Insurance Co
Eastman Bro & al. adv.
vs. Appellees in Error
The Illinois & St. Louis R.R. Co.

Submission of this cause and continued out by the C. C. Walker, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made The Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, December 22d 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

	Chester A. Arthur late Collector of the Port of New York,	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
10.	vs. Pl'ff. in Error	
	Julia Morgan.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and interest, and that, on the mandate of this Court that a similar judgment be in the Courts of the State of New York.

per Mr. Justice Gray

The Union Mutual Life Insurance Company
vs. Appeals from the Circuit
124. Wilcox Court of the
The United States Contracting United States
Company. for the District
of Massachusetts.

The United States Contracting Company
vs. Appellant
125. The Union Mutual Life Insurance Company.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, on appeal and cross-appeals, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that the cause be and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to dismiss the bill with costs; Costs in this Court to be paid by the Union Metallic Cartridge Company.

Mr. Justice Matthews.

120. Thomas E. Bond and Joseph Allen dec'd vs. William M. Dustin

Pffs. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois, and was argued by

counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Memphis & Little Rock Railroad Company (Reorganized) Plff. in Error
vs.
James H. Berry et al. and The Board of Railroad Commissioners.

On Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause

Ins, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Lorent v. Sims Lumber Company vs. Alexander Rodgers. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

111. The United States vs. The Great Falls Manufacturing Company. Appellant. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged and decreed by this Court that the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Barbour.

126. Virginia B. Matthews vs. Caleb H. Warner and Charles F. Smith. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Dis trict of Massachusetts and was

argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1215 John Thornley vs. Appellant
The United States

Emma U. Brown, Admx. vs.
1216 vs. Appellant
The United States.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby, granted, and the cases are assigned for argument on the second Monday in January next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1027 Jesse J. Murphy, Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et als.

1028 Mary Ann H. Swift, Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et al.

129. Mildred C. Marshall et al., Appellant vs.
Alexander Ramsey et al.

130. Ellen L. Thurston et al., Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et al.

131. James M. Barlow, Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et al.

On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Phillips. It is ordered by the Court that these cases be, and the same are hereby, advanced.

128. John Stephenson, Appellant vs. The Brooklyn Crosstown Railroad Company. On motion of Mr. E. J. Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that this cause be not called for argument before the first Monday in March next.

138. W. Fleet Steele to the use of The Corn Exchange National Bank of Philadelphia, Appellant vs. The United States. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th

rule on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. D. Davidge and Mr. R. B. Washington, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

The Town of Marlinton
vs. Plff. in Error
G. W. Fairbanks.

The Town of Sheldon
vs. Plff. in Error
C. W. Day.

And

The Town of Sheldon
vs. Plff. in Error
G. W. Fairbanks.

Mr. E. H. Terry, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, moved the Court for leave to counsel for the plaintiffs in error to file a brief in reply to that of the counsel for the defendants in error. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

The Missouri Pacific
Railway Company
vs. Plff. in Error
Peter Humes.

Mr. J. N. McGowan filed and submitted to the consideration of the

Court a motion to advance this cause and assign the same for argument with No. 944.

Frederick S. Ayres et al. vs. Charles E. Wiswall et al. — Appellants — On motion of Mr. J. H. McGowan, of counsel for the appellees and defendants in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandates in the cases.

Frederick S. Ayres et al. vs. Charles E. Wiswall et al. — Pffs. in Error

926. J. D. Morgan & Co., Appellant, vs. The United States.

927. The United States, Appellant, vs. The Manhattan Savings Inst'n.

928. L. Von Hoffman & Co., Appellants, vs. The United States.

929. The United States, Appellant, vs. The Manhattan Savings Inst'n

On motion of Mr. J. Hubley Ashton, of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that

this motion be and the same are hereby assigned for argument on the second Monday in January next.

Henry Peychaud, Surviving syndic vs. Appellant
the United States.

The death of the appellant in this cause having been heretofore suggested,

It is, on motion of Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellee, ordered by the Court that unless the case be revived on or before January 20th, 1885. that the same abate on that date.

203 Thomas Howard vs. Plff. in Error
Isola Marcolina De Francea et. al.

The motions to dismiss the writ of error or affirm the judgment, and the motion to amend

the writ of error in this cause were submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. W. A. Leeper, in support of the motions to dismiss or affirm, and Mr. William A. McKenney in

support of the motion to remand the writ of error and in opposition to the motion to dismiss or affirm.

Francis Dodge et als. vs. Thomas Knowles. — Appellants

Mr. J. Hoadsworth Gordon, of counsel for the appellants, suggested the death of Ella S. Dodge, one of the appellants in this cause, and moved the Court to make William A. Gordon, Administrator &c. of Ella S. Dodge, deceased, a party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that William A. Gordon, Administrator of Ella S. Dodge, deceased, &c, and he is hereby, made a party appellant in this cause.

The Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company vs. Charles W. Ide. — Plff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, pursuant to the 32nd rule, by Mr. John L. Cadwalader, of counsel for the

plaintiff in error, and Mr. Huston G. Fox, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Central Railroad Company of New Jersey et al.	The cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed argu-
148. vs. Appellants	
Alfred Mills & J. H. Ridgerwood, surviving Executors vol.	ments, pursuant to the 32d rule, by Mr. James E. Bowen, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Baker Gummere and Mr. Henry C. Pitney, of counsel for the appellees.

Nida C. Brandon, Admx vol.	The cause
886. vs. Appellant.	was submit-
Brand P. Boyd, Admr. vol.	ted to the con-

sideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, pursuant to the 20th rule, by Mr. B. C. Brown, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. U. M. Rose, of counsel for the appellees.

The United States vs. John H. Hunter
vs.
John H. Hunter vs. The United States.

Appellant
Appellant

The transcripts were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the United States, and Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for John H Hunter.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday, January 5th, 1885, at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 5th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips it is ordered that John L. Hill, Esquire, of New York City, and Gustavus H. Wald and Mortimer Matthews, Esquires, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court, and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in behalf by Mr. C. C. Cairnes it is ordered that Alexander P. Hodges, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

J. H. Davison et al, owners of the Steamship 'Whitehall', vs. Appellants
130. George A. Von Lingen, Carl A. Von Lingen and William H. Atkinson, copartners as A. Schumacher & Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Mr. Justice Waterbury.

William K. Streeper and
Rhoda Murphy, Adminis-
tratrix of Edmund N. Mur-
phy, deceased.
950. vs. Appellants
The Victor Sewing Machine
Company.

Appeal from the
Supreme Court
of the Territory
of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Rhoda Murphy, Adminis-
tratrix of Edmund N. Mur-
phy, deceased.
951. vs. Appellant
The Victor Sewing Machine
Company.

Appeal from the
Supreme Court
of the Territory
of Utah.

Monday January 5th 1874. Patterson.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

William O. Cole
vs. Pff. in Error
The Unity of the Church

Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with cost.

per Mr. Justice Davis.

Samuel Heard
vs. Pltf. in Error
The Amoskeag Manufacturing Company.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New Hampshire.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New Hampshire and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause &c, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Mr. Chief Justice Waite and Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case.)

The Liverpool, New York and Philadelphia Steamship Company
vs. Pltf. in Error
The Commissioners of Emigration.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the tran-

Monday January 5th 1885 continued

decree of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs; Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court with instructions to execute in accordance.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

David L. Morrison Collector of Internal Revenue

vs.

The Standard Sewing Machine Manufacturing Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a decree dismissing the bill

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The St. Paul & Duluth Railroad Company vs. Appellant
The United States.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

520

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Saturday - February 5th 1853 continued

The Steel & Iron Manufg
Patent Company
vs.
The United States.

Appeal from the
Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is ordered by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed

per Mr Justice Nelson.

135. Samuel L. Swan
vs.
Richard H. Potter et al.

Appeal from the
Supreme Court of
the District of Col.
umbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration where of, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the

said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to render a decree in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Mr. Justice Matthews.

Solomon Haferly vs. Hff. in Error
Herman Wilmering. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa, with directions to award a new trial.

The Town of Marlinton
vs. Plff. in Error
J. N. Fairbanks.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Town of Sheldon
vs. Plff. in Error
C. W. Day.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Town of Sheldon vs. Ill. in Error
J. F. Fairbanks.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court

that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause & cause the same is hereby affirmed with costs and interest, unless paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Wisconsin.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

John C. Powell et al
Ezra Powell
vs.
Edmund J. Sweeney
et William Sweeney.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Central Railroad & Banking Company of Georgia and The Georgia Railroad & Banking Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Alabama.
vs.	Appellants	
Edmund W. Pettus & Nathaniel H. R. Dawson, partners under the name and style of Pettus & Dawson and Thomas H. Watts, Senior, John H. Watts & Thomas H. Watts, Junior, partners as Watts & Sons.		

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Alabama, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to modify the decree so as to award to

appellees only the sum of $17,580. with interest from March 7. 1881. with the liens established by the decree. Each party to pay their costs in this Court including one half each of the cost of printing the record.

per Mr. Justice Harlan

135. Eugene J. A. Drennen, Frederick W. Starr and Edward D. Everett, partners as Drennen, Starr & Everett vs. Plffs. in Error The London Assurance Corporation. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the

same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

Mr. Justice Harlan.

Otis A. Thayer & William J. Thayer ... Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.

vs. Appellants

The Life Association of America, William S. Kelfe, Superintendent of the Insurance Department of the State of Missouri, and Edward B. Knight, Trustee.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said

Monday January 5th 1885. continued

Circuit Court with directions to set aside the decree appealed from and to remand the cause to the State Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan

William J. Bryan & Rosa A. Bryan and Guy M. Bryan
vs. Plffs. in Error
Lieutenant B. Kennett, John Scrutchfield, Executor of A. Mallory and Francis Mallory, Lucinda, Margaret Dean & Anne T. Dean.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company vs. Pltf in Error C. H. Pendleton.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

Mr. Justice Bradley.

871.

Francis Barbier vs. Pltf in Error Patrick Connolly	In Error to the Superior Court of the City & County of San Francisco, State

Monday, January 5th 1885, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Superior Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Field.

Emmeline S. Whiting et al
vs.
James O. Baker
114. vs. Plffs. in Error
Elisha Morrow.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Wisconsin, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Field.

The St. Paul & Sioux City Railroad Company. Thomas L. Scott et al. vs. In Error to Winona & St. Peter Railroad Company. } In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Pullman's Palace Car Company. George M. Pullman, Luther N. Pierce, Huntington W. Jackson and David B. Lyman vs. Robert John Speck, James Dunn, by Simeon Straus, his next friend, Bridget Connelly & Patrick Connelly. } Appellants. } Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

1060.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Charles E. Hess
vs. } In Error to the
William Reynolds, Adminis- } Circuit Court
trator of the estate of Warren } of the United
A. Sherwood, deceased. } States for the
Eastern Dis-
trict of Mich-
igan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by

this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to proceed in the case according to law.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Gray.

The Missouri Pacific Railway Company vs. Peter Humes. (Pff. in Error)

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause and assign it for argument with No. 329. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

John H. Stone et al. vs. The Illinois Central R.R. Co. — Appellants — Writ of Error and Supersedeas to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

1118. John H. Stone et al. vs. The Illinois Central R.R. Co. — Appellants — Writ of Error and Supersedeas to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

1119. John H. Stone et al. vs. The New Orleans & North Eastern R.R. Co. — Appellants — Writ of Error and Supersedeas to the Supreme Court of the State of Mississippi.

1121. The County of Dakota vs. Henry G. Dickson — Plaintiff in Error — The motion to dismiss this cause submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. M. Wadsworth and Mr. R. G. Ramsay, in support of the same, and Mr. S. J. Kappleton and Mr. J. M. Thurston, in opposition thereto.

1004 The Schooner Maggie S. Smith vs. Samuel N. Walker et als. — Appellants — The motion to dismiss or affirm

in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John Lathrop and Mr. Sebastian Brown, in support of the motion, and Mr. F. K. Thomas and Mr. A. K. Smith, in opposition thereto.

Charles E. Blake. Appellant vs. The City & County of San Francisco et al. On motion of Mr. John Hallan in behalf of counsel, Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed, not to be called before Monday next.

Ship Niagara, Master, Libelant in Error vs. Robert G. Chisolm et al. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. William E. Earle, of Counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. G. Barker, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	The Steamboat Hiawatha	This cause was
	Master &c.	submitted to the
273	vs. Pltff. in Error	consideration of
	John C. Kelly.	the Court from
		and to the 5th

case on the record and printed arguments by Mr. D. B. Merrick and Mr. J. Carrington of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Francis S. Key, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	J. R. Cutman et al.	Mr Chancellor Ken-
274	vs. Pltff. in Error	dall of counsel for
	George E. Ingraham.	the defendant in
		error, filed and

submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause pursuant to the 32d rule.

	Howard E. Chapin	Mr. J. S. McGowan
153	vs. Pltff. in Error	of counsel for the
	James Sinclair.	defendant in error
		having filed in

open Court certified copies of the judgment of the Circuit Court of the United States for

the District of Colorado, bond on writ of error, citation and writ of error in this cause, and having stated that the plaintiff in error had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute said writ of error, moved the Court, pursuant to the 6th rule, to have said writ of error docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Hinson W. Beard, Collector &c. vs. James W. Newell. Plff. in Error. On motion of Mr. Woodbury Blair, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this

Monday. January 2nd 1888. Continued

	Ex parte: In the matter of Clinton B. Fisk Petitioner.	Mr. W. H. Statham moved for the Petitioner leave and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.
120.	The Cooper Manufacturing Company vs. G. W. Ferguson et al.	Mtff. in Error On motion of Mr. H. F. Smith of counsel for the plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that the cause be passed until Monday next.
122.	Jesse J. Murphy, Mtff. vs. Alexander Kenney et al.	
128.	Geo. Gen. N. Swift, " " " " "	
129.	Mildred E. Marshall et al " " " " "	
130.	Ellen E. Clawson et al " " " " "	
131.	James M. Barlow " " " " "	

On motion of Mr. Alexander Kenney Thereupon Ordered by the Court that these cases be and the same are hereby assigned for argument on the 2nd instant.

No. 203 Rose Surrocchea vs. Chr. in Error Joseph L. Bangs, Admr. etc. This cause was Submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. J. Grinnale, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. Chester, of counsel for the defendant in error.

No. 205 Virdon Clawson vs. The United States. Appellant. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Franklin S. Richards, of counsel for the appellant.

Kate G. Bartlett, by her guardian ad litem C. A. Rhodes vs. The Travellers Insurance Company of Hartford.

No. 120. Plff. in Error

This cause was argued by Mr. John T. Hill of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. F. C. Mather, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 6th, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. H. St. Clair Brookes it is ordered that George A. Black, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

250. W. E. Henderson, Executor etc. Plff in Error
vs. E. Estella Wentworth.

251. Edward A. Henderson, Plff in Error
vs. E. Estella Wentworth.

252. W. E. Henderson, Plff in Error,
vs. E. Estella Wentworth.

253. W. E. Henderson, Plff in Error
vs. E. Estella Wentworth.

254. Victorine J. McCarthy et als. Plffs in Error
vs E. Estella Wentworth.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 30th rule, on the record and printed argument by Mr. Walter Evans and Mr. Thomas F. Bayne, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. C. Wellson, Mr. Charles C. Mc. by, Mr. Gustavus H. Wald and Mr. Julius Rose, of counsel for the defendant in error.

255. John J. Cardwell vs. The American River Bridge Company. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court,

pursuant to the 20th rule by Mr. J. J. Scrivener, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. S. B. Kaplin and Mr. A. T. Britton, of counsel for the appellee.

John U. Weaver et al. vs. Spencer Field Sr., et al. Appellants

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule by Mr. S. D. Rousel and Mr. William Grant, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. R. N. Marr, of counsel for the appellees.

Ex parte: In the matter of Clinton B. Fisk Original — Petitioner.

On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Ex parte: In the matter of Clinton B. Fisk — Original — Petitioner.

This case was argued by Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham, in support of the petition, and by Mr. John L. Du Russe, in opposition thereto.

The Electric Railroad Signal Company et al. vs. The Hall Railway Signal Company. — Appellants

1181.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. George H. Christy, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Simeon E. Baldwin, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock

Wednesday January 7th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William D. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

The United States
vs.
David W. Minor.

No. 505. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th Rule, on the record and printed argument by Mr. J. D. Latimer, of counsel for the appellee, with leave to Mr. Solicitor General Phillips to file a printed argument on or before the 15th instant.

The United States
vs. Appellant
Edward G. Jordan.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court further and to the 20th rule, on the record and a printed argument by Mr. C. C. Cuniam, of counsel for the appellee, with leave to Mr. Solicitor General Phillips to file a printed argument on or before the 25th inst.

The St. Louis Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Co.
vs. Plff. in Error
Sarah M. Murray Admr.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court further and to the 20th rule on the record and printed arguments by Mr. T. F. Britton, Mr. G. K. McGowan, Mr. A. C. Browne and Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. U. M. Rose, of counsel for the defendants in error.

The Pacific Railroad Removal Company et al. vs. The St. Louis & Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Simeon E. Baldwin, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Charles E. Perkins, of counsel for the appellant.

142 R. M. Pulsifer Edwin B. Haskell & Charles A. Andrews, doing business as R. M. Pulsifer Company vs. Charles Rollin Brainard.

Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that counsel for the appellants have placed on file an order to dismiss the appeal, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs. Mr. Justice Miller.

The Citizens Insurance Gas Company vs. Joseph H. Berry et al.

Gas Case from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

Mr. Wm. Hepburn Russell for the appellant.

Mr. Enoch Totten for the appellees.

Benjamin H. Rieger, Executor of Samuel James E. Smith and Simon F. E. Fabel vs. Mary A. Berry et al. and The Columbia Fire Insurance Company.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause being called for hearing on a day prior to the docket and no appearance by the Counsel for the appellants having been entered, on motion of Mr. Enoch Totten Counsel for the appellees it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Mr. Justice Miller.

Wednesday, January 14th 1885, continued.

Edwin W. Wilson et al. Ordered by the
vs. Pltf. in Error Court that the
Lincoln Co. Bank. Cause be and the
same is hereby
continued until the next Term.

Proclamation being made the Court is
adjourned until Tomorrow at twelve
o'clock.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph G. Swayne
Wm. B. Woods Justices
William A. Woods Justice
Henry Matthews
Horace Gray "
Samuel Blatchford
John F. Dillon, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A.H. Garland it is ordered that John Hallum, Esquire, of Lonoke, State of Arkansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

Motion was made to the Court on
behalf by Mr. C. A. Eldredge, it is or-
dered that J. C. Cook, Esquire, of Newton,
State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an
attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he
was sworn accordingly.

William M. Fisher et al. vs. Pltfs. in Error
William Kelsey et al.

Mr. Eppa Hunton on behalf of counsel for the Plaintiffs in error, suggested the death of William Kelsey, one of the defendants in error in this cause, and moved the Court for an order under the 15th rule to make the proper representations &c. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said William Kelsey, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court the plaintiffs in error shall be entitled to open the record and, on hearing, have the judgment reversed, if it be erroneous: Provided, however, That a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper

of general circulation within the State, there Cop or Exhibit from which the case is brought for three successive weeks, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

Jno. A. Gordon & Heiss.

Geo. Lamb et al vs. Pltffs. in Error
Co Sharing Distillers
Shelby County et al. Dfts. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and brief of arguments, pursuant to the 20th rule by Wm. H. Randolph, of counsel for the Plaintiffs in error and A. Hamer & Fairweather and A. Williamson of counsel for the defendants in error.

Joseph W. Pyne et al vs. Appellants
The National Steam Navigation Company.

On motion of Mr. Phillips, counsel, ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned for argument on the 15th instant after the 10th inst.

John A. Moffitt ... Appeal from the
120 vs. ... Appellant ... Circuit Court
Samuel B. Rogers, Stephen ... of the United
Moore & Lemuel Rogers. ... States for the
... District of
Massachusetts.

This cause came on for hearing on the transcript of the record and it appearing to the Court that counsel for the respective parties have placed on file a stipulation agreeing that the decree entered in this cause in the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts be reversed and the cause proceeded with according to law and justice. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs: And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Thursday, January 8th 1885. continued.

George W. Wilson et al. } Ordered by the
vs. } Pltf. in Error } Court that the
Lawrence O'Bannon. } order entered
on this cause
on the 7th instant be, and the same is hereby, vacated.

George W. Wilson et al. } The Clerk of the
Executor Harvey Wilson } Circuit Court
deceased Catherine and } of the United
C. L. Garner } States for the
vs. } Pltf. in Error } Western District
Lawrence O'Bannon. } of Texas
} informed

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Joseph H. Chadwick et al.
116. vs. Plffs. in Error
The United States.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. A. B. Wentworth, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. B. F. Butler, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Lydia M. Fussell
147. vs. Appellant
Daniel Gregg et al.
and
Lydia M. Fussell
148. vs. Appellant
C. S. Hughes et al.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Jeremiah Hall, of counsel for the appellant.

The Wabash, St. Louis & Pacific Railway Co.
1118. vs. Appellant
Benjamin F. Ham et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 90th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Roger Swayne, and Mr. S. C. Greene,

of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Charles W. Hassler, of counsel for the appellee.

217. Henry S. Bicknell vs. Cliff in Error / Sprague Comstock. This cause was submitted to the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. F. Bullard, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. A.B. Olmstead, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 9th, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
} Associate Justices.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James A. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips it is ordered that W. J. Whitehouse, Esquire, of Pottsville, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion it is ordered by the Court that Burr W. Jones, Esquire, of Madison, State of Wisconsin, and James F. Bunn, Esquire, of Stockton, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court, and they were sworn accordingly.

William Grant, Appellant, vs. Henry Y. Strill. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Richard L. Gray, of counsel for the appellant and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

844.	J. R. Tucker et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Clara Masser, widow &c., et als.	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed argument by Mr. L. C. Rockwell and Mr. C. A. Powell, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No brief was filed for the defendants in error.
749.	Francis W. Boyer vs. Plff. in Error Daniel Boyer et als.	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. W. Wells, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. W. J. Whitehouse, of counsel for the defendants in error.
851.	The Board of County Commissioners of the County of Anderson vs. Plff. in Error Thomas P. Beal.	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and

printed arguments by Mr. J. Burgess, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Watson Sweat and Mr. Jeffreson Brumbaugh, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Co. vs. The United States. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Charles F. Benjamin, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

No. 911. Pennsylvania Co. vs. The District of Columbia. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. U. B. Edwards and Mr. Eppa Hunton, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

1012. The Provident Institution for Savings in Jersey City vs. The Mayor & Aldermen of Jersey City. Plff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, pursuant to the 20th rule, by Mr. Charles H. Hartshorne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. William Brinkerhoff, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1013. The Mayor & Aldermen of the City of Quincy vs. The United States ex rel: Peter A. H. Jackson. Plff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George A. Anderson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Carl E. Epler, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	The United States	This cause was sub
990.	vs. Appellant	mitted to the consid.
	Charles F. Stewart.	eration of the Court,
		pursuant to the 29th

rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee.

	Chong Ah Moy	This cause was sub
1148.	vs. Plff. in Error	mitted to the consid
	The United States.	eration of the Court,
		pursuant to the 29th

rule, on the record and printed argu
ments by Mr. N. S. Brown and Mr. Thom.
as D. Riordan, of counsel for the plaintiff
in error, and Mr. [illegible] Attorney Gen
eral Maury, of counsel for the defendant
in error.

	Frederick Prentice	This cause was sub.
1157.	vs. Plff. in Error	mitted to the con.
	Orzora P. Stearns.	sideration of the
		Court, pursuant

to the 29th rule, on the record and printed

arguments by Mr. Benjamen A. Willis, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Gordon E. Cole, of counsel for the defendant in error. Leave was granted counsel for the plaintiff in error to file a brief in reply by tomorrow.

1166 The Town of Santa Anna vs. Augustus Franks. Plff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Hamilton Spencer, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. C. Mather, of counsel for the defendant in error.

1180 The City of Litchfield vs. George W. Ballou et al. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John M. Palmer, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. D. T. Littler, of counsel for the appellee.

Friday, January 9th 1857, continued.

Lydia M. Russell
vs. Appellant
Daniel Skaggs et al.
&
Lydia M. Russell
vs. Appellant
E. S. Hughes et al.

On argument of the cause now coming on to be heard by the Justices now present, of counsel for the appellant, and they were submitted on printed arguments by Mr. William Lawrence, of counsel for the appellees.

Harvey D. Mitchell
vs. Appellant
The City of Chicago.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that counsel for the respective parties have placed on file a stipulation setting forth that the matter in controversy has been settled, and that the case should be dismissed. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed

by this Court that this appeal be and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Per. Mr. Justice Miller.

No. 81. David L. Magruder vs. The City of Chicago. Appellant. Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

No. 81. Henry Allerton vs. The City of Chicago. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Friday January 17th 1868 continued.

Thomas W. Edwards et al. Ordered by the
152. vs. Appellants Court that
Franklin Farnell. this cause be,
and the same
is hereby, continued until the next term.

Isaac A. Lawrence et al. This cause was
153. vs. Appellants submitted to
Charles J. Ham. the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John H. Palmer of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. S. T. Wheeler, of counsel for the appellee.

Edward B. Chase This cause was
154. vs. Pff. in Error argued by Mr.
Abijah Curtis et al. George A. Black, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Fraser or. C. Lowrey, of counsel for the defendants in error. Leave was granted Mr. Black to file a supplemental brief on or before the 10th instant.

Monday, January 6th 1885. Continued.

Francis Dodge et al. vs. Thomas Knowles. (516) Appellants

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. H. Holds with motion of counsel for the appellants - No counsel appearing for the appellee.

J. K. Lum v. A. M. Hill, Chapman vs. W. W. Moore, in trust vs. (517) Appellants

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby passed.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 12th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Hubley Ashton, it is ordered that P. S. Grosscup, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. F. Cogswell, it is ordered that James W. Briggs Esquire, of Newark, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Z. George it is ordered that Edward M. Watson, Esquire, of Holly Springs, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

John K. Findlay ..... In Error to
vs. Plff. in Error ..... the Circuit
Thomas McAllister, John L. ..... Court of the
Adams, Francis M. Lowell ..... United States
et al. ..... for the Eastern
District of
Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court, per Mr. Justice Woods.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Field
Mr. Justice Miller.

Ellis Griffith		Appeal from the Circuit
131. vs.	Appellant	Court of the United States
Alexis Godey and		for the District of
C. E. Williams.		California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District

of California and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby. reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a decree in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Field.

1004. The Schooner "Maggie J. Smith" vs. Appellants. On consideration of
vs. The mo
Samuel H. Walker et al. tion to
dismiss or affirm in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

203	Thomas Howard vs. Plff. in Error João Marcolina De França et al.	On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm and to amend the writ

of error in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss or affirm be, and the same is hereby, denied; and that the motion to amend the writ of error be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the same may be amended by inserting as the return day "the 27th day of October" instead of "the 12th day of November."

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1094	William H. Polleys, George Gomes, Benjamin E. Edwards, William E. Polleys and The La Crosse Booming & Transportation Company vs The Black River Improvement Company.	In Error to the Circuit Court of La Crosse County, State of Wisconsin

This cause came on to be heard on the motion to dismiss the writ of error, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1246 | N. D. Putnam et al. vs. George E. Ingraham | Pltfs. in Error | On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, pursuant to the 32d rule, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1112 | John M. Stone, et al., vc. vs. The Farmers Loan & Trust Co. | Appellants

1113 | John M. Stone et al., vc. vs. The Illinois Central R.R. Co. | Appellants

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, pursuant to the 26th

	John M. Stone et al., vs.	rule. It is or-
114.	vs. Appellants	dered by the
	The New Orleans & North	Court that
	Eastern R.R. Co.	said motion
		be, and the

same is hereby granted.
Per Mr. Justice Miller.

	Charles E. Blake	On motion of
115.	vs. Appellant	Mr. George H.
	The City & County of	Boyer, of counsel
	San Francisco et al.	for the appellant
		Ordered by the

Court that this cause be passed, subject to provisions of section 4, rule 9.

	The United States	On motion of
111.	vs. Appellant	Mr. B. F. Butler,
	The Great Falls Manu-	of counsel for
	facturing Company.	the appellee.
		Leave is hereby

granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

	The Union Metallic Cartridge Co.	Mr. G. F.
124.	vs. Appellant	Butler, of
	The United States Cartridge Co.	counsel for
	and	the United
	The United States Cartridge Co.	States Cart.
125.	vs. Appellant	ridge Com-
	The Union Metallic Cartridge Co.	pany, moved

the Court for an order granting leave to the Clerk to issue the Mandate in these cases, which was opposed by Mr. George Tichnor Curtis, in behalf of counsel for the Union Metallic Cartrige Company. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

	Simon P. Newton, Isabel	In Error
	Newton and Howell Squires	to the Cir-
281.	vs. Plffs. in Error	cuit Court
	Henry Lowry, Nathaniel	of the United
	McDowell, William Simpson	States for
	and Charles Cooper	the District
		of Colorado.

This cause having been called to the

attention of the Court by Mr. Lewis Abraham, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Claude Caillot et al. vs. Christoffers F. Dalton. 231 — Pff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, by leave of Court, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. J. Scrivner, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. J. F. Hanna and Mr. J. M. Johnston, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Mrs Widow Bernard Aucoin, Patrice vs. et al. vs. William B. Schmidt et al. 946 — Pff's. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court.

pursuant to the 20th rule, by leave of Court, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Albert Voorhies, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Henry C. Miller and Mr. H. F. Leovy, of counsel for the defendants in error.

James Grant vs. George H. Parker. Appellant

On motion of Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, in behalf of counsel for the appellant, ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari issue in this cause as prayed, subject to exceptions on the part of the appellee to the return.

273

Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes Petitioner.

Mr. John N. Mitchell, of counsel for the petitioner, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of Mandamus.

The Second National Bank of St Louis vs. Appellant J. L. Short et al. This motion is submitted on this day to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. F. A. Brown and Mr. G. K. Cornell, in support of the motion and Mr. B. R. Curtis in opposition thereto.

The Empire Manufacturing Company of Mt Vernon Ohio vs. Appellant in Error J. W. Ferguson et al. On motion of Mr. Hall for Mr. Smith, of counsel for the Plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed until Monday next.

526. J. S. Morgan & Co., Appellants vs. The United States

527. The United States, Appellant vs. The Manhattan Savings Inst'n.

528. L. Von Hoffman & Co., Appellants vs. The United States

529. The United States, Appellant vs. The Manhattan Savings Inst'n.

These cases were argued by Mr. H. Hubley Ashton, of counsel for Morgan & Co., and Chas. Hoffman & Co. cast by Mr. Edward C. Cauty, of counsel for the Manhattan Savings Institution.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 3rd 1865.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
" " Stephen J. Field
" " Joseph P. Bradley
" " John A. Parker Associate
" " William D. Woods Justices
" " Stanley Matthews
" " Noah Swayne "
" " Samuel Nelson "

John C. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Y. Foster, it is ordered that J. C. Catchings, Esquire, of Vicksburg, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Edmund Wetmore, it is ordered that Hamilton Wallis, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. J. Merrick it is ordered that Charles J. Thomas, Esquire, of Denver, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1240. Andrew Stewart et al. vs. James H. Dunham et al. Appellants

On motion of Mr. George F. Edmunds, leave is hereby granted to file a motion to rescind the order entered in this case on the 8th day of December, 1884, docketing and dismissing this appeal and for leave to docket the causes.

John Bromley
215. vs. Appellant
The United States.

This cause was argued by Mr. Robert A. Jones, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

Emma V. Brown Admx. &c.
216. vs. Appellant
The United States.

This cause was argued by Mr. Robert A. Jones, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

James W. Harvey et al.
625. vs. Appellants
The United States
and
The United States
626. vs. Appellant
James W. Harvey et al.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for Harvey et al., and continued by Mr. John S. Blair & Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury of counsel for the United States.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Wednesday, January 11th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John H. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

340. Mary Ann Dunn, Homer W. Dunn, Elizabeth J. Taylor, Samuel C. Hunt and G. Wells Taylor vs. Pltfs. in Error
The Citizens Savings & Loan Association.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Enoch Totten, in behalf of counsel, and the plaintiffs in error having filed a motion to dismiss with consent of counsel for the defendant in error. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

-per Mr. Justice Miller.

Mary Ann Dunn et al. vs. Plffs in Error
340. The Citizens Savings & Loan Association.
On motion of Mr. Enoch Totten, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

James W. Harvey et al. vs. Appellants
25. The United States
and
The United States vs. Appellants
26. James W. Harvey et al.
The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of

counsel for the United States, and concluded by Mr. Enoch Totten of counsel for Harvey etal.

William L. Scott & Joseph M. Carter, Executors of Milton Courtright, deceased vs. James A. Burns. — Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western Dis. trict of Mis. souri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, and of the order of this Court entered on the 26th day of November, A. D. 1881, in regard to printing the Transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dissmissed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

58. Joel B. Sutherland vs. Anthony Bacon et al. } Error & Appeal

Joel B. Sutherland vs. Anthony Bacon et al. } Appeal

These causes were argued by Mr. Elisha Tuck, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error and appellant, and submitted on printed arguments by Mr. C. S. Thomas and Mr. J. M. Patterson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

60. John M. Boon et al. vs. Washington Wilson. } Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. W. J. Coxe well, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Edmund Wetmore, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 15th 1874.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley } Associate
John M. Harlan } Justices
William B. Woods
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. L. E. Payson it is ordered that S. M. Cavill, Esquire of Bloomington, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Benjamin Butterworth, Commissioner of Patents &c. vs. Samuel Hill et al. — Appellant

On motion of Mr Solicitor General this Cause is Ordered by the Court that this cause be heard subject to the provisions of Section 9. Rule 22.

296. William H. C. Hoole vs. Benjamin Dusser & William J. McLean, doing business under the firm name of Dusser & McLean. — Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause having been called in the ordinary course of the Court by Mr. John C. Connell, of counsel for the appellee, and a motion to dismiss this cause having been filed. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellee.

Thursday, January 15th 1885. continued

Wm. L. Cook et al. vs. Washington Wilson. — Appellants — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Edmund Wetmore and Mr. Hamilton Wallis, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. W. L. Boggswell, of counsel for the appellants.

160. Archibald Voss vs. Charles L. Fisher. — Appellant — This cause was argued by Mr. E. A. West, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Edward Taggart, of counsel for the appellee.

162. The Farmers Loan and Trust Company vs. The Chicago, Peking & South-western Railroad Company. — Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that counsel for the appellant have placed on file an order to dismiss this appeal. It is now here

ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Calvin Amory Stevens
63. vs. Appellant
The Chicago, St. Louis & New Orleans R.R. Co. et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George Hoadly, Mr. E. H. Johnson, Mr. Edward Colston, Mr. E. A. Andrews, Mr. G. C. F. Gardner and Mr. Wager Swayne, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John A. Campbell and Mr. James Lawrence, of counsel for the appellees.

Anna M. Grice, widow, et al.
66. vs. Plff. in Error
The Pennsylvania R.R. Co.

This cause was argued by Mr. John Dalzell, of counsel for the defendant in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Chas. J. Kay, Mr. E. A. Newman and Mr. J. M. Wayne, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Friday, January 16th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Chief Justice Samuel F. Miller

Stephen J. Field

Joseph P. Bradley Associate

John M. Harlan Justices

William B. Woods

Horace Gray "

Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal

James H. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Morton P. Henry it is ordered that Henry R. Edmunds, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Thomas C. Evans, Assignee	Appeal from
Estate of Jacob Yeamans	the Circuit Court
Davis & Price, Trustees	of the United
27. vs. Appellants	States for the
William C. Robinson.	Northern Dist.
	of Ohio.

This cause having been argued by the attention of the Court by Mr. H. H. Foster, in behalf of counsel, and a motion to dismiss having been filed by counsel for appellants, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

George R. Barton	On motion of
28. vs. Appellant	Mr. W. Nesbit
The Town of Evansville.	Phillips, in be-
	half of counsel.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed subject to provisions of Section 4. Rule 26.

The County of Sacramento ... In Error to the
1284 vs. Plff. in Error ... Circuit Court
The Central Pacific Rail- ... of the United
road Company. ... States for the
... District of
California.

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. C.H. Aymes, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The County of Sacramento ... On motion of
1284 vs. Plff. in Error ... Mr. C.H.
The Central Pacific R.R.Co. ... Aymes, in
behalf of
Counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

Friday January 30th 1885, continued.

The Hague U. Evans et als
vs.
Samuel Wheeler.

Appellants
Argument by Mr. L. E. Emerson, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Jonathan A. Lowell of counsel for the appellee.

The Steamship Independent vs.
Samuel Gorton, Master etc.
vs.
Theodore Jensen, Master of the Norwegian Bark "Vicus".

Appellants
Argument of this cause commenced by Mr. Morton P. Henry, of counsel for the appellants, continued by Mr. Henry Hunter and Mr. J. Langdon Ward, of counsel for the appellee and concluded by Mr. Henry C. Belmont, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 19th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Nathan Clifford
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. J. Willard it is ordered that Frank T. Brown, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles Theodore Russell it is ordered that John Lowell, Junior, Esquire, of Boston State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was accordingly.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Company of Hartford, Connecticut vs. The Crosby Steam Gage & Valve Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that

this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to enter a decree sustaining the validity of the patent of 1866 sued on, and decreeing infringement and awarding an account of profits and damages as prayed for, and to take such further proceedings as may be proper and not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Company of Hartford, Connecticut		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.
128.	vs. Appellant	
The Crosby Steam Gage and Valve Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this

Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to enter a decree sustaining the validity of the patent of 1869 sued on, and decreeing infringement and awarding an account of profits and damages and a perpetual injunction, and to take such further proceedings as may be proper and not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

170. The United States vs. Appellant
John M. Mueller and
John M. Mueller vs. Appellant
171. The United States.

Appeals from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims on appeal and cross-appeal, and

was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Central Railroad Company, of New Jersey, The Philadelphia & Reading Railroad Company, et als. Appellants vs. Alfred Mills and John H. Ridgewood, surviving Executors of Stephen Vail, deceased. } 878. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of

Monday, January 19th 1885 continued.

the Court Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr Justice Gray

The Northern Liberties Market Company vs. Deft in Error John M. Kelly.

Pltf in Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, reversed with costs: And that the cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to enter judgment for the plaintiff on the twenty-first and twenty-second counts.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

W. Fleet Steele, to the use of
The Corn Exchange National Bank of Philadelphia
vs.
The United States.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

(138.) Appellant

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Rudger Clawson
vs.
The United States.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

(235.) Appellant

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the

decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Per the Justice Harlan.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Miller
" Field.

The Independent School District of Ackley, Hardin County, Iowa.
vs
Eli in Error
F. L. Hale.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts

of the State of Iowa; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Warren W. Cardwell, Appellant, vs. The American River Bridge Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Henry L. Bicknell
Exr. vs. Appeal from Circuit
Sprague Complainant. Court of the
United States for the
Eastern District of
New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment for defendant Bicknell on the agreed facts.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Francis Dodge et al.
1st. vs. Appellants
Thomas Knowles.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be reargued either orally

a certified Copy to be filed on or before the first Monday in March next.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Alvin C. Hardin, Admr. of William D. Hardin, deceased — Appellant — vs. — Elwood C. Boyd, Admr. &c. — The Court will receive from counsel Briefs to be filed on or before the first Monday in March next, upon the question whether the heirs of William D. Hardin are not necessary parties appellants in this cause. Or, if counsel agree that they are necessary parties, the submission of the cause will be set aside and time given to bring them before the Court in the mode required by law.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

8. Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes — Original — Petitioner — On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes
8, Original — Petitioner.

On consideration of the petition of Ellis G. Hughes, it is now here ordered by the Court that cause be shown by Matthew P. Deady, Judge of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon, before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia on the first Monday in March next, at twelve o'clock noon of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of mandamus should not issue as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Lydia M. Fussell
vs. Appellant
Daniel Gregg et al.
and
Lydia M. Fussell
vs. Appellant
E. S. Hughes et al.

Mr. William Lawrence, of counsel for the appellees, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a brief in reply to that of counsel for the appellant, and

for leave to argue the cases orally, and a motion for leave to file a motion to dismiss in the 118.

William H. Robertson, Collector of the Port of New York.	In Error to the	
vs.	Circuit Court	
53.	Jeff. in Error	of the United
Richard F. Downing, George W. Sheldon, John T. Wilson & William T. Shay.	States for the Southern Dis. trict of New York.	

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Monday. January 9th 1882, continued.

Charles Kleinmety Treasurer of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California vs. Wm. Sharon et al. Respondents

No. 3. Mr. Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, moves for an order dismissing the writ of error heretofore filed in this Court

The following certificate to wit:

In the Supreme Court of the State of California.

The Nevada Bank of San Francisco
Petitioner & Plaintiff
vs.
Charles Kleinmety, Treasurer of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California.
Respondent & Defendant.

I, J. W. McCarthy, Clerk of said Supreme Court do hereby certify that the above entitled action is for a writ of Mandate requiring and compelling said respondent as County Treasurer of said County of Santa Cruz to pay to plaintiff the semi annual interest due January 1, 1882, on certain bonds issued by said County of Santa Cruz aforesaid under

that certain act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act to empower the Board of Supervisors of the several Counties of the State to aid in the construction of a railroad in the respective Counties" approved April 11th 1870. and the several acts of said Legislature amendatory thereof and supplementary thereto, and judgment was rendered and entered therein on November 17th 1882. in favor of said plaintiff and against said defendant for the relief in and by the complaint in said action prayed; And thereafter, to wit, January 23d 1884, a writ of error in due form of law from said judgment to the Supreme Court of the United States was duly sued out and allowed by the Hon. R. F. Morrison, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of California and no record upon said writ of error has ever since been asked of or certified to by me.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the

(Seal) ____ seal of the Supreme

Court of California this 19th
day of December 1884.
J. W. McCarthy
Clerk of the Supreme Court of
California."

and having stated that the plaintiff in error had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute the writ of error in this cause, moved the Court, in furtherance of the 9th rule, to have said writ of error docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Supreme Court of the State of California be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Isaac A. Harrison et al. vs. Appellants / John Rust et al. — Mr. J. H. Ashton on behalf of counsel.

filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance the cause.

1194. The St. Louis & San Francisco Railway Company et al. vs. Plffs. in Error / William C. Wilson — On motion of Mr. Alexander Graves, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32nd rule.

Ex parte: In the matter of Benjamin F. Bigelow, Petitioner. — Mr. Robert Christy, of counsel for the petitioner submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for writs of habeas corpus and certiorari.

	Edward Reilly	In Error to the
337	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court of
	Louis Tschenderf and	the United States
	Albert Steinfeld.	for the Southern
		District of New York.

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. E. M. Marble, of counsel for the defendants in error, and a stipulation to dismiss having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, with costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	Asa C. Call	On motion of Mr.
334	vs. Appellant	H. E. Davis, in be-
	Henry H. Palmer.	half of counsel for
		the appellant, it

is ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari issue in this cause as prayed, subject to exceptions on the part of the appellee

John M. Stone et al. vs. ... On motion
1102 vs. Appellants ... of Mr. E. M
The Farmers Loan & Trust Co. ... Watson, of
John M. Stone et al., vs. ... counsel for
1103 vs. Appellants ... the appel-
The Illinois Central R.R. Co. ... lants. Order-
v. ... ed by the
John M. Stone et al., vs. ... Court that
1104 vs. Appellants ... these cases
The New Orleans & North ... be, and the
Eastern R.R. Co. ... same are
... hereby, as-
signed for argument on the second Mon-
day in March next.

Jose Aurrecoechea ... Mr. John Mul-
703 vs. Pltf in Error ... lan submitted
Joseph L. Bangs, Adm'r, &c. ... to the consider-
... ation of the
Court a petition to reserve the decision in
this cause until the case of Jose Aurre-
coechea vs. Duncan Sinclair et al., No.
258, shall have been argued.

The Keeper Manufacturing Company of Mt Vernon Ohio vs. Cliff in Error of H. Ferguson et als. — Was argued and submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr Walter S. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr Thomas H. Robinson, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1149 Joseph W. Dyer et als. vs. Appellants The National Steam Navigation Company. — Ordered by the Court that the cause be, and the same is hereby continued for argument at the foot of the writ for the first Monday in March next.

1149 Joseph W. Dyer et als. vs. Appellants The National Steam Navigation Company. — On motion of Mr. Harrington Cutnam, leave is hereby granted him to file a printed argument in this cause.

No. | Martha E. Young vs. Plff. in Error John Emslie et als. | Upon this case being called for hearing, Mr. John F. Dillon concluded the argument of case No 69, The Kansas Pacific Railway Company vs. Lewis Dunmeyer, which had been postponed until this case was reached: And the argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. A.B. Browne, of counsel for the defendant in error. The argument was arrested by the Court and a judgment of dismissal ordered to be entered because the record did not show that a final judgment had been entered in the lower court.

No. | Martha E. Young vs. Plff. in Error John Emslie et als. | In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas, and the argument thereof was commenced by Mr A.B. Browne, of counsel for the defendants in

error. Whereupon it appearing to the Court that the record does not show that a final judgment has been entered in the lower court. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Chauncey D. Spaids vs Plff. in Error Dennis A. Cooley. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. O. H. Hamilton, of Counsel for the Plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve oclock.

Tuesday, January 20th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices.
William B. Woods
Horace Gray "
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

87. Henry Seyehaud, Surviving Syndic &c. vs. The United States. Appellant

Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellee, moved the Court that this case be dismissed pursuant to the order entered herein on December 22d, 1884, which was opposed by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the

appellant. Whereupon the Court, not be-
ing now here sufficiently advised of and
concerning what order to render in the
premises, took time to consider.

1115. Alfred Sully, Appellant, vs. James M. Brennan et al.
1116. Alfred Sully, Appellant, vs. William A. Kenney
1117. Alfred Sully, Appellant, vs. William K. Mathew

These cases were submitted to the con-
sideration of the Court, pursuant to the
32d rule, on the records and printed ar-
guments by Mr. Charles A. Eldredge for
Mr. J. C. Cook, of counsel for the appellant,
and Mr. George C. Morgan, Mr. N. C. Wins-
low, and Mr. L. C. Blanchard, of counsel
for the appellees.

1170. The United States vs. John M. Mueller — Appellant

1171. John M. Mueller vs. The United States — Appellant

On motion of Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for Mueller, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in these cases.

171.	Chauncey D. Spaids vs. Plff. in Error Dennis N. Cooley.	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. S. S. Henkle. of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. W. Penn Clarke, of counsel for the defendant in error, and was concluded by Mr. S. S. Henkle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.
172.	N. J. Jenkens vs. Plff. in Error Horatio L. Sparks, Harrison Sherman & Alfred Shelby.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and the plaintiff in error having been three times solemnly called by the Marshal to come into Court and prosecute his writ of error, and failing to appear, It is, thereupon, in pursuance of the 16th rule of this Court, and on the motion of Mr. Andrew S. Bradley, in behalf of counsel for the

defendants in error, must be reversed & adjudged by this Court that the same be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; & that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Arthur Harrington, trading as A. Harrington & Co. vs. Plff. in Error
James L. Wright et al., trading as Peter Wright & Sons.

No. 178. Argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. R. C. McMurtrie, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday. January 21st 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices.
William B. Woods
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John B. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Tichnor Curtis it is ordered that Thomas E. Nargis, Esquire, of Louisville, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Caleb Bentley Matthews, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. X. C. McMurtrie it is ordered that Thornton Jennings, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

1141. Frederick Hopt vs. Piff. in Error
The People of the Territory of Utah.

On motion of Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendants in error, Ordered by the Court that this cause be reassigned for argument on the 28th instant.

Arthur Morrinaton, trading as A. Morrinaton & Co.
176. vs. Plffs. in Error
James A. Wright et al., trading as Peter Wright & Sons.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. R.C. McMurtrie, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. John C. Bullitt, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Richard D. Lancaster
177. vs. Plff. in Error
Henry E. Collins.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

James Sargent
178. vs. Appellant
The Hall Safe & Lock Co. et al.

On motion of Mr. George Ticknor Curtis, of counsel for the appellant. Ordered by the Court that counsel on each side be allowed one hour additional time in the argument of this case.

James Sargent
781 vs. Appellant
The Hall Safe & Lock
Co. et al.

The argument of the cause was commenced by Mr. Edmund Wetmore, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve oclock.

Thursday, January 22nd 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices.
William B. Woods
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Finley, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Mr. Justice Miller, Acting Chief Justice, said.

The Court is informed this morning of the death during the night of the wife of our Associate, Mr. Justice Matthews.

Though not unexpected the event is none the less one by which the members of the Court are all deeply affected;

both on account of our regard for the deceased and our sympathy for our brother in his affliction.

We shall attend in a body such funeral ceremonies as may take place in the City, and as a further testimony of the sense of our common loss and our sympathy with the family of the deceased this Court will now adjourn until Monday next.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday January 26th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
William B. Woods
Horace Gray Jr
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Barclay Henley it is ordered that William Craig, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. P. Neal it is ordered that Charles F. Libby, Esquire, of Portland, State of Maine, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. H. McGowan, it is ordered that Byron M. Cutcheon, Esquire, of Manistee, State of Michigan, and W. W. Wood, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. N. Dickerson it is ordered that Edward Stephens, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Board of County Commissioners of the County of Anderson vs. Pltf. in Error Thomas P. Beal.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.
51.		

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear on the Courts of the State of Kansas.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	John H. Coon "a Daniel W. Coon vs. Washington Wilson	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
60.		Appellants

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to dismiss the bill with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Company, Appellant, vs. The United States. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of

Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Dennis Cooney ..... Appeal from
vs. Appellant ..... the Court of
The District of Columbia. Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Willibald Voss ..... Appeal from the Circuit
vs. Appellant ..... Court of the United States
Charles J. Fisher. ..... for the Western District of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western

District of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Mrs. Widow Bernard Avegno, tutrix of her minor children, Albert Avegno 2d Pierre Avegno, Bernard Avegno, Junior, Lucie Avegno, wife of William Boothus and Maria Avegno, wife of Leander Leroy

246. vs. Pltffs. in Error
William B. Schmidt & Francis M. Ziegler and the succession of Charles Morgan.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Town of Santa Anna vs. Augustus Frank. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

1166

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and interest until paid at the same rate per annum that similar judgments

Cases in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

J. M. Parker and R. D. Wilson	Error to the Circuit Court of the United States
24. vs. Effie A. Hunter, widow and Estus Hunter and Urethia Hunter, heirs at law of Frank Hunter, deceased.	for the District of Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The County of Dakota vs. Plff. in Error Henry H. Hidden. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska on the motion to dismiss, which was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Anna M. Price, widow of Alfred J. Price, and Jessie Price, Stella Price & Annie Price, minors by their mother & next friend Anna M. Price. vs. The Pennsylvania Railroad Company operating the Western Pennsylvania

166. Plffs. in Error

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania

Monday, January 26th 1852, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

Mr. Justice Miller.

Edward H. Kemp
vs. Writ of Error
The United States.

To the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

1148.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

Claude Caillot and Edmond Leroux, partners as Caillot & Leroux		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.
1231.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
Gustavus F. Deetken.		

Dismissed.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Joseph N. Chadwick, Axel Dearborn, John A. Howell and William D. Park.		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.
1116.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
The United States.		

this cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed.

Henry Hepburn, Trustee
Syndics &c.
vs. Appellant.
887. The United States.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss this case,

It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby denied.

per Mr. Justice Miller

George A. Morrison et al.
vs. Appellants
887. John Dunn et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, it

is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1885. The Gloucester Ferry Company vs. Pil. in Error The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

On motion of Mr. B. H. Brewster, in behalf of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be passed, subject to the provisions of section 6, rule 26.

1251. The First National Bank of Xenia, Ohio vs. Plff. in Error Daniel M. Stewart et al., Administrators v. C.

On motion of Mr. E. M. Johnson, of counsel for the defendants in error, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced, pursuant to section 11, rule 26, and assigned for argument on the second Monday in March next.

Elias Speidel
647 vs. Appellant
Jacob Henrici et al.

Mr. William Kin-
sele, of counsel for
the appellant, sug-
gested the death of
Elias Speidel, the appellant in this cause,
and moved the Court to make A. C. Spei-
del and S. O. Speidel, Executors and
residuary devisees and legatees of Elias
Speidel, deceased, the parties appellants
in this cause. Whereupon, it is ordered
by the Court that A. C. Speidel and S. O.
Speidel, Executors &c., of Elias Speidel, de-
ceased, as aforesaid, be, and they are
hereby, made the parties appellants in
this cause.

The Memphis & Little
Rock R. R. Co.
119 vs. Appellant
George F. Bonner et al.

On motion of Mr.
A. H. Garland,
in behalf of coun-
sel for the appellees,
leave is hereby
granted to amend the motion to dismiss
filed in this cause.

	William H. Fowle &c.	The motion to
	Adm'r. &c.	dismiss in this
1048.	vs. Appellant	cause was sub-
	Alexander Kay.	mitted to the con-
		sideration of the

Court on printed arguments by Mr. Francis L. Smith, in support of the same, and Mr. H. O. Claughton, in opposition thereto.

	The Memphis & Little Rock	On motion of
	R. R. Co.	Mr. George E.
238.	vs. Appellant	Edmunds, of
	The Southern Express Co.	counsel, it is
	The St. Louis, Iron Mountain	ordered by the
	& Southern Railway Co.	Court that these
260.	vs. Appellant	cases be, and
	The Southern Express Co.	the same are
	and	hereby, assigned
	The Missouri, Kansas & Texas	for argument
	Railway Company	as one case on
321.	vs. Appellant	the first Mon-
	W. P. Kinsman, President &c.	day in April
		next.

	The Mc Nab-Hartman Manufacturing Company et al.	On motion of Mr. Ed.
96.	vs. Appellants	mund Wal
	Edward G. Thompson, Trustee &c.	more, of Counsel for appellants, it is ordered by the Court that
	John Eaton et al.	
97.	vs. Appellants	
	Edward G. Thompson, Trustee &c.	these causes
		and the same

are hereby advanced and assigned for argument with No. 96 and 97.

	The Fremont Town Land Company et al.	Appeal from the Circuit
138.	vs. Appellants	Court of the United States
	Christian Hakeman; J. Enochs; Frederick A. Ho. Davis et al., partners as The Indiana Banking Company et al.	for the District of Indiana.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. D. Campbell, of counsel for appellants, who moved the Court to dismiss this cause. It is now

here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Mr. Justice Miller.

Cornelius Tumbel vs. Plff. in Error
1100 vs. W. H. C. Pitkin, U.S. Marshal, et al.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas J. Semmes, in support of the same, and Mr. Charles F. Buck and Mr. George H. Braughon, in opposition thereto.

James Sargent vs. Appellant
1784 The Hull Safe Lock Co. et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Edmund Wetmore, of counsel for the appellant, Mr. W. C. Cochran & Mr. E. A. Dickerson, of counsel for the appellant. Rees & Mr. George Ticknor Curtis, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday January 2nd 1855.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Hunley
John A. Campbell Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Noah Swayne "
Samuel Nelson "

John L. Wesley, Esquire, Marshal
James N. M'Elroy, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

261. Benjamin P. Frost et al
William P. Butler
vs. Appellants
The Board of Supervisors
of Wayne County et al.

Mr. William H. Springer, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

United States of America } ss.
Southern District of Illinois

U. A. A. Jones, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States in and for the Southern District of Illinois, do hereby certify that in a certain Chancery cause pending in said Court wherein Benjamin B. Frost and William S. Cutler were complainants, and the Board of Supervisors of Wayne County, Mount Vernon Railroad Company, Louis Meyers, Administrator of Austin Meyers, Francis E. Baker, William B. Brown and Elmer Makepiece, Administrators of Curtis Wakefield, Catharine Earl and Abraham Riker, Executors of Morris S. Earle, John N. Brown, Joseph W. Ward, Thomas Upton, Executor of William P. Emerson, John F. Dewell, J. Smith, W. K. Rolston, John W. Kennicott, Andrew H. Ward, J. Burnett, William C. Chuntell, J. L. Priest, E. W. Stone, J. Sargent Smith, N. U. Davis, A. F. Gould, W. R. Hodges, Isaac Taylor, Ransom Geer & Co., Wed W. Clark, Mc Martin 2d Alexander Administrators of Peter Mc Martin, Nathaniel Streeter, Ammidon Lane & Co., H. F. Holden, Roxanna Crego, Herman Powers, Glenn & Spraybarry, J. L. Bailey, N. Fuller, Isaac Brasall, Lucien F.

Reed, Clark L. Potter, Joseph Marselbaum, James D. Fairchild, Orlet Hendricks, James S. Hendrickson, Oliver K. Taylor, Benjamin Wise, James S. Hendrickson, C. G. McCutcheon and William G. Coller were defendants, a final decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the fourteenth day of July A.D. one thousand eight hundred and seventy seven, in favor of said defendants and against said complainants, and that on said 14th day of July A.D. 1877 said complainants prayed an appeal from said Circuit Court of the United States to the Supreme Court of the United States which said appeal was allowed by said Circuit Court and appeal bond filed August 29, 1877.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at Springfield this tenth day of January A.D. eighteen hundred and eighty-five.

(Seal)

W. O. Jones
Clerk Circuit Court U. S. South. Dist. Ills.

and having stated that the appellants had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have this appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

588. Thomas Poindexter, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow, Treasurer &c.

589. William L. White, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.

590. Samuel S. Carter, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.

826. S. Brown Allen, Auditor &c., et al., Appts vs.
The Baltimore & Ohio R. R. Co.

Tuesday January 24th 1882. continued.

No. 1259. P. F. Moore. Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel B. Chandler, Executor &c.

1260. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

Mr. F. S. Blair, of counsel, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance these cases.

No. 1261. Joseph Gunther vs. The Mayor & City Council of Baltimore. Pff. in Error to the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. E. Hoesan, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, who moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Tuesday, January 27th 1885, Continued.

178.	Charles Barrent vs. Appellant The Hall Safe & Lock Co. et als.	The argument of this Cause was concluded by Mr. George Hoskins Curtis, of Counsel for the appellant.
179.	Jasper Asbill et als. vs. Plffs. in Error Edwin P. Whitmore.	Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.
180.	John Chetwood vs. Plff. in Error Thomas B. C. Berrian.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket, and Counsel for the Plaintiff in error having directed the dismissal of the writ of error in this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that

the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

181. Richard Jennings vs. Plff. in Error
Pascal L. Sharpe.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order when the docket and counsel for the plaintiff in error having moved the dismissal of the writ of error in the cause. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

182. Elisha Hyatt et al. vs. Appellants
The Vincennes National Bank et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record & printed

arguments by Mr. A. C. Harris and Mr. W. H. Watkins, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. F. W. Viehe, of counsel for the appellees.

'856. Samuel A. Pough vs. Henry S. Lewis. Appellant. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. R. E. Merrick, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. F. G. Riddle, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. T. J. Brittenden, of counsel for the appellant.

'83. B. F. Camp vs. The United States. Appellant. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. O. D. Barrett, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Mury, of counsel for the appellee, and Mr. B. F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 28th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in that behalf by Mr. Edmund Wetmore it is ordered that U. G. Eulinghuysen, Esquires, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

183. B. F. Camp vs. The United States. Appellant. The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. B. F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant.

134. John E. Parsons and Charles M. Da Costa, Executors of Hugh Maxwell, deceased, late Collector &c. vs. Lewster Wilkinson et al. Plffs. in Error. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, by leave of Court, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. A. W. Griswold, of counsel for the defendants in error.

525. The United States (Intervenor) vs. The Indianapolis & St. Louis R.R. Co. Appellant. On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced.

525. The United States (Intervenor) vs. Appellant The Indianapolis & St. Louis R.R. Co. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, and by Mr. John F. Dyer, of counsel for the appellees.

1186. The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error Emanuel N. Cranes. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. M. Hubbard, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. C. N. Clark, of counsel for the defendant in error.

1141. Frederick Hopt vs. Plff. in Error The People of the Territory of Utah. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the

record and printed arguments by Mr. R. M. Baskin, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1027. Silas H. Murphy, Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et als.

Nos. 1028. Mary Ann M. Pratt, Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et als.

1029. Mildred E. Randall et al., Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et als.

1030. Ellen C. Clawson et al., Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et als.

1031. James M. Barlow, Appellant, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et als.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. George E. West, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellees, and Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday. January 29th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met
today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray "
Samuel Blatchford

John H. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Esqr.

Proclamation being made the Court is
opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this
behalf by Mr. Dennis McMahon it is order-
ed that Oliver J. Wells, Esquire, of Brooklyn,
State of New York, be admitted to practice
as an attorney and counsellor of this
Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The State of Alabama
6, Original. vs. Plaintiff
Isaac J. Burr et al.

On motion of Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the defendants, Ordered by the Court that the time within which the defendants were to plead or demur be, and the same is hereby, extended to the first day of April next.

Andrew Stewart et al.
1240. vs. Appellants
James H. Dunham et al.

The motion to rescind the order docketing and dismissing this cause, and for leave to docket the same, was argued by Mr. A. H. Garland and Mr. George E. Edmunds, in support of the same, and by Mr. J. M. Johnston, in opposition thereto.

1027. Jessie J. Murphy
1028. Mary Ann M. Pratt
1029. Mildred E. Randall et al.
1030. Ellen C. Clawson et al
1031. James M. Barlow

} Appellants, vs.
Alexander Ramsey et al.

The argument of these cases was concluded by Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel for the appellants.

The Chicago Life Insurance Co. vs. Thomas B. Needles, Auditor &c. } In Error

544 This cause was argued by Mr. W. C. Lorney, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. Mr. S. T. High and Mr. E. B. Sherman, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 30th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present:

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John C. Hoday Esquire, Marshall
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Dennis McMahon it is ordered by the Court that S. Burdett Hyatt, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William Lawrence it is

ordered that R. A. Stevenson, Esquire, of Indianapolis, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. G. E. Lape it is ordered that A. H. Garrison, Esquire, of Baltimore State of Maryland, and William A. Ivins, Esquire, of Oil City, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Charles E. Pierce vs. The City & County of San Francisco et al. — Appellant

1885. — This cause was argued by A. H. Garrison, of counsel for the appellant, and A. A. William Craig, of counsel for the appellee.

The Mayor &c Council of	In Error to the
The City of Clarksville	Circuit Court
vs. Pff. in Error	of the United
The United States ex rel:	States for the
William R. Hawes.	Eastern District
	of Missouri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket, and counsel for the plaintiffss in error having directed the dismissal of the writ of error in this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Samuel T. Morgan, Admr. &c.	This cause
vs. Appellant	was argu.
John C. Hamlet et al.	ed by Mr.
	C. F. Phil.

lips, of counsel for the appellant and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. U. M. Rose, of counsel for the appellees.

Norman H. Pollock
vs. Appellant
The Bridgeport Steamboat
Company, Claimant vo.

This cause was argued by Mr. S. L. Stevens of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Dennis McMahon of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, February 2d 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. C. Clayton it is ordered that Eugene A. Brewster, Esquire, of Newburgh, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter S. Smith it is ordered that William N. Bowe, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Ephraim Banning it is ordered that Edward Osgood Brown, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. G. Riddle it is ordered that Laura De Force Gordon, of the State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and she was sworn accordingly.

James W. Harvey
and James Kivesey
vs. Appellant
The United States ... Appeals from
and ... the Court of
The United States ... Claims.
vs. Appellants
James W. Harvey
and James Kivesey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, on appeal and cross-appeal, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed for the full amount of the award made to the claimants, and that an additional amount of twenty-three thousand eight hundred and forty-two dollars and eighty-two cents ($23,842.82) be allowed for the labor done and materials furnished by the claimants in constructing coffer

dams and in performing the work necessarily connected therewith and preliminary to the mason work for the piers and abutments referred to in their contract, the same being an additional allowance on account of item no. (1) in their petition filed August 30th 1876: (And that said judgment be, and the same is hereby, reversed so far as respects item two (2) in said petition, and that the sum of four thousand five hundred and seventy-four dollars and eighty cents ($4,574.80) be allowed for said item two (2): (And it is further ordered that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims with a direction to enter judgment accordingly.

In the Supreme Court.

171. Chauncey D. Spaids vs. Dennis A. Cooley. Pltf. in Error

In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on

the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with a direction to reverse the judgment of the Court in Special Term, with costs, and to direct that Court to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Kate J. Bayliss, by her guardian ad litem Robert R. Rhodes vs. Plff. in Error The Travelers Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court

of the United States for the Eastern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Ray Stone
vs. Off. on Error
Robert J. Chisolm, J. D.
Mowry, A. Canale and
Samuel Lord.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this

Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Matthews

1218 John Thornley vs. The United States. Appellant

Appeal from the Court of Claims

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

147 Lydia M. Russell vs. Eleazer P. Kendrick, William Swissgood, Jane Higgins et als. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

Lydia M. Russell
vs.
E. L. Hughes et al.

No. 118. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

	The Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Quincy	In Error to the Circuit
1083.	vs.	Court of the
	The United States ex relatione Peter A. H. Jackson.	United States for the Southern District
		of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	The Illinois Pneumatic Gas Company	Appeal from the
		Circuit Court of
1143.	vs. Appellant	the United States
	Joseph H. Berry and	for the Eastern
	Thomas Berry	District of Mich-
		igan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Field.

Alfred Dully
vs. Appellant
James A. Drennan, W.L. .
J. McAllister. C.H. Kramer,
M.D. Burket. J.W. Bowdle
et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

U.S.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and

decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1116. Alfred Sully vs. William R. Manning. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

1117. Alfred Sully vs. William R. Matthews. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for

The Southern District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Ex parte: In the matter of Benjamin F. Bigelow, Petitioner. The Court having granted leave to file the petition for writs of habeas corpus and certiorari in this case and upon consideration thereof, it is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Andrew Stewart et al.		On considera-
1240. vs.	Appellants	tion of the mo-
James M. Dunham et al.		tion to rescind
		the order dock.

ting and dismissing this cause and for leave to file the record and docket the cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted upon payment of costs and the case is to be docketed as No. 1240.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

William M. Fowle Sr..		On consideration
Admr. &c.		of the motion to
1078. vs.	Appellant	dismiss in this
Alexander May.		cause, and of the
		argument of coun-

sel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied. But as the Clerk of the Circuit Court does not

Ordered That the transcript sent in this Court is a complete transcript of the record of the case. The appellee may issue a writ of certiorari under which if the parties can agree upon what is necessary for a decision of the case here in addition to what is now here, the agreed record shall be supplied at the cost of the appellant primarily. If they cannot agree the appellee may perfect the record under the certiorari, the cost being subject to the future order of this Court.

Per. Mr. Justice. Miller.

588. Thomas Swindler Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.

589. William R. White, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.

590. Samuel R. Carter, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.

526. J. Brown Allen, Auditor, et al., Appts. vs.
The Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co.

108. P. T. Moore, Plff in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.

260. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error, vs.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cases are assigned for argument on the third Monday in March next, at the foot of the call for that day. Notice of this order must be served on the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company within the next two weeks, with leave to said company to be heard as to the time.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Order.

It is ordered by the Court that Mandates issue in all cases decided prior to the first day of January, 1885, when applied for, except where a petition for a rehearing is pending, cases docketed and dismissed under the ninth rule, and cases Nos 68 and 286.

Martha E. Young
70. vs. Pet. in Error
John Lincoln et ux.

On motion of Mr. Young of counsel for the petitioner in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

James W. Harvey et al.
625. vs. Appellants
The United States

The United States
626. vs. Appellant
James W. Harvey et al.

On motion of Mr. Smith Carter counsel for Harvey et al. leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in these cases.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday, March 2nd 1885 at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 2d 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon, it is ordered that Hiram Y. Smith, Esquire, of Des Moines, State of Iowa, Everard Circer, Esquire, of Hiawatha, State of Kansas, and George T. Madill, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of

This Court and they were severally sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Brewster it is ordered that A. C. McClure and Clifford C. Shipley, Esquires of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were severally sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips it is ordered that James E. F. Bowen, Esquire of Washington, District of Columbia and Octavius C. Bellas, Esquire, of Buffalo, State of New York, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court, and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, it is ordered that

George A. Converse, Esquire, of Columbus State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Follett it is ordered that J. H. Kelley, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. J. Hillyer it is ordered that F. M. Hulfaker, Esquire, of Virginia City, State of Nevada, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Jeff Chandler it is ordered that William Warner, Esquire, of Kansas City, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and

counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. A. McKenney, it is ordered that Truman D. White, Esquire, of Buffalo, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

Elisha Hyatt, Noah Hyatt
Jared W. Simmons & Albert W. Whitter, composing the partnership firm of Hyatt, Simmons & Co., William Goldenstein et al.
vs.
The Vincennes National Bank of Vincennes, Indiana and The Washington National Bank of Washington, Indiana.

52. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District

of Indiana, and was argued by Coun-
sels. On consideration whereof, It is now
here ordered adjudged and decreed by
this Court that the decree of the said Cir-
cuit Court in this cause be, and the same
is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The United States } Appeal from the
vs. Appellant } Court of Claims.
Edward L. Jordan.

This cause came on to be heard on the
transcript of the record from the Court of
Claims and was argued by Counsel.
On consideration whereof, It is now here
ordered and adjudged by this Court
that the judgment of the said Court of
Claims in this cause be, and the same
is hereby, affirmed.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Allen Crawford, Executor, etc.		Appeal
vs.		from the
David L. Scott, Administrator of		Circuit
William Allen, deceased, David		Court of
N. Scott, Oscar M. Scott, heir at		the United
law of William Allen, de-		States for
ceased, et als.		the South-
		ern Dis-
		trict of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Dissenting: Mr. Chief Justice Waite

Mr. Justice Matthews having been of counsel did not sit in the case or take any part in the decision.

John E. Parsons & Charles H. Da Costa, Executors of Isaah Maxwell, deceased, late Collector of the Port of New York	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
113. vs. Tipps.	
In Error	
Lewster Wilkinson, Charles Whitaker and Charles Whitaker, Junior.	

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions

Monday March 2nd 1863, continued.

to award a new trial.

per Mr Justice Grier

Edward B. Chase
vs. Plff. in Error
Josiah Curtis and
Henry De Coppet.

In Error to the
Circuit Court of
the United States
for the Southern
District of New
York.

154.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by Counsels. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Nelson.

Samuel D. Morgan, Admin-
istrator de bonis non of Samuel
D. Morgan, deceased, Samuel J.
Morgan and William W. Morgan,
children & only heirs at law of
Samuel D. Morgan, & Jabitha A.
Morgan, his wife
vs.
John C. Hamlet and Alice R.
Hamlet, his wife, James W.
Abell and Emma V. Abell, his
wife.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

907. The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company vs. James H. Berry et al., as The Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Arkansas. Pff. in Error. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Matthews.

1157. Frederick Prentice vs. Ozora P. Stearns. Pff. in Error. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with cost.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company vs. Emanuel B. Cowan. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

1186. Plff. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

Monday March 2nd 1863 continued.

Affirmed with costs.

per Mr Justice Catron

Samuel S. Rogers Claimant
M. Evans, respondent in
S. S. Rogers & Company
526. vs. Appellants Appeal from
The United States the Court
& of
The United States Claims
527. vs. Appellant
The Manhattan Savings
Institution.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, on a circuit appeals and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Court of Claims with directions to enter a judgment in

conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Mr. Justice Matthews.

528. Louis A. Von Hoffman and William Merlens, copartners as L. Von Hoffman & Company, vs. The United States. } Appeals from the Court of Claims.

529. The United States vs. The Manhattan Savings Institution. } Appellant

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, on separate appeals, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgments of the said Court of Claims be, and the same are hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims with

directions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews

Isaac A. Seaver and
Andrew J. Kuykendall
1331 vs. Appellants
Charles T. Ham.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar decrees bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

(announced by Mr. Justice Gray.)

Monday, March 2nd 1885, continued.

Margaret K. Mayo Wm	Appeal from the
William L. Mayo	Circuit Court of
vs.	the United States
Samuel Walker.	for the Southern
	District of Illin.
	ois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, so modified as to extend the time for the payment of the sum coming to Walker, amounting to twenty-five thousand, two hundred and seven dollars and thirteen cents ($25,207.13) with interest at the rate of six per cent per annum from the 3rd of September, 1880, for the period of six months from the filing of the mandate of this Court in the Circuit Court, and as so modified that said decree be affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same

rate per annum that similar decrees bear on the Courts of the State of Illinois

per Mr. Justice Woods.

(announced by Mr. Justice Gray.)

Charles E. Blake
188. vs. Appellant
The City & County of San Francisco, David Sammell, A. J. Bryant, E. E. Moss, Sinclair Thomas Fatman, Peter Hopkins & Charles Field.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods

(announced by Mr. Justice Gray.)

Emma V. Brown Executrix of James Brown, deceased, Appellant
vs. Appeal from the Court of Claims.
1245. The United States.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Mr. Mr. Justice Woods.

(Mr. Mr. Justice Gray.)

Francis W. Boyer Plff. in Error
vs. In Error to the Supreme
1140. Daniel Boyer, John Leahey Court of the
& A. J. Shortall, County Com- State of Penn-
missioners of Schuylkill sylvania.
County.

This cause came on to be heard on the

Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be and the same is hereby reversed with costs, and that the cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan

(Mr Chief Justice Chase did not sit in the case or participate in its decision.)

The Chicago Life Insurance Company
vs.
Thomas B. Needles Auditor of Public Accounts of the State of Illinois.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

184.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, and was

argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Gaston.

The Commissioners of Buncombe County, E. Clayton, Jay & Deal, Allen Cannon & Co., W. P. Fortune, M. J. Faw, George E. Perrin, Rice & Coleman, John Garrison and N. J. Gramlin

vs. Appellants

Vincent R. Tommey, The Atlanta Collina Mill Company & William H. Inman & John R. Cleveland, Trustees.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of North Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of North Carolina and was argued by counsel. On consideration

ordered, that the said Circuit Court be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan

183. Benjamin F. Camp, Appellant, vs. The United States, Appellee. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan

74. Frank Lepard and John R. Hall, Plffs. in Error, vs. A. E. Watson. The Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western D.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas and was argued by coun-sel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby re-versed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to grant a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Provident Institution for savings in Jersey City		In Error to the
	vs.	Court of Chan-
1012	Pff. in Error.	cery of the State
The Mayor and Aldermen of Jersey City		of New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the tran-script of the record from the Court of Chan-cery of the State of New Jersey, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged

and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Court of Laramie County be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

for the Laramie County.

The Union Pacific Railway Company vs. Appellant
Andrew Glynn, Marshal of the City of Cheyenne, et The City of Cheyenne.

Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Wyoming

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Wyoming and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed with costs, And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to enter a decree in favor of the

compliance in conformity with the opin-
ion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The California Artificial		Appeal from
Stone Paving Company		and in Error
vs.	Appellant	to the Cir-
Charles A. Molitor		cuit Court
et al		of the United
The California Artificial		States for the
Stone Paving Company		District of
12 Wall. vs.	Dft. in Error	California.
Charles A. Molitor		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal and the writ of error in this cause be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit

Court to be proceeded in accordingly to law.

per Mr Justice Blatchford.

The Winona & St. Peter Railroad Company		Writ of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.
122.	vs.	
Ashbel H. Barney, Jesse Hoyt, Alfred M. Hoyt, Samuel M. Hoyt, William H. Fargo et als	Appellants	

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs: And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Wm. L. Burhard	In Error to
vs. Plff. in Error	the Circuit
Anthony Boaro, W.L.	Court of the
Will Eugene B. Curtis	United States
and August Krilles.	for the District
	of Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Joel B. Bernhardt
vs.
Appellant
Anthony Bowen, Wm.
Hull, Eugene B. Bushong
and August Stiller.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to restore the injunction until the final determination of the action at law between the same parties.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Samuel A. Peugh	Appeal from the
vs. Appellant	Supreme Court of
Henry L. Davis.	the District of Col.
	umbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Cornelius Humbel	On consideration
vs. Plff. in Error	of the motion
John K. S. Pitkin, U.S.	to dismiss the
Marshal, et al.	writ of error or
	affirm the judg-

ment in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

The Kansas Pacific Railway Company vs. Lewis Dunmeyer. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Ex parte: In the matter of Clinton B. Fisk Original. Petitioner. On consideration of the petition of Clinton B. Fisk for a writ of habeas corpus, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, granted.

	Turner Bailey et ux.	This cause was
131.	vs. Appellants	re-submitted to
	Thomas Knowles.	the consideration
		of the Court on

the record and printed arguments by Mr. G. Nolasworth Gordon, of Counsel for the Appellants - No counsel appearing for the Appellee.

	Lida C. Hardin, Adm'x ver.	Ordered by
132.	vs. Appellant	the Court
	Blount C. Boyd, Adm'r. vc.	that Floy
	et alle.	N. Hardin,
		Lida C. Har-

din, William D. Hardin and John J. Hardin, heirs at law of William D. Hardin, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellants in this cause.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	Charles E. Schmieder 2d	In Error to
	Charles F. Schmieder	the Circuit
109.	vs. Plffs. in Error	Court of the
	Miriam Barney, late	United
	Collector of Customs.	States for

Monday, March 2nd 1885 continued.

the Southern District of New York

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

-per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The City of St Louis vs. Plff in Error
John R. Myers.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.

958.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and on the motion to dismiss, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this

Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1085 Alfred Richards vs. Brooke Mackall Jr. Appellant. On consideration of the motions to dismiss this appeal or to vacate the supersedeas in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

927 M. A. Dauphin vs. The Times Publishing Co. Plff in Error. The motion to advance this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court by Mr. Attorney General Brewster and Mr. Wilfred C. Shipley, in support of the same with leave to Mr. C. W. Moulton, of counsel for the plaintiff in

error to file a printed brief in opposition thereto.

1011. James Dooley vs. Appellant The District of Columbia. On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Phillips leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

1044. Benjamin Butterworth, Commissioner of Patents vs. Appellant Samuel Hill et al. On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Phil. U.S. of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, re-assigned for argument at the foot of the call on Monday, March 9th.

1273. J. De Rosset Lamar, Executrix. vs. Plff. in Error Hugh McCulloch. Mr. Solicitor General Phillips of counsel for the defendant in error. Filed and

submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

1263. Rudger Clawson vs. Plff in Error The United States. Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

208. The New Orleans Gas Light Co. vs. Appellant The Louisiana Light & Heat Producing & Manufacturing Company et al. On motion of Mr. John C. Campbell, of counsel for the appellant. Ordered by the Court that this case be placed at the foot of the call for March 16th if reached on the regular call of the docket before that day.

1264. Charles E. Hess vs. Plff in Error Wm Reynolds, Admr. &c. On motion of Mr. William Lawrence, in behalf of counsel

Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

730 William Barnes, Trustee vs. The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Co. Appellant

Mr. A. Chester on behalf of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

612 Roswell G. Rolston et al., Trustees &c. vs. Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, etc. et al Appellants

The motion to advance these causes was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Enoch H. West, on behalf of counsel for Crittenden et al, in support of the same, and by Mr. John F. Dillon and Mr. Elihu Root, of counsel for Rolston et al., in opposition thereto.

792 Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, etc. et al vs. Roswell G. Rolston et al, Trustees, &c. Appellants

The Memphis & Little Rock Railroad Co. (as reorganized) vs. Appellant
1119. J. H. Bonner & Company

On motion of Mr. Yates for Appellant on behalf of counsel, Ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari issue in this case as prayed.

868. The Town of Fairbanks, Plff. in Error, vs. J. N. Fairbanks.

869. The Town of Sheldon, Plff. in Error, vs. C. W. Day.

868. The Town of Sheldon, Plff. in Error, vs. J. N. Fairbanks.

Mr. George A. Sanders, of counsel for the defendants in error, moved the Court to grant leave to the Clerk to issue the Mandates in these cases, which was opposed by Mr. Robert Doyle, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

The Windsor Manufacturing Company & Ebenezer D. Lumbers vs. The Steam Stone Cutter Company

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Vermont.

228.

This cause being called to be heard in person of the Court by Mr. Justice Woodward, on behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the decree of the Circuit Court has been filed. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed. Costs in this Court to be taxed by the Appellants.

per. Mr. Chief Justice Waite

Russell L. Jones, Eastburn L. Jamison & Charles G. Jones, composing the firm of Jones, Jamison & Company, Rosina Hoare, Jeorge E. Chase and The Windsor Manufacturing Company. vs. The Steam Stone Cutter Company. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Vermont.

509. Appellants

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John W. Stewart, in behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the decree of the Circuit Court has been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellants.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Monday, March 2nd 1885 continued.

The Steam Navigation Company
vs. Appellant
The Windsor Manufacturing Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Vermont

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Justice Woods, on behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this appeal has been filed, it is now here ordered adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, without costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant:

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ray Stone
vs. Appellants
Robert L. Greenleaf et al.

Motion of Mr. W. G. Cardozo of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Leave is hereby granted to him to move the Mandate in this cause.

Joseph Davies, Collector &c. vs. Pff. in Error
The U.S. exrel: Austin Corbin et al.

Abner Gaines, Collector &c. vs. Pff. in Error
The U.S. exrel: Austin Corbin et al.

The motions to dismiss or affirm in these cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the records and printed arguments by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, Mr. B. C. Brown, Mr. E. W. Kimball and Mr. C. P. Redmond, in support of the same, and Mr. A. H. Garland, in opposition thereto.

The Bradstreet Company vs. Pff. in Error
Christian H. Higgins.

Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to relax the costs in this case.

172. Thomas W. Edwards et al. vs. Franklin Farrell. Appellants. Mr. J. M. McHowan, of counsel for the appellee, moved the Court to rescind the order entered in this case on the 9th day of January, 1885, continuing the same until the next term and to assign the case for argument on the second Monday of April next. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, overruled without prejudice.

5H. Michael Kinsby et al vs. Charles Donoghue. Offs. in Error. Mr. E. J. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause and assign the same for argument with No. 239.

8. Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis L. Hughes, Original. Petitioner. On motion of Mr. J. H. Mitchell, of counsel for the petitioner,

Ordered by the Court that the argument on the return to the rule to show cause be, and the same is hereby, postponed until the 16th instant

Thurber, Whyland & Co. Appellants
1268 vs. Mr. W. A. McKenney, on behalf of counsel for the appellants, submitted to the consideration of the Court
A. W. Woodward et als.

Thurber, Whyland & Co. Appellants
1269 vs.
A. W. Woodward et als.

motions to advance these cases pursuant to 32d rule.

The Detroit City Railway Co. Plff. in Error
723 vs. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. H. M. Duffield, in support of the same, and Mr. J. C. Donnelly, Mr. F. A. Baker and Mr. George F. Edmunds, in opposition thereto.
Jacob Kuhnard.

382. L. M. Marshall vs. Pltf. in Error Stephen Hubbard. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. P. D. Markham, in support of the same, and Mr. T. R. Judd, in opposition thereto.

997. Catharine Shields et al. vs. Pltfs. in Error Arthur Shiff. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John A. Campbell, in support of the same, and Mr. L. A. Breaux, in opposition thereto.

[illegible] John T. Elder vs. Pltf. in Error Emma W. Ulman. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr

E. Howard M^c Caleb, in support of the same; and Mr. W. W. Howe and Mr. S. H. Kennard, in opposition thereto.

1244. The St. Louis & San Francisco Railway Company et al. vs. Plffs. in Error William C. Wilson. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, pursuant to the 32d rule, by Mr. James O. Broadhead, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. J. S. Bolster, of counsel for the defendant in error.

1246. N. D. Putnam et al. vs. Plffs. in Error George E. Ingraham This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court pursuant to the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. H. E. Dickson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. E. Sexton, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Wednesday morning next at eleven

Wednesday, March 4th 1885

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in his behalf by Mr. John J. Morgan it is ordered that George U. Moore, Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsel for of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Court attended the inauguration of His Excellency, Grover Cleveland, President of the United States.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday. March 5th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
} Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. O. H. Platt it is ordered that John C. Kennedy, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, and Lewis W. Bolton, Esquire, of Clyde, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court,

and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. G. Lapham it is ordered that Michael Jacobs, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Jeff Chandler, it is ordered that Samuel Word and Thomas L. Tapton, Esquires, of Butte City, Territory of Montana, and Joseph K. Toole, Esquire, of Helena, Territory of Montana, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George W. Julian it is ordered that Charles A. Sumner, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was

Thursday. May 5th 1853 continued.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. E. Boyle it is ordered that John C. Reeves, Esquire, of Lancaster, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 9th, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John C. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin Harris Brewster it is ordered that Henry B. Foute, Esquire, of Dubuque, State of Iowa, N. F. Thomason, Esquire, of Van Buren, Robert A. Howard, Esquire, of Little Rock and M. H. Sandels, Esquire, of Fort Smith,

State of Arkansas, John C Young, Esqre. of Shreveport, State of Louisiana and Edwin A. Knight, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court & they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr William Lawrence it is ordered that John K Hosford, Esquire, of Louisville, State of Kentucky, and Arcant J. Fouge, Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in behalf by Mr. A. J. A. McMillan it is ordered that E. A. Jones, Esquire, of Rochester, and George W Batchelder, Esquire, of Faribault, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court & they were sworn accordingly

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter H. Smith it is ordered that William Hyndman, Esquire, of Ketchum, and Norman M. Buick, Esquire, of Hailey, Territory of Idaho, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph Daniels it is ordered that Joseph E. Hazard, Esquire, of Randolph, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. M. Marble it is ordered that James Blair, Esquire, of Grand Rapids, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. J. Bell it is ordered that G. D. Horton, Esquire, of Port Gibson, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George W. Lay it is ordered that Arthur C. Ludlow, Esquire, of Denver, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Morgan it is ordered that Lewis L. McArthur, Esquire, of The Dalles, State of Oregon, and John H. Christian, Esquire, of Mobile, State of Alabama, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. E. Earle it is ordered that J. Moultrie Mordecai, Esquire, of Charleston, State of South Carolina, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. D. Pirtle it is ordered that U. F. Williard, Esquire, of Cleveland, State of Ohio, and C. D. Hardin, Esquire, of Frankfort, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The Honorable Augustus H. Garland appeared and produced the following Letters Patent which were ordered to be recorded.

Grover Cleveland,
President of the United States of America,
To all who shall see these presents, Greeting:
Know Ye, That reposing special

Trust and confidence in the patriotism, Integrity and Abilities of Augustus H. Garland, of Arkansas, I have nominated, and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, do appoint him to be Attorney General; and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfil the duties of that office according to law. And to have and to hold the said office, with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him the said Augustus H. Garland, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In testimony whereof, I have caused these letters to be made Patent and the seal of the United States to be hereunto affixed. Given under my hand, at the City of Washington, the sixth day of March, in the year of our Lord one thousand, eight hundred and eighty-five and of the Independence of the

(Seal)

Unite... United States of America
The one hundred and ninth.
Grover Cleveland.

By the President:
T. F. Bayard
Secretary of State.

1141. Frederick Hopt vs. Plff. in Error The People of the Territory of Utah. Ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari, returnable on the first Monday in April next, be issued to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah, requiring that Court to certify to this Court as part of the record in this case copies of any omitted which on examination it may be found were actually included in the record of the district court on which the appeal from that Court was heard.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

382. L. M. Marshall vs. Plff. in Error Stephen Hubbard. On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause

and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same. It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1067. John K. Elder vs. Plff. in Error Emma U. Ullman. On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1197. Catharine Shields et als. vs. Plffs. in Error Arthur Shiff. On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that the motion to affirm be, and

the same is hereby denied; And that the further consideration of the motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby postponed until the hearing of the cause on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Roswell G. Rolston et al., Trustees &c. vs. Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al.

612. Appellants

and

Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al. vs. Roswell G. Rolston et al., Trustees &c.

792. Appellants

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Monday. March 9th 1891 continued.

William Crowell Smith. vs. Appellant
The Chicago, Milwaukee & St Paul Railway Company

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

930

H. A. Compton vs. Plff in Error
The Semi Centennial Company.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

927

E. De Russet Farmer Executor &c. vs. Plff in Error
Hugh McCulloch.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the

273

Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the case assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after Nos. 1112, 1113 & 1114.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1263. Rudger Clawson vs. Plff. in Error The United States. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby, granted, and the case assigned for argument at the foot of the call for Monday, April 6th.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

511. Michael Hanley et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Charles Donoghue. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause and assign the same for argument with No. 239. It is ordered by the Court that this case may be argued with No. 239 as one case, if the parties to both cases stipulate in

writing to that effect and no variation of time is required by the parties to r. 239 section 6 enters into such a stipulation, the counsel on this case may file printed briefs in that, provided twenty copies of such brief are filed with the Clerk, and one copy served on each of the counsel on r. 239 at least six days before the case is called for argument.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

	Reuben Wheeland & Company	On consideration of the motion to advance the above cause pursuant to the 32nd rule, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby granted.
1268	vs. Appellants	
	O. H. Woodward and al	
	Reuben Wheeland & Company	
1269	vs. Appellants	
	O. W. Woodward et al	

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

605.	The Bradstreet Company vs. Forrester M. Higgins.	Plff. in Error	On consideration of the motion to retax the costs in

this case, It is ordered by the Court that the further consideration of said motion be, and the same is hereby, postponed until the first Monday in April next; and that a copy of this order and of the motion and of the brief which has been filed in support of it be served on the plaintiff in error, or its counsel, at least ten days prior to that time.

-per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

306.	The Town of Richland vs. Augustus T. Post.	Plff. in Error	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the

Southern District of Illinois.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. E. W. Moulton, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause,

It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

656. Gottfried Bachman vs. The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company. Appellant

Mr. W. L. Royall, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court an motion to advance this cause and assign the same for argument with No 329, as one case.

131. Ellis Griffith vs. Alexis Godey et al. Appellant

Mr. Grant W. Rockett, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

622. The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company vs. George D. Allen. Appellant

The motion to dismiss or affirm, or to vacate the supersedeas in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. O. B. Sansum, in support of the same, and Mr. J. P. Hornor, in opposition thereto.

623. The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company vs. Silas Weeks. Appellant

The motion to dismiss or affirm, or to vacate the supersedeas in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. O. B. Sansum, in support of the same, and Mr. J. P. Hornor, in opposition thereto.

Courtney v. Kennedy's &c. continued.

	The Commonwealth for Use &c.	No. 2 of
	v.	Further
818	vs. Opp in Error	ordered for
	The Commonwealth of Kentucky	the Court.
	for	not an error
819	vs. Opp in Error	filed as a
	The Commonwealth of Kentucky	mandate to
	for	the circuit
	The Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co.	court of the
	vs.	tion to ad-
820	vs. Opp in Error	mit the
	The Commonwealth of Kentucky.	cause.
	Theodore J. McCormick	The motion
830	&c. Opp in Error	to compel
	The American Central Sav.	the plaintiff
	Bank Company.	in error to
		give security

additional security on the supersedeas bond given in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. R. T. Hickey, Mr. E. W. Turner and Mr. Thomas M. Bowles in support of the same, and Mr. Thorpe

Argument for the motion by Mr. H. H. Johnson, in opposition thereto by Mr. Gladstone and Mr. W. D. Lincoln, in opposition thereto.

1045. The Tombstone Mill & Mining Co. vs. The Way Up Mining Company et al. Appellant

The motion to dismiss or affirm and the motion to remand the record in this cause were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. D. Rousse, in support of the motion to dismiss or affirm, and Mr. S. K. McCammon in opposition to the motion to dismiss or affirm and in support of the motion to remand.

1112. John M. Stone et al. vs. The Farmers Loan & Trust Co. vs. Appellants

1113. John M. Stone et al. vs. The Illinois Central R.R. Co. vs. Appellants

Ordered by the Court that these causes be, and the same are hereby, re-assigned for argument

	John M Stone et als vs. The New Orleans North Eastern R R Co:	Appellants

on the second day of the present term of the Court for that day.

1251.	The First National Bank of Iowa Ohio vs. Geo. W. Iowa Daniel M Lamont et als. Administrators &c.	Appellees

This cause was argued by Mr. C. M Johnson of counsel for the appellant on error and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Wm Hill of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

1252.	Benjamin Butterworth Commissioner of Patents vs. Samuel Hill et al.	Appellant Appellees

This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. U S Simonds, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

uesday, March 10th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Angus Cameron it is ordered that Thomas R. Huddleston, Esquire, of St. Paul, State of Minnesota, and A. H. Holmes, Esquire, of Boone, and S. A. Trimble, Esquire, of Le Mars, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as Attorneys and Counsellors in this Court and they were severally

On motion first made to this Court in this behalf by Mr. J. G. Shipman it is ordered that A. T. Simmons, Esquire, of Palestine, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Morton Marye, Auditor &c., et al. vs. Edwin Parsons. Appellants

On motion of Mr. F. S. Blair, of counsels for the appellants, Ordered by the Court that this cause &c. and the same is hereby advanced and assigned for argument on March 15th next with Nos. 588, 589, 590, 826, 905 & 1260

(119) The Memphis & Little Rock R.R. Co. (as reorganized) vs. G. J. Bonner & Co., et als. Appellant

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. M. Rose, in support of the same, and Mr. B. B. Brown, in opposition thereto.

Robert K. Dow et al.,
trustees ..... The motion to
1844 vs. Appellants ..... dismiss or af-
The Memphis & Little firm in this
Rock R. R. Co (as reinstated) ..... cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. B. G. Brown, in support of the same, and Mr. U. M. Rose, in opposition thereto.

Edward T. Thompson, Trustee &c et als. These
189. vs. Appellants cases
Elizabeth E. Boisselier et als. ..... were ar-
Edward T. Thompson, Trustee &c et al. ..... gued by
190. vs. Appellants ..... Mr. Ed-
Elizabeth E. Boisselier et al ..... mund
The Mutual Harleem Mang. Co et als. Wetmore,
196. vs. Appellants of coun-
Edward T. Thompson, Trustee &c et al. ..... sel for the
and ..... appellants.
John Eaton et als. ..... in Nos.
197. vs. Appellants 189 & 190
Edward T. Thompson Trustee &c et als. and ap-
pellees

Tuesday, March 10th 1885. continued.

in the cases of, and by Mr. [illegible] of counsel for the appellees in the 192d case and appellants in the 193d and 194.

192. The Crane Iron Company vs. Plff. in Error Amos Hoagland et als. and Charles Stuart Wurts et als. vs. Plffs. in Error Amos Hoagland et als. 193.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Samuel Dickson, of counsel for the Plaintiff in error, continued on the part of the Plaintiffs in error by Mr. [illegible] of counsel for the defendants in error and concluded by Mr. J. H. Shipman of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

194. Walter Bohall vs. Plff in Error D. A. Dillon.

The Court are submitted to the consideration of the Court on the argument heretofore by Mr. W. W. Cooper, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Walter VanDyke, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until

Wednesday, March 11th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthew
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon, it is ordered that Walter George Smith, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, and John H. Rowe, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court, and

John Stephenson
195 vs. Appellant
The Brooklyn Cross Town
Railroad Company.

The argument of the cause was commenced by Mr. Benjamin F. Thurston, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Francis Rawle, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. William Allen Butler, of counsel for the appellant.

Charles R. Bissell
103. vs. Appellant
Simon N. Foss et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 12th, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

103. Charles R. Bissell vs. Simon H. Foss et al. Appellant. The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellant and Mr. D. P. Dyer, of counsel for the appellant, and concluded by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellant.

Thursday, March 12th 1857. continued.

1129 Joseph W. Lyon et al vs The National Steam Navigation Co.

Appellants

The argument of the counsel was commenced by Mr. C. F. Ashmead, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. John Chetwood, of counsel for the appellee

Proclamation being made, the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 13th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field.
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John H. Hickey, Esquire Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. G. C. Bancroft Davis it is ordered that Eugene Cutler, Esquire of Troy State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. Carroll Brewster it is ordered that Robert Snodgrass, Esquire, of Harrisburg, and Francis E. Brewster, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. G. Story it is ordered that James H. Wood, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

224. The Bowker Manufacturing Co. vs. The Elevator Manufacturing Co. 2nd Appellant

On motion of Mr. O. H. Hall, of counsel, leave is hereby granted him to withdraw the models in

259. J. B. Clark vs. The Bowker Manufacturing Co. Appellant

The Sun Mutual Insurance Company vs. The United States — Appellant

Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"In the Court of Claims.

The Sun Mutual Insurance Company vs. The United States. } No. 13706.

I certify that an application for the allowance of an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States from the judgment rendered February 15th, 1884, dismissing the petition in this case, was filed in open Court March 25, 1884, and that said appeal was allowed.

In testimony whereof I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of said Court of Claims this 10th day of March 1885.

(Seal)

John Randolph
Asst. Clerk Ct. of Claims"

and having stated that the appellant

had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute this appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this appeal from the Court of Claims be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Jacob R. Telfair, Trustee of The Pacific Mutual Insurance Company
vs. Appellant
The United States.

No. 281

Mr. Solicitor General filed a copy of the act for the relief of the petitioner, hereto filed in open Court the following certificate to wit:

"In the Court of Claims.

Jacob R. Telfair, Receiver of The Pacific Mutual Insurance Company
vs.
The United States.

No. 13,747

I certify that an application for the allowance of an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States from the judgment rendered February 12th 1884, dismissing the petition in this case, was filed in open Court March 25, 1884, and that said appeal was allowed.

In testimony whereof I hereun-
to set my hand and affix the
(Seal) seal of the said Court of Claims
this 10th day of March A.D. 1885.

John Randolph
Asst. Clk. Ct. of Claims."

and having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court, or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this appeal from the Court of Claims be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Friday, March 13th 1855, continued.

Joseph W. Piper et al. vs. Appellants
The National Steam Navigation Company.

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. James G. Carter, of counsel for the appellants.

1855. The Gloucester Ferry Company vs. Plff. in Error
The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. G. Johnson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. M. C. Henry, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Robert Ingraham, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. J. G. Johnson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

William L. Gibson		The argument
174. vs.	Plff. in Error	of this cause
Edward Lyon et al.		was commenced by Mr.

David B. Harrington, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at ten o'clock.

Monday March 15th 1880.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
Justices

John F. Hartley, Esquire, Marshal.
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George A. King it is ordered that Thomas C. Early, Esquire, of Denver, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. W. Machen it is ordered that Thomas B. Gresham, Esquire, of Macon, State of Georgia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The United States vs. Charles T. Stewer. Appellant

1090. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Cooper Manufacturing Company of Mount Vernon, Ohio		Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.
40. vs.	Pff. in Error	
James W. Ferguson and Frank Harrison, partners as Ferguson & Harrison.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

Lida C. Hardin, Administratrix, and Floy A. Hardin, Lida C. Hardin, William R. Hardin and John J. Hardin, children and heirs at law of William D. Hardin, deceased.

vs. Appellants

Bland P. Boyd, Administrator of John D. Ware, deceased, Emma Ware, J. L. Lett and Mary M. Lett, his wife, J. P. Moses and Sue Moses, his wife.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

886

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Monday, March 16th 1885, continued.

525. The United States, Intervenor vs. The Indianapolis & Saint Louis Railroad Company. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Dist. of Indiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan

1181. Yoon Hing vs. Pff. in Error P. Crowley, Chief of Police of the City & County of San Francisco, State of California. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Dorsey E. W. Carter
vs. Appellant
Martha Jane Burr,
Administratrix of
C. C. Burr, deceased.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court, in General Term, in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

E. Mary Gregory, John L. Gregory, James E. Phillips, Thos. J. Canton, Luke Lavender and Milo F. Kellogg vs. Plffs. in Error Joseph W. Hartley and Reuben R. Tingley.

945. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Nebraska and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Fourth National Bank of St. Louis vs. Appellant A. L. Stout, W. M. Mills and John Temple, co-partners as Stout, Mills & Temple, et als

1024. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and on the motion to dismiss which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered adjudged and decreed by this Court That this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Memphis & Little Rock Railroad Company (as reorganized.) vs. Appellant
George J. Bonner and Edward N. Bonner, partners as G. J. Bonner & Company, et al. Appellees

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

1119

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and on the motion to dismiss or affirm, which was argued

by counsel. In consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

828. Joseph Daniel, Collector &c. vs. The U. S. ex rel. Austin Gordon et al. Pltff in Error

On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1266. Abner Daniel, Collector &c. vs. The U. S. ex rel. Austin Gordon et al. Pltff in Error

On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had,

as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Robert K. Dow et al., Appellants
vs.
The Northern Pacific Railroad Company. (as reorganized).

On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1845

The Cornstock Mill & Mining Co. Appellant
vs.
The Ming Up Mining Company et al.

1045

On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support

of as against the same. It is now hereordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Merchants Mutual Insurance Co., vs. Appellant George D. Allen. and The Merchants Mutual Insurance Co. 623. vs. Appellant Silas Weeks.

On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm or to vacate the supersedeas in these cases and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, it is now hereordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Gottfried Bachman 656. vs. Appellant The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co., et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause and assign the

time for argument with No. 329 as one case. It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be granted on condition that the parties stipulate in writing that the two cases may be heard as one when No. 329 is reached and no extension of time is asked. If the parties in No. 329 decline to enter into such stipulation counsel in this cause may submit briefs to be considered on the hearing of that cause if twenty-five copies of each of such briefs are filed with the Clerk and one copy served on each of the counsel in No. 329 at least six days before that cause is reached for argument.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas Pacific R.R. Co. vs. Pff. in Error
The Commonwealth of Kentucky.
No. 818.

The Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. vs. Pff. in Error
The Commonwealth of Kentucky.
No. 819.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that

and

Monday March 6th 1865. continued.

The Chesapeake Ohio & South-western R. R. Co
vs. Pepper in Error
The Commonwealth of Kentucky.

Leave motion be and the same is hereby granted and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term immediately after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

for Mr. Chief Justice White.

Edwin Knight
131. vs Appellant
Lewis Cooley et als.

On consideration of the motion for leave in the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby granted.

Theodore J. McKeon et als.
736. vs. Pltffs. in Error
The American Transit Com Co. Company.

On consideration of the motion to compel the plaintiffs in error to give new and additional sureties on the supersedeas bond given in this cause, It is now further ordered by

the Court that unless the plaintiffs in error on or before the third Monday in April next, file with the Clerk of this Court an additional bond in the penal sum of ten thousand dollars conditioned according to law for the purposes of a supersedeas with sureties approved by the Justice assigned to the Sixth Circuit that the supersedeas heretofore obtained herein be vacated.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of
The Canada Southern Railway Company — Petition for a writ of Mandamus.
2, Originals — Petitioner.

On motion of Mr. D. H. McMillan, of counsel for the petitioner, It is now here ordered by the Court that this petition be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, per stipulation of counsel.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The City of New Orleans — In Error to the
782 — vs. — Pff. in Error — Circuit Court of
Judah Hart — the United States.

Monday, March 16th 1846, continued

for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. E. Howard M'Call, counsel for the defendant in error, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss the writ of error in this cause has been filed, this cause is considered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, that costs in this Court be paid by the defendant in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Taney.

The City of New Orleans
vs. Off. on Mem.
Judah Hart

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

28.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. E. Howard M'Call, of counsel for the defendant in error, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation

to dismiss the writ of error in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the defendant in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John A. Morris et al. vs. The City of New Orleans. — 624. Appellants. The motion to advance this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. E. Howard McCaleb, in support of the same, and Mr. Joseph C. Hornor, in opposition thereto.

Thomas W. Edwards et al. vs. Franklin Farrell. — 152. Appellants. Mr. J. H. McGowan, of counsel for the appellee, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to rescind the order continuing this case until the next term and to assign the case for argument on April 6th.

308. Thomas Smackover, Pff in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Granberry.

309. William F. Wells, Pff in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Granberry.

310. Samuel C. Corbin, Pff in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Granberry.

905. C. J. Moore, Pff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Granberry.

256. R. B. Chaffin, Pff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

On motion of Mr. C. J. Moore, appointed for the defendants in error, Ordered by the Court that counsel be and he is hereby allowed six hours on the argument of these cases.

311. George W. Fincher et ux., Pffs in Error, vs.
M. J. O'Connor.

312. C. F. Lord et al., Pffs in Error, vs.
M. J. O'Connor.

313. Daniel Hazard et ux., Pffs in Error, vs.
M. J. O'Connor.

Mr. W. F. Johnson, of counsel for P

Defendant in error, moved the Court to postpone the assignment of Nos. 311 and 312 until No 313 is reached, which was opposed by Mr. E. C. Eaton, of counsel for the defendant in error, who moved the Court to advance Nos. 312 & 313 and assign the same for argument with No 310. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that Nos. 312 & 313 be, and they are hereby, advanced and assigned for argument with No 310, as one case.

313. Thomas E. Lovell et al. Plffs in Error vs. Thomas Jones. On Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Georgia.

This cause coming called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Thomas B. Gresham, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Error to Section for the	In Error to the
use of Charles E. Taylor	Circuit Court of
22. vs. Appellees and	the United States
Charles W. Tan and	for the Northern
James L. Parsons.	District of
	Illinois.

This cause coming on to be heard on the motion of the Court by Mr. Edward C. Donnan, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Frank Denton	Appeal from the Cir
1282. vs. Appellant	cuit Court of the Unit
Charles W. Tan &c	States for the Northern
James L. Parsons.	District of Illinois.

This cause coming on to be heard on the motion of the Court by Mr. Edward C. Donnan, of counsel for the appellant, and he having

moved the Court to dismiss this cause. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Samuel A. Peugh
vs. Appellant
Henry S. Davis.

On motion of Mr. H. E. Davis, of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes
Original —. Petitioner.

Mr. J. H. Mitchell, of counsel for the petitioner, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a reply to the return to the rule to show cause issued in this case.

Monday, March 16th 1885.

866. The Town of Martinton, Plff in Error, vs.
J. N. Fairbanks.

867. The Town of Sheldon, Plff. in Error, vs.
G. W. Kay.

868. The Town of Sheldon, Plff. in Error, vs.
J. N. Fairbanks.

Mr. E. J. Prindle, in behalf of counsel for the defendants in error, moves the Court for an order granting leave to the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

1223. Daniel B. Coe vs. Plff in Error Henry Morgan, impleaded &c. The motion to reinstate the order docketing and dismissing this case and for leave to file the record and docket the case in conformity with the rules was argued by Mr. James Saunders, in support of the same, and Mr. W. F. Cogswell, in opposition thereto.

	William L. Gibson	The argument of
198.	vs. Plff. in Error	this cause was
	Edward Lyon et al.	continued by Mr.
		David G. Harrison,

of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. William Henry Rawle, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. George W. Biddle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

	The Farmington Village	The argument
	Corporation	of this cause
199.	vs. Plff. in Error	was commenced
	Eben F. Pillsbury.	by Mr.
		William L.

Putnam, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 9th 1841.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met this day at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Harrison B. Hawes Justice

Samuel C. Miller

Stephen J. Field

Joseph P. Bradley

John M. Harlan Circuit

William L. Wood Justices.

Stanley Matthews

Horace Gray "

Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal

James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. H. Chamberlain it is ordered that James P. Hanbrow, Esquire of Elizabethtown, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was duly sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. D. Shipman it is ordered that William Lindsay, Esquire, of Frankfort, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

199. The Farmington Village Corporation vs. Plff. in Error Eben F. Pillsbury. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. William L. Putnam, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Charles F. Libby, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. William L. Putnam, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

200. J. Addison Hayes vs. Plff. in Error The Mayor & Aldermen of the City of Holly Springs. This cause was argued by Mr. N. T. Elbert, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. C. H. Watson, of coun-

Tuesday, March 9th 1875 continued.

Silas L. Huntley
201. vs. Appellant
Charles L. Huntley
Bradley Barlow.

Mr. W. F. Mattingly, of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Charles L. Huntley one of the appellees in this cause, and moved the Court to make Thomas L. Huntley, Executor of Charles L. Huntley deceased, a party appellee in the cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Thomas L. Huntley, Executor of Charles L. Huntley, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made a party appellee in this cause.

Silas L. Huntley
201. vs. Appellant
Thomas L. Huntley,
Executor &c., et als.

The argument of the cause was commenced by Mr. William F. Mattingly, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 18th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in his behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Rich. and L. Maury, Esquire, of Richmond, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Z. George, it is ordered that E. O. Sykes, Esquire, of Aberdeen, and John M. Allen, Esquire, of Tupelo, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Enoch Totten it is ordered that Charles T. Hinton, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1090. The United States vs. Charles L. Stever. Appellant

On motion of Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

950. William F. Thorpe et al. vs. The Victor Sewing Machine Co. and Rhoda Murphy, Adm'x &c. vs. The Victor Sewing Machine Co. — Appellants / Appellant — On motion of Mr. Enoch Totten in behalf of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandates in these cases.

951.

201. Silas S. Huntley vs. Thomas L. Huntley, Executor &c., et al. — Appellant / Appellees — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. W. F. Mattingly, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. J. Hubley Ashton and Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the appellant.

203. The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co. vs. Joseph S. Miller, Auditor &c. Plff. in Error

On motion of Mr. George L. Edmunds of counsel for the plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that counsel on each side be allowed one hour additional in the argument of this cause.

203. The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co. vs. Joseph S. Miller, Auditor &c. Plff. in Error

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. H. J. Roberts, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 19th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Hubley Ashton it is ordered that W. F. Peckham, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Don M. Dickinson it is ordered that J. G. Parkhurst, Esquire of Coldwater, State of Michigan be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. G. C. McMillan it is ordered that C. D. O'Brien, Esquire, of Saint Paul, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co. Plff. in Error
203. vs.
Joseph S. Miller, Auditor &c. Deft.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. W. G. Robertson, of of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. C. C. Watts, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. George F. Edmunds, of

	Hiram K. Hazlett	Ordered by the
204	vs. Appellant	Court that this
	The United States.	cause be, and
		the same is here-

by, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

	John Corse and	Appeal from the
	E. F. Christian	Circuit Court of
205	vs. Appellants	The United States
	Patrick Brennan et al	for the Western
	L. B. Fortier.	District of Penn-
		sylvania.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket, it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

206. Daniel Chapman vs. Joseph W. Brewer, Assignee vs. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. N. F. Severens, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John W. Stone, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at ten o'clock.

Friday, March 20th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. E. McDonald it is ordered that John A. Henry and William P. Bynum, Esquires, of Indianapolis, and Scott Ford, Esquire, of South Bend, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court,

Friday, March 20th 1885, continued

and they were sworn accordingly.

	Chas. Stephens	In Error to the
204	vs. Plff. in Error	Supreme Court
	Lucius G. Whittemore	of the State of
		Michigan.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr William A. Maury, on behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Each party's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The East Alabama Railway Co.	This cause
205	vs. Plff. in Error	was argued by Mr
	John Henderson Daniel W.	Edward
	Visscher et al.	Patterson,

and Mr. N. C. Semple, of counsel for the

plaintiff in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. L. T. Dice, of counsel for the defendants in error.

No. Simon Grindeler, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.
509. William T. White, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.
510. Samuel S. Carter, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.
Nos. P. T. Moore, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Greenhow.
512 & 513. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. William C. Royall, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. T. S. Blair, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 22nd 1886

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justice
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray "
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Tichnor Curtis it is ordered that Alexander Hemann, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. H. Calkins it is ordered that Edgar Frank Preston, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. N. Chamberlain it is ordered that Charles McNamee, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr N. C. Semple it is ordered that Eugene Semple, Esquire, of Vancouver, Territory of Washington, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John Selden it is ordered that Nigel F. Kalch, Esquire, of Chicago,

State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Enoch Totten it is ordered that Stanley L. Stout, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John U. Warren it is ordered that Thomas B. Bond, Esquire, of Modesto, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1027. Jesse G. Murphy v.s. Appellant
Alexander Ramsay, U.S. Marshal, T. L. Godfrey, U. S. Marshal, E. Carlton McCalpine, U.S. Attorney
Hoge & Arthur Pratt

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

1058. Mary Ann M. Pratt vs. Alexander Ramsey, A. S. Riddlock, L. L. Godfrey, A. B. Carleton, J. R. Pettigrew, E. D. Hoge & John S. Lindsay. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause as to

Alexander Ramsey, A. S. Paddock, J. L. Godfrey, A. B. Carleton and F. R. Pettibone &c. and the same is hereby affirmed with costs; and as to E. D. Hoge and John S. Lindsay that the judgment of the said Supreme Court be, and the same is hereby reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court with direction to overrule the demurrer as to said E. D. Hoge and John S. Lindsay, and for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Mildred E. Randall &
Alfred Randall
vs.
Alexander Ramsey, A. S. Paddock, J. L. Godfrey, A. B. Carleton, F. R. Pettibone, E. D. Hoge and Yarmel Pratt.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

1079

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause as to Alexander Ramsay, A. S. Paddock, J. L. Godfrey, H. B. Carlton and G. R. Metcalf be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and as to E. D. Hoge and Harmel Pratt that the judgment of the said Supreme Court be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to overrule the demurrer as to said E. D. Hoge and Harmel Pratt, and for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Ellen C. Clawson and Miriam B. Clawson
1030 vs. Appellants
Alexander Ramsey, A.S. Paddock, G.L. Godfrey, A.B. Carleton, J.R. Pettigrew, E.D. Hoge and James L. Pratt.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Matthews.

James M. Barlow
1031 vs. Appellant
Alexander Ramsey, A.S. Paddock, G.L. Godfrey, A.B. Carleton, J.R. Pettigrew, E.D. Hoge & Harmel Pratt

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

195 John Stephenson vs. The Brooklyn Cross-Town Railroad Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said

Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company vs. Plff. in Error E. H. Pendleton.

It appearing by the record in the case that the writ of error and citation were against E. H. Pendleton only, whereas the judgment below was in favor of said Pendleton and three other persons, and no summons and severance. It is Therefore ordered that the plaintiff in error show cause on Monday, the 6th day of April next, why the judgment rendered herein on the 5th day of January last, should not be vacated and the writ of error dismissed; And the Clerk is directed to serve a copy of this rule on the respective parties or their attorneys by mail.

By the Court.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

	Walter Bohall	In Error to the
1174.	vs. Pltf. in Error	Supreme Court of
	D. A. Dillar.	the State of Cali-
		fornia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration where of, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	The Louisville & Nashville	In Error to
	Railroad Company	the Circuit
856.	vs. Pltf. in Error.	Court of the
	Charles W. Ide.	United States
		for the South-

ern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern

District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

	N. D. Putnam 2nd Henry Earle	In Error to the Circuit Court
1246	vs. Pffs. in Error	of the United
	George E. Ingraham	States for the
		District of
	Connecticut.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued

by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The St. Louis & San Francisco Railway Company, Jesse Seligman and James Seligman		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
1894.	vs. Appellants	
William C. Wilson.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed

with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1523. Samuel B. Coon vs. Elijah M. Coon & Henry Morgans, impleaded &c. On consideration of the motion to rescind the order docketing and dismissing this case and for leave to file the record and docket the case in conformity with the rules, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

152. Thomas W. Edwards et al. vs. Franklin Farrell. Appellants On consideration of the motion to rescind the order dismissing this cause, and to assign the same for hearing at the present term, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Gabriel Morris et al. vs. Appellants
624. The City of New Orleans

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

B. W. Mower vs. Plff. in Error
372. Nathan Fletcher
and
B. W. Mower vs. Plff. in Error
373. Nathan Fletcher et al.

The motions to dismiss in these cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the reports and printed arguments by Mr. M. D. Brainerd and Mr. J. K. Edmunds, in support of the same, and Mr. W. J. Johnston, in opposition thereto.

In bank: In the matter of Ellen C. Sanford

C. Original — Petitioner

On motion of Mr. J. H. Mitchell, of counsel for the petitioner, leave is hereby granted him to withdraw the motion for leave to file a reply to the return to the writ to show cause and to file a demurrer to said return; and the case is hereby assigned for argument on Monday next.

1286 John H. Starin et al. vs. The Mayor &c. of the City of New York. Appellants

On motion of Mr. Thomas M. Hamersley of counsel for the appellants ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d rule.

1287 The Independent Steam Boat Co. vs. The Mayor &c. of the City of New York. Appellant

	Central Railroad & Banking Co. of Georgia, et als.	Mr. M. C. Semple, of counsel for the appellants, submitted to the consideration of the Court a
31.	vs. Appellants	
	E. W. Pettus & N. H. R. Dawson, partners &c, et al.	

motion to reform the decree of this Court in this cause as to costs so as to charge each party with one half of the cost of the transcript from the Circuit Court.

	James B. Edmonds et als, Commissioners &c.	On motion of Mr. U. E.
1283.	vs. Appellants	Riddle, of counsel for
	The Baltimore and Potomac R. R. Co.	the appellants, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the 6th day of April next after the causes heretofore assigned for that day.

1067. John R. Elder vs. Plff. in Error Emma W. Ulman. Mr. E. H. McCalb of counsel for the defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to require the plaintiff in error to give additional security on the supersedeas bond in this cause.

1203. Thomas Howard vs. Plff. in Error Jodo Marcolina De Franca, D. Gertrudes Marcolina De Franca, D. Maria De Franca, Jesuina [illegible] De Franca. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the East. [illegible] District of Missouri.

This cause coming on to be heard on the motion of the Court by Mr. William D. McKenney of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

1203 Thomas Howard vs. Plff. in Error
João Marcolina De França et al.

On motion of Mr. Wm A. McKenney, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

1110 The Kahlor Milling Co. vs. Plff. in Error
John T. Lay, Manuf'g &c.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. F. Matthews, in support of the same, and Mr. J. E. McNeighan, in opposition thereto.

Ex parte: In the matter of Paulin S. Morgan and E. Ashley Smith Petitioners

On motion of Mr. Edward Robey, of counsel for the petitioners, leave is hereby granted to file a petition for a writ of mandamus, and the case is assigned for argument after No. 208.

Monday, March 23rd 1896 continued.

No. Thomas Saunders, Plff in Error &c.
vs.
Samuel L. Swanhaw.

No. William L. Wade, Plff. in Error, &c.
vs.
Samuel L. Swanhaw.

No. Samuel S. Barker, Plff. in Error, &c.
vs.
Samuel L. Swanhaw.

905. T. J. Moore, Plff. in Error, &c.
vs.
Samuel L. Swanhaw.

1260. A. B. Chaffee, Plff. in Error, &c.
vs.
Wade Swanhaw.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. F. L. Blair, of counsel for the defendants in error, Mr. D. M. Chamberlain, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. L. L. Merrick, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at ten o'clock.

Thursday, March 2nd, 1882.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan, Associate
William B. Woods, Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James K. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Randolph Tucker it is ordered that A. J. Caulfield, Esquire, of Louisville, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles P. Thompson it is ordered that Hinton G. Irving, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland, it is ordered that Charles E. Stubbs, Esquire, of Charlottesville, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

31. The Central Railroad & Banking Company of Georgia et als. vs. E. W. Allen et al. Appellants

On consideration of the motion of the appellees by Mr. Semple, of counsel for the appellants, it is ordered that the Clerk of the Circuit Court in taxing the costs in this cause be, and he is hereby,

directed to tax one half of the cost of the transcript of the record, used on the appeal to this Court, to each side.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Central Railroad and Banking Co. of Georgia, et als.	On motion of
31.	vs. Appellants	Mr. H. B. Sample, of counsel
	E. W. Pettus & N. H. R. Dawson, partners &c., et als.	for the appellants, leave is

hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

	The City of New Orleans	On motion of
282.	vs. Plff. in Error	Mr. E. H. McCaleb, of coun-
	Judah Hart	sel for the de-
	and	fendant in
	The City of New Orleans	error, leave is
284.	vs. Plff. in Error	hereby granted
	Judah Hart.	The Clerk to is-

sue the Mandates in these causes.

588. Thomas Poindexter, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel S. Greenhow.

589. William L. White, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel S. Greenhow.

590. Samuel S. Carter, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel S. Greenhow.

905. C. J. Moore, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel S. Greenhow.

1260. P. B. Chapin, Plff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Richard T. Merrick, of counsel for the defendants in error, Mr. Wager Swayne, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 25th 1863.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Bates and it is ordered that A. L. Bradford, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Wednesday, March 25th 1835, continued.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. D. Murray, it is ordered that Josiah H. Drummond, Junior, Esquire, of Portland, State of Maine, be admitted to practise as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

588. Thomas Saunderlin, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Sweetser.

589. William[d] Wells, Plff in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Sweetser.

590. Samuel S. Carter, Plff. in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Sweetser.

905. P. T. Hoxie, Plff in Error, vs.
Samuel C. Sweetser.

1260. O. B. Shaffer, Plff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

The argument of these causes was continued by Mr. C. N. Burland, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. William H. Swift, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

S. Brown Allen, Auditor, et al. vs. The Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co.

826. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. F. S. Blair, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. John K. Cowen, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 26th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan, Associate
William B. Woods, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John H. Finley, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

S. Brown Allen, Auditor, &c. Appellant } The argument
626 vs. } of this cause
The Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co. } was continued by Mr.
Hugh W. Sheffey, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Richard T. Merrick, of counsel for the appellants.

Morton Marye, Auditor, et als. The argu-
12 118. vs. Appellants ment of
Erwin Parsons. this cause
was com-
menced by Mr. F. S. Blair, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Richard L. Maury and Mr. Wager Swayne, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday. March 27th 1863.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Wood Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray Jr.
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Finerty, Esquire, Marshall
James H. McKenney, Clerk

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. N. Chamberlain it is ordered that Mich. Mullany and N. F. Crane, Esquires, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips it is ordered that Frank H. Woody, Esquire, of Missoula, Territory of Montana, and Sterling B. Long, Esquire, of Louisville, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Richard T. Merrick it is ordered that Lyman Williams Redington, Esquire, of Rutland, State of Vermont, and John Martin, Esquire, of Topeka, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The United States		In Error to the Cir-
1152. vs.	Pff. in Error	cuit Court of the
Phoebe H. Humason,		United States for
Executrix.		The District of Ore-
		gon.

This cause being called to the attention

of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General the Libs. of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

453 The United States vs. Plff. in Error O. D. Savages. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General the Libs. of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by the Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States vs. M. P. Isaacs. } Pff. in Error } In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon. } 1151

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John Eachus vs. Joshua B. Broomall. } Appellant } On motion of Mr. S. F. Phillips, on behalf of counsel. Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed subject to provisions of Section 4, rule 26. } 715

Friday, March 29th 1907, continued.

Marion Marye, Auditor, et als. vs. Edwin Garrison. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Marye for appellants, counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Walter R. Stephens, of counsel for the appellants.

12th

The New Orleans Gas Light Co. vs. The Louisiana Light & Heat Producing & Manufacturing Company et al. Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. W. R. Stephens, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. E. Howard McCaleb, of counsel for the appellees.

20th

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 30th, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan — Associate
William B. Woods — Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Charles Oneille Long, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles U. Horner it is ordered that W. L. McGary, Esquire, of Washington City, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

James Lamont, Appellant
vs.
The Hall Safe & Lock Company et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Edward E. Thomisson, trustee for Clara E. Barr, Executrix, and George D. Scott, Executor of William E. Barr, deceased, and Sarah Bartholomew	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern Dist. of Missouri.
vs. Appellants	
Elizabeth E. Boisselier and John C. Kuhsperler.	

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Edward L. Thompson, Trustee for Clara E. Carr, Executrix, and George D. Scott, Executor, of William D. Carr, deceased, and Sarah Bartholomew vs. Elizabeth E. Boisselier and John C. Kupferle. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

190.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Mc Nab & Harlan Manufacturing Company and John Harlan		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
1076 vs.	Appellants	
Edward F. Thompson, Trustee for Clara E. Carr, Executrix & al George D. Scott, Executor of William S. Carr, deceased, and Sarah Bartholomew.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to dismiss the bill, with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Monday, March 3rd 1873. continued

John Eaton, Executor &c.
Cole, William M. Cole &c.
Edward E. Burnham
197. vs. Appellants
Edward E. Thompson, Cole
for Chas C. Cox, Executrix
and George E. Cox Executor
of William C. Cox, deceased,
and Sarah Bartholomew.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs, and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to dismiss the bill, with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

G. Addison Mayes	In Error to the
vs. Pff. in Error	District Court
The Mayor & Aldermen of	of the United
the City of Holly Springs.	States for the
	Northern Dis.

Court of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Daniel Chapman	Appeal from
vs. Appellant	the Circuit
Joseph W. Brewer, Assignee	Court of the
of Benjamin G. Lapt. a	United States
Bankrupt.	for the West-
	ern District

of Michigan.

Monday, March 2nd 1885, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

11. Internal Improvement Land Co. vs. Henry J. C. Lamm, Assignee, et al. Appellant. Appellees. On motion of appellees it is ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

14. Ex parte: In the matter of James G. Wilson, Original. Petitioner. On motion of the petition of James G. Wilson for a writ of habeas corpus,

and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same. It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Electric Railroad Signal Company, composed of Frank L. Capen, Ephraim E. Hendricken, and James D. Lincoln, surviving partners,

Appellants

v.s.

The Hall Railway Signal Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

1111.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

	The First National Bank of Xenia, Ohio	In Error to the Circuit
1251	vs. Plff. in Error;	Court of the
	Daniel M. McMillan et al	United States
	Martha A. McMillan,	for the South-
	Administratrix of the es-	ern District
	tate of Daniel McMillan,	of Ohio.
	deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Ohio.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

William S. Thomson, Charles H. Lanadon, George C. Batcheller and Alvin C. Hewitt, trading as Thomson, Lanadon & Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
vs. Appellants	
George N. Wooster.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of New York.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The United States	Appeal from the Circuit
vs. Appellant	Court of the United
Edward W. Nimot.	States for the District of

Monday, March 30th 1885, Continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause, and the same is hereby, reversed; And this cause is, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr Justice Miller.

The Detroit City Railway Company
vs.
Jacob Guthard.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan.

723.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan and on the motion to dismiss, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof,

It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Benjamin Butterworth, Commissioner of Patents of the United States,		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Dis. trict of Vermont.
10111.	vs. Appellants	
Samuel Hill, Benjamin B. Prentice and The Vermont Farm Machine Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Vermont, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with

direction to dismiss the bill for want of jurisdiction without prejudice. And it is further ordered that this cause be and the same hereby is dismissed on the 4th day of March, 1895.

Mr. Justice Gray delivered the opinion of the Court.

The Farmington Village Corporation, appellant,
vs.
Ellen F. Pillsbury.

On Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maine.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maine, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with

directions to dismiss the suit for want of jurisdiction, without prejudice.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1110. The Kohler Mining Company vs. Plff. in Error / The John T. Noye Manuf'g. Co. — On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, it is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

372. B. W. Mower vs. Plff. in Error / Nathan Fletcher — On consideration of the motions to dismiss in these cases and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, it is now here ordered by the

373. B. W. Mower vs. Plff. in Error / Nathan Fletcher et al. — same

Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John R. Elder
vs. Plff. in Error
Emma W. Ulman.

1067 On consideration of the motion to require the plaintiff in error to give an additional security on the supersedeas bond in this cause, it is now here ordered by the Court that the plaintiff in error execute or cause to be executed an additional bond in the penal sum of ten thousand dollars conditioned according to law for the purposes of a supersedeas, with security to the satisfaction of the justice of this Court assigned to the Fifth Circuit and file the same with the Clerk of this Court, on or before the 21st day of April inst, and in default thereof that the supersedeas heretofore obtained be vacated.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

VOL

4

UME

8

	J. N. Kirkpatrick	The motion to dis.
988.	vs. Plff. in Error	in this cause was
	S. S. Baker.	submitted to the con-
		sideration of the

Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas G. Bond, in support of the same, and Mr Walter H. Smith, in opposition thereto.

	The United States	Appeal from the
1291.	vs. Appellant	Court of Claims.
	Francis M. Swan.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States

1292. vs. Appellant — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

Samuel B. Hinckley, Administrator of John Woodman.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States

1293. vs. Appellant — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

John Muldoon, Administrator of Samuel Higgins.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing

to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	Appeal from
1294	vs. Appellant	the Court of
	Mary J. Hamilton, Administratrix of Richard Hamilton.	Claims.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1295. The United States vs. William C. Williamson, Administrator of Edward J. Houghton — Appellant — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice White.

1296. The United States vs. Bernard Harley — Appellant — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it

appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States
vs. Appellant
Daniel F. George, known as William Smith, the first. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States
1298. vs. Appellant } Appeal from the
Henrietta A. Buckbee, } Court of Claims.
Administratrix of
Robert H. King.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States
1299. vs. Appellant } Appeal from the
Henry Wilkes. } Court of Claims.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to

affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States
vs. Appellant
Julius C. Deming, Administrator of Lorenzo Deming.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Court of Claims in this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

1291. The United States, Appt. vs. Francis H. Swan.
1292. The United States, Appellant, vs.
Samuel B. Hinckley, Admr. &c.
1293 The United States, Appellant, vs.
John Muldoon, Admr. &c.
1294. The United States, Appellant, vs.
Mary J. Hamilton, Admx. &c.
1295. The United States, Appellant, vs.
William C. Williamson, Admr. &c.
1296. The United States, Appellant, vs.
Bernard Farley.
1297. The United States, Appellant, vs.
Daniel L. George &c.
1298. The United States, Appellant, vs.
Henrietta B. Burbee, Admx. &c.
1299. The United States, Appellant, vs.
Henry White.
1300. The United States, Appellant, vs.
Julius O. Fleming, Admr. &c.

On motion of Mr. James Fullerton, of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

	The New Orleans Gas Light Co.	The argument of this cause
208.	vs. Appellant	was conclud-
	The Louisiana Light & Heat Producing & Manuf'g. Co., et al.	ed by Mr. John A. Campbell, of coun-
		sel for the

appellant.

	Ex parte: In the matter of	The argument
	Dayton S. Morgan et al.	of this case
10,	Original. Petitioners.	was com-

menced by Mr. Edward Roby, of counsel for the petitioners, and continued by Mr. W. H. Watkins, of counsel for the respondents.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 31st 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Henry L. Dawes it is ordered that Chester L. Conant, Esquire, of Greenfield, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Ex parte: In the matter of Dayton L. Morgan et al.	The argument of this case was
10.	Originals. Petitioners.	concluded by Mr. Edward Roby, of counsel for the petitioners.

	Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes	The argument of this case
8.	Originals — Petitioner.	was commenced by Mr. John H. Mitchell, of counsel for the petitioner. The Court declined to hear argument for the respondent.

	John Matthews	Appeal from the Cir-
11.	vs. Appellant	cuit Court of the
	John Klee.	United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard

to printing the transcript of the record. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Horace Tompkins ... In Error to the
Sml. vs. Plff. in Error ... Supreme Court of
Seymour Cooper. ... the State of Michigan.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to affirm the judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan, in this cause, has been filed, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Rebecca Gray. This cause was sub-
Administratrix &c. mitted to the consid-
216. vs. Appellant eration of the Court
The National Steam- on the record and
ship Company. printed arguments
by Mr. John Filch,
of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John
Chetwood, of counsel for the appellee.

Stephen B. Sturges This cause was ar-
217. vs. Pff. in Error gued by Mr. A. G.
Merchant Carter, Riddle, of counsel
Treasurer &c. for the plaintiff in
error, and submit-
ted on a printed argument by Mr. J. W.
Gerner, of counsel for the defendant in
error.

Bartlett Doe This cause
218. vs. Appellant was submitted
Henry C. Hyde, Assignee &c. to the consider-
ation of the
Court on the record and a printed argu-
ment by Mr. W. W. Cope, of counsel for the
appellant. No counsel appearing for the ap-

731. The Penn National Bank vs. James T. Furness et als.

Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. A. J. Sharpless, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Samuel Dickson, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 1st 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John T. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George O. Shattuck it is ordered that Henry Gilman Nichols, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Noble it is ordered that Robert Harbison, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph B. Clayton it is ordered that Edgar A. Sutphin, Esquire of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Martin Durand et al. vs. Samuel B. Martin. Plffs. in Error

On motion of Mr. Michael Mullany, It is ordered by the Court that he be substituted in place of John Mullan as counsel for the plaintiffs in error in this cause.

939. James Doolan et al. vs. William B. Carr. Plffs. in Error

On motion of Mr. Michael Mullany. It is ordered by

the Court that he be substituted for John F. Dillon as counsel for the plaintiffs in error in this cause.

The First National Bank of Fairmont, Appellant vs. James J. Furness et al.	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles Hart, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Nathan H. Chapman, of counsel for the appellant.
Delinzo A. Walden, Appellant vs. Sherman W. Knowals.	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Delinzo A. Walden, the plaintiff in error in propria persona, and Mr. G. M. Woolworth, of counsel for the defendant in error.
William Alling, surviving partner of Samuel Belden & Co. Appellant vs. The United States.	This cause was argued by Mr. Charles W. Norman and Mr.

W. L. McGary, of counsel for the appellant, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellee.

222. William R. Guillim vs. Plff. in Error. John F. Donellan et al. This cause was argued by Mr. Enoch Totten and Mr. Charles H. Toll, of counsel for the defendants in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. E. T. Wells, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

223. The Boatman's Savings Bank vs. Plff. in Error The State Savings Association of St. Louis. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John W. Noble, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, continued by Mr. Jeff Chandler, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Thursday, April 2nd 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John K. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Evarts it is ordered that Joseph E. Reid, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Henry Hitchcock it is

ordered that Edward Cunningham, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Beecher Manufacturing Co.	Ordered by the Court that this
224.	vs. Appellant	case be, and
	The Altwater Manufacturing Co. et al.	the same is hereby passed, subject to the provisions of Section 7, Rule 25.

	B. N. Wisdom	Ordered by the
226.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court that this
	The Taxing District of Shelby County et al.	cause be, and the same is hereby continued until the next term, for stipulation of counsels.

	The Western Electric Manufacturing Company	This cause was argued by Mr.
227.	vs. Appellant	George P.
	The Indiana Brass Copper &c.	Barton, of

counsel for the Appellant, and Mr. William G. Webster, of counsel for the Appellee.

	Robert W. Wood 2nd Benjamin F. Wood	In Error to
228.	vs. Plffs. in Error	the Supreme
	Robert C. Fowler, Anderson Fowler, George Fowler 2nd & The Anglo-American Packing & Provision Company.	Court of the State of Kansas.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

229. The State National Bank vs. Appellant The United States.

This cause was argued by Mr. George O. Shattuck, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellee.

231. John & James Dobson vs. Appellants The Hartford Carpet Co.

232. John & James Dobson vs. Appellants The Bigelow Carpet Co.

233. John & James Dobson vs. Appellants The Bigelow Carpet Co.

These cases were argued by Mr. N. T. Sutton, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Arthur U. Briesen, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve

Monday, April 6th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon it is ordered that P. S. Morgan, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, H. W. Smith, Esquire, of Blackfoot, Territory of Idaho, and George E. Hubbell, Esquire, of Davenport, State of Iowa, be admitted to

practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. R. Taylor it is ordered that Albert J. Le Breton, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney & counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company		In Error to the Supreme
vs.	Plff. in Error	Court of Appeals of the
Joseph S. Miller, Auditor of the State of West Virginia.		State of West Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of West Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that

The decree of the said Supreme Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Charles R. Bissell
vs. Appellant
Simon H. Foss, Abraham U. Hunter and The First National Bank of Denver.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Dissenting: Mr. Justice Bradley & Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Silas S. Huntley	Appeal from
201	vs. Appellant	the Supreme
	Thomas S. Huntley, Executor	Court of the
	of Charles C. Huntley, deceased,	District of
	and Bradley Gleason.	Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The City of Litchfield vs. George William Fallow, The Portsmouth Savings Bank et al.

No. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the Bill.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Harlan.

Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis H. Hughes	Petition for a writ of Man-
8, Original — Petitioner.	damus.

On consideration of the petition of Ellis H. Hughes for a writ of mandamus, the return to the rule to show cause thereof heretofore issued, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, It is now here ordered by the Court that said rule be, and the same is hereby discharged, with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Dayton H. Morgan and G. Ashley Smith	Petition for a Writ of Mandamus.
10, Original — Petitioners.	

On consideration of the petition of Dayton H. Morgan and G. Ashley Smith for a writ of mandamus, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby

dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

128. W. F. Kirkpatrick vs. Plff. in Error
C. C. Baker.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

114. Joseph W. Dyer et al. vs. Libellants
The National Steam Navigation Company.

It having been suggested that the case of Thompson et al. vs. Whitwell, No 636, on the docket of this term, presents the same question as that on which this case depends, and as the question is one of very great practical importance which we are unwilling to decide until counsel in the other case can be heard, It is ordered that No 636 be advanced for

hearing on the second day of the next term, immediately after the cases already assigned for that day, and that the case may be re-argued at the same time if counsel on either side desire it.

per Mr. Chief Justice White.

Order.

The Reporter having represented that, owing to the number of decisions at the term at which he will be impracticable to publish reports in one volume, it is therefore now here ordered that he publish an additional volumes in this year, pursuant to section 681 of the revised statutes.

238 Jos. Dunnavanthan vs. Off in Error Duncan Sinclair et als.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. J. Prince and Mr. N. Sr. Crane, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Mich. Mullany, of counsel for the

The Memphis & Little Rock R. R. Co. Appellant
vs.
The Southern Express Company.

The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company Appellant
vs.
The Southern Express Company

And

The Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Company Appellant
vs.
W. H. Winsmore, President &c.

On motion of Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel. It is ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, assigned for argument at the foot of the call for the 1st Monday in November next.

The Union Pacific Railway Company Appellant
vs.
Andrew Ryan, Marshal &c.

On motion of Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellant, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

E. L. Wheeler Company
270. vs. Appt. in Error
The New Brunswick &
Canada R. R. Co.

On motion of Mr. E. J. Phelps of counsel. It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned for argument at the head of the call for Thursday, April 16th.

Andrew Stewart et al.
240. vs. Appellants
James H. Dunham et al.
Respts.

On motion of Mr. John H. Hanna of counsel for the appellees, It is ordered by the Court that the time for submitting this cause be limited to the 20th instant, the brief for the appellants to be filed on or before the 15th instant, and that for the appellees to be filed on or before the 20th instant.

The Bradstreet Company
657. vs. Appt. in Error
Foster N. Higgins.

The motion to re-tax the costs in this cause was submitted by Mr W. Hallett Phillips, in support of the same, and argued by Mr.

	Howard C. Chapin	The motion to re-
53	vs. Plff. in Error	scind the order dock-
	James Streeter.	eting and dismis-
		sing this cause and

for leave to file the record and docket the cause, in conformity with the rules of this Court, was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Nathaniel Wilson, in support of the same, and Mr. J. H. McGowan, in opposition thereto.

	Richard B. Chapman	The motion to
1284.	vs. Plff. in Error	dismiss in this
	Edward K. Goodnow.	cause was sub-
		mitted to the con-

sideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George Crane, in support of the same, and Mr. C. H. Walsh, in opposition thereto.

	The Knickerbocker Life	The rule to show
	Insurance Company	cause why the
73.	vs. Plff. in Error	judgment en-
	P. H. Pendleton.	tered by this
		Court in this

one on January 5th, 1885, should not be recalled and the writ of error dismissed was argued by Mr. Leslie A. Russell, in opposition thereto, and Mr. A. T. Britton, in support of the same.

735. John C. Lavender vs. Robert A. Lavender. Appellant. This cause was argued by Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. William A. McKenny, of counsel for the appellee.

736. Oliver B. Filley vs. Thomas J. Pope et al. Plff. in Error. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Edward Cunningham Jr., of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 7th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

	Eliman	Appeal from
505	vs. Appellant	the Circuit
	George A. Walser, The	Court of the
	Union Savings Asso-	United States
	ciation, William Lee et al.	for the East-
		ern District

of Missouri.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James O. Broadhead, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Jesse Seligman ... On motion of
vs. Appellant Mr. James O.
George A. Walker et al. Broadhead, of
counsel for
the appellant, leave is hereby granted
the Clerk to issue the mandate in this
cause.

The Utah & Northern Mr. J. M.
Railway Co. Smith of coun-
vs. Appellant's sel for the ap-
William T. Fisher, pellee, submi-
Assessor &c. ted to the con-
sideration of
the Court a motion to advance this
cause.

Cullmans Palace Car Co.		On motion
230 vs.	Appellant	of Mr. J. N.
The Missouri Pacific		McGowan, of
Railway Company et al.		counsel for
		the appellees.

and consent of Mr. E. S. Isham, of counsel for the appellant, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned for argument with Nos. 238, 260 and 321 on the first Monday in November next.

Oliver B. Filley		The argument of this
236. vs.	Plff. in Error	cause was continu-
Thomas J. Pope et al.		ed by Mr Edward
		Cunningham Jr.,

of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

The United States		This cause was
237. vs.	Plaintiff	submitted to the
William E. Pelit, alias		consideration of
William George.		The Court on
		the printed re-

cord by Mr. Assistant Attorney General

Mawry. of counsel for the plaintiff. No counsel appearing for the defendant.

William F. Bennet. substituted for Isaac F. Wilbur. deceased vs. Plff. in Error E. A. Abbott, Administrator vs.	The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. William A. Mawry. of counsel for the plaintiff in error. and continued by Mr. J. C. Eastman. of counsel for the defendant in error.

239.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 8th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan ... Associate
William B. Woods ... Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John T. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George E. Harris it is ordered that Frederick F. Smith, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion filed in the Court in the behalf by Mr. Wayne MacVeagh it is ordered that Richard C. Dale, Esquire of Philadelphia, Pa. be permitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and be admitted accordingly.

	Albert Grant	The motion to
739.	vs. Appellant	require the ap
	The Phoenix Mutual	pellant to give
	Life Insurance	a new supersed-
	Company.	deas bond in
		this cause was

submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. William F. Hastings and Mr. Wm. J. Herrick, in support of the same, and Mr. J. M. Wentz and Mr. William Law-rence in opposition thereto.

William H. Renaud, substituted for Isaac T. Wilbur, deceased.		The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. S. G. Eastman, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded
39.	vs.	
E. A. Abbott, Administrator &c.	Pff in Error	

by Mr. William A. Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Rudger Clawson		The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Wayne McVeagh, of counsel
43.	vs.	
The United States.	Pff. in Error	

for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Franklin S. Richards, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday. April 9th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met
today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice

Samuel F. Miller

Stephen J. Field

Joseph P. Bradley

John M. Harlan

William B. Woods Justices

Stanley Matthews

Samuel Blatchford

Lucius Q. C. Lamar, Esquire, Member

James G. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation having been made the Court was
opened.

John H. Goon et al. vs. Washington Wilson. On motion of H. F. Coghill, of counsel for the appellants, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Ben. H. Smith et al. Appellants
vs.
Joseph S. Woolfolk.

This cause was argued by Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the appellants, and submitted on printed arguments by Mr. F. W. Compton, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. U. M. Rose, of counsel for the appellee.

James B. Edmonds et als., Commissioners &c. Appellants
vs.
The Baltimore & Potomac Railroad Company.

183

This cause was argued by Mr. A. G. Riddle, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the appellee.

Mary E. Burton Pltff. in Error
vs.
The West Jersey Ferry Co.

242

This cause was argued by Mr. Jerome Carty, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Richard C. Dale, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Government N.W.L. Co vs. Provincial Treasurer Sheriff vs.

The argument of the case and motion by Solr. for G. Govt. to quash for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday April 6th 1883.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present:

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips it is ordered that L. S. Posey, Esquire, of Silver City, and William B. Childers, Esquire, of Albuquerque, Territory of New Mexico, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Hon. Thomas A. Hendricks it is ordered that Thomas G. Townsend, Esquire, of Indianapolis, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

William D. Bloxham, Governor of the State of Florida, William D. Barnes, Comptroller, Henry A. L'Engle, Treasurer, J. W. White, Commissioner of Lands & Immigration and Horace L. Varney, Attorney General of said State, ex officio The Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida ... Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.

1209 vs. ... Appellants.

John G. Townsend, Administrator of John Townsend, deceased.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James Bownds,

of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Fort Leavenworth R.R. Co. vs. Pl'ff. in Error
Percival G. Lowe, Sheriff vs.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Edward E. Cook, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Edward E. Cook, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

243.

211. Isaac H. Frasher et al., Pl'ts. in Error,
vs. M. S. O'Connor.

312. B. F. Good et al., Pl'ts. in Error,
vs. M. S. O'Connor.

313. Daniel Hazard et al., Pl'ts. in Error,
vs. M. S. O'Connor.

Friday, April 10th 1885, continued.

These cases were argued by Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Edward A. Sayles, of counsel for the defendant in error.

F. W. Zeperneck et al.,
Partners &c.
vs. } In Error
Joseph P. Bard et ux.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Clinton Rowell, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. John W. Noble and Mr. John C. Orrick, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 5th, 1875.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph C. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles King it is ordered that Squire A. Pierce, Esquire, of Saint Paul, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Edgar M. Johnson it is ordered that C. Ridgely Goodwin, Esquire of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

207. The East Alabama Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error John Doe ex dem. Daniel W. Visscher, Natalie Lou Visscher, Anna Dan Visscher, George Glen Visscher, Henry Baptiste Visscher, S. K. Woodward and Mary M. Lockett.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Alabama.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Alabama, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by

this Court that the Judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to grant a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Bartlett Doe
218. vs. Appellant
Henry C. Hyde, Assignee in bankruptcy of The City Point of Savings, Loan & Discount.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

John U. Weaver, Arthur
C. Weaver, Emily C. Weaver
Helen A. Weaver and Ella F.
Weaver, wife of George M.
Lewis
136. vs. Appellants
Spencer Field, Senior, Spencer
Field, Junior, and Frederick
J. Field.

Appeal from
the Circuit
Court of the
United Stat
for the East.
ern District
of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the tran-
script of the record from the Circuit Court of
the United States for the Eastern District
of Louisiana and was argued by counsel.
On consideration whereof, It is now here
ordered, adjudged and decreed by the
Court that the decree of the said Circuit
Court in this cause be, and the same is
hereby, affirmed with costs.
Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Francis Dodge, William
Chapman, A.N. Dodge et al.
156. vs. Appellants
Thomas Knowles.

Appeal from
The Supreme
Court of the
District of

Monday, March 6th 1893, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to dismiss the bill with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Gray.

Norman H. Pollock
vs. Appellant
The Bridgeport Steamboat Company, Claimant of the Steamboat "Laura" et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

91.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern

District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gorham

The State of Tennessee
Bank of Boston
vs.
U. United States.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

139. Appellant

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company vs. Plff. in Error P. N. Pendleton. } It appearing to the Court that the writ of error in this case is defective in the statement of the title of the action below and the parties thereto in this that P. N. Pendleton is named as plaintiff below whereas the plaintiffs below were Pursant N. Pendleton, Caroline E. Dixon and her husband Charles T. Dixon, Mary E. Pendleton and Francis M. Pendleton, and it appearing that the said mistake was an oversight and did not affect the proceedings or argument of the cause—

It is ordered that the writ of error may be amended by inserting the names of the omitted plaintiffs below, and that a citation issue to them returnable to the 20th instant; And that the defendants in error have leave to enter an order for a rehearing of the cause at the next term of the Court, if they shall elect to do so during the present term, and that neither party recover costs on these motions.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Steamship Belgenland, her tackle, apparel &c., Samuel Jacobson, Master, and The Co. Societe Anonyme de Navigation Belge Americaine, Claimant Appellants vs. Theodore Jensen, Master of the Norwegian Bark Luna.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of Pennsylvania.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Delinia C. A. Walden	Appeal from the	
331. vs. Appellant	Circuit Court	
Sherman W. Knowals.	of the United States	
	for the District	

of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Penn National Bank	Appeal from the	
332. vs. Appellant	Circuit Court	
James J. Furness, Francis	of the United	
Gurney, Administrator of Ed.	States for the	
ward Gurney, deceased, Joshua	Eastern District	
C. Ash, William L. Ash, Laura	of Pennsylvania.	
C. Furness & George E. Trumbhaar,		
who were sued with Henry Day.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Gloucester Ferry Company ... vs. Pff. in Error The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. } In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania.

1885.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this

cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Field.

Henry Amy & Wm. M. Shuster &
Wibenstedt, partners as H.
Amy & Company, Fairman
Voorhis, Hopkins Loudon,
Frederick H. Cossitt et al.
vs. Pffs. in Error
Memphis District of Shelby
County, The Bank of Commerce,
Lucius Lamb, Marion [illegible]
& T. Tax Collector of the City
of Memphis, et al.

On Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same

is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

No. Surrebuttal
vs. Error to
Joseph T. Sanas, Admin- the Supreme
istrator of Amos D. Court of the
Sanas, deceased. State of Cali-
fornia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Jose Hurrecoechea		
244 vs.	Pff in Error	In Error to the
Duncan Sinclair, Ed R.		Supreme Court
Hamilton, William T.		of the State of
Coleman, The Sacramento		California.
Savings Bank, John Doe		
and Richard Roe.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Jose Hurrecoechea		In Error to the Supreme
245 vs.	Pff in Error	Court of the State of
Joseph L. Brings.		California.

It appearing to the Court that a stipulation has been filed that this cause abide the decision of this Court in the case of

Jose Aurrecoechea vs. Joseph L. Bangs, Administrator of Amos L. Bangs, deceased, No 703 of the present term: It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, in accordance with said stipulation, that the judgment of the said Supreme Court of the State of California in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Jose Aurrecoechea vs. George Clarke. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

It appearing to the Court that a stipulation has been filed that this cause abide the decision of this Court in the case of Jose Aurrecoechea vs. Joseph L. Bangs, Administrator of Amos L. Bangs, deceased, No 703 of the present term: It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, in accordance with said stipulation, that the judgment of the said Supreme Court of the State of California in this cause be, and

the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Jose Aurrecochea	In Error to the
vs.	Supreme Court of
John W. Dankes.	the State of Califor-
Plf. in Error	nia.

It appearing to the Court that a stipulation has been filed that this cause abide the decision of this Court in the case of Jose Aurrecochea vs. Joseph L. Banas, Administrator of Amos G. Banas, deceased, No. 103 of the present term: It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, in accordance with said stipulation, that the judgment of the said Supreme Court of the State of California in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Jose Aurrecochea	In Error to the
vs.	Supreme Court of the
Earl B. French.	State of California.
Plf. in Error	

It appearing to the Court that a stipulation has been filed that this cause abide the decision of this Court in the case of Rose Cunningham vs. Joseph K. Barnes, Administrator of Amos D. Barnes, deceased, at the October term: It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, in accordance with said stipulation, that the judgment of the said Supreme Court of the State of California in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

The Boatmen's Savings Bank vs. The State Savings Association of St. Louis. In Error to the St. Louis Court of Appeals of the State of Missouri.

223

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the St. Louis Court of Appeals of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error

in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

Per Mr. Chief Justice White.

137. The United States ..... On a certificate of
vs. Plaintiff ..... division in opinion
William G. Pelit, ... between the Judges
alias William George. ..... of the Circuit Court
of the United States
for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and on the points & questions on which the Judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion, and which were certified to this Court for its opinion, agreeably to the act of Congress in such case made and provided. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the first question certified must be answered in the negative, and the second in the affirmative. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and

adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

F. W. Zeperinck, S. J. Firestone, John M. Raymonds, E. R. Perkins, John A. Dunphey and C. W. Smith, Assignees of The Cherry Iron Company vs. Pipps. in Error

245 Joseph P. Card and James F. Hoffer.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Albert Grant	On consideration of
733.	vs. Appellant	the motion to require
	The Phoenix Mutual	the appellant to give
	Life Insurance Co.	a new supersedeas
		bond in this cause

and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Richard B. Chapman	Ordered by the
1284.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court that the
	Edward K. Goodnow.	motion to dis-
		miss in this

cause be, and the same is hereby, continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Utah Northern	On consideration
	Railway Co.	of the motion to
900.	vs. Appellant	advance this cause,
	William F. Fisher,	It is ordered by the
	Assessor &c.	Court that said

motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Howard C. Chapin vs. Plff. in Error James Streeter. — On considera-

1253. tion of the mo. tion to rescind the order docketing and dismissing this cause, and for leave to file the record and docket the case in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted upon payment of costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Bradstreet Company vs. Plff. in Error Forrester A. Higgins. — On considera-

65. tion of the mo. tion to relax the costs in this case, It is ordered by the Court that the judgment heretofore entered in this cause be, and the same is hereby, amended so as

to charge the plaintiff in error with all the costs of the motion to dismiss, which shall include the cost of printing the record and the Clerk's fee for supervising the printing.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Pilar Garcia de Sabariego et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
1007. Mary A. Maverick et al.

The motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. Eppa Hunton in behalf of Mr. C. Upson, in support of the same.

Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes
8. Original. Petitioner.

On motion of Mr. J. A. Dolph, of counsel for the respondent, leave is hereby granted him to file a motion as to taxation of costs in this case.

The Bradshaw Milling Co. vs. Pff. in Error Abram S. Hewitt. — The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the

768. record and a printed argument by Mr. George A. King and Mr. H. H. Bliss in support of the same.

Mason M. Hill vs. Pff. in Error William Martin. — On motion of Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the defendant in

115. error, Ordered by the Court that the hearing of the motion to reinstate this cause on the docket be postponed until Monday next.

Dana Sargent and Daniel F. Sullivan, Assignee of Dana Sargent vs. John A. Helton, Sheriff &c. — Appellants — Mr. Charles E. Mayer, of counsel for the appellants, suggested the

285. death of Daniel F. Sullivan, one of the appellants in

this cause, and moved the Court to make Martin H. Sullivan, Executor, and Emily S. Sullivan, Executrix, of Daniel F. Sullivan, deceased, parties appellants in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that Martin H. Sullivan, Executor, and Emily S. Sullivan, Executrix, of Daniel F. Sullivan, deceased, be, and they are hereby made parties appellants in this cause.

394. The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific Railroad Company vs. Elias S. Dennis, Sheriff &c. Plff. in Error. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Alexander Porter Morse and Mr. John S. Young, in support of the same, and Mr. George Hoadly, Mr. Edgar M. Johnson and Mr. Edward Colston, in opposition thereto.

The Liverpool & London & Globe Insurance Company		Mr. George H. Forster, of counsel for the defendant in error, suggested the death of Charles
357.	vs. Plff. in Error	
Charles Godfrey Gunther.		

Godfrey Gunther, the defendant in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Amelia Augusta Gunther and George A. Gunther, Executrix and Executor of Charles Godfrey Gunther, deceased, the parties defendants in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that Amelia Augusta Gunther and George A. Gunther, Executrix and Executor of Charles Godfrey Gunther, deceased, be, & they are hereby, made the parties defendants in error in this cause.

The Missouri Pacific Railway Company		On motion of Mr. Thomas T. Gordon, of counsel for the defendant in error,
1290.	vs. Plff. in Error	
Patrick Mackay.		

Ordered that the motion to dismiss in

this cause be postponed until Monday next.

Susan M. Hibbard. ... Appeal from the
Catharine Nichols. ... Circuit Court
Emeline C. Webster, ... of the United
Frances C. Eastman ... States for the
Frank Hayes and ... Northern District
Clarence W. Nichols ... of Illinois.
377 vs. ... Appellants
The National Feather
Duster Company.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. L. L. Bond, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Metropolitan Manufacturing Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.
316.	vs. Appellant	
John Arnett and Lewis T. McClymonds.		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the appellant, and it appearing to the Court that authority to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Pacific National Bank		The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration
1124.	vs. Plff. in Error	
George Mixter.		

of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Joshua D. Ball, in

support of the same, and Mr. A. A. Ranney, in opposition thereto.

1285. Richard B. Chapman vs. Plff. in Error Edward K. Goodnow. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George Crane, in support of the same, and Mr. C. H. Eaton, in opposition thereto.

1116. Peter O. Strang et al. vs. Plff. in Error S. S. Gardner et al. This cause was argued by Mr. George N. Forster, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error and Mr. W. E. Cogswell, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1118. Richmond Mining Co. vs. Plff. in Error E. E. Rose et al. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Thomas Wren, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Tuesday, April 4th 1882.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan, Associate
William B. Woods, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John L. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

624. John A. Morris et als. vs. The City of New Orleans — Appellants — Mr. William A. Maury, in behalf of counsel, presented to the Court a stipulation to reverse the decree of the Circuit Court in this cause and to remand the case with directions to dismiss the bill. Whereupon this Court not being now here sufficiently advised

of and concerning what order to render in the premises took time to consider.

The Richmond Mining Co. ..... Pff. in Error
248. vs.
E. N. Rose et al. .....

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Thomas Wren, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. A. B. Browne and Mr. C. J. Killyer, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. Walter H. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

John F. Wollensak ..... Appellant
249. vs.
Frank A. Reiher .....

On motion of Mr. William A. McKenney, of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted him to file a printed brief in these cases on or before the 16th instant with leave to the counsel for the appellant to file a reply thereto on or before the 18th instant.

John F. Wollensat ..... Appellant
1242. vs.
Frank A. Reiher. .....

249	John F. Wollensak vs. Frank A. Reiher 2nd	The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. A. A. Bond, of counsel for the appellant.
242	John F. Wollensak vs. Frank A. Reiher. Appellant	

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 15th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan, Associate
William B. Woods, Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray, and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William F. Vilas it is ordered that William B. Keep, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Alexander Porter Morse it is ordered that W. L. O'Neill, Esquire, of Galveston, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John A. Morris, John Klein, Charles F. Howard, The Louisiana State Lottery Company, The Jefferson City Gas Light Co., et als. vs. The City of New Orleans. — Appellants — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

624.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William A. Maury, in behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to reverse the decree of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and to remand this cause with directions to dismiss the bill has been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court

in this cause &c. and the same is hereby reversed with costs; And that this cause &c. and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill without costs.

per. Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John A. Morris et al. vs. The City of New Orleans. — Appellants — On motion of Mr. William A. Maury, in behalf of

No. 211.

counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

Richard T. Merrick et al. vs. De Witt C. Giddings. — Plffs. in Error — On motion of Mr. F. P. Guppy, of counsel for the defendants in error, Or-

No. 254.

dered by the Court that this cause &c. and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

John F. Wollensak
241. vs. Appellant
Frank A. Reicher
and
John F. Wollensak
242. vs. Appellant
Frank A. Reicher.

The argument of these cases was concluded by Mr. L. A. Bond, of counsel for the appellant.

Ex parte: In the matter of Louis Reggel
250. Appellant.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Arthur Brown, of counsel for the appellant.

The New Orleans, Spanish Fort & Lake Railroad company
252. vs. Pff. in Error
George Delamore, et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. Edgar M. Johnson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Charles W. Hornor, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Gilbert George Brown, Adm'r. &c.
vs. Appellant
The State of Maryland et al.

253.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Stewart Brown, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. C. J. M. Quinn, of counsel for the appellees, and Mr. Stewart Brown, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, April 16th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hoday, Esquire, Marshall
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles E. Ingersoll it is ordered that John W. Alling, Esquire, of New Haven, State of Connecticut, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. J. Phelps it is ordered that Chauncey B. Ripley, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. James Lowndes it is ordered that Fulton Cutting, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1303. Philip S. Wales, vs. William C. Whitney, Secretary of the Navy. Appellant

On motion of Mr. John C. Blair, of counsel for the appellee, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the 20th instant.

Arthur George Brown, Admr. &c. vs. The State of Maryland et al.

253. Appellant

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Stuart Brown, of counsel for the appellant.

E. S. Wheeler & Company vs. The New Brunswick & Canada Railroad Co.

279. Plffs. in Error

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. K. Beach, of counsel for the plaintiffs, continued by Mr. John W. Allen and Mr. Charles C. Ingersoll, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. E. J. Phelps, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

P. C. Bethell vs. The Saving District of Shelby County et al.

255. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.

Thursday, April 15th 1885, Continued.

This Cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that counsel for the plaintiff in error have filed authority to dismiss this cause: It is now hereordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Atlantic Phosphate Company ... Plff. in Error
vs.
John C. Grafflin. ... Deft "

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Samuel Lord, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. James Bourdes, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, April 17th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Benjamin R. Curtis, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, and Carroll Cook, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as Attorneys and

counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

	The Atlantic Phosphate Company	The argument
250.	vs. Plff. in Error	of this cause
	John C. Grafflin.	was conclu.
		ded by Mr.
		Charles N.

West. of counsel for the defendant in error.

	J. B. Clark	This cause was
259.	vs. Appellant	argued by Mr.
	The Beecher Manufac-	William Edgar
	turing Company et al.	Simonds. of coun.
		sel for the appel.

lant. and Mr. C. H. Platt, of counsel for the appellees.

	Moina Gambell,	This cause was sub.
	Adm'x. &c. et al.	mitted to the consid.
261.	vs. Plff. in Error	eration of the Court
	James S. Post.	on the record and
		printed arguments

by Mr. W. W. Boyce, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. F. Charles

Name of counsel for the defendant in error.

262. The Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error
William McGlinn. This cause was argued by Mr. Edward E. Cook, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error.

263. William R. Seofield vs. Plff. in Error
The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. L. Pierce, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday April 30th, 1883.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Samuel F. Rice it is ordered that Frederick L. Cromberg, Esquire, of Mobile, State of Alabama, be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Monday, April 20th, 1885.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. A. Dolph it is ordered that C. B. Bellinger, Esquire, of Portland, State of Oregon, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

231. John Dobson and James Dobson, trading as John & James Dobson, and also as "The Falls of Schuylkill Carpet Mills" vs. The Hartford Carpet Company. Appellants. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the final decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And this

this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to disallow the award of damages and to award six cents damages, and to allow to the defendants a recovery for their costs after interlocutory decree, and to the plaintiff a recovery for its costs to, and including, interlocutory decree.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley.

232. John Dobson "& James Dobson, trading as John & James Dobson, and also as "The Falls of Schuylkill Carpet Mills" vs. The Bigelow Carpet Company. — Appellants — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued

by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the final decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs. And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to disallow the award of damages and to award six cents damages, and to allow the defendants a recovery for their costs after interlocutory decree, and to the plaintiff a recovery for its costs to and including interlocutory decree.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Bradley.

233. John Dobson & James Dobson, trading as John & James Dobson and also as The Falls of Schuylkill Carpet Mills" Appellants vs. The Bigelow Carpet Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Monday, April 20th 1885, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the final decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs: And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to disallow the award of damages and to award six cents damages, and to allow to the defendants a recovery of their costs after interlocutory decree, and to the plaintiff a recovery upon its costs to and including interlocutory decree.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Bradley.

Tudor Clawson
vs.
The United States.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

263.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Frederick Hopt vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the Territory of Utah.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

1141.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to

order the verdict to be set aside and a new trial granted.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Thomas Poindexter ..... In Error to the
vs. Plff. in Error ..... Hustings Court
Samuel E. Bronhow, ... of the City of
Treasurer of the City of ..... Richmond, State
Richmond, Virginia. ..... of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Hustings Court of the City of Richmond, State of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Hustings Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Hustings Court of the City of Richmond with instructions to render a judgment

upon the agreed Statement of facts in favor of the plaintiff.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller &
Mr. Justice Gray.

589. William L. White vs. Plff. in Error Samuel C. Greenhow. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed with costs; And that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the

said Circuit Court with directions to proceed therein, in conformity with law.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller
Mr. Justice Gray.

Samuel S. Carter vs. Pltff. in Error Samuel C. Greenhow. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

S. Brown Allen, Auditor of Public Accounts of the State of Virginia, et al.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia.
vs. Appellants	
The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller
Mr. Justice Gray.

	J. Adair Pleasants	Appeal from the
9½	vs. Appellant	Circuit Court
	Samuel L. Greenhow,	of the United
	Treasurer of the City	States for the
	of Richmond.	Eastern District
		of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	C. E. Chaffin	In Error to the Su-
126a	vs. Pff. in Error	preme Court of Ap-
	William Taylor.	peals of the State of
		Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court of Appeals and of the Circuit Court of the County of Henrico, State of Virginia, in this cause, be, and the same are hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court of the County of Henrico, State of Virginia, with instructions to take further proceedings in accordance with law, and in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller
Mr. Justice Gray.

Morton Marye, Auditor of the State of Virginia, Isaac R. Barksdale, etal. ... Appellants vs. Edwin Parsons. ... Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bills.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

235. John S. Cavender vs. Robert S. Cavender. ... Appellant ... Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern

Monday, April 26th 1880, continued.

District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Western Electric Manufacturing Company vs. The Anaconda Copper Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsels.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

1142. Mary T. Burton vs. Pff. in Error / The West Jersey Ferry Company. / In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Commissioners of Buncombe County et al. vs. Vincent R. Tommey et als. — Appellants

136.

On consideration of the petition for a re-hearing in this cause,

It is ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted the appellants to file an additional brief in support of the said petition, and the appellees may file a brief in reply thereto.

All briefs on the pending application must be filed on or before the 1st day of October next, and the petition for a re-hearing heretofore made by the appellants is continued until the next term.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

James B. Edmonds, Joseph R. West and Garrett J. Lydecker, Commissioners of the District of Columbia vs. The Baltimore & Potomac Railroad Company. — Appellants

1283.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Richard B. Chapman
1285 vs. Pltf. in Error
Edward K. Goodnow.

Ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss in this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Pacific National Bank
124. vs. Plff. in Error
George Mixter

In consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Bradshaw Milling Company
768. vs. Plff. in Error
Abram S. Hewitt.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Arizona and on the motion to dismiss which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same

is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific Railroad Company vs. Elias S. Dennis, Sheriff &c.	On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, and
391.	Plff. in Error	

of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Pilar Garcia de Sabariego et al. vs. Mary A. Maverick et al.	On consideration of the motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause, It
100.	Plffs. in Error	

is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby granted, subject

to any order that may be made after the return of the writ as to the costs incident thereto, including the expense of printing.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

98. William L. Gibson vs. Plff. in Error Edward Lyon et al. — Ordered that this case be set down for re argument on printed briefs at the next term, and that the attention of counsel be especially called to these two questions:

1st What is the effect of a judgment or order of confirmation of a judicial sale, under the law of Pennsylvania?

2d. Assuming that the mortgage was not discharged by the proceedings in the Orphans' Court, and that the sales of lots 2 and 3 were voids. yet is not the legal title still in the mortgagees under the mortgage, and therefore can ejectment be maintained against them in a Federal Court, under the rules stated in Brobst v Brock, 10 Wall., 519?

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite

Morris Richter
830. vs. Appellant
George Jerome,
Survivor &c. et al. — The motion for an order to take depositions de bene esse in this cause was argued by Mr. J. T. Whittemore, in support of the same, and submitted by Mr. H. H. Wells, in opposition thereto.

Charles W. Leas 2nd
James D. Perrins
May vs. Appellants
Frank Deakin. — The motion to rescind the order docketing and dismissing this cause and for leave to file the record and docket the cause in conformity with the rules was argued by Mr. J. Subley Ashton, in support of the same, and Mr. Charles F. Benjamin, in opposition thereto.

Mason M. Hill
115. vs. Pff. in Error
William Martin. — Ordered by the Court that the hearing of the motion to reinstate this cause on the docket be postponed

	The Missouri Pacific Railway Company	The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the
290	vs. Plff. in Error	
	Patrick Mackey.	

consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas C. Fenlon, in support of the same, and Mr. John F. Dillon, in opposition thereto.

	The Chicago & North-western Railway Company	Mr. S. S. Burdett, in behalf of counsel for the defendant
1234	vs. Plff. in Error	
	Gus. B. Ohles.	in error, submitted to the

consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause under the 32d rule.

	The State of Alabama	On motion of
6	Original vs. Plaintiff	Mr. C. F. Rice,
	Isaac T. Burr et al.	of counsel for

the plaintiff, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and

the same is hereby assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the
vs. Pltff. in Error	District of Ken-
M. Mundy.	tucky.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, in behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite

	The County Court of Scotland County and the Judges thereof.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
297.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	The United States	
	cerel: William Hill.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, in behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the defendant in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Canada Southern Railway Company	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the South-
564.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	Henry Sanger.	

ern District of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. F. W. Hackett, in behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, each party to pay their own costs in this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Andrew Stewart et al. vs. Appellants James M. Dunham et al. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court

1210. on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. C. Coulthings, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. John F. Hanna and Mr. James M. Johnston, of counsel for the appellees.

Francis Dodge et al. vs. Appellants Thomas Knowles. — Mr. C. M. Matthews, of counsel for the appellee, asked

15b.

and obtained leave to file a motion to rescind the decree entered in this cause on the 13th instant, and to dismiss the appeal. Whereupon, It is ordered that said motion be submitted on printed briefs on or before Friday next.

Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes
8. Original — Petitioner.

The motion as to taxation of costs in this case

was argued by Mr. J. N. Dolph, in support of the same.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 21st 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Wilson it is ordered that A. B. Williams, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

263. William K. Scofield vs. Plff. in Error The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. S. T. Pierce, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Charles E. Flandrau, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. S. L. Pierce, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

303. Philip S. Wales vs. Appellant William C. Whitney, Secretary of the Navy.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. John S. Blair, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 22d 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment The Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John S. Wise it is ordered that E. W. Hansbrough, Esquire, of Salem, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Wilson it is ordered that Calvin B. Walker, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. H. Browne it is ordered that Edgar H. Farrar, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1286. John H. Starin et al. vs. The Mayor &c. of New York City — Appellants

1287. The Independent Steamboat Company vs. The Mayor &c. of New — Appellant

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Roscoe

Gosling and Mr. Charles McJames, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. W. W. MacFarland, of counsel for the appellees.

The Union Railroad Company of Baltimore vs. James G. Dull, Samuel M. Shoemaker etal. — Appellant. — Mr. Thomas W. Hall, of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Samuel M. Shoemaker, one of the appellees in this cause, and moved the Court for an order under the 15th rule, to make the proper representatives parties. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said Samuel M. Shoemaker, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the appellant shall be entitled to open the record, and on hearing have the decree reversed, if it be erroneous: Provided, however, that a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general

circulation within the State of Maryland for three successive weeks, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

Philip S. Wales		The argument of
303	vs.	this cause was
William C. Whitney,	Appellant	continued by
Secretary of the Navy.		Mr. John S.
		Blair, of coun-

sel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. F. P. B. Sands, of counsel for the appellant.

John S. Mayfield,		This cause was
264	vs.	submitted to the
Mary E. Richards	Plff. in Error	consideration of
et al.		the Court on the
		record and print-

ed arguments by Mr. E. J. Merrick, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. G. A. Breaux, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	Henry Eberhardt	This cause was
265.	vs. Pff. in Error	submitted to the
	Manerva Hogaboom	consideration of
		the Court on the

record and a printed argument by Mr. G. H. McKune, of counsel for the plaintiff in error - No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

	The United States	This cause was
266.	vs. Appellant	submitted to
	Richard R. Carson.	the consideration
		of the Court on

the record and a printed argument by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the appellant - & no counsel appearing for the appellee.

	W. H. Crump	This cause was sub-
267.	vs. Appellant	mitted to the consid-
	H. T. Thurber.	eration of the Court
		on the record and

printed arguments by Mr. Gabriel C. Wharton, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. E. More, of counsel for the appellee.

James L. Phillippi
vs. Appellant
Antonio Philippe et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. Frederick J. Bromberg, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday. April 23d, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John T. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

William Brown,	In Error to the
Philip L. Orth and	Supreme Court
Charles W. Orth	of the Territory
371. vs. Plffs. in Error	of Utah.
Frank Cook.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Wayne Mac Veagh,

in behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now hereordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

681. William H. Welling &c vs. Jason Crane, Edward Crane, Frederick Crane, John G. Kearsing, William H. Kearsing and William H. Steele

The Welling Compressed Ivory Manufacturing Company, Limited ... Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Frederick H Betts, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with

The Beecher Manufacturing Co. ... Appellant
vs.
The Atwater Manufacturing Co. et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Hector T. Fenton, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. George S. Prindle and Mr. J. H. Wilson, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. O. N. Hall, of counsel for the appellant.

James E. S. Hadden, et al. ... Plffs. in Error
vs.
Edwin A. Merritt, Collector ... Defendant in Error

This cause was argued by Mr. Mason W. Tyler, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Solicitor General Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Oregonian Railway Company (Limited)		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon.
270.	vs. Pff in Error	
David Chalmers, W.E. Holmes and A. Ballantyne		

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff in error has filed a request that the case be dismissed: It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday. April 24th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Hubley Ashton it is ordered that David Thomson, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. P. Robertson it is ordered that Alfred Caldwell, Esquire, of Wheeling, State of West Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Francis Dodge et al.		On motion to re
86.	vs. Appellants	scind the decree
Thomas Knowles.		entered in this
		cause on the 13th

instant and to dismiss this appeal was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. C. M. Matthews, in support of the same, and Mr. J. Holdsworth Gordon, in opposition thereto.

Mason M. Hill		The motion to re.
115.	vs. Plff. in Error	scind the judg
William Martin.		ment entered in
		this cause on the

2d day of December, 1884, to recall the mandate issued and to reinstate the cause on the docket was submitted to

the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. John B. Sweat, in support of the same, and Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, in opposition thereto.

1308. The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company vs. The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company. Appellant

Mr. J. F. Farnsworth, of counsel for the appellee, having filed in open Court certified copies of the decree of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and the order allowing the appeal in this cause, and having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute said appeals, moved the Court, pursuant to the 32d rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of

Illinois be and the same is hereby, dock-
eted and dismissed with costs; And that
this cause be, and the same is hereby, re-
manded to the said Circuit Court to be
proceeded in according to law and justice.
per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Chauncey D. Spaids	On motion of Mr.
71.	vs. Plff. in Error	S. S. Henkle, of coun-
	Dennis N. Cooley.	sel for the plaint-
		iff in error, leave

is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the
mandate in this cause.

	The Chesapeake & Ohio	On motion of
	Railway Company	Mr. Alfred Cald-
203.	vs. Plff. in Error	well, leave is
	Joseph S. Miller,	hereby granted
	Auditor &c.	the Clerk to is-
		sue the mandate

in this cause.

272. The Martinsburg & Potomac Railroad Company vs. James March. Pltf. in Error

This cause was argued by Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

273. James Grant vs. George H. Parker. Appellant

This cause was argued by Mr. John Johns, of counsel for the appellee, and submitted on printed arguments by Mr Whitaker & H. Grant, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John A. Rogers, of counsel for the appellee.

Catharine Freeny, née Fallon,
Mary Doyle, née Fallon,
Catharine Fallon, widow of
Nicholas Fallon, deceased;
Mary Fallon et al., minor
heirs of Nicholas Fallon,
deceased, by John O'Rourke,
their next friend, and John
O'Rourke, Trustee.
274. vs. Appellants
The First National Bank of
Plattsmouth, Nebraska, Alex-
ander B. Campbell, Executor,
Robert R. Livingston, condition-
al legatee and A. J. Sullivan,
County Judge of Cass County,
Nebraska.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

William A. Glasgow, Executor &c. vs. David N. Lipse, in his own right and as Executor &c. — Appellant

278. On motion of Mr. John S. Wise, of counsel for the appellant, It is ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, awarded; And it is further ordered that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

The Steamboat "Charles Morgan" &c., et al. vs. Martin N. Kouns et al. — Appellants

279. This cause was argued by Mr. I. D. Lincoln, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. T. H. Browne, of counsel for the appellees.

Walter N. Smith vs. Daisy P. D. Bear, Trustee. — Appellant

280. On motion of Mr. F. W. Hackett, of counsel for the appellee, Ordered by the Court that this cause be,

and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

	The Canal & Claiborne Streets Railroad Company, Garnishees	This cause was argued by Mr. Edgar H. Farrar, of counsel for the defendant in error,
283.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	Judah Hart.	

and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. J. R. Beckwith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

	Dana Sargent et als.	Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same
285.	vs. Appellants	
	John A. Helton, Sheriff &c.	

is hereby, continued until the next term.

	John G. Pirie et als.	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the
287.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	Evan J. Iredt et als.	

Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Gordon E. Cole, of counsel

for the plaintiffs in error. No counsel appearing for the defendants in error.

The Ohio River Pipe Line Company, George H. Bartlett and The West Virginia Oil & Oil Land Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the
388. vs. Appellants	District of
The West Virginia Transportation Company.	West Virginia.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday, May 4th next

Monday, May 11th, 1868.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland. it is ordered that Thomas Hunt, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Joseph W. Merriam, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William Lawrence it is ordered that James A. Roberts, Esquire, of Buffalo, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, it is ordered that James Munroe Robinson, Esquire, of Olympia, Territory of Washington, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.—

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Frank Allyn, Esquire, of Keokuk, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an Attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

256. The Atlantic Phosphate Company vs. John C. Grafflin. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum

that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of South Carolina.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

259 James B. Clark ... vs. ... The Beecher Manufacturing Company ... Appellant ... Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

263 William T. Schofield ... vs. ... The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company. ... Pltf. in Error ... In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota

Monday, May 11th 1885, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford

W. H. Crump vs. H. K. Thurber. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and

the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to remand it to the State Court, for want of jurisdiction, with costs to Crump against Thurber, in the Circuit Court.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Canal & Claiborne Streets Railroad Company, Garnishee		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
283. vs.	Plff. in Error	
Judah Hart		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear

in the Courts of the State of Louisiana.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Charles Stuart Wurts
& Robert Lenox Kennedy
193. vs. Plffs. in Error
Amos Nougland. James
Boyd & William L. John-
son. Commissioners of
Drainage &c.

In Error to the
Supreme Court
of the State of
New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	The Oriana Iron Company	In Error
192.	vs. Pff. in Error	to the Su-
	Amos Hoagland, James	preme Court
	Boyd & William L. Johnson	of the State
	Commissioners of Drain-	of New Jer-
	age &c.	sey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	The Beecher Manufacturing	Appeal
	Company	from the
211.	vs. Appellant	Circuit
	The Riverter Manufacturing	Court of
	Company, Robert R. Miller	the United
	and G. B. Savage.	States for
		the District

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Arthur George Brown, Administrator de bonis non cum test. amento annexo of Charles Macalester, deceased.		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland.
253. vs.	Appellant	
The State of Maryland and William W. Corcoran, Allen B. Davis, J. Bayard H. Smith, George L. Brown and George Hawkins Williams, Trustees.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same are hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Wabash, St. Louis & Pacific Railway Company ..... Appeal from the Circuit Court of the
118. vs. Appellant United States for the District
Benjamin F. Ham, Edward De Rose, Henry of Indiana.
A. Mott, John W. Ferdon et al.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana and was argued

by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to disallow the lien claimed by the holders of equipment bonds.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

149. John F. Wollensak vs. Frank A. Reiher. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said

Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

John F. Wollensak vs. Frank A. Reiher. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

James E. S. Hadden, Harold F. Hadden and Francis Pott, surviving partners &c. &c. vs. Edwin A. Merritt, Collector of the Port of New York.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Andrew Stewart, Andrew D. Gwynne and P. H. Haley, partners as Stewart Brothers & Company ..... Appeal from the Circuit

240. vs. ..... Appellants ..... Court of the United

James H. Dunham, William J. Buckley and Charles M. Webb, partners as Dunham Buckley & Company; Edwin Bates, Charles K. Bates, and Thomas R. McGahan, partners as Edwin Bates & Co. et als. ..... States for the Southern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal as to all the appellees except Dunham, Buckley & Company be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction; And as to said Dunham, Buckley &

Company that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill as to them.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

P. J. Moore
vs. Pltff in Error
Samuel C. Greenhow,
Treasurer of the City
of Richmond.

In Error to the
Supreme Court
of Appeals of
the State of
Virginia.

(705.)

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

John S. Mayfield	In Error to the
Hon. vs. Pff. in Error	Supreme Court
Mary E. Richards,	of the State of
J. Keene Richards,	Louisiana.
John S. Farrer, Louisa	
F. James et al.	

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to enter judgment that the claim of Mayfield, based on the nine notes of Walter O. Winn is a legal and valid debt due from his succession, and that it was properly placed in the provisional account of the dative testamentary executor as an ordinary claim.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

James S. Philippi

268. vs. Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama.

Antonio Philippe, Antonio Philippi, Eugene Philippi, Angela F. Philippi, personally and as Administratrix of Angelo M. Philippi, deceased, Louis Philippi, Angelo Philippi and Louisa Philippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

Ben. H. Smith and L. H. Springer, Administrators of Wm H. Todd, deceased, Appellants vs. Joseph H. Woodfolk. } Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar decrees bear in the Courts of the State of Arkansas.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

217. Stephen B. Sturges vs. Merchant Carter, Treasurer of Richland County, State of Ohio, successor in office to John A. Lee, Treasurer. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Ohio.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The New Orleans, Spanish Fort & Lake Railroad Company vs. Pltff. in Error. George Delamore, J. C. Alcide Gauthreaux, Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans, and The Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans, successor of the Parish of Orleans, under the Constitution of 1868.

352. (In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to render a decree enjoining and restraining the defendants from advertising or selling, or offering

for sale upon the execution described in the bill the right of way and franchises granted by the City of New Orleans to the Canal Street, City Park and Lake Railroad Company by Ordinance No. 4423, Administration Series, dated May 21, 1873.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The United States vs. Richard R. Carson. Appellant. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims with directions to dismiss the petition.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Martinsburg & Potomac Railroad Company	In Error to the District
292 vs. Plff. in Error	Court of the
James March.	United
	States for

the District of West Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said District Court with directions to set aside the verdict and grant a new trial, and for such further proceedings as may be consistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Peter O. Strang, John B. Holland 2d Joseph Holland,		In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New York.
146	vs. Plffs. in Error	
Amariah N. Bradner and Daniel Lowrey.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Ex parte: In the matter of Louis Reggel		Appeal from the Third Judicial District Court of the Territory of Utah.
250.	Appellant.	

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Third Judicial District Court of the Territory of Utah, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the judgment of the said Third Judicial District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Commissioners of Buncombe County et als. vs. Vincent R. Tommey et als. 136. Appellants

Ordered by The Court that The order entered in this cause on April 20th, 1885, be, and the same is hereby, rescinded and annulled; And it is further ordered by the Court that the petition for a rehearing in this cause be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Union Pacific Railway Company vs. Abram Myers. } In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller.

The Union Pacific Railway Company vs. Pltff. in Error The City of Kansas. } In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller.

The Union Pacific Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error
Lucia Knuth.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller.

	The Union Pacific Railway Company	In Error to the Supreme Court
980.	vs. Plff. in Error	of the State of
	Frank Harwood.	Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:

Mr. Chief Justice Waite

Mr. Justice Miller

The Texas & Pacific Railway Company vs. A. J. McAllister.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Texas.

610.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Texas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller.

Monday, May 11th 1885, continued.

The Texas & Pacific Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error Cum. Ct.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Texas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:

Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Mr. Justice Miller.

824. The Texas & Pacific Railroad Company vs. James Murphy. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Texas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller.

The Provident Savings Life Assurance Society of New York.		In Error to the Supreme Court of the
22. vs.	Plff. in Error	State of New
Daniel W. Ford.		York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Samuel S. Brown and James W. Schoonmaker		In Error to the Supreme Court
23. vs.	Plffs. in Error	of the State of
Francis D. Houston, State Tax Collector and Allen Jumel, State Auditor.		Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the State of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Fort Leavenworth Railroad Company vs. Pltff. in Error Gerard E. Lowe, Sheriff of the County of Leavenworth. } In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas.

No 3.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company
262. vs. Plff. in Error
William McClain.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

George W. Crasher, W. S. Jones, Henry McClain, Isaac Dotson & Jos. G. Brush
244. vs. Plffs. in Error
M. J. O'Connor.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

B. F. Good, C. R. Clark, J. E. Whistler, D. Murphy et al. vs. M. J. O'Connor. — Plffs. in Error — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

312.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	Daniel Hazard, W. W. Taylor, James M. Truslow, Samuel Erwin et al.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the
312.	vs.	State of Cali-
	M. J. O'Connor	fornia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	Henry Ehrhardt	In Error to the
265.	vs.	Supreme Court
	Manerva Hagaboom	of the State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was

argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Rebecca Gray, Administratrix &c. of Wilson H. Gray, deceased,
216. vs. Appellant
The National Steamship Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

William Talling, surviving partner of the firm of Samuel A. Belden & Company		Appeal from the Court of Claims.
219.	vs.	
The United States.	Appellant	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court That the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed, And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims with directions to dismiss the petition for want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The Richmond Mining Company of Nevada		In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada.
248.	vs.	
E. H. Rose, J. W. Nixon, George W. Merrill and Selden Hetzell	Plff. in Error	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

279. E. S. Wheeler & Company vs. Riffs. in Error The New Brunswick and Canada Railroad Company. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

affirmed with costs and interest until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Connecticut.

per Mr. Justice Miller

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Blatchford
" " Field
" " Harlan
" " Matthews

Philip S. Wales		Appeal from the
1302.	vs.	Supreme Court
William C. Whitney.	Appellant	of the District of
Secretary of the Navy.		Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller

Edwin Amory Stevens, Eugene Kelly, John J. Jerry 2nd Peter Geddes		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.
52.	vs. Appellants	
The Memphis & Charleston Railroad Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.
(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

William Emory Stevens and John T. Terry, Appellants, vs. The Memphis, Clarksville & Louisville Railroad Company and The Louisville, Nashville & Great Southern Railroad Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.

113

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Amory Stevens, Eugene Kelly, John J. Jerry and Peter Geddes vs. The Louisville, Nashville & Great Southern Railroad Company.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan

Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

Corwin Amory Stevens, Eugene Kelly, John T. Terry and Peter Geddes, Appellants vs. The Louisville, Nashville & Great Southern Rail-road Company. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Amory Stevens and John F. Terry vs. The Nashville & Northwestern Railroad Company and The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Co.	Appellants	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

	Calvin Amory Stevens	Appeal from
117.	vs. Appellant	the Circuit
	The Nashville & Decatur	Court of the
	Railroad Company and	United States
	The Louisville, Nashville	for the Middle
	& Great Southern Rail-	District of Ten-
	road Company.	nessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Emory Stevens.	Appeal from the
vs. Appellant	Circuit Court
The Nashville & Decatur	of the United
Railroad Company and	States for the
The Louisville, Nashville	Middle Dis.
& Great Southern Railroad	trict of Ten.
Company.	nessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Amory Stevens and John T. Terry		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.
No.	vs. Appellants	
The Mc Minnville & Manchester Railway and The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Company		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Emory Stevens. Eugene Kelly and John J. Terry
50. vs. Appellants
The Nashville & Chattanooga Railroad Company and The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Co.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

	Calvin Simonds Stevens and John J. Terry	Appeal from the Circuit
51.	vs. Appellants	Court of the
	The Winchester & Alabama Railway Company and The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Co.	United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Emory Stevens and John E. Terry		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the East. ern District of Tennessee.
52. vs.	Appellants	
The Cincinnati, Cumberland Gap & Charleston Railroad Company and The Eastern. nessee, Virginia & Georgia Railroad Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

	Calvin Amory Stevens et al.	Appeal from the Circuit
52.	vs. Appellants.	Court of the
	The Knoxville & Kentucky Railroad Company and	United States for the East-
	The Knoxville & Ohio Railroad Company.	ern District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Amory Stevens.	Appeal from
Eugene Kelly. John E.	the Circuit
Kerry and Peter Geddes	Court of the
54. vs. Appellants	United States
The East Tennessee, Vir-	for the East-
ginia & Georgia Rail-	ern District
road Company.	of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Calvin Emory Stevens, Eugene Kelly, John J. Terry and Peter Geddes		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee.
No.	vs. (Appellants	
The East Tennessee, Virginia & Georgia Railroad Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

Monday, May 11th 1885, continued.

Calvin Amory Stevens
'63. vs. Appellant
The Chicago, St. Louis &
New Orleans Railroad
Company.

Appeal from the
Circuit Court
of the United
States for the
Western Dis-
trict of Tenne-
ssee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

	Calvin Emory Stevens & Solon E. Emery	Appeal from the Circuit Court
164.	vs.	of the United
	The Mississippi & Tennessee Railroad Company.	States for the Western District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

Monday, May 2d 1887 continued.

Gideon Amory Stevens
vs. Appellant
The Mobile & Ohio Rail-
road Co. et al.

Appeal from
the Circuit
Court of the
United States
for the West-
ern District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Matthews and Mr Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

	William R. Swillim	In Error to the
222.	vs. Pltf. in Error	Circuit Court
	John F. Donellan and	of the United
	William R. Everett.	States for the
		District of

Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	James Grant	Appeal from the
223.	vs. Appellant	Circuit Court of the
	George H. Parker.	United States for
		the District of

California.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite

278. The Steamboat "Charles Morgan", her engines &c., Mary E. Stein, wife of Albert Stein, sole owner, Albert Stein, Master, and Thomas P. Leathers, Burris D. Wood, Alphonse Martin and William Fagan, Sureties vs. Martin H. Kouns and William J. Scovell, and The Hibernia Insurance Company of New Orleans, subrogee of Martin H. Kouns and William J. Scovell.

Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Monday, May 11th 1885, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that as provided in the decree of the Circuit Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John S. Pirie, George Scott, Robert Scott 2nd Andrew McLeish, partners as Carson, Pirie, Scott & Company ... Plffs. in Error
vs.
Evan J. Ivedt 2nd Thomas J. Ivedt, partners as Ivedt Brothers.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on

the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan
Mr. Justice Woods.

56. Francis Dodge et al. vs. Thomas Knowles. Appellants

On consideration of the motion to rescind the decree entered in this cause on April 13th 1885, and to dismiss this appeal, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Ellis G. Hughes
Original — Petitioner.

On consideration of the motion of the respondent to tax as costs in this case, 1st, a docket fee, and 2d, the disbursements for printing briefs of counsel and objections to filing a replication by the relator to the return of the respondent, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion so far as it relates to the printing of briefs be, and the same is hereby, denied, but in all other respects granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

115. Mason M. Hill
vs. Pltff. in Error
William Martin.

In consideration of the motion to rescind the judgment entered in this cause on the 2d day of December 1884, to recall the mandate issued and to reinstate the cause on the docket and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court

that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Morris Richter
vs. Appellant
George Jerome et al.

On consideration of the motion for an order to take depositions de bene esse in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Charles W. Leat et al
James D. Perrins
Exrs. vs. Appellants
Frank Deakin.

On consideration of the motion to rescind the order docketing and dismissing this cause and for leave to file the record and docket the cause in conformity with the rules, It is now here ordered by the Court that leave be,

and the same is hereby, granted the appellant to docket this cause at any time before June 1st on payment of the costs of this motion and of the proceedings to docket and dismiss and also the costs in this Court of the cross-appeal of the appellee which has been dismissed. Leave is also granted the appellee to docket his appeal at any time before June 15th if he desires to do so. The Clerk will at once furnish the respective parties with a copy of this order.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Missouri Pacific Railway Company vs. Patrick Mackey. 1290. Pltf. in Error

On consideration of the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Chicago & North-western Railway Company	On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, pursuant to the 32d
234.	vs. Pff. in Error	rule, it is now
	Sus B. Otis.	

here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice White.

	The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company	The defendants in error having
193.	vs. Pff. in Error	filed their motion to take an
	P. H. Pendleton et al.	order for a re-

hearing of this cause at the next term of this Court, pursuant to an order of this Court entered herein on April 13th, 1885. It is, therefore, now here ordered by this Court that the judgment entered herein on the 5th day of January, 1885, be, and the same is hereby, set aside and the cause restored to the docket for re argument at the next term.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite

Order.

The Reporter having represented that owing to the number of decisions at the term it will be impracticable to put the Reports in two volumes, It is, therefore, now here ordered by the Court that he publish an additional volume in this year.

Philip W. Schneider — Appeal from the
1314 vs. Appellant — Court of Claims
The United States.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. F. P. B. Sands, of counsel for the appellant; and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Henry Peychaud, surviving syndic of Bellocq, Roblom & Co. vs. The United States. Appellant

Mr. Assist. and Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellee, suggested the death of Henry Peychaud, surviving syndic &c, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court for an order under the 15th rule to make the proper representatives parties. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said Henry Peychaud, surviving syndic &c., deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the appellee shall be entitled to have the appeal dismissed: Provided however, that a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation within the District of Columbia for three successive weeks at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

Solomon Moses.
Goloho Blum and
Solomon Weill
vs. Appellant
Gloria H. Wooster.

Mr. Thomas Hunt, of counsel for the appellee, suggested the death of Goloho Blum, one of the appellants in this cause, and moved the Court for an order, under the 15th rule, to make the proper representatives parties. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said Goloho Blum, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the appellee shall be entitled to have the appeal dismissed as to said Goloho Blum. Provided, however, That a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation within the State of New York for three successive weeks at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

231.	John & James Dobson vs. The Hartford Carpet Co.	Appellants
	And	
233.	John & James Dobson vs. The Bigelow Carpet Co.	Appellants

Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the appellees, moved the Court for an order staying the issuance of the mandates in these cases, and for leave to file a petition for a re-hearing. Whereupon, it is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

293.	Virginia P. Matthews vs. David R. Murchison, Francis O. French, Arthur B. Graves, James S. Whedbee, Andrew U. Stout et al.	Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of North Carolina.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Fillmore Beall, in behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that a stipulation to dismiss this

cause has been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Irving Champlin, Administrator vs. of Edward W. Raymsford, deceased, Appellant vs. Fannie F. Sparrow et al. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

1103.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Fillmore Beall, on behalf of counsel, and it appearing to the Court that the authority of the appellant to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

James Freeborn and William Perine		Mr. Fillmore Beall, of coun
313.	vs. Appellants	sel for the ap.
The California Artificial Stone Paving Company.		pellee, having filed in open

Court the following certificate, to wit:

Circuit Court of the United States,
District of California.

I, L. S. B. Sawyer, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Circuit Court wherein the California Artificial Stone Paving Company was complainant and James Freeborn and William Perine were respondents, a final decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the 30th day of April, A.D. 1883, in favor of the said complainant and against the said respondents and that on the 21st day of May, A.D. 1883, said respondents prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States, which was allowed.

In testimony whereof I

hereunto subscribe my
name and affix the seal
(Seal) : of said Circuit Court at
San Francisco, California,
this 22d day of April A.D.
1885.

I. S. B. Sawyer
Clerk U. S. Circuit Court
District of California,
by F. D. Monckton,
Deputy Clerk."

and having stated that the appellants had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute said appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, to have said appeal docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be

proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Order.

It is now here ordered by the Court that all the cases on the docket not decided and all the other business of the term not disposed of by the Court be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term of the Court.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned to the time and place appointed by law.

Minutes
of the
Supreme Court
of the
United States
October Term
1883.

Monday, October 12th 1885.

At the Capitol of the United States, in the City of Washington and District of Columbia, being the present seat of the National Government of the United States on the second Monday of October (being the twelfth day of the same month) in the year of our Lord one thousand, eight hundred and eighty-five and of the Independence of the United States the one hundred and tenth, the Supreme Court of the United States met agreeably to law.

Present.

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan — Associate
William B. Woods — Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William E. Earle it is ordered that John F. Ficken, Esquire, of Charleston, State of South Carolina, David G. Rancourt, Esquire, of Camden, State of New Jersey, and Samuel W. Bowen, Esquire, of Brooklyn, State of New York, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. St. C. Brookes it is ordered that Charles E. Monroe, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. F. Phillips it is

ordered that Harry A. Low, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that J. Wade McDonald, Esquire, of Winfield, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court. And he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. E. Johnson, it is ordered that Warren G. Griffith, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Jeff. Chandler it is ordered that Theodore H. Thomas, Esquire, of

Gunnison, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. S. Burdett it is ordered that Franklin C. Johnson, Esquire, of Gunnison, State of Colorado, and Thomas W. Nowlin, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. G. Henderson it is ordered that George A. Rathbun, Esquire, of Ridgway, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Wilkinson Ball it is

ordered that James D. Thompson, Esquire, of Pensacola, State of Florida, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Boutwell it is ordered that Edward C. Smith and Albert P. Foss, Esquires, of St. Albans, Henry R. Start, Esquire, of Bakersfield, and Stephen C. Shurtleff, Esquire, of Montpelier, State of Vermont, and Charles Allen Jaber, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The Chief Justice announced that the Court would commence the call of the docket tomorrow, pursuant to the 26th Rule.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, October 13th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met to-day at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Theodore H. Thomas, it is ordered that Angus Cameron, Esquire, of Rochester, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Thomas O. Benton, Esquire, of Monroe, State of Louisiana, Jacob Montgomery, Esquire, of San Diego, and Clay M. Taylor, Esquire, of Shasta, State of California, John F. Sanderson and D. C. DeWitt, Esquires, of Towanda, State of Pennsylvania, John J. Horner, Esquire, of Helena, State of Arkansas, and Edwin F. Abbott and William H. Moore, Esquires, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court, and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. C. Cole it is ordered that H. A. Dent, Esquire, of Grafton, State of West Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. W. Horner it is ordered that Charles Louque, Esquire, of New

Orleans, State of Louisiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

4. The State of Alabama, Original. vs. Plaintiff, Isaac T. Burr et al. On motion of Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the defendants, Ordered by the Court that this cause be re-assigned for argument at the foot of the call for the 26th instant.

256. E. J. Harriot vs. Plff. in Error Eliza M. O'Brien et al. Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, moved the Court to postpone the submission of the motion to dismiss in this cause, which was opposed by Mr. H. L. Low, of counsel for the defendants in error. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that the submission of the motion to dismiss in this cause be, and the same is hereby

Henry C. Brown
588. vs. Appellant
James B. Grant,
Governor &c., et al.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Theodore F. Thomas, in support of the same, and Mr. James M. Brown, in opposition thereto.

Robert P. Parry
973. vs. Plff. in Error
E. J. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.
Ex parte: In the matter of
William L. Royall (No. 1)
974. Appt. & Plff. in Error
Ex parte: In the matter of
William L. Royall (No. 2)
975. Appt. & Plff. in Error
William M. Sands
1009. vs. Plff. in Error
E. J. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.
William L. Royall
1010. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Virginia

Mr. W. L. Royall, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error and appellants, filed and

	R. B. Chaffin	Submitted to the con-
1011.	vs. Plff. in Error	sideration of the Court
	William Taylor.	a motion to advance
		these cases.

	R. B. Challin	Mr. W. L. Roy-
260 of O. J. 1884.	vs. Plff. in Error	all, of counsel
	William Taylor.	for the plaint-
		iff in error,

filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for summary process to compel obedience to the judgment entered in this cause by the Court.

	Bernhard Arnson et al.	Mr. Solicitor
887.	vs. Plffs. in Error	General
	Thomas Murphy, late	Goode, of
	Collector &c.	counsel for
		the defend-

ant in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

	D. M. Ferry & Company		Mr. Solicitor
875.	vs.	Plffs. in Error	General Goode,
	William Livingston, Jr.,		of counsel,
	Collector &c.		filed and
			submitted to
	William Livingston, Jr.		the considera-
	Collector &c.		tion of the
876.	vs.	Plff. in Error	Court a mo-
	D. M. Ferry & Company.		tion to ad-
			vance these
	cases.		
	J. P. Hague, Administrator		Mr. John J.
	of Simeon Hart, deceased		Weed, of
198.	vs.	Appellant	counsel for
	The United States.		the appellant,

suggested to the Court the removal of J. P. Hague as Administrator of the estate of Simeon Hart, deceased, and the appointment of Juan S. Hart as such administrator, and moved the Court to make the said Juan S. Hart, Administrator of the estate of Simeon Hart, deceased, the party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is

ordered by the Court that Swan S. Hart, as Administrator of the estate of Lawson Hart, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party appellant in this cause.

779 Michael Hanley et al. vs. Plffs. in Error / Charles Donoghue. — Mr. F. J. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

1083 S. W. Jefferson, Trustee, et al. vs. Appellants / John B. Driver, Adm'r. etc. — Mr. John J. Mahon, of counsel for the appellants, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

155. Calvin Littlefield vs. Appellant / William D. Bloxham, Governor etc., et als. — Mr. A. E. Davis, in behalf of counsel, submitted to the

consideration of the Court a motion for leave to withdraw the transcript of the record in this cause for the purpose of having the same properly authenticated.

E. S. Coe
43. vs. Plff. in Error
The Town of Errol.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, pursuant to the 20th rule, by Mr. Henry Heywood, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. R. Bond, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Peter C. A. M. Van Weel
2. vs. Appellant
Frederick N. Winston,
George C. Campbell et al.

Mr. William M. Moore, of counsel, suggested to the Court the death of George C. Campbell, one of the appellees in this cause, and moved the Court to make Henry T. Hover and Julia G. Campbell, Executors of George C. Campbell, deceased, parties appellees in accordance with their request to be admitted as parties to this

suit, filed this date. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Henry J. Glover and Julia G. Campbell, Executors of George C. Campbell, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellees in this cause.

Thomas W. Edwards, Richard Uren, Edward Ryan and Eliza Blandy ... Appellants
vs.
Franklin Farrell.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. H. McGowan, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to affirm the decree of the Circuit Court having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	John M. Stone et al., as The Railroad Commission of Mississippi	On motion of Mr. E. M. Johnson, of counsel,
722.	vs. Appellants	Ordered by the
	The Farmers Loan and Trust Co.	Court that six hours be allowed
	John M. Stone et al., as The Railroad Commission of Mississippi	counsel on each side for the argument of these cases.
723.	vs. Appellants	The argument of
	The Illinois Central R.R. Co.	these cases was commenced by Mr.
	and	John W. C. Watson,
	John M. Stone et al., as The Railroad Commission of Mississippi	of counsel for the appellants, and
724.	vs. Appellants	continued by Mr.
	The New Orleans & North Eastern Railroad Company.	James Fentress, of counsel for the appellee in No 723.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, October 11th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians vs. Appellant The United States and The Cherokee Nation.

The motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause was argued by Mrs. Belva A. Lockwood, in support of the same.

	Philander E. Spurr et all.	This cause
563.	vs. Appellants	was submit-
	Moses Pierce et al.	ted to the
		considera-

tion of the Court on the record and printed arguments, under the 20th rule, by Mr. E. C. Moody, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. J. W. Smith, of counsel for the appellees.

	Edward Deffebach	This cause was
564.	vs. Appellant	submitted to the
	Robert Hawke.	consideration of
		the Court on the

record and printed arguments, under the 20th rule, by Mr. E. C. Moody, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Adoniram J. Rowman, of counsel for the appellees.

	Stephen Kurtz	These cases were
860.	vs. Plff. in Error	submitted to the
	John C. Moffitt & T. W. Fields	consideration of the
	and	
	John C. Moffitt & T. W. Fields	Court on the records
871.	vs. Plff. in Error	and printed argu-
	Stephen Kurtz.	ments, under the

20th rule, by Mr. H. G. Seibert, of counsel for Sturly, and Mr. S. W. Sanderson, of counsel for Hospit and Fields.

No. 722. John M. Stone et al. vs. Appellants
vs.
The Farmers' Loan & Trust Co.

No. 723. John M. Stone et al. vs. Appellants
vs.
The Illinois Central R.R. Co.

No. 724. John M. Stone et al., vs. Appellants
vs.
The New Orleans & North Eastern R.R. Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. James Entress, of counsel for the appellee in No. 723, Mr. C. M. Johnson, of counsel for the appellee in No. 724, and Mr. C. A. Russell and Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellee in No. 722.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, October 15th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hoday, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. G. Bradford it is ordered that J. P. Dameron, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John A. Campbell it is ordered that J. Carroll Payne, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana, and George P. Miller, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William H. Moore, it is ordered that Edward H. Morris, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Winthrop Iron Company et al. vs. Arthur B. Meeker et al. Appellants

411. On motion of Mr. R. D. Mussey, of counsel for the appellants, and with the consent of the appellees and in accordance with the stipulation between

motion, It is ordered by the Court that the appeal and supersedeas bond filed by the appellants in this Court, conditioned in the penal sum of $100,000, be received and held as in substitution of the appeal and supersedeas bond theretofore filed by them in the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan, in this cause; And that said bond so filed in said Circuit Court be held for naught and of no effect, and that the same may be delivered to the appellants, or their Solicitor of record in said Court to be cancelled.

722. John M. Stone et al., vs. vs. The Farmers Loan & Trust Co. Appellants

723. John M. Stone et al., vs. vs. The Illinois Central R.R. Co. Appellants

724. John M. Stone et al., and vs. vs. The New Orleans & North Eastern R.R. Co. Appellants

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellee in No. 722

and concluded by Mr. John M. Clai-
borne, of counsel for the appellants.

G. De Rosset Lamar. The argument of
Executor &c. this cause was
828. vs. Pff. in Error commenced by
Hugh McCulloch. Mr. George Tur-
nor Curtis, of
counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court
is adjourned until tomorrow at
twelve o'clock.

Friday, October 16th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Wiltshire it is ordered that William Norwood, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. G. Willard it is

ordered that Samuel M. Lake, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William Lindsay it is ordered that Alvin Duvall, Esquire, of Frankfort, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn according ly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. L. Merriman it is ordered that Gilbert Mayers, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that Rees B. Edmondson, Esquire, of Washington,

District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Frank W. Hackett it is ordered that William S. Beaman, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Three trunks containing 718 dozen kid gloves &c. Sampson Levy, Claimant, Plff. in Error vs. The United States. 111

Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Circuit Court of the United States
Southern District of New York,
in the Second Circuit.

Three trunks containing 718 doz. Kid gloves &c.&c. Sampson Levy. Claimant & Plaintiff in Error.
against
The United States of America

This may certify that on the 6th day of August. 1878. a writ of error to the Final Decree entered in this Court affirming the Final Decree in the District Court condemning the goods proceeded against as forfeited to the United States, was sued out to the Supreme Court of the United States - that on the 7th day of October 1878 the return on said writ of error was forwarded by express to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States by the Clerk of this Court.

New York October 9th, 1885.

(Seal) Timothy Griffith, Clerk."

and having stated that the plaintiff in error had altogether failed to file the record of said causes in this Court, or in any way to prosecute said writ of error, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th

rules, to have said writ of error docketed and dismissed. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this case to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

L. De Rosset Lamar, Executor vel.
828. vs. Plff. in Error
Hugh McCulloch.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. George Ticknor Curtis, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Curtis, for the plaintiff in error.

The Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas Pacific R.R. Co.
497. vs. Plff. in Error
The Commonwealth of Kentucky.
The Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co.
498. vs. Plff. in Error
The Commonwealth of Kentucky
"
The Chesapeake, Ohio & Southwestern R.R. Co.
499. vs. Plff. in Error
The Commonwealth of Kentucky.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. C. B. Simrall, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr Alvin Duvall, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, October 19th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John F. Nicolay, Esquire., Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that J. W. Brown, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. A. Cooley, it is ordered that Frederick W Porter, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. S. Burdett it is ordered that William A. Phillips, Esquire, of Salina, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. A. Poston it is ordered that L. W. Humes, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. James Thomson it is ordered that Daniel Lord, Esquire, of

New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. W. Jones it is ordered that Samuel W. McDaniel, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. W. Horner it is ordered that J. B. Church, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Calvin Littlefield	On consideration
155.	vs. Appellant	of the motion for
	William D. Bloxham,	leave to withdraw
	Governor et al.	the transcript of
		the record in this

cause for the purpose of having the same

properly authenticated. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, but with leave to the appellees to move to strike out the certificate, if on the return of the record it shall appear that this order has been improvidently entered.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

229. Michael Nanley et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Charles Donoghue. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument at the foot of the call on the third Monday in November next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

230. D. M. Ferry & Company vs. Plffs. in Error William Livingston, Jr. Collector &c. and On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is

William Livingston, Jr., Collector &c.
876 vs. Plff. in Error
D. M. Ferry & Company

ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby, granted and the cases assigned for argument on the third Monday in November next after No. 229.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Bernhard Arnson et al.
887 vs. Plffs. in Error
Thomas Murphy, late Collector &c.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the third Monday in November next after Nos. 875 and 876.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: William L. Royall (No. 1)
974. Applt. and Plff. in Error

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is

Ex parte: William L. Royall (No 2)
vs. Plff. in Error
Def't

William H. Sands
1009. vs. Plff. in Error
E. J. Edmunds.
Treasurer &c.

ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted. And the cases assigned for argument on the third Monday in November next after No. 887.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William L. Royall
1010. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Virginia.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the cause assigned for argument on the third Monday in November next after No. 1009.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

R. B. Chaffin
1011. vs. Plff. in Error
William Taylor.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is

ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the cause assigned for argument on the third Monday in November next after the 1010.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

G. W. Jefferson, Trustee &c. et al. vs. John B. Driver, Adm'r. &c. Appellants

1083. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, pursuant to the 32d rule, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Robert P. Barry vs. E. L. Edmunds, Treasurer &c. Plff. in Error

973. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, pursuant to the 32d rule, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

588. Henry C. Brown vs. James B. Grant, Governor, et al. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1260. R. B. Chaffin of O. J. vs. Plff. in Error William Taylor.

On consideration of the motion for Summary process on the Circuit Court of Henrico County, Virginia, to give effect to the judgment entered in this cause by this Court, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

886. The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians vs. The United States and The Cherokee Nation. Appellant

On consideration of the motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

28. William A. Glasgow, Executor &c. vs. David A. Lipsey, Executor &c. Appellant

On motion of Mr. John Goode, in behalf of counsel, Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed when reached in the regular call of the docket, subject to the provisions of Section 9. rule 26.

48. William Craig vs. Thomas Leitensdorfer. Appellant

Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant, asked and obtained leave to file a motion for a rule on all interested parties in this cause to show

cause why a decree should not be entered in this cause in conformity with the stipulation filed at the last term.

Solomon Moses et al. vs. George N. Wooster. — Appellants

408. The motion that this suit abate as to Goliche Blum, one of the appellants in this cause was argued by Mr. W. F. Mattingly, in support of the same, and Mr. J. E. Hindon Hyde, in opposition thereto.

William S. Roberts vs. Philip Reilly. — Appellant

992. The motion to advance this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Daniel Lord and Mr. F. H. Miller, in support of the same, and Mr. W. W. Montgomery, in opposition thereto.

Ex parte: In the matter of Hollon Parker — Petitioner.

Mr. W. W. Upton, of counsel for the petitioner, submitted

to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus.

James L. Kimpton
793. vs. Appellant
Samuel Winslow.

The motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause was argued by Mr. Thos. Wm Clarke, in support of the same, and Mr. John L. Roberts, in opposition thereto.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Company
255. vs. Appellant
Erastus B. Kunkle.

The motion to advance this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court by Mr. Thomas Wm. Clarke, in support of the same, and Mr. J. H. Raymond, in opposition thereto.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Company
255. vs. Appellant
Erastus B. Kunkle.

On motion of Mr. J. H. Raymond, of counsel for the appellee. Ordered by the Court that the transcript of record

in this cause be amended in accordance with the stipulation of counsel on file.

E. G. Hamrick vs. Plff. in Error Eliza M. O'Brien et al. — 285.

Mr. H. M. Cox, of counsel, suggested the death of Eliza M. O'Brien, one of the defendants in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make W. A. Patrick, Administrator of Eliza M. O'Brien, deceased, a party defendant in error in this cause. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that W. A. Patrick, Administrator of Eliza M. O'Brien, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made a party defendant in error in this cause.

Caroline Carson vs. Appellant Mary A. Hyatt, Executrix &c., et al. — 262.

On motion of Mr. James Lowndes, of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that this cause be advanced and assigned for argument with No. 285, as one case, and that the record in this

case be not printed, per stipulation of counsel.

Caroline Carson vs. Plff. in Error Mary E. Hyatt, et al. — On motion of Mr. James Lowndes, of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that the transcript of the record in the case of McBurney et al. vs. Carson contained in the transcript in this case be omitted in printing per stipulation of counsel.

No. 1. Pattie A. Clay vs. Plff. in Error David J. Field. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. L. Nugent, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Grant Johnston and Mr. J. E. McNaughan, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas Pacific R'y. Co. Plff. in Error
497. vs.
The Commonwealth of Kentucky.

The Louisville & Nashville R'y. Co. Plff. in Error
498. vs.
The Commonwealth of Kentucky.

2nd

The Chesapeake, Ohio & South western R'y. Co. Plff. in Error
499. vs.
The Commonwealth of Kentucky

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. S. W. Newton of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr William Lindsay.

of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Jens Thomessen et al. Appellants
333. vs.
Mark Whiteside.

On motion of Mr. John Cholwood, and consent of counsel, leave is hereby granted counsel for appellants and appellee in case No. 62 to file printed arguments in this cause.

Monday, October 17th 1853, continued.

332. Jens Thomessen et al. vs. Mark Whitwill. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. C. Van Santvoord, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelves o'clock.

Tuesday, October 30th 1883.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Wm Henry Browne it is ordered that Edwin C. Schaffer, Esquire, of Brooklyn, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John Sloane et al., ... Mr. J. Kubley
105. vs. Plffs. in Error Ashton, in behalf
Marcus Anderson. of counsel for the
defendant in error,
submitted to the consideration of the Court a
motion to advance this cause pursuant to
the 32d rule.

John F. Coyle Mr. O. D. Barrett,
86. vs. Appellant of counsel for the
Henry S. Davis et al. appellant, sug-
gested a dimin-
ution of the record in this cause, and
moved the Court to award a writ of cer-
tiorari. Whereupon, It is ordered by the
Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the
same is hereby, awarded subject to exep-
tions on the part of the appellees on the
filing of the return to said writ.

Jens Thomessen et al., The argument of
333. vs. Appellants this cause was
Mark Whitwell. continued by
Mr. C. Van Santvoord, of counsel for the appellants and

Mr James Thomson, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr Harrison Putnam, of counsel for the appellants.

Joseph W. Dyer et al., Appellants
vs.
The National Steam Navigation Company

The re argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. A. Soft, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr John Chetwood, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, October 21st 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. E. Mayer it is ordered that Louis F. Blum Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

Julia A. Gregory
1170 vs. Appellant
Sarah A. Phinney.

Mr. Fillmore Beall, of counsel for the appellee, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Circuit Court of the United States.
District of Nebraska.

I, Elmer D. Frank, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Circuit Court, wherein Sarah A. Phinney was complainant, and Julia A. Gregory and J. Addison Marshall were defendants, a final decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the 23d day of May, A. D. 1883, in favor of said complainant and against said defendants; And that on the 14th day of November, A. D. 1883, said Julia A. Gregory prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States: which was allowed.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal of our

(Seal)

said Court at the City of
Omaha in said District
this 27th day of Aug. 1885.
Elmer D. Frank, Clerk."

and having stated that the appellant had altogether failed to file the record of said cause in this Court or in any way to prosecute her appeal, moved the Court, in pursuance of the 9th rule, that said appeal be docketed and dismissed. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1170. Julia M. Gregory vs. Appellant
Sarah A. Phinney.

On motion of Mr. Fillmore Beall, of counsel for the appellee, leave is

hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

548. Joseph W. Dyer et al. vs. The National Steam Navigation Company. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr John Chetwood of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. J. C. Carter, of counsel for the appellants.

554. The Utah & Northern Railway Company vs. William F. Fisher, Assessor &c. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. John F. Dillon and Mr. A. J. Popplelon, of counsel for the appellant. No counsel appearing for the appellee.

1. Sellman & Brook, Haddock & Peet, Christopher Blackburn, Daniel Carpenter, William W. Stephenson and John F. Whalen vs. The Indianapolis, Cincinnati & Lafayette Railroad Company et al.

Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order upon the docket and it appearing to the Court that this is the third term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, it is considered by the Court that this cause should be dismissed: Whereupon, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs for the want of prosecution; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Peter C. A. M. Van Weel
2. ...vs. Appellant
Frederick H. Winston,
et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. William N. Moore, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. W. C. Grundy, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock

Thursday, October 22d 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Samuel F. Phillips it is ordered that John Garber, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

George C. Rand Jr. vs. Martha A. Walker. Appellant

1135. On motion of Mr. W. C. Goudy, of counsel for the appellant, it is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced, pursuant to the 32d rule.

Peter C. A. M. Van Weed vs. Frederick H. Winston et al. Appellant

2. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Melville W. Fuller, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. J. K. Edsall, of counsel for the appellant.

The Boston Hydraulic Gold Mining Company vs. The Eagle Copper & Silver Mining Company. Plff. in Error

3. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. George A. Nourse, of counsel for the defendant in error. No counsel appearing

4. The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company vs. Pff. in Error C. H. Chandler et all.

This cause was re argued by Mr. J. A. Dennison, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. W. K. Poston and Mr. L. W. Humes, of counsel for the defendants in error.

5. Henry Peychaud, Surviving Syndic of Belloeq, Noblom & Co. vs. Appellant. The United States.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed.

6. John Hewitt vs. Pff. in Error Robert L. McOuat

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that this is the second term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now

prepared to argue the same; it is considered by the Court that this cause should be dismissed, at the cost of the plaintiff in error, pursuant to the 19th rule of this Court: Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Charles W. Savage and William D. O'Toole vs. Pltfs. in Error Thomas C. Burns. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana.

7.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that this is the second term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, It is considered by the Court that this cause should

be dismissed at the cost of the plaintiffs in error, pursuant to the 19th rule of this Court. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

8. | Mary Ellis vs. William H. Patterson, Creed Haymond, Charles P. Duane, David Morrissey, E. E. Harvey, et al. | Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that this is the second term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, it is

considered by the Court that this cause should be dismissed at the cost of the appellant, pursuant to the 19th. rule of this Court: Whereupon, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per. Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

David L. Maguider, Appellant
vs.
The City of Chicago.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that this is the next and term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the

same, it is considered by the Court that this cause should be dismissed, at the cost of the appellant, pursuant to the 16th rule of this Court: Whereupon, It is now ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

11. D. N. Paynes and A. H. Hill, Assignees in bankruptcy of the Bloomfield Bank of Bloomfield, Iowa vs. W. W. Moore, in Trust for The Grand Lodge of the Independent Order of Odd Fellows of the State of Iowa. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and

the appellant's having filed authority to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George D. Burton
vs. Appellant
The Town of Greenville.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Hampshire.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that this is the second term at which this cause has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, It is considered by the Court that this cause should be dismissed at the cost of the appellant, pursuant to the 19th rule of this Court. Whereupon, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with

costs: And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William L. Gibson vs. Plff. in Error
vs. Edward Lyon et al.

This cause was re-submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. David G. Harrington, Mr. F. Carroll Brewster and Mr. George W. Biddle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Wm Henry Fowler, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Monday, October 23d 1882.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. W. Hackett it is ordered that Thorndike D. Hodges, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. W. Horner it is ordered that Andrew J. Murphy, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians		On motion of Mrs. B. A. Lockwood, and consent of Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of
586. vs.	Appellant	
The United States and The Cherokee Nation.		

counsel for the United States, leave is hereby granted him to file a printed brief on the argument of this cause, subject to exceptions by the appellants.

The Utah & Northern Railway Co.		On motion of Mr. J. K. McCammon, of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted him
554. vs.	Appellant	
William F. Fisher, Assessor &c.		

to file a brief on the part of the appellee on or before the 30th instant.

Joseph A. Smith		Mr. Assistant
148. vs.	Appt. and Plff. in Error	Attorney Gen
William C. Whitney,		eral Maury,
Secretary of the Navy,		of counsel
et al.		for the appel
		lees and de

fendants in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

The Mayor and Common		In Error to
Council of the City of		the Circuit
Newark		Court of the
919. vs.	Plffs. in Error	United States
Samuel A. Merritt		for the South.
		ern District

of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Leigh Robinson, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having

been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, each party paying their own costs in this Court.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Richard D. Lancaster
11. vs. Plff. in Error
Henry E. Collins.

This cause was submitted to the considera. tion of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. George H. Castleman, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

Jasper Asbill, Eli Adams, J. H. Allen et al.
15. vs. Plffs. in Error
Edwin P. Whitmore.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause being called for hearing in

its regular order on the docket, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite

17. Hiram K. Hazlett vs. The United States. Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. H. M. McPherson, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the appellant.

30. Dana Sargent, et al. vs. John A. Helton, Sheriff et al. Appellants

(Substituted for No. 19.) This cause was argued by Mr. Charles E. Mayer, of counsel for the appellants and Mr. H. A. Herbert, of counsel for the appellees.

B. H. Wisdom ... In Error to the
30. vs. Writ in Error Circuit Court of
The Mayor &c. Society of the United States
Shelby County et al. for the Western
District of
Tennessee.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Richard J. Merrick and
Thomas J. Durant
25. vs. Plffs. in Error
Rollin C. Giddings.

Mr. John Selden, of counsel, suggested the deaths of Richard J. Merrick and Thomas J. Durant, the plaintiffs in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Marlin E. Morris, Executor of Richard J. Merrick, deceased,

and Mary N. Durant, Executrix of Thomas J. Durant, deceased. the parties plaintiffs in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said Martin F. Morris, Executor of Richard T. Merrick, deceased, and Mary N. Durant, Executrix of Thomas J. Durant, deceased, &c, and they are hereby, made the parties plaintiffs in error in this cause.

Martin F. Morris, Executor &c and Mary N. Durant, Executrix &c. vs. Plffs. in Error
25. De Witt C. Giddings.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John Selden, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, October 26th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hoolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

G. De Rosset Lamar, Executor of Hazaway B. Lamar, deceased	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
828. vs. Pltff. in Error	
Hugh McCulloch.	

This cause came on to be heard on

the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Arthur Norrington, trading as A. Norrington & Company
vs. Plff. in Error
13. James A. Wright, Clement A. Griscom and Frank L. Neal, trading as Peter Wright & Sons.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Penn. sylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof,

It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Gray.

(The Chief Justice was not present at the argument and took no part in the decision of this cause.)

River B. Filley vs. Plff. in Error Thomas J. Pope and James E. Pope. Def't

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

21.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said

Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Boston Hydraulic Gold Mining Company		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.
3. vs.	Plff. in Error.	
The Eagle Copper & Silver Mining Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Company vs. Eraslus B. Kunkle. Appellant

255. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is Hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John Sloane et al. vs. Marcus Anderson. Plffs. in Error

256. On considera-tion of the mo-tion to advance this cause, pur-suant to the 32d rule, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Joseph A. Smith vs. William C. Whitney, Secretary of the Navy, et al. Apt. and Plff. in Error

1115. On consid-eration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby,

granted and the case assigned for argument on the third Monday in November next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

793. James L. Plimpton vs. Samuel Winslow. Appellant. On consideration of the motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, with leave to the appellee to move to strike out the new matter if upon the return it shall appear that it is not properly a part of the record. All questions as to costs incident to this motion are reserved.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

792. William L. Roberts vs. Philip Reilly. Appellant. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the

same is hereby, granted, and the case as-
signed for argument on the third Monday
in November next after No. 1145.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Nolon Parker Petitioner. In considera-
tion of the mo-
tion for leave
to file a peti-
tion for a writ of mandamus, It is or-
dered by the Court that said motion be,
and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Order.

The Reporter having represented that,
owing to the number of decisions at the
last term, it was impracticable to put
the Reports in three volumes.

It is therefore now here ordered that
he publish an additional volume con-
taining decisions of the last term and
decisions of the present term; and also
another volume containing only de-
cisions of the present term, pursuant

to the provisions of the first clause of Section 681 of the Revised Statutes, and Section 1 of Chapter 389 of the Acts of the 1st Session of the 47th Congress, approved August 5, 1882.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Edward A. Boyd et al. vs. The United States. Pltffs. in Error. Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the defendant in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

283.

Angus M. Cannon vs. The United States. Pltff. in Error. Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the defendant in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

1169.

Henry Peychaud, Surviving
Syndic of Odile Derbes Belloeq,
August P. Noblom and Pierre
Loy, composing the firm of
Belloeq, Noblom & Company
vs. Appellant
The United States.

Appeal from
the Court of
Claims.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee, and it appearing to the Court that new parties have not been substituted for Henry Peychaud, deceased, as appellants in this cause as provided by the order of publication issued herein, and proof of the publication of said order having been filed, It is, thereupon, on motion of Mr. Solicitor General Goode, and in pursuance of the 15th rule of this Court, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Daniel McClure
90. vs. Appellant
The United States.

On motion of Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellant, leave is hereby granted him to file a motion to direct the Court of Claims to transmit to this Court the entire record in this cause, or to remand this case to said Court of Claims for a more specific finding of facts.

George E. Coney et al.,
1016. vs. Appellants
Alfred E. Winchell.

On motion of Mr. J. M. McKinney, of counsel for the appellee, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced, pursuant to the 32d rule.

Henry C. Brown
588. vs. Appellant
James B. Grant
Governor, et al.

Mr. Theodore H. Thomas, of counsel for the appellees, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to ad-

A. S. Core et als. vs. Plffs. in Error John C. Vinal. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. C. C. Cole, in support of the same.

422.

John J. Schillinger, Charles C. Creecy, George R. Herrick, Albert Elsberg and Charles C. Creecy, Administrator of Laurence R. Byrne, deceased, vs. Appellants Henry L. Cranford and The District of Columbia. Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

1178.

In the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia

In the Clerk's Office of said Court this 26th day of October, 1885.

I, Return J. Meigs, Clerk of said Court, do hereby certify that it appears by the records of said Court that in a case lately therein pending, in which John

J. Schillinger, Charles E. Creecy, George A. Herrick, Albert Elsberg and Charles E. Creecy, Administrator of the estate of Laurence A. Byrne, deceased, were complainants, and Henry L. Cranford and The District of Columbia were defendants, being Equity cause number 8665 lately pending in said Court, a final decree was entered on the 4th day of July, 1885, against the complainants and in favor of the defendants, by the said Court in General Term, dismissing the complainants' bill with costs; and that on the same day, on the motion of said complainants, an appeal was allowed them from said decree by the said Court to the Supreme Court of the United States. That the object and prayer of said bill was to enjoin the defendants from infringing Letters Patent of the United States issued to the said John J. Schillinger, and for a decree for damages and profits for the alleged infringement thereof.

Given under my hand and

the seal of the said Court the day and year first above written.

(Seal) R. J. Meigs, Clerk.

and it appearing that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William M. Fisher et al. vs. William Kelsey et al. Pffs. in Error

p. 31. The death of William Kelsey, one of the defendants in error in this cause having been heretofore suggested and an order of publication granted. It is now, on motion of Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, in

behalf of counsel, ordered by the Court that Ezra Kelsey and Henry A. Lamman, Executors of William Kelsey, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties defendants in error in this cause.

James K. Johnson, E. J. Caro et al
254. vs. Plffs. in Error
W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c.

George S. Wells, E. J. Caro et al.
657. vs. Plffs. in Error
W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c.

Otto Goldsticker, E. J. Caro, et al.
658. vs. Plffs. in Error
W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c.

George S. Wells, E. J. Caro et al.
659. vs. Plffs. in Error
W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c.

George S. Wells, E. J. Caro et al.
660. vs. Plffs. in Error
W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c.

George S. Wells, E. J. Caro et al.
661. vs. Plffs. in Error
W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c.

and

George S. Wells, E. J. Caro, et al.

Mr. J. D. Thompson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, suggested the death of E. J. Caro, one of the plaintiffs in error in these cases, and moved the Court to make William J. Caro, Executor of E. J. Caro,

deceased, a party plaintiff in error in these cases. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said William J. Caro, Executor of E. J. Caro, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made a party plaintiff in error in these cases.

127. Judson O. Brown. Appellant vs. B. F. Green
128. John S. Burhans . . . . .
129. John Darsh . . . . .
130. Charles E. Dunham . . . . .
131. Theo. F. French . . . . .
132. William Gale . . . . .
133. James J. Pierson . . . . .
134. John A. Schenck . . . . .
135. Augustus Vanderventer . . . . .
759. Phineas M. French . . . . .

On motion of Mr. William D. Baldwin leave is hereby granted to withdraw the appearance of William B. Marson as counsel for the appellants and William D. Baldwin is substituted as counsel for said appellants.

E. G. Hanvick vs. Pltff. in Error W. A. Patrick, Adm'r. vs et al. — The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. H. A. Low and Mr. J. T. Brady, in support of the same, and Mr. W. Hallett Phillips and Mr. L. W. Goodrich, in opposition thereto.

256.

Martin F. Morris, Executor vs and Mary H. Durant, Executrix vs. vs. Pltffs. in Error DeWitt C. Giddings. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. John Selden, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, Mr. F. P. Cuppy and Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Selden, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

25.

William A. Harpour, Executor &c. vs. Appellant
David H. Lipsey, in his own right and as Executor &c.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed.

Walter H. Smith vs. Appellant
Mary P. D. Black, Trustee.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. S. S. Mentle, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, October 27th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan, Associate
William B. Woods, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Wayne MacVeagh it is ordered that Charles N. Penny, Bawker, Esquire, of West Chester, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Stephen P. Nash it is ordered that Alfred J. Taylor, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. C. Bradford it is ordered that J. C. Ball, Esquire, of Woodland, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

29. Walter N. Smith vs. Daisy P. D. Black, Trustee. Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. S. S. Henkle, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Frank W. Hackett, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the appellant.

19. John Cochus
vs. Appellant
Joshua B. Broomall.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Joseph L. Smith, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Charles N. Pennypacker, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel for the appellant.

31. The Merchants' Exchange National Bank, of N. Y. City
vs. Appellant
The Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Bergen.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Stephen P. Nash, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, October 28th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

641. The Union Railroad Company of Baltimore vs. James J. Dull, Samuel M. Shoemaker et al. Appellant

The death of Samuel M. Shoemaker, one of the appellees in this cause, having been heretofore suggested and an order of publication granted, now, on motion of Mr. A. W.

Machen, of counsel. It is ordered by the Court that Samuel M. Shoemaker and Benjamin F. Steelsmith Administrators c.t.a. of Samuel M. Shoemaker, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellees in this cause.

The Merchants' Exchange National Bank of New York City vs. The Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Bergen. Appellant

31. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Stephen P Nash, of counsel for the appellant, Mr. Hamilton Wallis and Mr. J. D. Bedle, of counsel for the appellee and concluded by Mr. E. L. Fancher, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, October 29th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that John E. Voorhees, Esquire, of New Brunswick, State of New Jersey, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Joseph A. Smith.
vs. vs. Appt. & Plff in Error.
Wm. G. Whitney, Secretary
et al.

On motion of Mr. Edgerton, it is ordered by the Court that this cause be reassigned for argument at the foot of the call for the first Monday in December next.

The Wasatch & Jordan Valley Railway Company
32. vs. Plff. in Error
J. W. Snell et al.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

J. B. Camors et al.
33. vs. Appellants
Edmund H. Watts
and
Edmund H. Watts
176. vs. Appellant
J. B. Camors et al.

These cases were argued by Mr. J. R. Beckwith, of counsel for Watts, and Mr. J. Ward Gurley Jr., of counsel for Camors et al.

Thomas L. Pope et al. Pffs. in Error
311 vs.
Edward P. Allis. Defendant in Error

This cause was argued by Mr. George P. Miller, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Eppa Hunton, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, October 30th, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

1182. J. W. Leonard et al., Appellants vs. Wm H. Chatfield, Trustee and
1183. J. W. Leonard et al. Appellants vs. The Ozark Land Co.

The motion to modify the decrees of the Circuit Court in these cases was submitted to the consideration

of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. J. W. Brown, in support of the same, and leave was granted to counsel for appellees to file printed argument in opposition thereto.

4. Original. The State of Alabama vs. Isaac T. Burr, Samuel A. Carleton, John De Merritt, John C. Stanton and Daniel M. Stanton. Plaintiff

The argument on the demurrers filed by the defendants in this case was commenced by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for John C. Stanton, Daniel M. Stanton and John De Merritt, continued by Mr. H. C. Tompkins, of counsel for the plaintiff, Mr. Henry G. Nichols, of counsel for Isaac T. Burr, and Mr. Samuel F. Rice, of counsel for the plaintiff, submitted on printed argument by Mr. John C. Coombs, of counsel for Samuel A. Carleton, and concluded by Mr. B. F. Brooks, of counsel for Isaac T. Burr.

Humphrey Bell et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
35. The First National Bank of Chicago.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. O. H. Horton, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. H. A. Gardner, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 2d 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. James O. Broadhead it is ordered that Somerville P. Tuck, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Van H. Manning it is ordered that John B. Jones, Esquire, of Little Rock, State of Arkansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon it is ordered that George Gilham, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. L. Downes it is ordered that Frank G. Clark, Esquire, of Cedar Rapids, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. K. E. Davis it is ordered that P. L. Thuman, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Richard D. Lancaster Plff. in Error
14. vs. vs. In Error to the Circuit Court of the
Henry E. Collins. United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

571. Lottie A. Clay & W. Brutus J. Clay, her husband vs. Pfps. in Error David T. Field. In Error to the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Mississippi.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

William H. Henderson, as Executor of the last will and testament of Eleanor Ann Henderson, deceased,

337. vs. Plaintiff in Error
H. Estelle Wadsworth.

338. Howard L. Henderson, Plff. in Error,
vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

339. William H. Henderson, Plff. in Error,
vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

340. Warren H. Henderson, Plff. in Error,
vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

341 Victorine E. McCarthy & M. E. McCarthy, Plffs. in Error,
vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky on separate writs of error, and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause as to William

H. Henderson, Executor &c., &c and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause, as to said William H. Henderson, Executor &c., &c, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial. It is further ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writs of error in this cause sued out by Howard L. Henderson, William H. Henderson, Warren H. Henderson and Victorine E. McCarthy and M. C. McCarthy be, and they are hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

17. Hiram K. Hazlett vs. The United States. Appellant — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims

in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Peter C. A. M. Van Weel vs. Appellant, Frederick H. Winston, Henry J. Glover & Julia G. Campbell, Executors of George C. Campbell, deceased, David Dows, The Chicago & Southwestern Railway Company et al.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

John H. Starin, Independent Steamboat Company et al. vs. The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York

336. Appellants

The Independent Steamboat Company vs. The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

837. Appellant

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, on separate appeals and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
Mr. Justice Harlan

Solomon Moses et al. On consideration
408. vs. Appellants of the motion
George H. Wooster. that this cause
abate as to Soloho
Blum, one of the appellants in this cause,
whose death was suggested at the last
term of this Court. It is now here ordered
by the Court that said motion be, and the
same is hereby denied, and that this cause
proceed in the names of Solomon Moses and
Solomon Weill, survivors.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

A. S. Core et al. On consideration of
422. vs. Plffs. in Error the motion to dis-
John F. Vinal. miss or affirm in
this cause and of
the argument of counsel thereupon had in
support of the same. It is now here ordered
by the Court that said motion be, and the
same is hereby denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Angus M. Cannon	On consideration
1169.	vs. Plff. in Error	of the motion to
	The United States.	advance this cause

It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument on the first Monday in December next after No. 1168.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Edward A. Boyd et al.	On consider-
1183.	vs. Plffs. in Error	ation of the
	The United States.	motion to
		advance this

cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument on the first Monday in December next after No. 1169.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Henry C. Brown	On consideration of
1188.	vs. Appellant	the motion to advance
	James B. Grant,	this cause, It is order-
	Governor, et al.	ed by the Court that

said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the first Monday in December next after No. 983.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

E. E. Hamick
258. vs. Plff. in Error
W. A. Patrick, Administrator &c, et al.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that the said motion be, and the same is hereby, postponed for hearing with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Kings County Savings Institution
195. vs. Plff. in Error
Benjamin F. Blair, Adm'r. &c.

Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Monday, November 2nd 1885. continued.

The Pacific Railway Improvement Company vs. August Kitten.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern Dist. of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, each party paying their own costs in this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Pacific Railway Improvement Company vs. William H. Hays.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called to the attention

of the Court by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, each party paying their own costs in this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Pacific Railway Improvement Company vs. John K. Probst, Gustave G. Melzler and Frank B. Carpenter. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

628. Pltff. in Error

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, each party paying their own costs in this Court.

The Pacific Railway Improvement Company		In Error to the Circuit Court
629. vs.	Plff. in Error	of the United
Elias, Isiel, Louis S.		States for the
Frankenheimer and		Southern Dis.
Maurice Seligman.		trict of New
		York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, each party paying their own costs in this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The City of Waterville		The motion to dis-
955. vs.	Plff. in Error	miss in this cause
Baldus Van Slyke.		was submitted to
		the consideration

of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. S. E. Brown, in support of the same, and Mr. E. Stillings, in opposition thereto.

The Louisville Gas Co.		This cause was
489. vs.	Pff. in Error	submitted to
The Citizens Gas Light Co.		the consideration of the Court,

under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas F. Hargis, Mr. John G. Carlisle and Mr. John K. Goodloe, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John Mason Brown, Mr. George H. Davis and Mr. William Lindsay, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The American National Bank of Hartford, Connecticut		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.
492. vs.	Pff. in Error	
William G. Osborne, Assignee of Ives, Beecher & Company.		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John K. Goodloe, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here

ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William Craig
48. vs. Appellant
Thomas Leitensdorfer.

Mr. C. F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant, by leave of Court, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion that a special master be appointed by this Court to take testimony on the state of the title to the locus in quo and the rights of the parties and report the state of said title to this Court with his findings of fact thereon.

The United States
244. vs. Plaintiff
Morris Spiegel.

Mr. Green B. Raum, of counsel for the defendant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Sellman v Crook et al. vs. The Indianapolis, Cincinnati & Lafayette R.R. Co. et al. Appellants

On motion of Mr. Henry Wise Garnett of counsel for the appellants, It is ordered by the Court that the decree entered by this Court in this cause on the 21st day of October, 1885, be, and the same is hereby, rescinded and annulled.

Sellman v Crook, Haddock v Reed, Christopher Rackburn, Daniel Carpenter, William W. Stephenson and John G. Whalen. vs. The Indianapolis, Cincinnati & Lafayette Railroad Company et al. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Henry Wise Garnett, of counsel for the appellants, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been

filed. Therefore, in pursuance of said Stipulation, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellees.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Thomas M. Jacks
630. vs. Plff. in Error
The City of Helena.
and
Thomas M. Jacks
631. vs. Plff. in Error
The City of Helena.

The motions to dismiss in these cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the records and printed arguments by Mr. M. J. Saunders, in support of the same, and Mr. James C. Jappan and Mr. J. J. Hornor, in opposition thereto

Anton Miller et al.
36. vs. Appellants
S. S. Force et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Arthur Clem, of counsel

for the appellants, continued by Mr. B. F. Thurston, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. George Harding, of counsel for the appellants.

The County Court of Cass County and the Judges thereof	In Error to the Circuit Court
vs.	of the United
The United States ex rel: Charles L. George.	States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The County Court of Ralls County and the Judges thereof		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
38.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
The United States ex rel: Joseph H. Douglass.		

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief-Justice Waite.

The County Court of Ralls County and the Judges thereof		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
39.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
The United States ex rel: M. L. Sargent.		

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The County Court of Wells County and the Judges thereof	In Error to the Circuit Court
40.	vs.	of the United
	Plffs. in Error	States for the
	The United States ex rel:	Eastern District
	Joseph M. Douglass.	of Missouri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2. Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is

now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The County Court of Falls County and the Judges thereof	In Error to the Circuit Court
41.	vs.	of the United
	Plffs. in Error	States for the
	The United States exrel:	Eastern Dis-
	Mollie A. Montgomery.	trict of Mis-
		souri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Asahel Gage
42. vs. Appellant
Maria B. Pumpelly
et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Augustus A. Gage and Mr. A. G. Riddle, of counsel for the appellant and Mr. C. G. Mason, of counsel for the appellees.

Thomas Drew et al.
114. vs. Plffs. in Error
Irvina Grinnell et al.,
Executors &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the defendants in error, with leave to counsel for the plaintiffs in error to file a printed argument on or before November 7th.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, November 3d 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler it is ordered that John P. Wyman, Jr., Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The St. Louis & San Francisco Railway Company ... In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri.

2144. ... vs. ... Plff. in Error

A. L. White, doing business under the firm names of White & Co.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. W. Belknap, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause; It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William Craig ... Ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted

148. ... vs. ... Appellant

Thomas Weitensdafer.

the appellant to file affidavits, within 30 days from this date, in opposition to the answer to the motion for a rule to show

cause heretofore filed in this cause.

	Addison Thomas	Mr. George F. Ed-
181.	vs. Plff. in Error	munds, in behalf
	The Town of Lansing.	of counsel, sub-
	1141	mitted to the con-
	William A. Purdy	sideration of the
352.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court a motion
	The Town of Lansing.	to exchange No.
		1052 for No. 181

in the call of the docket and vice versa.

	Angus M. Cannon	On motion of Mr.
1169.	vs. Plff. in Error	F. S. Richards, of
	The United States.	counsel for the
		plaintiff in error,

It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, re-assigned for argument on the third Monday in November after No. 992.

	The Leather Manufacturers'	This cause was
	National Bank	argued by Mr.
45.	vs. Plff. in Error	Charles M. Da
	E. C. Morgan et al.	Costa, of counsel

for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John M. Bowers, of counsel for the defendants in error.

The Memphis & Little Rock R.R. Co. vs. The Southern Express Company. Appellant

The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company vs. The Southern Express Company. Appellant

The Missouri, Kansas & Texas R'y. Co. vs. W. B. Dinsmore, President &c. Appellant

On motion of Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellants, Ordered by the Court that six hours be allowed counsel on each side for the argument of these cases.

Mr. Justice Matthews retired from the bench after announcing that he would not sit during the argument of these cases.

The Memphis & Little Rock R.R. Co.

22. vs. Appellant

The Southern Express Company.

The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Ry. Co.

26. vs. Appellant

The Southern Express Company

And

The Missouri, Kansas & Texas Ry. Co.

55. vs. Appellant

W. B. Dinsmore, President &c.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, November 4th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon it is ordered that Charles B. Smith, Esquire, of Topeka, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Memphis & Little Rock R. R. Co.
22. vs. Appellant
The Southern Express Company

The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Ry. Co.
26. vs. Appellant
The Southern Express Company

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Clarence A. Seward, of counsel for the appellees.

The Missouri, Kansas & Texas R'y. Co.
55. vs. Appellant
W. B. Dinsmore, President &c.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, November 5th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire), Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon it is ordered that Melville Egleston, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. P. Morgan, it is ordered that

Lee Thornton, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Memphis & Little Rock R.R. Co.	The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. R. J. Morgan, of counsel for the appellants, Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellees, Mr. B. C. Brown, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellees.
72. vs. Appellant	
The Southern Express Company.	
The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company	
76. vs. Appellant	
The Southern Express Company.	
and	
The Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Co.	
55. vs. Appellant	
W. B. Dinsmore, President &c.	

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday. November 6th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present.

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Thomas Drew et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
Irving Cornell et al. Executors &c.

On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendants in error,

Ordered by the Court that the time within which the counsel for the plaintiffs in error were given leave to file printed Briefs &c, and

the same is hereby, extended to the 13th instant.

The Memphis & Little Rock R.R. Co. Appellant
vs.
The Southern Express Company

22.

The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company Appellant
vs.
The Southern Express Company
and
The Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Company

26.

55. W. B. Dinsmore, President Appellant
vs.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. James O. Broadhead of counsel for the appellants.

Pullman's Palace Car Co. — Appellant
vs.
The Missouri Pacific Railway Company et al. — Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. S. Isham, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday. November 9th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire. Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that William S. Knox, Esquire, of Lawrence, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon it is ordered that George P. B. Jackson, Esquire, of Sedalia, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. F. Phillips it is ordered that Charles F. Babbitt, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Walter H. Smith		Appeal from the
29. vs.	Appellant	Supreme Court of
Daisy P. O. Black,		the District of
Trustee		Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by

this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in general term made March 20th, 1882, in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court with direction to reverse with costs the decree of the Court in special term made April 12th, 1881, and to dismiss the bill of complaint with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Field.

Thomas J. Pope et al
James C. Pope
34. vs. Plffs. in Error
Edward P. Allis.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged

by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Wisconsin.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Martin F. Morris, Executor of Richard J. Merrick, deceased, & Mary H. Durant, Executrix of Thomas J. Durant, deceased,	In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.
25. vs.	Plffs. in Error
DeWitt C. Giddings.	

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

530. Thomas M. Jacks vs. The City of Helena. Plff. in Error — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas and on the motion to dismiss, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

531. Thomas M. Jacks vs. The City of Helena. Plff. in Error — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas, and on the motion to dismiss, which

was argued by counsel. On considera-
tion whereof, It is now here ordered and
adjudged by this Court that the writ of
error in this cause be, and the same is here-
by, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.
per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

955. The City of Waterville vs. Plff. in Error
Baltus Van Slyke. Ordered by the
Court that the
further considera-
tion of the motion
to dismiss in this cause be and the same
is hereby, postponed until the hearing of
the case on its merits.
per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

195. The Kings County Savings
Institution vs. Plff. in Error
Benjamin F. Blair,
Adm'r. &c. On considera-
tion of the mo-
tion to ad-
vance this
cause, It is
ordered by
the Court that said motion be, and the
same is hereby, granted and the case as-
signed for argument on the first Monday

in December next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States vs. Plaintiff Morris Spiegel. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument on the first Monday in December next after No. 195.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Addison Thomas
181. vs. Plff. in Error
The Town of Lansing
and
William A. Purdy
052. vs. Plff. in Error
The Town of Lansing.

On consideration of the motion to exchange No. 1052 for No. 181 in the call of the docket and cross reversed, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

C. H. Eberlueffer et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
1193. William H. Robertson, Collector &c.

Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

John P. R. Cranford, Henry L. Cranford, N. B. Abott, John U. W. Vandenburgh and C. S. Buel
1205. vs. Appellants
The Freedman's Savings & Trust Company and John J. Knox, Commissioner.

Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

In the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

I, A. G. Meigs, Clerk of the said Court do hereby certify that in a cause lately pending in said Supreme Court, wherein the Freedmans Savings and Trust Company, John J. Knox, Commissioner, was complainant, and John P. R. Cranford, Henry L.

Cranford, H. B. Abott, John U. W. Vandenburgh and C. S. Buel were defendants, a final decree was rendered by said Supreme Court on the 5th day of January 1882, in favor of said complainant and against said Defendants, and that on the said 5th day of January 1882, said defendants prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States, which was allowed.

"In testimony whereof I here unto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Supreme Court of the District of Columbia this 3d day of November, A. D. 1885.

(Seal) R. J. Meigs, Clerk.
by L. P. Williams, Asst. Clerk."

and it appearing to the Court that the said appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia

be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians vs. The United States and The Cherokee Nation. — Appellant — Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

886.

Hezekiah Bradford vs. Harriet C. Miller and Edward C. Ingersoll, Administrators &c. of John Clark, deceased. — Pltff. in Error — Mr. R. D. Mussey, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, suggested the death of Edward C. Ingersoll, one of the defendants in error in this cause, and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for

1133.

in order that unless Samuel C. Miller and E. J. D. Cross, Administratrix and Administrator vs. of John Clark, deceased, make themselves parties hereto within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court the plaintiff in error may open the record herein and, on hearing, have the judgment therein reversed if the same be erroneous.

Elon A. Marsh et al.		The motion to dis-
219. vs.	Appellants	miss in this
Nichols, Shepard & Co.		cause was sub-
		mitted to the

consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Charles F. Burton, in support of the same, and Mr. R. A. Parker, in opposition thereto.

Pullman's Palace Car Co.		The argument
24. vs.	Appellant	of this cause
The Missouri Pacific		was continued
Railway Company et al.		by Mr. Walter
		H. Smith and

Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the

appellees, and concluded by Mr. E. S. Isham, of counsel for the appellant.

116. John W. Traer et al. vs. Pipps. in Error Henry Clews. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Charles A. Clark, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, November 10th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George B. Corkhill it is ordered that W. L. Paine, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Tuesday, December 1st 1885, continued.

On motion, it is ordered by the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles Egerton it is ordered that Raymond C. Gray, Esquire, of Covington, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The United States ex rel: Robert Carrick		Mr. W. Willoughby, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, filed and
1191.	vs.	Plff. in Error	
	L. Q. C. Lamar, Secretary &c.		

submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Robert A. Phillips		On Error to the Su-
119. vs.	Plff. in Error	preme Court of Ap-
Lucius Stevens.		peals of the State of Virginia.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. Willoughby, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and

he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

719. The Mayor &c. of the City of Newark vs. Plffs. in Error
Samuel H. Sterritt.

On motion of Mr. Leigh Robinson, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

802. The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error
William H. Vimont.

In Error to The Supreme Court of the State of Iowa.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Charles A. Clark, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is

hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

46. John W. Iraer et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Henry Clews.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles A. Clark, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Frank G. Clark and Mr. L. Deane, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. F. H. Hubbard, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

47. Jacob P. Effinger vs. Plff. in Error James Kenney, Trustee &c.

and

216. James Kenney, Trustee &c. vs. Plff. in Error Jacob P. Effinger.

The question of jurisdiction in these cases was argued by Mr. Jacob P. Effinger, in propria persona, and Mr. W. B. Compton, of counsel for Kenney, Trustee &c.

William Craig
48. vs. Appellant
Thomas Leitensdorfer

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby passed until December 3d next.

The Davis Sewing Machine Co.
49. vs. Plff. in Error
Andrew Rothwell & A. C. Richards.

Mr. J. G. Payne, of of counsel for the plaintiff in error, suggested the death of Andrew Rothwell, one of the defendants in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Almarin C. Richards and George L. Sheriff, Executors of Andrew Rothwell, deceased, parties defendants in error. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Almarin C. Richards and George L. Sheriff, Executors of Andrew Rothwell, deceased, be and they are hereby made parties defendants in error in this cause.

The Lewis Sewing Machine Co. vs. A. C. Richards & G. L. Sheriff, Executors &c. & A. C. Richards.

No. Plff. in Error

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. James G. Payne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. William A. Cook and Mr. C. C. Coles, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, November 11th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshall
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter D. Davidge it is ordered that Frank L. Hall, Esquire, of New York City and Robert H. Hinckley, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and he was

Henry S. Little, Receiver &c. vs. James Hackett. Pltf. in Error

1172. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Robert H. McForst and Mr. Frank L. Hall, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and Mr. Robert H. Hinckley and Mr. Peter L. Voorhees, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Davis Sewing Machine Co. vs. A. C. Richards & G.L. Sheriff, Executors &c. et al. Pltf. in Error

49. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. C.C. Cole, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. James G. Payne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

47. Jacob P. Effinger vs. Plff. in Error James Kenney, Trustee &c. and
216. James Kenney, Trustee &c. vs. Plff. in Error Jacob P. Effinger.

These cases were argued by Mr. W. B. Compton, of counsel for James Kenney, Trustee &c. and Mr. Jacob P. Effinger, in propria persona.

50. A. R. Shepherd vs. Plff. in Error John F. May.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Andrew C. Bradley, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Andrew B. Duvall, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. William F. Mattingly, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

51. Henry W. Putnam vs. Appellant George C. Hutchinson.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

52. Henry W. Putnam vs. John J. Lomax. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

53. Henry W. Putnam vs. Charles G. Hutchinson — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

54. Henry W. Putnam vs. Charles G. Hutchinson — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered,

adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

56. The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error
Hugh McGee.

This cause was argued by Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, November 12th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter D. Davidge it is ordered that John H. Whiting, Esquire, of New Haven, State of Connecticut, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. J. Bliss it is ordered that George P. Smith, Esquire, of Humboldt, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George G. Wright it is ordered that John Mitchell, Esquire, of Des Moines, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

57. The Missouri Pacific Railway Co. vs. Plff. in Error Vincent Jerry.

And

593. The Missouri Pacific Railway Co. vs. Plff. in Error Peter Humes.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. The Court announced that it did not desire

John Paleh ... The argument of this cause
58. vs. Plff. in Error ... was commenced by Mr.
Elias E. White. ... John D. McPherson, of
counsel for the plaintiff
in error, continued by Mr. Walter D. Dawidge, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Calderon Carlisle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

P. R. Bohlen ... This cause was sub-
59. vs. Plff. in Error ... mitted to the consid-
Richard Arthurs, ... eration of the Court
Assignee &c. ... on the record and
printed arguments
by Mr. George Shiras Jr., of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and John Dalzell, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, November 13th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

197. Judson C. Brown vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey

This cause being called to the attention

of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

128. John S. Burhans vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

129. John Darsh vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

130. Charles E. Dunham vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of

counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed. Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant. per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

131. Theodore F. French vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed. Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

132. William Hale vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

133. James T. Pierson vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a

stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

34. John N. Schenck vs. Benjamin F. Green. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellees, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Augustus Vandeventer. Appeal from
135. vs. Appellant the Circuit Court
Benjamin F. Green. of the United
States for the
District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a Stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Hiram H. French Appeal from the
739. vs. Appellant Circuit Court
Benjamin F. Green. of the United
States for the
District of New Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellee, and a

Stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

136. Gordon McKay, as Trustee of the McKay Sewing Machine Company vs. The Scott Sole Sewing Machine Company, Andrew N. Jackman, James Cavanaugh, Abel D. Breed, William A. Shop. and Jacob R. Scott.

Appeal from The Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellees, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same

is hereby, dismissed, without costs to either party.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Gordon McKay, as Trustee of the McKay Sewing Machine Company.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
137. vs. Appellant	
David Lehman, Barbetta Lehman, Rudolph A. Kering and Matilda Kering.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellees, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, without costs to either party.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

60. J. W. Thompson vs. Allen County et al. Appellant

This cause was argued by Mr. Charles Egenton, of counsel for the appellant and Mr. John Mason Brown, of counsel for the appellees.

61. The Liverpool & London & Globe Insurance Company vs. Amelia A. Gunther & George A. Gunther, Executrix & Executor &c. Plff. in Error

This cause was argued by Mr. Wm Allen Butler, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. George H. Forster, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 16th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. L. Royall it is ordered that E. F. Dulin, Esquire, of Greenup, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Wright it is ordered that Hiram Knowles, Esquire, of Butte City, Territory of Montana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Alexander Porter Morse it is ordered that Peter Mitchell, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

35. Humphrey Bell, Hiram A. Whitnah and Andrew J. Whitnah vs. The First National Bank of Chicago. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern

District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with direction to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	J. B. Camors and J. N. Jackson, composing the firm of J. B. Camors & Company	Appeals from the Circuit
33.	vs. Appellants	Court of
	Edmund N. Watts.	The United
	and	States for
	Edmund N. Watts	the East-
176.	vs. Appellant	ern Dis-
	J. B. Camors and J. N. Jackson, composing the firm of J. B. Camors & Company.	trict of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Monday, November 16th 1885 continued.

Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, on appeal and was appeal and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, each party to pay his own costs in this Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Cincinnati, New Orleans & Texas Pacific Railroad Company vs. The Commonwealth of Kentucky	In Error to the Court of Appeals of the State of Kentucky.
Plff. in Error	

Agr.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Appeals of the State of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews. (Mr. Justice Blatchford dissenting.)

	The Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company	In Error to the
498.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of Appeals of the
	The Commonwealth of Kentucky.	State of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Appeals of the State of Kentucky, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Matthews.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

	The Chesapeake, Ohio & South-western Railroad Company	In Error to the
499.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of Appeals of the
	The Commonwealth of Kentucky.	State of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Appeals of the State of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

James Eachus
vs. Appellant
Joshua B. Broomall.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered,

adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Dana Sargent and Martin N. Sullivan, Executors, v Emily F. Sullivan, Executrix, of Daniel F. Sullivan, deceased, Assignee of Dana Sargent

30. vs. Appellants

John A. Felton, Sheriff of Escambia County, Alabama; James H. Green, Sheriff of Escambia County, Alabama, et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and

the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company vs. P. H. Pendleton et al.

4. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

	The Merchants' Exchange National Bank of the City of New York.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the
31.	vs. Appellant	United States for the
	The Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Bergen.	Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	Eduard Oeffebach	Appeal from the
56.	vs. Appellant	Supreme Court of
	Robert Hawke.	the Territory of
		Dakota.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

563. Philander E. Sparks, and Frank M. Allen vs. Moses Pierce, George Berghoffer, James Mc. Aleir and Mary Boyle. Appellants

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause

be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The State of Alabama
4. Original. vs. Plaintiff
Isaac S. Piper, Samuel
I. Carlton, John De Merritt,
John C. Stanton and Daniel
M. Stanton.

This cause came on to be heard on the defendants' demurrers to the plaintiff's declaration, and was argued by coun. sel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that said demurrers be, and the same are hereby, sustained.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians
586. vs. Appellant
The United States and
The Cherokee Nation.

On considera. tion of the mo. tion to advance this cause It is ordered by the

Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument at the head of the call on the first Monday in January next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States ex rel. Robert Garrick vs. Lucius Q. C. Lamar, Secretary &c. — On consideration of the motion to advance the cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the case assigned for argument on the first Monday in January next after the 8th.

191: Plaintiff in Error

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

C. H. Oberteuffer et al. vs. Giffs. in Error William H. Robertson, Collector &c. — On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and

192:

The case assigned for argument on the first Monday in January next after No. 1191.

Mr. Chief Justice White.

	Hezekiah Bradford	On considera-
1135.	vs. Plff. in Error	tion of the sug-
	Harriet C. Miller and	gestion of the
	E. C. Ingersoll, Admrs.	death of Edward
	vs. of John Clark,	C. Ingersoll,
	deceased	one of the defend-
		ants in error

in this case, after final judgment herein in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and before the writ of error herein was sued out, and of the motion for an order under paragraph 3, of Rule 15 of this Court that Harriet C. Miller and Ebenezer J. D. Cross, Administratrix and Administrator d. b. n., c. t. a. of John Clark, deceased, make themselves parties to this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless said Harriet C. Miller and Ebenezer J. D. Cross, Administratrix and Administrator d. b. n., c. t. a. of John Clark, deceased, shall make themselves

parties to this case within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the plaintiff in error shall be entitled to open the record and, on hearing, have the judgment reversed, if it be erroneous: Provided however, That a proper citation reciting the substance of this order shall be served upon the said Harriet C. Miller and Ebenezer J. D. Cross, either personally or by being left at their residences at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of this Court next ensuing.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1019. Elon A. Marsh et al. vs. Nichols, Shepard & Co. Appellants

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Mary E. Wilson et al. vs. Plffs. in Error vs. Robert Blair. On motion of Mr. A. A. Freeman, on behalf of counsel.

It is ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted to amend the writ of error in this case by inserting the names of the proper parties to the case, so far as they can be ascertained from the record, and by affixing thereto the seal of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

976. Ex parte: William L. Royall, (No. 1) Appt. & Plff. in Error.

976. Ex parte: William L. Royall, (No 2), Appt. & Plff. in Error.

1009. William M. Sands, vs. E. L. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.

1010. William L. Royall, Plff. in Error, vs. The State of Virginia.

1011. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error vs. William Taylor.

Mr. F. S. Blair, of counsel, moved the Court to postpone the argument of these

cases, which was opposed by Mr. William C. Rogers, of counsel for the appellant and plaintiffs in error. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, re-assigned for argument on the first Monday in January next after No. 1172.

	Robert F. Berry	Ordered by the
1172.	vs. Aff. in Error	Court that the
	E. D. Edmonds, Treasurer &c.	Submission of
		this cause

(see the 32nd rule) be, and the same is hereby, postponed until the first Monday in January next.

	George Rawson et al.	The argument of
52.	vs. Appellants	this cause was
	The Norwich & New York	commenced by
	Transportation Co.	Mr. J. Livingston
		Ward, of counsel

for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Francis Halsey, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Tuesday, November 17th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John T. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

690. The Northern Pacific Railroad Company vs. Appellant Peter V. Paulsen, County Treasurer of Traill County.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments

by Mr. W. P. Clough, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. M. L. Wilkinson, of counsel for the appellee.

A. Van Brocklin et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
Butler P. Anderson, Commissioner of Revenue, et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the

267.

20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George Gilham and Mr. W. K. Poston, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. G. B. Keiskell and Mr. Lee Thornton, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Henry O. Harkness vs. Appellant
Russell & Co.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the

762.

record and printed arguments, under the 20th rule, by Mr. Parley L. Williams, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Charles W. Bennett, of counsel for the appellees.

George F. Bowman et al.
116. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Chicago & Northwestern
Railway Company

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Louis J. Blum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. B. C. Cook and Mr. A. J. Bater, of counsel for the defendant in error.

George Place et al.
52. vs. Appellants
The Norwich & New York
Transportation Company

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Charles R. Ingersoll, of counsel for the appellants.

H. G. C. Paulsen
53. vs. Appellant
The Chesapeake & Ohio
Railway Company et al.
and
Gottlieb Bachman
352. vs. Appellant
The Chesapeake & Ohio

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Edwin C. Schaffer, of counsel for the appellants.

declined to hear further argument in these cases.

64. H. R. Baltzer et al. vs. The Raleigh & Augusta Air Line R. R. Co. et al. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John A. Staples, of counsel for the appellants, continued by Mr. Edmund Randolph Robinson, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the appellants.

65. The Winchester & Partridge Manufacturing Co. vs. Plff. in Error Edward Creary et al. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, November 18th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Hubley Ashton it is ordered that Leslie C. Cornish, Esquire, of Augusta, State of Maine, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Frederick J. Brown it is ordered that Edward C. Eichelberger, Esquire, of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

The County of San Mateo vs. The Southern Pacific R.R.Co. — Plff. in Error — Mr. John W. Ross, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, by leave of Court, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to dismiss the writ of error in this cause and documents in support thereof, which was opposed by Mr. Walter H. Smith, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error. Whereupon, the Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concerning what order to render in the premises took time to consider.

106.

Charles C. Harrison et al.
63. vs. Plffs. in Error
Edwin A. Merritt, Collector &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. C. Suydam and Mr. H. E. Davies, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Winchester & Cartridge Manufacturing Company
65. vs. Plff. in Error
Edward Creary et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. B. D. Lee & Mr. Jeff. Chandler, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Clay County, Iowa
66. vs. Plff. in Error
The U. S. ex rel: Hugh McAleer Sr. & Hugh McAleer Jr., Administrators &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. George G. Wright, of counsel for

the plaintiff in error and Mr. John Mitchell, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Isaac S. Hall
67. vs. Appellant
Henry H. Palmer.

This cause was argued by Mr. H. F. Morris, of counsel for the appellee, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Jo. Harry Cox, of counsel for the appellant.

Mrs. Laura Ellen Jones, widow &c.
68. vs. Plff. in Error
Walson Van Benthuysen.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. W. R. Rouse and Mr. William Grant, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Josiah Fisk
69. vs. Plff. in Error
The Police Jury of Jefferson, Left Bank.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on

the record and a printed argument by Mr. B. F. Jonas and Mr. Charles Louque, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

70. The State of Louisiana ex rel: Josiah Fisk vs. The Police Jury of Jefferson, Left Bank. Plff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Charles Louque, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

71. The State of Louisiana ex rel: S. R. Stewart vs. The Police Jury of Jefferson, Left Bank. Plff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. B. F. Jonas and Mr. Charles Louque, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

Michael Manley et al vs. Pltffs. in Error Charles Donoghue

29. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Frederick J. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, November 19th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George G. Vest it is ordered that James M. Lewis, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The County of San Mateo
06. vs. Plff. in Error
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

Ordered by the Court that two weeks from the 23d inst. be granted within which to show cause why the motion to dismiss filed herein by Mr. John W. Ross, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, should not be granted.

Michael Hanley et al.
229. vs. Plffs. in Error
Charles Donoghue.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Frederick J. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Edward C. Eichelberger, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

	D. M. Ferry & Company	These cases were
875.	vs. Plffs. in Error	argued by Mr.
	William Livingston Jr.,	Otto Kirchner,
	Collector &c.	of counsel for
		Ferry & Company,
	William Livingston Jr.,	and Mr. Solicitor
	Collector &c.	General Goode,
876.	vs. Plff. in Error	of counsel for
	D. M. Ferry & Company	Livingston Jr.,
		Collector &c.
	Bernhard Arnson et al.	This cause was
887.	vs. Plffs. in Error	argued by Mr.
	Thomas Murphy, late	Lewis Sanders,
	Collector &c.	of counsel for
		the plaintiffs

in error, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, December 2d, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph B. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Henry Newbegin it is ordered that William C. Holgate, Esquire, of Defiance, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Espa Hunton it is ordered that George Hunt, Esquire, of Springfield, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

991. William S. Roberts vs. Appellant Philip Reilly. — Mr. W. W. Montgomery, of counsel for the appellant, objected to the consideration by the Court of the additional brief filed by counsel for the appellee on the 19th instant. Whereupon, Mr. Frank H. Miller, of counsel for the appellee, asked and obtained leave to withdraw said brief from the files.

992. William S. Roberts vs. Appellant Philip Reilly. — This cause was argued by Mr. W. W. Montgomery, of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Frank H. Miller and Mr. Daniel Lord, of counsel for the appellees.

1169. Angus H. Cannon vs. Pff. in Error The United States.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. F. D. Richards, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made The Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 23d 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Henry, it is begun it is ordered that James M. Hoyt, Esquire, of Cleveland, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Reginald Tendall it is ordered that John H. Mahan, Esquire, of Abilene, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Thomas Drew and Edmund Webb		In Error to the Circuit
444.	vs.	Plffs. in Error	Court of the
	Irving Grinnell and George L. Bowdoin, as Executors of Moses H. Grinnell, deceased, late Collector of the Port of New York.		United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	P. R. Bohlen	In Error
59.	vs. Pff. in Error	to the Cir-
	Richard Arthurs, Assignee	cuit Court
	of A. F. Baum and John	of the United
	Bannon, bankrupts; A. M.	States for
	M'Clure, James Carthers	the Western
	and Charles Wilson.	District of
		Pennsyl-

vania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	J. W. Moffitt and W. Fields	In Error to the
591.	vs. Pffs. in Error	Circuit Court
	Stephen Hurtz	of the United
		States for the

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per J.r. Justice Gray.

860. Stephen Kurtz vs. John Mollitt and J. W. Fields. Pltf. in Error

In Error to the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Superior Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that

this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Superior Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

William L. Gibson	In Error to the
vs. Pltf. in Error	Circuit Court
Edward Lyon, George	of the United
W. Taylor, and Thomas	States for the
Lyon and Bennet &.	Eastern District
Wilson and Thomas L.	of Pennsylva-
Shoemaker, Terre Tenants	nia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Alexander R. Shepherd
50. vs. Plff. in Error
John Frederick Hay.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the District of Columbia.

per Mr. Justice Wood.

John W. Fraer and
Ella D. Fraer
46. vs. Plffs. in Error
Henry Clews.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

42. Isabel Gage vs. Maria B. Pumpelly and Adeline P. Kidd, Executrixes and heirs at law, Edward Wade, Executor, and Delphine M. P. Read, heir at law, of Harmon Pumpelly, deceased. — Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said

Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	The Missouri Pacific Railway Company	In Error to the
593.	vs.	Supreme Court
	Peter Humes.	of the State of
	Pltff. in Error	Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	The Missouri Pacific Railway Company	In Error to the
57.	vs.	Supreme Court
	Vincent Ferry.	of the State of
	Pltff. in Error	Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

J. W. Thompson vs. Allen County et al. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting:

	The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error Hugh McGee.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.
56.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	John Paloh vs. Plff. in Error Elias E. White.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.
58.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and

was argued by counsel. On considera-
tion whereof, the Court being equally
divided in opinion, It is now here ordered
and adjudged by this Court that the judg-
ment of the said Supreme Court in this cause
be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with
costs.

Herman G. C. Paulsen
63. vs. Appellant
The Chesapeake & Ohio
Railway Company.
Joseph Bryan & Henry
T. Wickham, Trustees
vs., et al.

Appeal from the
Circuit Court of
the United States
for the Eastern
District of Vir-
ginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the tran-
script of the record from the Circuit Court of
the United States for the Eastern District of
Virginia and was argued by counsel. On
consideration whereof, It is now here ordered,
adjudged and decreed by this Court that the
decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause
be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

352. Gottlieb Eschmann vs. The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company and Joseph Bryan & Henry T. Wickham, Joint Trustees.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Mrs. Laura Ellen Jones, widow of Sidney A. Stockdale, deceased and testamentary Executrix and natural Tutrix of her minor children		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
68. vs.	Plff. in Error	
Watson Van Benthuysen		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Louisiana.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

J. W. Leonard et al. vs. Appellants
1182. Wm. H. Chatfield, Trustee.
and
J. W. Leonard et al. vs. Appellants
1183. The Ozark Land Company.

On consideration of the motion to modify the decrees of the Circuit Court in these cases, and of the argument of Counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ordered by the Court that the 33d Rule of this Court be amended so as to read as follows:

33.

Models, diagrams, and exhibits of material.

1. Models, diagrams, and exhibits of material, forming part of the evidence taken in the Court below, in any case pending

in this Court on writ of error or appeal, shall be placed in the custody of the Marshal of this Court at least one month before the case is heard or submitted.

2. All models, diagrams and exhibits of material placed in the custody of the Marshal for the inspection of the Court on the hearing of a case, must be taken away by the parties within one month after the case is decided. When this is not done, it shall be the duty of the Marshals to notify the counsel in the cases, by mail or otherwise, of the requirements of this rule; and if the articles are not removed within a reasonable time after the notice is given, he shall destroy them, or make such other disposition of them as to him may seem best.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

951. Hattie A. Burnett vs. The United States. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 10th rule, on the record and printed

arguments by Mr. A. A. Merriman, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee.

210. The United States vs. Pfff. in Error Bem Price.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, on the record and printed arguments, under the 20th rule, by Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. Z. George, of counsel for the defendant in error.

1008. William S. Hassall, Trustee vs. A. W. Wilcox et al. Appellant

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, in support of the same, and Mr. George Biddle, in opposition thereto.

Mr. B. Rubley Ashton, in behalf of

counsel for the appellant, also submitted to the consideration of the Court a protest against the filing of the brief in reply by the counsel for the appellees on this motion.

1169. Angus M. Cannon vs. Pff. in Error / The United States — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. F. S. Richards, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

72. William D. Marvel vs. Pff. in Error / Edwin A. Merritt, late Collector &c. — This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. B. F. Lee, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Monday. November 23d 1835. continued.

Herman Presser
vs. Err. in Error
The People of the State
of Illinois.

The argument
of this cause was
commenced by
Mr. Allen &
Story. of counsel
for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is
adjourned until tomorrow at twelve
oclock.

Tuesday, November 24th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hoxey, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A.A. Ranney it is ordered that Nelson L. Graffam, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, and Henry Bradhead, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn ac-

Tuesday, November 24th 1885, continued.

Herman Presser	The argument of this
73. vs. Plff. in Error.	cause was contin-
The People of the	ued by Mr. A. G.
State of Illinois.	Story, of counsel

for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. George Hunt, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. Story, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

George S. Pepper	Appeal from the
74. vs. Appellant	Circuit Court
James Stinson.	of the United
	States for the

Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Tuesday, November 24th 1885, continued.

William C. Holgate et al
Henry Newsgin
vs. vs. Appellants
Rufus F. Eaton.
Admr. etc.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Henry Newbegin. in propria persona.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve oclock.

Wednesday, November 25th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. H. Ranney it is ordered that John Lowell, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter H. Smith it is ordered that Albert C. Fowler, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. F. Morris it is ordered that Charles A. Elliot, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

10. Thomas W. Edwards et als. vs. Franklin Farrell. Appellants

On motion of Mr. Walter H. Smith, in behalf of counsel, Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

William C. Holgate	The argument
& Henry Newbegin	of this cause
75. vs. Appellants	was continued
Rufus L. Eaton,	by Mr. James
Adm'r. &c.	H. Hoyt, of
	counsel for the

appellee, and concluded by Mr. William C. Holgate, in propria persona.

James Anderson	Mr. Lawrence
76. vs. Pff. in Error	Maxwell Jr.,
The Township of	of counsel for
Santa Anna.	the plaintiff in
	error, suggested

the death of James Anderson, the plaintiff in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make John L. Anderson, Executor of James Anderson, deceased, the party plaintiff in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that John L. Anderson, Executor of James Anderson, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party plaintiff in error in this cause.

John D. Anderson, Executor of James Anderson, deceased, vs. Plff. in Error The Township of Santa Anna.	These cases were argued by Mr. Lawrence Maxwell Jr., of counsel for the plaintiffs in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.
76.	
And John Confare vs. Plff. in Error The Township of Santa Anna.	
77.	

The United States vs. Plff. in Error John K. Mooney. 78.

This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Charles Levi Woodbury, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 30th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
William B. Woods
Horace Gray
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal,
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Mr. Attorney General Garland addressed the Court as follows,

May it please the Court,

Since the adjournment of this Court on last Wednesday the heart of the nation has been sorely touched by the death of the Vice President, Thomas A. Hendricks.

This is not a proper occasion to pronounce a eulogy upon the useful life

and splendid character of Mr. Hendricks, but he has been so long conspicuous in the public service - has filled thoroughly and admirably so many places of high trust, including the second in rank in the gift of the people, and he has been a prominent member of this bar for so many years, I deem it becoming to request the Court to lay aside its docket and pause before this sad event that now overshadows the whole country, and out of respect for the memory of this "good and faithful servant," to cease its labors till after the last funeral rites are performed on tomorrow, and I therefore suggest the Court do now adjourn until Thursday next.

The Chief Justice replied as follows,

The Court heartily concurs in your remarks Mr. Attorney General and in the suggestion which you make. Justices Matthews and Blatchford are now on their way to represent the Court at

the funeral in Indianapolis tomorrow, and as a further mark of respect to the memory of the deceased we will now adjourn until Thursday next.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Thursday next at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, December 3rd 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. A. Ranney it is ordered that Thomas Gurley, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Reynold Sinclair it is ordered that W. Floyd Clarke, Esquire of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William A. McKenney it is ordered that Thomas F. Conway, Esquire, of Silver City, Territory of New Mexico, and William Lees, Esquire, of Lincoln, State of Nebraska be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

	Abner L. Backus, William W. Backus, Samuel R. Backus and Alexander Backus, partners under the firm name of A. L. Backus & Sons,	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.
320.	vs.	
	Plffs. in Error George H. Start and Lewis Ruffner Jr., partners under the firm name of G. H. Start & Company.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Walter H. Smith, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The County of San Mateo	On motion
96.	vs. Plff. in Error	of Mr. Wal
	The Southern Pacific R.R.Co.	ter H. Smith,
		in behalf

of counsel, Ordered by the Court that the time within which to show cause why the motion to dismiss filed herein should not be granted be extended one week.

	John F. Bayle	Mr. H. E. Davis,
86.	vs. Appellant	of counsel for
	Henry E. Davis et al.	the appellees,
		suggested a

diminution of the record in this cause and moved the Court to award a writ of certiorari. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, awarded.

	Arthur A. Levy	In Error to the Circuit
226.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of the United
	Stephen W. Dorsey.	States for the East-
		ern District of

Missouri.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William A. McKenney, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William Craig
428. vs. Appellant
Thomas Leitensdorfer

On motion of Mr. O. D. Barrett, of of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that ten days additional be granted the appellant within which to file affidavits in opposition to the answer to the motion for a rule to show cause heretofore filed in this cause.

Matthias Zimmerman
431. vs. Pltf. in Error
The State of Nebraska.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the

record and printed arguments by Mr. Enoch Totten and Mr. William Reese, in support of the same, and Matthias Zimmerman, in propria persona, in opposition thereto.

79 Peter Graffam et al. vs. Christine F. Burgess. Appellants. This cause was argued by Mr. J. A. Tenney, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. John Lowell, of counsel for the appellee.

80. The Bridgewater Iron Co. vs. Herman Lissberger. Plff. in Error. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Charles A. Welch, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Damon E. Ware, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday. December 4th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger it is ordered that Thornton A. Thomas, Esquire, of Gunnison, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

163.	William Harlog vs. Plff. in Error Henry Memory.	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rules, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Julius Rosenthal and Mr. A. H. Pence, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. C. B. Sherman, of counsel for the defendant in error.
31.	The Merchants' Exchange National Bank of the City of New York vs. Appellant The Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Bergen.	On motion of Mr. Fillmore Beall in behalf of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

14. The Knickerbocker Life Insurance Company vs. Pltf. in Error C. H. Pendleton et al.

On motion of Mr. F. J. Browning, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

80. The Bridgewater Iron Co. vs. Pltf. in Error Herman Kissberger.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Darwin E. Ware, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Charles A. Welch, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

81. The Saxonville Mills vs. Pltf. in Error Thomas Russell, late Collector &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. Charles Levi Woodbury, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error.

William M. Lee
82. vs. Pff. in Error
Enos Johnson.

This cause was argued by Mr. H. E. Davis, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. James Blair, of counsel for the defendant in error.

James J. O'Reilley et als.
83. vs. Appellants
James W. Campbell et als.

This cause was argued by Mr Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the appellees, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. J. G. Sutherland and Mr. G. W. McBride, of counsel for the appellants.

Joseph F. Field
84. vs. Appellant
Oliver de Comeau
et al.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed until Monday next, then to be placed at the head of the call.

Greene County, Missouri, Plff. in Error
85. vs. to the Cir-
Alfred Huidekoper. cuit Court
of the United
States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John F. Coyle This cause was
No. 1 vs. Appellant submitted to the
Henry S. Davis et al. consideration of
the Court on the
record and printed arguments by Mr. B.
F. Butler and Mr. O. D. Barrett, of counsel

for the appellant, and Mr. W. D. Daviage and Mr. M. E. Davis, of counsel for the appellee.

The Northern Pacific Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error Clayton D. Herbert. 84.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Thomas Wilson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 7th 1881.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hoday, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that John Mourney, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. W. Fuller it is ordered that David Gales, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John J. Horgan it is ordered that James E. Webb, Esquire, of Birmingham, and Hannis Taylor, Esquire, of Mobile, State of Alabama, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

875. D. M. Ferry & Company vs. Pltff. in Error
William Livingston, Junior, Collector of Customs of the District of Detroit

876. William Livingston, Junior, Collector of Customs for the District of Detroit vs. Pltff. in Error
D. M. Ferry & Company.

and

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan on writ of error and cross writ of error, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed, the plaintiff in error in each case to pay the Clerk's costs taxed therein, and the plaintiff in error in No. 89 to recover one half of the expense of printing the record, paid by it.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Bernhard Arnson and Ellis Wilzinski		In Error to the Circuit Court
887. vs.	Plffs. in Error	of the United
Thomas Murphy, late		States for the
Collector of the Port of		Southern Dis-
New York.		trict of New
		York.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Charles C. Harrison,
Theodore A. Hausmeyer,
Alfred C. Harrison,
William W. Harrison
and William W. Frazier Jr.
vs. Plffs. in Error
Edwin A. Merritt,
Collector of the Port of
New York.

In Error to the Circuit Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

713.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Davis Sewing Machine Company of Watertown, New York		In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.
40. vs.	Plff. in Error	
Almarin B. Richards and George L. Sheriff, Executors of Andrew Roth, deceased, and Almarin B. Richards.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

Affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

64. Herman R. Ballizer and William G. Isaacs vs. The Raleigh & Augusta Air Line Railroad Company, The Raleigh & Gaston Railroad Company, John F. Pickrell, John D. Whitford and William J. Hawkins. Appellants. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of North Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of North Carolina, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The New Orleans Gas Light Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
18. vs.	Appellant	
The Louisiana Light and Heat Producing and Manufacturing Company et al.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

539. The New Orleans Water Works Company vs. Robert B. Rivers. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Louisville Gas Company
489. vs. Plff. in Error
The Citizens Gas Light Company.

In Error to the Court of Appeals of the State of Kentucky

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Appeals of the State of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Appeals for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Jacob C. Effinger
vs. Dft. in Error
James Kenney,
Trustee of Allan
& Bryan.

In Error to the
Supreme Court
of Appeals of the
State of Virginia

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court of Appeals for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	James Kenney, Trustee of Allan C. Bryan	In Error to the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia.
216.	vs.	
	Jacob P. Effinger.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	The Northern Pacific Railroad Company	Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota.
640.	vs.	
	Appellant	
	Iver L. Rockne, County Treasurer of Traill County:	

This cause came on to be heard on

the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to cause a decree to be entered perpetually enjoining the Treasurer of Traill County from any further proceedings to collect the taxes in the bill mentioned.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

27. Moina Campbell, Administratrix, &c. of J. B. Campbell, Administrator, of the estate of John Stamps, deceased. vs. Plffs. in Error James N. Holt. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Texas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley and Mr. Justice Harlan.

	Pullman's Palace Car Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
24.	vs. Appellant	
	The Missouri Pacific Railway Company and The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof,

It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Clay County, Iowa vs. The United States ex rel: Hugh McAleer Sr. and Hugh McAleer Jr., Administrators of Michael McAleer, deceased.

66. Pltff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and

the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa for further proceedings to be had therein according to law.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George A. Bowman 2nd Fred J. Bowman		In Error to the Circuit
1168. vs.	Plffs. in Error	Court of the
The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company.		United States for the Northern

District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William L. Hassall
Trustee
708. vs. Appellant
A. W. Wilcox et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on a motion to dismiss the appeal or to affirm the decree of the Circuit Court and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal so far as it relates to all of the appellees except A. W. Wilcox be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Matthias Zimmerman
731. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Nebraska

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Nebraska and on the motion to dismiss, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

571. Pattie A. Clay vs. Plff. in Error / David J. Field. — Mr. Jeff Chandler, in behalf of counsel, moved the Court to allow the Mandate in this cause to be issued. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

123. John Sheburd et al. vs. Appellants / E. C. Carrigan, Adm'r. &c. — Mr. B. F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a suggestion of a diminution of the record and a motion

for a writ of certiorare in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, awarded.

John F. Coyle
85. vs. Appellant
Henry S. Davis et al.

Mr. B. F. Butler of counsel for the appellant, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to set aside the submission of this cause and for leave to argue the same orally. Whereupon, the Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concerning what order to render in the premises, took time to consider.

337. William H. Henderson, Executor &c., Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

338. Howard L. Henderson, Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

339. William H. Henderson, Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

340. Warren H. Henderson, Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

311. Victorine L. McCarthy et al. Plffs. in Error,
vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

Mr. Walter Evans, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Charles B. Wilby, of counsel for the defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court motions to direct the manner of taxing the costs in these cases.

939. Adolph Lewisohn, Leonard Lewisohn and Julius Lewisohn vs. William McDermott, Geoffrey Lavell, Lee W. Foster Albert Kleinschmidt and Lee Mantle. Plffs. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Charles A. Elliot, of counsel for the defendants in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered

and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Adolph Lewisohn et al. vs. Pltff. in Error
139. William McDermott et al. Defts. in Error

On motion of Mr. Charles A. Elliot, of counsel for the defendants in error, leave is hereby granted the latter to issue the mandate in this cause.

Robert C. Hewett vs. Appellant
555. Lewis S. Filbert et al.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. E. C. Cole and Mr. W. F. Mattingly, in support of the same, and Mr. S. S. Hertle in opposition thereto.

A. S. Core et al. On motion of Mr.
1422. vs. Plffs. in Error C. C. Cole, of coun-
John F. Vinal. sel for the defend-
ant in error. It is
ordered by the Court that this cause be,
and the same is hereby advanced pursuant
to the 32d Rule.

The Oregon Railway & The motions
Navigation Company to advance
922. vs. Plff. in Error this cause or
The Oregonian Railway to affirm the
Co. (Limited). judgment
was sub-
mitted to the consideration of the Court
on the record and printed arguments
by Mr. A. H. Garland and Mr. J. F.
Edmunds, in support of the same and Mr.
James C. Carter, in opposition thereto.

Joseph F. Field This cause was
84. vs. Appellant submitted to the
Oliver de Comeau et al. consideration of
the Court on the
record and a printed argument by Mr.

Eugene N. Elliot, of counsel for the appellant. No counsel appearing for the appellees.

The Union Pacific Railway Company		The motion to remand this cause to the Court of Claims for a more specific finding
1034.	vs. Appellant	
The United States.		

of facts was argued by Mr. John F. Dillon, in support of the same, and Mr. Solicitor General Hoode, in opposition thereto.

The Western Union Telegraph Company		The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the
668.	vs. Plff. in Error	
George F. Hall.		

Court on printed arguments by Mr. Charles A. Clark, in support of the same, and Mr. Wayne Swayne, in opposition thereto.

The Minneapolis & St. Louis Railway Company
1061. vs. Plff. in Error
Oliver Beckwith.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted

to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Oliver Beckwith, in propria personas, in support of the same, and Mr. Jeff Chandler and Mr. Epes Hunton, in opposition thereto.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, December 4th 1883.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that William P. Fiero, Esquire, of New York City, and Charles Albert Prince, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of

this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. B. F. Phillips it is ordered that Neill Dumont, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter D. Davidge it is ordered that Frederick J. Allen, Esquire, of Auburn, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	John F. Coyle	The Chief Justice
36	vs. Appellant	in disposing of
	Henry S. Davis et al.	the motion sub-
		mitted by Mr.

B. F. Butler to have the order of submission set aside and for leave to argue

this case orally, said

The showing made to the Court by the counsel for the appellant in this case in excuse for his absence when the case was called is not sufficient for the purpose of having the order of submission vacated, but as the appellees' brief had not been filed within the time required by the rule, the Court order, under the special circumstances of this case, that the submission be set aside and that the case be argued immediately after No. 87.

Mrs. Laura Ellen Jones, widow &c. vs. Watson Van Benthuysen. 68. Plff. in Error

On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

Barnum Westcott, Helen M. Westcott, Eliza J. Tripp, Executrix of Ezekiel Tripp, deceased, Elinton C. Tripp, Etta B. Tripp, D. T. Tripp, Hyda A. Robinson, Adaline M. Perigo, Truman Perigo, Jay Westcott and Eliza J. Tripp, in her own right
1230 vs. Pltfs. in Error
John F. Miller.

Mr. S. F. Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

Circuit Court of the United States Ninth Circuit, District of California.

I, L. S. E. Sawyer, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Ninth Judicial Circuit, in and for the District of California, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Circuit Court wherein John F. Miller was plaintiff, and Barnum Westcott, Helen M. Westcott, Eliza J. Tripp, Executrix of the last will and of the estate of Ezekiel

Tripp, deceased, Clinton C. Tripp, Etta B. Tripp, D. K. Tripp, Hyda K. Robinson, Adaline M. Perigo, Truman Perigo, Jay Westcott and Eliza J. Tripp, in her own right, were defendants, an order remanding said cause to the Superior Court of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, was made and entered by said Circuit Court on the 28th day of January A. D. 1884, and that on the 29th day of January A. D. 1884, a writ of error to the Supreme Court of the United States from said order of remand was sued out by said defendants and allowed by the Court.

"In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court, at San Francisco, this 13th day of October, A.D. 1885.

(Seal)

L. S. B. Sawyer,
Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States, Ninth Circuit, District of California."

and it appearing to the Court that the

plaintiffs in error have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

999. Brooke Mackall Jr. Appellant vs. Alfred Richards et al. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Enoch Totten and Mr. W. B. Webb, in support of the same, and Mr. W. Willoughby, in opposition thereto.

Susie M. Kerr et al. vs. The South Park Commissioners. — 142. Appellants

The motion to strike from the record herein the no-called certificate of evidence or bill of exceptions was argued by Mr. H. W. Fuller, in support of the same, and Mr. E. S. Isham, in opposition thereto.

Susie M. Kerr et al. vs. The South Park Commissioners. — 142. Appellants

The motion to advance the cause and assign the same for argument with No. 121 was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. E. S. Isham, in support of the same, and Mr. H. W. Fuller, in opposition thereto.

Daniel McClure vs. The United States. — 90. Appellant

The motion to direct the Court of Claims to transmit to this the ruling record

in this cause or to remand this case to the said Court of Claims for a more specific finding of facts was argued by Mr. J. M. Wilson, in support of the same, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, in opposition thereto.

The Northern Pacific R. R. Co.
vs. Plff. in Error
By: Clayton D. Herbert.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. W. P. Clough, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Thomas Wilson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday December 5 1888.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Hornby, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Edwin B. Smith it is ordered that Francis J. Wing, Esquire, of Cleveland, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Edwin F. Conely it is ordered that Orlando W. Wight, Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler it is ordered that John E. Abbott, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Northern Pacific R. R. Co.	The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Thomas Wilson, of counsel for the defendant
87.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	Clayton D. Herbert.	

in error.

	John F. Coyle	The argument of
86.	vs. Appellant	this cause was
	Henry S. Davis et al.	commenced by Mr.

D. D. Barrett, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant.

88 & 89 James G. Jones et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Benjamin F. Simpson and James G. Jones et al. vs. Plffs. in Error George Hoisington.

On motion of Mr. William M. McKenney, on behalf of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, counsel for the plaintiffs in error are granted until the 23rd instant within which to file printed arguments in these cases.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, December 10th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John F. Hickey, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. Watson it is ordered that J. W. Baker and J. A. Champion, Esquires, of Nashville, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. James G. Payne it is ordered that James M. Taylor, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. E. Boyle it is ordered that Samuel B. Griffith, Esquire, of Mercer, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Lamoille County National Bank	In Error to the Circuit Court
191.	vs. Plff. in Error	of the United
	D. Willard Dudley.	States for the District of Vermont.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Geo. F. Edmunds, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation

to dismiss this cause having been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

88. James C. Jones et al. vs. Plffs. in Error / Benjamin F. Simpson

and

80. James C. Jones et al. vs. Plffs. in Error / George Noisimaton.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. G. Mohler, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Reginald Fendall, of counsel for the defendants in error.

90. Daniel McClure, Appellant vs. The United States.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby passed.

A. L. Coffey, Claimant &c. This cause was
91. vs. Plff. in Error argued by Mr.
The United States. Solicitor Gener-
al Poore, of
counsel for the defendant in error, and
submitted on a printed argument by
Mr. Gabriel C. Wharton, Mr. Samuel
McKee and Mr. T. J. Alexander, of coun-
sel for the plaintiff in error.

John W. Coffey. This cause
Claimant &c. was argued by
92. vs. Plff. in Error Mr. Assistant
The United States. Attorney Gen-
eral Mawry,
of counsel for the defendant in error,
and submitted on a printed argu-
ment by Mr. Gabriel C. Wharton, Mr.
Samuel McKee and Mr. T. J. Alexander,
of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

	The Port of Mobile	The argument of
73.	vs. Plff. in Error	these cases was
	Henry Watson	commenced by Mr.
	and	Hannis Taylor, of
	The Port of Mobile	counsel for the
94.	vs. Plff. in Error	plaintiff in error,
	The U. S. ex rel:	and continued by
	Henry Watson.	Mr. Gaylord B.
		Clark and Mr.

James E. Webb, of counsel for the defendant in error, and Mr. J. Little Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, December 11th, 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hoxley, Esquire, Marshal
Samuel A. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

William Craig
vs. Appellant
Thomas Leitensdorfer.

On motion of Mr. C. P. Cox. rule of counsel for the appellant.

48.

Ordered by the Court that the appellant be granted until the 23rd instant within which to file affidavits in opposition

the answer to the motion for a rule to show cause filed herein, per stipulation of counsel.

	The Southern Pacific Railroad Company	On motion of Mr. S. W. Sanderson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error,
511.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The People of the State of California.	

Ordered by the Court that the record in this cause be amended as per stipulation of counsel filed herein.

	The Southern Pacific Railroad Company	This cause was submitted to the consideration
511.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The People of the State of California.	of the Court on the record and printed argu-

ments, under the 20th rule, by Mr. S. W. Sanderson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. R. H. Widney, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	The Port of Mobile		The argument of
93.	vs.	Plff. in Error	these cases was
	Henry Watson		concluded by
	2nd		Mr. J. Little
	The Port of Mobile		Smith, of coun
94.	vs.	Plff. in Error	sel for the
	The U.S. ex rel:		plaintiff in
	Henry Watson.		error.

	Joseph J. Smith		This cause
145.	vs.	Appt & Plff. in Error	was argued
	William C. Whitney.		by Mr. Jeff
	Secretary of the Navy,		Chandler, of
	et al.		counsel for
			the appellant

and plaintiff in error, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellees and defendants in error.

	The United States		This cause was
844.	vs.	Plaintiff	submitted to the
	Morris Spiegel.		consideration of
			the Court on the

record and printed arguments by Mr.

Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff, and Mr. William H. Fiero, of counsel for the defendant.

1883. Edward A. Boyd et all. vs. The United States. Plffs. in Error

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Edwin B. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, December 11th 1882

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hoxsey, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General leave is ordered that Thomas J. Chapman, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George B. Goithill it is ordered that Edward Branch Lowan, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, and C. L. Raison Jr., Esquire, of Newport, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Wilson it is ordered that Daniel O. Finch, Esquire, of Des Moines, State of Iowa, and J. A. Williamson, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

1169. Angus M. Cannon, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Per Mr. Justice Brewer.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Miller
Mr. Justice Fuller.

229. Michael Kinney et al vs. Charles Donohue.

In Error to the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs;

And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Appeals for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Bridgewater Iron Company vs. Herman Lissberger. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

No. Pltf. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

William L. Roberts
192. vs. Appellant
Philip Reilly.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Georgia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Georgia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; And it is further ordered and directed that the order and judgment of the District Court, remanding the appellant to the custody of the respondent, as the agent of the State of New York, be executed.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

William H. Marvel		In Error to the
72. vs.	Pltf. in Error	Circuit Court
Edwin A. Merritt,		of the United
late Collector of the Port		States for the
of New York.		Southern Dis-
		trict of New
		York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Saxonville Mills		In Error to the
81. vs.	Pltf. in Error	Circuit Court
Thomas Russell, late		of the United
Collector of Customs.		States for the
		District of

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause in, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Ber Mr. Justice Matthews.

Asa C. Call
by. vs. Appellant
Henry H. Palmer.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and

the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The United States vs. Plff. in Error
John H. Mooney.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Anton Nicholas Wolsiefer & Wolsiefer; John Singer, Paulina Singer, Rudolph Singer and Nicholas Singer, partners as John Singer & Brothers

vs.

Samuel J. Force & Edward J. Force.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

36.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

	The Utah & Northern Railway Company	Appeal from the
111.	vs. Appellant	Supreme
	William E. Fisher, Assessor and ex officio Collector of Territorial and County Taxes in and for Oneida County, Idaho Territory.	Court of the Territory of Idaho.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Idaho, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	William C. Holgate and Henry Newbegin	Appeal from the Circuit Court
75.	vs. Appellants	of the United
	Rufus P. Eaton, Administrator of Elizabeth R. Eaton, deceased.	States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the original bill at the cost of the complainants in that bill, and to take such further proceedings in the cross-bill as are not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court and are according to the principles of equity.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

210. The United States vs. Plff. in Error Bem Price. In Error to the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Susie M. Kerr et al. vs. The South Park Commissioners. (Appellants)

1112. On considera-tion of the mo-tions to strike from the record herein the so-called certificate of evidence or bill of excep-tions and to advance this cause and as-sign the same for argument with No. 124, and of the arguments of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that the motion to advance be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument when No. 124 is reached in

its regular order on the docket, and that the further consideration of the motion to strike from the record herein the so-called certificate of evidence, or bill of exceptions be, and the same is hereby, postponed until the case is heard on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

999. Brooke Mackall, Junior, Appellant vs. Alfred Richards et al. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and on the motion to dismiss or affirm, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Minneapolis & St. Louis Railway Company
1061. vs. Plff. in Error
Oliver Beckwith.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause,

and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Oregon Railway & Navigation Co.
912. vs. Plff. in Error
The Oregonian Railway Co. (Limited)

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, with which was united a motion to affirm the judgment, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

337. Wm H. Henderson, Executor &c. Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

338. Howard K. Henderson, Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

339. William H. Henderson, Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

340. Warren K. Henderson, Plff. in Error, vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

341. U. S. McCarthy et al., Plffs. in Error vs. H. Estelle Wadsworth.

On consideration of the motions to direct the manner of taxing the costs in these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that the cost of the copy of the record sent up to this Court, the fees of the Clerk of this Court for supervising the printing and the cost of printing the record be taxed one-half in No. 337 and one eighth in each of the other cases.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

58. John Patch vs. Plff. in Error Elias E. White. — On consideration of the petition for a rehearing in

this cause. It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby granted and the cause assigned for re-argument on the first Monday in January next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day. And it is further ordered that the judgment entered herein on November 23d 1885 be, and the same is hereby, rescinded and annulled.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

442. George F. Akers vs. Plff. in Error Albert Akers. On motion of Mr. S. Walson, of counsel for the defendant in error, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d rule.

934. The State of Tennessee vs. Plff. in Error George S. Whitworth. Trustee of Davidson County and Mr. S. Walson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, submitted

	The State of Tennessee	to the considera-
935	vs. Plff. in Error	tion of the Court
	George K. Whitworth,	a motion to ad-
	Trustee of Davidson	vance these cases.
	County.	

	C. A. Pickard, Comptroller &c.	Mr. S. A.
733.	vs. Plff. in Error	Champion,
	The Pullman Southern Car Co.	of counsel,
	and	submitted
	The State of Tennessee	to the con-
936.	vs. Plff in Error	sideration
	The Pullman Southern Car Co.	of the
		Court a

motion to advance these cases.

	John R. Elder	In Error to the Cir-
682.	vs. Plff. in Error	cuit Court of the
	Emma W. Ulman.	United States for
		the Eastern District

of Louisiana.

This cause being called to the at-
tention of the Court by Mr. James
Lowndes, of counsel for the Plaintiff in

error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The County of San Mateo
106. vs. Plff. in Error
The Southern Pacific R. R. Co.

On motion of Mr. A. L. Rhodes, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that he be allowed until Thursday next to file papers in opposition to the motion to dismiss filed herein.

Edward A Boyd et al.
123. vs. Plffs. in Error
The United States.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. E. B. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr.

Smith, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Henry C. Brown
588. vs. Appellant
James B. Grant,
Governor et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. James M. Brown, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, December 15th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Clifford Anderson it is ordered that George F. Barnes, Esquire, of Augusta, State of Georgia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. [illegible] upon it is ordered that Samuel Nicholson Esquire, of Ottawa, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

588. Henry C. Brown vs. James B. Grant, Governor, et al. Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. James H. Brown, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Thornton N. Thomas, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Brown, of counsel for the appellant.

195. The Kings County Savings Institution vs. Benjamin F. Blair, Adm'r. &c. Plff. in Error

This cause was argued by Mr Lewis Sanders, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Solicitor Gen. [illegible] Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Louis B. Royer
gs. vs. Appellant
Russell & Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, on motion of Mr. M. D. Leggett, of counsel for the appellees, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, December 16th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present.

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Evarts it is ordered that Henry S. Boutell, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, and Roger Foster, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were

1115 Joseph A. Smith vs. Appt. & Plff. in Error
William C. Whitney, Secretary, et als.

On motion of Mr. Eppa Hunton, of counsel for the appellant and plaintiff in error, leave is hereby granted counsel for the appellant and plaintiff in error to file a supplemental brief in this cause.

96. The Southwestern Railroad Co. vs. Plff. in Error
Wm A. Wright, Comptroller General of the State of Georgia, et als.
And
471. The Southwestern Railroad Co. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Georgia.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Richard T. Lyon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Samuel Barnett and Mr. Clifford Anderson, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. A. R. Lawton, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

R. W. Healy et al.
vs. Rffs. in Error
The Joliet & Chicago
Railroad Company
et al.

Defendants in error.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. George W. Smith, of coun. sel for the de.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, December 17th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. B. F. Thurston it is ordered that Sardius D. Bentley, Esquire, of Rochester, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. L. F. Youmans it is ordered that Johnstone Jones, Esquire, of Asheville, and Thomas R. Robertson, Esquire, of Charlotte, State of North Carolina, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The County of San Mateo
106. vs. Plff. in Error
The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. John W. Ross, in support of the same, and Mr. A. L. Rhodes, in opposition thereto.

R. W. Healy et al.
97. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Joliet & Chicago Railroad Company et al.

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. George W. Smith, of counsel for the defendants in

error, and the case was submitted on a printed argument by Mr. S. F. Rice, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

98. John Doe ex dem. The State of Alabama, ex dem. John Swann et al., Trustees &c. vs. Pltfs. in Error Vance C. Larmore. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. F. Rice, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. John T. Morgan, of counsel for the defendant in error.

99. John C. Webb, Adm'r. et al. vs. Appellants Henry Barnwell & Wm C. Taylor, Assignees &c. — This cause was argued by Mr. William C. Carle, of counsel for the appellants, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. D. S. Troy and Mr. N. C. Tomkins, of counsel for the appellees.

Hiram L. Brown et al. Appellants
100. vs.
Charles F. Davis et al. Executors &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. E. Wood, of counsel for the appellants, continued by Mr. Sardius D. Bentley, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Benjamin F. Thurston, of counsel for the appellants.

Alphonzo E. Pelham Appellant
101. vs.
Ephraim B. Demarest

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

102. B. W. Mower, Plff. in Error, vs.
Nathan Fletcher.

103. B. W. Mower, Plff. in Error, vs.
Nathan Fletcher et al.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. W. G. Johnston, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, December 18th 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Mr. Attorney General Garland presented the Resolutions of the Bar on the death of Richard J. Merrick, Esquire, which were ordered to be filed.

Friday, December 18th 1885, continued.

	The United States	Appeal from
1055.	vs. Appellant	the Circuit
	The Spanish Brig	Court of the
	"Purissima Concepcion,"	United
	Juan Jose Murga,	States for
	Claimant.	the Eastern
		District of
	Louisiana.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	Appeal from the
1056.	vs. Appellant	Circuit Court of
	Juan Jose Murga,	the United States
	Claimant of Thirteen	for the Eastern
	cases of Havana	District of
	Smoking Tobacco.	Louisiana.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William G. Ford, Admr. &c.
1108. vs. Appellant
The United States.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rules, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Gilbert Moyers and Mr. George L. Boutwell, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the appellee.

102. B. W. Mower, Plff. in Error, vs.
Nathan Fletcher.

103. B. W. Mower, Plff. in Error, vs.
Nathan Fletcher et als.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. W. J. Johnston, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. K. Redington and Mr. H. D. Brainerd, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. U. J. Baxter, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

104. Elisha Crowell vs. George A. Beardsley and Samuel R. Beardsley. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Boneless Fish Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
105.	vs. Appellant	
	William H. Roberts and Caleb R. Turner.	

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The County of San Mateo	Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby passed.
106.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.	

1054. The City of Milwaukee vs. Appellant
Charles A. Koeffler. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Joshua Stark, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. J. G. Jenkins, of of counsel for the appellee.

882. John H. Stebbins et al. vs. Appellants
The Town of St. Anne et al. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas E. McClelland, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. L. E. Payson, of counsel for the appellees.

1016. George E. Coney et al. vs. Appellants
Alfred E. Winchell. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 32° rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Simeon E.

Baldwin and Mr. John N. Whiting, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. John W. Alling, of counsel for the appellee.

107. The Iron Silver Mining Co. vs. Plff. in Error Walter S. Cheesman et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Walter N. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. C. S. Thomas, of counsel for the defendants in error, and Mr. F. H. Symmes, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, December 21st 1885.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that Walter Reeves, Esquire, of Decatur, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, it is ordered that Richard J. Bright, Esquire, of Indianapolis, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Creed F. Bates, Esquire, of Chattanooga, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Ross it is ordered that Hugh Creal, Esquire, of Decatur, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	John F. Coyle	Appeal from
86.	vs. Appellant	the Supreme
	Henry S. Davis, William E.	Court of the
	Spalding & W. W. Copley.	District of
		Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	The Liverpool & London & Globe	In Error to
	Insurance Company	the Circuit
61.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of the
	Amelia Augusta Gunther,	United States
	Executrix, and George A. Gun-	for the East-
	ther, Executor, of Charles God-	ern District
	frey Gunther, deceased.	of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to grant a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Hattie A. Burnett, Appellant vs. The United States. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

351.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

The Winchester & Parbridge Manufacturing Company		In Error to the Circuit
165. vs.	Plff. in Error	Court of the
Edward Creamy, William Grueggerhoff, Andrew Keill, Paul Pressler, A. R. Morris and John Hancock.		United States for the Western Distriet of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western Distriet of Texas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	William H. Lee	In Error to the Cir-
82.	vs. Plff. in Error	cuit Court of Em-
	Enos Johnson.	met County, State
		of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of Emmet County, State of Michigan, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with instructions to enter a decree in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	Josiah Fisk	In Error to the
69.	vs. Plff. in Error	Supreme Court of
	The Police Jury of Jef-	the State of Louis-
	ferson, Left Bank.	iana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The State of Louisiana ex rel: Josiah Fisk vs. Plff. in Error The Police Jury of Jefferson, Left Bank.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

No.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

71. The State of Louisiana ex rel: Samuel R. Stewart vs. Plff. in Error
The Police Jury of Jefferson, Left Bank and the members thereof.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause

be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

555. Robert C. Hewitt vs. Lewis S. Filbert et al. Appellant. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and on a motion to dismiss, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

668. The Western Union Telegraph Company vs. George F. Halls. Plff. in Error. On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as

against the same. It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

No. Daniel McClure vs. The United States. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to direct the Court of Claims to transmit to this Court the entire record in this cause or to remand this case to the said Court of Claims for a more specific finding of facts, which was argued by counsel. It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1034. The Union Pacific Railway Company vs. The United States. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to remand this cause to the Court of Claims for a more specific finding of facts, which was argued by counsel. It is now here

ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The State of Tennessee	On considera-
931.	vs. Plff. in Error	tion of the mo-
	George K. Whitworth,	tions to ad-
	Trustee &c.	vance these
	and	cases, It is
	The State of Tennessee	ordered by the
935.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court that said
	George K. Whitworth,	motions be and
	Trustee &c.	the same are
		hereby, grant-

ed and the cases assigned for argument as one case at the foot of the call on the third Monday in January next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	P. P. Pickard,	On considera-
	Comptroller &c.	tion of the mo-
933.	vs. Plff. in Error	tions to advance
	The Pullman Southern	these cases It is
	Car Co.	ordered by the
	and	Court that

736. The State of Tennessee vs. The Pullman Southern Car Co. Appellant

said motions be, and the same are hereby, granted and the cases assigned for argument as one case on the third Monday in January next after Nos 934 and 935.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

106. The County of San Mateo vs. The Southern Pacific Railroad Company. Pltff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on a motion to dismiss the writ of error pursuant to a stipulation of the parties filed herein and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

dismissed, each party paying its own costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1034. The Union Pacific Railway Co., Appt.
vs. The United States.
1035. The United States, Appt. vs. The Union Pacific Railway Co.

On motion of Mr. Attorney General Garland, It is ordered by the Court that these cases be, and the same are hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the third Monday in January next after No. 936.

924. Joseph G. Martin et al. vs. The United States. Plffs. in Error

On motion of Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the third Monday in January next after No. 1035.

George G. Dent, Thomas Buchanan James E. Dillard et al. vs. William Ferguson, Elimer C. Ferguson, A. G. Barber et al.

234 Appellants

Mr. G. M. Wilson, in behalf of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.

William Ferguson et al.
vs.
George G. Dent et al.

I, Bell W. Etheridge, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein William Ferguson, Elimer C. Ferguson, A. G. Barber, Ann J. Ferguson, Sarah Barbour, Cassie Jacoby and R. S. Jacoby were complainants, and George G. Dent, Thomas Buchanan, James E.

Rolland, William Barbour, Sarah L. Dent, N. Buchanan, John Johnson, C. W. Frazer, R. A. Parker, The De Soto Building & Loan Association of Memphis, Susan Dent, Mary Dent, Henry G. Dent Jr., Susan R. Hooper and her husband, W. G. Hooper, were defendants, a final decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the first day of August, A. D. 1885, in favor of said complainants and against said defendants and that on the 28th day of Sept. A. D. 1885, said defendants prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States which was allowed at this last named date.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name & (Seal) affix the seal of the said Circuit Court at Memphis, this 8th day of December, A. D. 1885.

Bell W. Etheridge
Clerk C. C. U. S. for West. Dist. of Tenn."

and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed, and docketed in

conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

978. Estis, Doan & Company vs. Pipps in Error / Crabue, Davis & Company

Mr. John M. Allen, of counsel for the plaintiffs

in error, suggested the death of G. H. Doan, one of the plaintiffs in error, and moved the Court for leave to prosecute this cause in the name of Y. A. Estis, surviving partner of the firm of Estis, Doan & Company. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted to prosecute this cause in the name of Y. A. Estis, surviving partner of

464. The County of Santa Clara, Plff. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific R'y. Co.

619. The County of San Bernardino, Plff. in Error,
vs. Southern Pacific R'y. Co.

620. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error
vs. The Northern Railway Co.

621. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error
vs. The Central Pacific R'y. Co.

622. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error
vs. The Southern Pacific R'y. Co.

On motion of Mr. A. L. Rhodes, of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that these cases be, and the same are hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the third Monday in January next after No. 924.

906. The Eureka Lake & Yuba Canal Company, (Consolidated) vs. The Superior Court of Yuba County et al. Plff. in Error

and

The motion's to dismiss or affirm in these cases were submitted to the consideration

	The Eureka Lake & Yuba Canal Company. (consolidated)	of the Court on the record in
907.	vs. Plff. in Error	No 907 and
	The Superior Court of Yuba County et al.	printed argu- ments by Mr. A. L. Rhodes, in support

of the same, and Mr. W. W. Cope, in opposition thereto.

	William Glasgow Jr., Commissioner &c.	Mr. Charles Gibson, of counsel for the
964.	vs. Plff. in Error	defendants in
	John Baker et al.	error, filed and submitted to the

consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

	The Board of County Commissioners of La- bette County, Kansas et al.	Mr. B. W. Perkins, of
	vs. Plffs. in Error	counsel for
	The United States ex rel: Clarence F. Moulton.	the plaintiffs in error,

asked and obtained

leave to file a motion for a writ of supersedeas in this cause.

1157. John F. Fletcher et als. vs. Pltffs. in Error Hamlet, Bliss & Elliott et als. — On motion of Mr. A. G. Blanchard, in behalf of counsel,

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to Rule 32.

1157. John F. Fletcher et als. vs. Pltffs. in Error Hamlet, Bliss & Elliott et als. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. E. B. Knuttschnitt and Mr. E. H. Farrar, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. B. F. Forman, of counsel for the defendants in error.

5. Ex parte: In the matter of Hollon Parker Original — Petitioner — The motion for a rule to show cause

why a writ of mandamus should not issue as prayed was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. W. W. Upton, Mr. J. H. Mitchell, Mr. A. J. Britton and Mr. C. B. Brownell, in support of the same.

953.	John Branscom et al. vs. John W. Woods.	Appellants

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. John Guthrie and Mr. A. Bergen, in support of the same, and Mr. S. Wolf and Mr. W. F. Mattingly in opposition thereto.

1143.	James Gibbons vs. The District of Columbia.	Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, under the 20th rule, by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. C. G. Riddle, of counsel for the appellees.

The Iron Silver Mining Co. ... The argument of this cause was conclu-
109. vs. Plff in Error ... ded by Mr.
Walter S. Cheesman et als. ... G. G. Symes,
of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday, January 4th, 1886, at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 4th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Upton M. Young, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Randolph Tucker it is ordered that R. A. Ayers, Esquire, of Richmond, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger it is ordered that William J. S. Curtis, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Thomas C. McRae, Esquire, of Prescott, State of Arkansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Joseph A. Smith	Appeal from
1145.	vs. Appellant & Plff. in Error	& In Error
	William C. Whitney, Sec.	to the Su-
	retary of the Navy, Alex-	preme Court
	ander A. Semmes, Walter	of the District
	W. Queen et als.	of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and ad- judged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	William H. Renaud, Substituted	In Error
	for Isaac T. Wilbur, deceased.	to the Su-
23.	vs. Plff. in Error	preme
	Edward A. Abbott, Admin-	Court of
	istrator of Joseph S. Abbott,	the State
	deceased.	of New
		Hampshire

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New Hampshire and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to take such further proceedings therein as are not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

84. Joseph T. Field vs. River De Comeau, Flora De Comeau and Ulrich De Comeau. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Herman Presser	In Error to the
73.	vs. Plff. in Error	Supreme Court
	The People of the State	of the State of Il-
	of Illinois.	linois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Port of Mobile
93. vs. Plff. in Error
Henry Watson.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Alabama.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The Port of Mobile
94. vs. Plff. in Error
The United States
use of: Henry Watson.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ala-

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Hastings County Savings Institution vs. Pitz. in Error
Benjamin F. Blair, as Administrator of James Freeland, Collector of Internal Revenue.

No. 95. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered

and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Woods.

Henry C. Brown		Appeal from
1888. vs.	Appellant	the Circuit
James B. Grant, Governor,		Court of the
William H. Meyers, Lieuten-		United States
ant Governor, Melvin Ed-		for the Dis-
wards, Secretary of State,		trict of
and D. F. Urney, Attorney		Colorado.
General of the State of		
Colorado, et al.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

William G. Ford, Administrator of John T. Robinson, deceased
vs. Appellant
The United States.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

1108.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

John S. Anderson, Executor of James Anderson, deceased,
vs. Plff. in Error
The Township of Santa Anna in DeWitt County, Illinois.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

76.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

John Confarr ..... In Error to the
vs. Plff. in Error Circuit Court of
The Township of Santa The United States
Anna, in De Witt for the Southern
County, Illinois. District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois, and was argued by

counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

172. Henry S. Little, Receiver of The Central Railroad Company of New Jersey vs. James Hackett.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

Pff. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here

ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of New Jersey.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Robert W. Healy 2nd
James T. Healy
vs. Plffs. in Error
The Joliet & Chicago
Railroad Company &
The Chicago & Alton
Railroad Company.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed

John C. Webb, Administrator of Amanda A. Sterling, deceased, Thomas Sterling, Edwin Sterling, Creagh Sterling and William Sterling

99. vs. Appellants

Henry Barnwall & William G. Gaynor, Assignees in bankruptcy of Crawford, Walsh, Smith & Company, as partners, and of Robert W. Smith, Charles Walsh and Cary W. Butt, as individuals.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Alabama.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And

That this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to overrule the demurrer and for such further proceedings as to equity belongs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The City of Milwaukee		Appeal from the
1054 vs.	Appellant	Circuit Court of
Charles A. Koeffler.		the United States
		for the Eastern

District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

John M. Stone, William M^cWillie, and W. B. Augustus, composing the Railroad Commission of the State of Mississippi		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi.
722.	vs. Appellants	
The Farmers Loan & Trust Company		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting: Mr. Justice Field & Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

John M. Stone, William H. Willis & W. B. Augustus, composing the Railroad Commission of the State of Mississippi, vs. The Illinois Central Railroad Company. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi.

723.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

John M. Stone, William M. Willie & W. B. Augustus, composing The Railroad Commission of State of Mississippi, vs. Appellants
The New Orleans North Eastern Railroad Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Harlan & Mr. Justice Fields.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

	The South Western Railroad Company	
96	vs. Plff. in Error	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia.
	William A. Wright, Comptroller General of the State of Georgia, George F. Cherry, Sheriff of the County of Bibb, and J. M. Bittner, Sheriff of the County of Houston.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Southwestern Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error The State of Georgia.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia.

471.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John Doe, ex dem. The State of Alabama and ex dem. John Swann and John A. Billups, Trustees vs. Plffs. in Error Vance & Larmore.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama.

98.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the State of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

B. W. Mower ..... In Error to the Su-
102. vs. Plff. in Error ..... preme Court of the
Nathan Fletcher. State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

D. W. Mower
103. vs. Plff. in Error
Nathan Fletcher and
John D. Bicknell.

In Error to the
Supreme Court
of the State of
California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George E. Toney and
Celer R. Carll
1016. vs. Appellants
Alvred E. Winchell.

Appeal from the
Circuit Court of
the United States
for the District
of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States

for the District of Connecticut, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William Glasgow, Jr., Commissioner &c. Plff. in Error
vs.
John Baker et al's.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Hollon Parker, Petitioner.

Petition for a writ of mandamus.

On consideration of the petition of Hollon Parker, It is now here ordered by the Court that cause be shown by the

Supreme Court of the Territory of Washington, Roger S. Greene, Chief Justice, and S. C. Wingard, John P. Hoyt and George Turner, Associate Justices thereof, before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia, on the second day of March next, at twelve o'clock, noon, of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of mandamus should not issue as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Henry Zeigler
1240. vs. Pltf. in Error
Theron R. Hopkins.

On motion of Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for Albert S. Rosenbaum, leave is hereby granted him to file a motion to dismiss in this cause.

254. James K. Johnson et al., Pltfs. in Error,
vs. W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c. &c.

257. George S. Wells et al., Pltfs. in Error,
vs. W. H. Hutchinson, Sheriff &c. &c.

658 Otto Goldsmucker et al., Plffs. in Error, vs.
W. N. Hutchinson, Sheriff & Admr. &c.

659 George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error, vs.
W. N. Hutchinson, Sheriff & Admr. &c.

660 George S. Wells et al, Plffs. in Error, vs.
W. N. Hutchinson, Sheriff & Admr. &c.

661 George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error, vs.
W. N. Hutchinson, Sheriff & Admr. &c.

662 George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error, vs.
W. N. Hutchinson, Sheriff & Admr. &c.

Mr. E. C. Yonge Sr., of counsel for the defendant in error, suggested to the Court the expiration of the term of office of W. N. Hutchinson, as Sheriff, and moved the Court to make Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff, and ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased, the party defendant in error in these cases, per stipulation of counsel. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff and ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party defendant in error in these cases.

On motion of Mr. C. C. George, Jr. of counsel for the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted him to file affidavits in support of the motion to dismiss filed in nos. 657, 658, 659, 660, 661 and 662.

654. James N. Johnson et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. Admr. &c.
657. George E. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. Admr. &c.
658. Otto Goldsticker et al., Plffs in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. Admr. &c.
659. George E. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. Admr. &c.
660. George E. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. Admr. &c.
661. George E. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. Admr. &c.
662. George E. Wells et al., Plffs in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. Admr. &c.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the records in nos. 654 & 657 and printed arguments by Mr. J. D.

Thompson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. C. C. Yonge Sr. of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Board of County Commissioners of Labette County, Kansas, et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
The United States ex rel: Clarence F. Moulton. — The motion for a writ of supersedeas in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court by Mr. S. W. Perkins, in support of the same.

1084. The Missouri Pacific Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error
Green Steel — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to

dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George Cashman
233. vs. Appellant
The Amador & Sacramento Canal Company et al.

On motion of Mr. A. B. Browne, in behalf of counsel for the appellant, ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

Sherman R. Hyes
364. vs. Appellant
Charles F. Allen.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Melville Church, of counsel for the appellant, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause

having been filed. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George P. Hunt
289 vs. Appellant
The United States.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments, under the 20th rule, by Mr. C. F. Benjamin, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee.

The United States
288. vs. Appellant
John N. Wallace

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Charles C. Lancaster and Mr. Charles E. Mayer, of counsel for the appellee.

Richard Uilenbo
1089. vs. Appellant
Samuel Friedlander.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Charles Fouque and Mr. Albert Voorhees, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. C. F. Burk and Mr. G. H. Braugh, of counsel for the appellee.

Antonio Sua
1090. vs. Plff. in Error
Oliver Carriere,
Executor vel. et als.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Charles Fouque, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Thomas L. Bayne, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Joseph Reynolds et als.
843. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Iron Silver Mining Co.

This cause was submitted to the consideration

of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. M. Patterson, Mr. C. E. Thomas and Mr. R. S. Morrison, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. S. S. Symes and Mr. Hugh Butler, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	The Sun Mutual Insurance Company	These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the records and printed arguments by Mr. Henry J. Leovy and Mr. E. D. White, of counsel for the plaintiff in error in No. 1101, Mr. Henry C. Miller, of counsel for the plaintiff in error in No. 1123, and Mr. Edgar H. Farrar, of counsel for the defendant in error.
1101.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The United States ex rel: Judah Hart. and	
	The Board of Liquidation of the City of New Orleans	
1123.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The United States ex rel: Judah Hart.	

Henry Zeigler
240. vs. Pltff. in Error
Theron R Hopkins.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. F. Preston, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Philip G. Galpin, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians
886. vs. Appellant
The United States and The Cherokee Nation

On motion of Mr. Samuel Shellabarger of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that four hours additional time be allowed for the argument of this cases.

The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians
886. vs. Appellant
The United States and The Cherokee Nation.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Tuesday, January 5th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Wilson it is ordered that James P. Foster, Esquire, of New York City be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court on this behalf by Mr. Edwin B. Smith it is ordered that Charles Curie, Esqure, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1886. The Eastern Band of The Cherokee Indians vs. The United States & The Cherokee Nation. Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. S. S. Burdett and Mr. W. A. Phillips, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 6th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John K. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

985. The United States vs. Appellant
De Witt C. Redgrave
and
986. The United States vs. Appellant
Lyman B. Perkins.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the records and printed arguments by Mr. Assistant

Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. J. W. Douglas, of counsel for the appellees.

Everett B. Preston
932. vs. Appellant
Alpheus B. Menard
et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. W. Munday, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. J. F. Farnsworth, of counsel for the appellees.

The London Assurance
Corporation
222. vs. Pff. in Error
Eugene J. & L. Drennen,
et als.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. A. Davis, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. L. J. C. Drennen, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	A. B. Norton, Antonio Joseph Souffe and John Wesley Hedrick	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Texas.
701.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	J. Volney Ripan.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Van H. Manning. in behalf of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and it appearing to the Court that counsel for the plaintiffs in error has filed a motion to dismiss this cause setting forth that the matters in controversy have been compromised and settled, It is, thereupon, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians	The argument of this cause was continued
886.	vs. Appellant	by Mr. W. A. Phillips,
	The United States and The Cherokee Nation.	of counsel for the appellees and concluded

Shellabarger, of counsel for the appellant.

The United States ex rel:
Robert Garrick
1191. vs. Plff. in Error
J. G. Lamar, Secretary &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. W. W. Loughby, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error.

P. M. Oberteuffer et al.
1192. vs. Plffs. in Error
Wm H. Robertson, Collector &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Edwin B. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 7th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. G. Riddle it is ordered that George W. McDonald, Esquire, of Cleveland, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Ashbel H. Barney et als. This cause
221. vs. Appellants was submitted
The Winona & St. Peter R.R.Co. to the consider-
ation of the
Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Gordon E. Cole, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Thomas Wilson, of counsel for the appellee.

Francis Kinesaw Mr. J. W. Doug-
161. vs. Appellant lass, of counsel
John E. Kendall et al. for the appellant
suggested the
death of John E. Kendall, one of the appellees in this cause, and moved the Court to make John Blake Kendall, Executor, and Lilian Kendall Russell and John Blake Kendall, heirs and devisees of said John E. Kendall, parties appellees in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said John Blake Kendall, Executor, and Lilian Kendall Russell and John Blake Kendall as heirs and devisees of John E. Kendall, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellees in this cause.

Francis Dainese ..... On motion of Mr.
161. vs. Appellant ..... J. W. Douglass, of
John Blake Kendall, counsel for the ap-
Executor, et al. ..... pellant, ordered
by the Court that
this cause be, and the same is hereby,
continued until the next term.

P. H. Oberteuffer et al. The argument
117. vs. Plffs. in Error of this cause
Wm H. Robertson, Collector &c. was contin-
ued by Mr.
Edwin B. Smith, of counsel for the plaint-
iffs in error, and Mr. Solicitor General
Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error,
and concluded by Mr. Edwin B. Smith,
of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

973. Robert P. Barclay, Plff. in Error, vs.
E. L. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.
974. Ex parte: William L. Royall (No. 1),
Appt. & Plff. in Error.
975. Ex parte: William L. Royall (No. 2)
Appt. & Plff. in Error.

1009. William H. Sands, Plff. in Error, vs.
E. G. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.
1010. William L. Royall, Plff. in Error, vs.
The State of Virginia.
1011. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

On motion of Mr. William L. Royall, of counsel, it is ordered by the Court that counsel on each side be allowed three hours for the argument of these cases.

973. Robert P. Barry, Plff. in Error, vs.
E. G. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.
974. Ex parte: William L. Royall (No. 1),
Appt. & Plff. in Error.
975. Ex parte: William L. Royall (No. 2),
Appt & Plff. in Error.
1009. William H. Sands, Plff. in Error, vs.
E. G. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.
1010. William L. Royall, Plff. in Error,
vs. The State of Virginia.
1011. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. William L. Royall, of counsel for the appellant and plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. T. A. Ayers, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 8th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Hickey, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that J. A. Spencer, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Springer it is ordered that Adams Goodrich, Esquire, of Jerseyville, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

300. Christian F. Liebke et al. vs. Pfps. in Error / William Thomas. / and / 635. Christian F. Liebke et al. vs. Pfps. in Error / William Thomas.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record in No. 300 and printed arguments by Mr. George M. Stewart, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Upton M. Young, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Chicago Type & Spring Works Company vs. Jesse Spalding, Collector &c. — Pff. in Error

721.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Penny S. Shuman, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Edward M. Higgins et al. vs. James McCrea. — Pffs. in Error

1193.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. C. Bonney and Mr. F. H. Wing of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. C. Burke and Mr. W. B. Sanders, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Sabine Robbins ..... This cause was
1235. vs. Pff in Error submitted to the
The Sabine District consideration of
of Shelby County. the Court, under
the 20th rule, on
the record and printed arguments by
Mr. Luke E. Wright, of counsel for the
plaintiff in error, and Mr. S. P. Walker,
of counsel for the defendant in error.

John Coleman et al. Mr. Edward
1165. vs. Plffs. in Error Branch Cow-
John W. Allen and John an, of coun-
A. Shepley, Charles Par- sel for the
sons & John F. Gibbons, defendants
Trustees &c. ..... in error, sug-
gested to the
Court the resignation of John F. Gibbons
as a Trustee under the will of Wm M. Mc-
Pherson, deceased, and also the death of
John A. Shepley, one of said Trustees, and
moved the Court that the cause proceed in
the names of John W. Allen, and Charles
Parsons, sole surviving Trustee under the
will of William M. McPherson, deceased,

as the parties defendants in error.
Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that this cause proceed in the names of John W. Allen, and Charles Parsons, Sole Surviving Trustee under the will of Wm M. McPherson, deceased, as the parties defendants in error.

546. William J. Pollock, Collector of Internal Revenue and William Irwin, Junior, Deputy Collector of Internal Revenue vs. The Philadelphia & Reading Railroad Company. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William J. Pollock, Collector of Internal Revenue &c William Irwin, Junior, & John F. Cline, Deputy Collector of Internal Revenue

547. vs. Appellants

The Fidelity Insurance Trust and Safe Deposit Company, Trustee

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William J. Pollock, Collector of Internal Revenue

548. vs. Appellant

The Philadelphia & Reading Railroad Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, & it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

973. Robert P. Barry, Plff. in Error, vs. E. L. Edmunds, Treas'r
974. Ex parte: Wm L. Royall. (No 1), Appt vs Plff. in Error.
975. Ex parte: Wm L. Royall (No 2), Appt vs Plff. in Error.
1009. Wm K. Sands, Plff. in Error, vs. E. L. Edmunds, Treasurer etc
1010. Wm L. Royall, Plff. in Error, vs. The State of Virginia
1011. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error, vs. William Taylor.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Walter A. Staples, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. D. A. Chamberlain, of counsel for the appellant and plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

VOLU

4

JME

9

Monday, January 11th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan — Associate
William B. Woods — Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, it is ordered that Henry W. Seney, Esquire, of Fenton, and Aaron Blackford, Esquire, of Findlay, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, it is ordered that Edmund F. Webb, Esquire, of Waterville, State of Maine, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Hiram L. Brown, Calvin P. Brown 2nd Oliver L. Titus vs. Charles F. Davis 2nd William P. Allen & Frederick J. Allen, Executors of William Allen, deceased.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York.

100.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York, and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the

decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to dismiss the bill with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

John N. Stebbins, Edward G. Judson, Willie Amos Tenney, Sutherland Tenney, and William D. Judson & Amos Tenney, doing business as Joseph E. Young & Company

vs. Appellants

The Town of St. Anne, Kankakee County, Illinois, The Chicago, Kankakee & Vincennes Railroad Company & A. S. Dunham, Receiver of said Railroad Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The United States vs. Morris Spiegel. Plaintiff

Exhn. On a certificate of division in opinion between the Judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and on the points or questions on which the Judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion, and which were certified to this Court for its opinion, agreeably to the act of Congress in such case

made and provided, and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the first, fourth, fifth and eighth questions certified be answered in the negative, and the seventh in the affirmative. No answer is made to the second, third and sixth questions. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

And it is further ordered that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	James J. O'Reilly and James W. Kennedy	Appeal from the Supreme
53.	vs.	Court of the
	James W. Campbell, Fred J. Benson, Herman Luetin and Elizabeth Dudler.	Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

James K. Johnson, William G. Caro, Executor of E. G. Caro, deceased, Matilda C. Duvall and Irene C. Williams
vs.
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff and ex officio Administrator de bonis non of the estate of James Rushton, deceased.

254. Pffs. in Error

On Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George S. Wells, Samuel Meyerson, Barbara Wells (Intervenor) and William J. Caro, Executor of E. J. Caro, deceased, M. C. Duvall and Irene C. Williams (Intervenors and Warrantors) ... In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.

657. vs. Plffs. in Error

Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff and ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida, and was argued by

counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Otto Goldsticker and William J. Caro, Executor of E. J. Caro, deceased, M. C. Duval and Irene C. Williams (Intervenors)	In Error to Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.
658.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff and ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in

this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	George S. Wells, N. Nichols, (Barbara Wells (Intervenor) and William J. Caro, deceased, M. C. Duval & Irene C. Williams (Intervenors and Warrantors)	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida
659.	vs.	
	Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff and ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

George S. Wells, Mark Fuller, Barbara Wells (Intervenor) and William J. Caro, Executor of E. J. Caro, deceased, M. C. Duval & Irene C. Williams (Intervenors & Warrantors) ... In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.

660. vs. Plffs. in Error
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff. & ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George S. Wells, John White, Barbara Wells (Intervenor) v. Wm. J. Caro, Executor of C. J. Caro, deceased, M. L. Duval v. Irene C. Williams (Intervenors v. Warrantors)

661. vs. Plffs. in Error

Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff v. ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	George S. Wells, John White, Barbara Wells, Intervenor, vs. William J. Caro, Executor of E. J. Caro, deceased, M. B. Duval & Irene B. Williams (Intervenors & Warrantors) vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff & ex officio Administrator de bonis non of James Rushton, deceased.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.
662.	Plffs. in Error	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	John Brancomet al.	On considera-
153	vs. Appellants	tion of the mo-
	John W. Wood.	tion to dis-
		miss in this

cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr Chief Justice Waite.

	The Union Pacific	The motion for a
	Railway Co.	writ of certiorari
1034	vs. Appellant	in this cause was
	The United States	submitted to the
		consideration of the

Court on printed arguments by Mr. J. M. Wilson, Mr. J. F. Dillon & Mr. Sidney Bartlett, in support of the same, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, in opposition thereto.

	George Pierce	In Error to the
295	vs. Pltf. in Error	Circuit Court of the
	Arthur B. Roser.	United States for the
		District of South

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Charles Richardson Miles, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

309. The City Council of Charleston vs. Henry D. Sedgwick. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Charles Richardson Miles, in behalf of counsels, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Johnson Hagood, Comptroller General &c. vs. J. P. Southern & J. P. Low, Assignees &c. and Johnson Hagood, Comptroller General &c. vs. Amos D. Williams.

108. 109. Appellants

Mr. W. A. McKenney, in behalf of certain parties interested in the questions involved in these cases moved the Court for leave to file a printed argument herein. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

The District of Columbia vs. Laurence E. Gannon.

1180. Plff. in Error

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court by Mr. S. S. Henkle and Mr. John F. Ennis, in support of the same, and Mr. A. G. Riddle in opposition thereto. A motion to advance this cause was also submitted by Mr. A. G. Riddle, in support of the same.

48.	William Craig vs. Appellant Thomas Leitensdorfer.	The argument of the motion for a rule to show cause why the terms of

the stipulation to reverse the decree in this cause, filed at the last term, should not be carried out was commenced by Mr. B. F. Butler, in support of the same, continued by Mr. C. W. Sornor and Mr. Walter H. Smith, in opposition thereto, and concluded by Mr. O. D. Barrett, in support of the same.

58.	John Balch vs. Pff. in Error Elias C. White.	Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed until tomorrow.

108.	Johnson Hagood, Comptroller Gen. et als. vs. Appellants C. P. Southern & J. P. Low, Assignees &c.	Ordered by the Court that these cases be passed until to-morrow.
	and	
109.	Johnson Hagood, Comptroller Gen. et als. vs. Appellants Amos D. Williams.	

110. T. M. Marshall vs. Pltf. in Error Stephen Hubbard. This cause was argued by Mr. T. R. Kudd, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and Mr. F. D. Markham, of counsel for the defendant in error.

177. Carrie A. Clay vs. Appellant Lucy C. Freeman et al. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. L. Nugent, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Frank Johnson and Mr. J. E. McKeighan, of counsel for the appellees.

212. The Union Trust Company of New York: vs. Appellant The Illinois Midland Railway Co. et al.

213. Simon Borg et al. as S. Borg & Company, vs. Appellants The Illinois Midland Railway Co. et al.

William Waring et al. as Waring Brothers

1214. vs. Appellants

The Union Trust Company of New York et al.

and

Stoughton J. Fletcher et all. as S. A. Fletcher & Co.

1225. vs. Appellants

The Illinois Midland Railway Company et al.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th. rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. S. Isham and Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham, of counsel for the Union Trust Company of New York; Mr. E. S. Isham, of counsel for S. Borg & Company; Mr. C. A. Ewing and Mr. J. Coreal, of counsel for the Waring Brothers; Mr. John J. Dyer and Mr. John M. Butler, of counsel for S. A. Fletcher & Company; Mr. N. S. Greene, of counsel for the Wabash, St. Louis & Pacific Railway Co., and Mr. John M. Palmer, of counsel for William J. Sylvester et al.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 12th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Johnson Nagood, Compt. Gen. etal. vs. Appellants
J. P. Southern & J. P. Low, Assignees &c.
and
Johnson Nagood, Compt. Gen. etal. vs. Appellants
Amos D. Williams.

Ordered by the Court that one hour additional time be

allowed counsel on each side for the argument of these cases.

Johnson Hagood, Compt. Gen.l et al.
vs. Appellants
108. J. P. Southern and J. P. Low, Assignees &c.

and

Johnson Hagood, Compt. Gen.l et al.
vs. Appellants
109. Amos D. Williams.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Charles Richardson Miles, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Dennis McMahon, of counsel for the appellees, and Mr. Leroy F. Youmans, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 13th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Ex parte: In the matter of L. D. Brown and Leander Holmes Petitioners. Mr. John H. Mitchell, of counsel for the petitioners, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus.

108. Johnson Hagood, Compt. Gen.l &c. vs. J. P. Southern & J. P. Low, Assignees &c. Appellants

and

109. Johnson Hagood, Compt. Gen.l &c. vs. Amos D. Williams. Appellants

The argument of these cases was concluded by Mr. Leroy F. Youmans, of counsel for the appellants.

58. John Balch vs. Plff. in Error Elias E. White. Pff. in Error

The re-argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John D. McPherson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the defendant in error, and Mr. Calderon Carlisle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 14th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph B. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald it is ordered that Gustavus U. Menzies, Esquire, of Mount Vernon, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

58.	John Catch vs. Plff. in Error Elias E. White.	The reargument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Calderon Carlisle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.
111.	Matthew J. Laughlin vs. Appellant The District of Columbia.	The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Eppa Hunton, of counsel for the appellant.
112.	The Pennsylvania R. R. Co. et al. vs. Appellants The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R. R. Co.	The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. John M. Butler, of counsel for the appellees in No. 112 and appellant

209. The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R.R. Co. vs. The Indianapolis & St. Louis R.R. Co. et als. Appellant

in No. 209, and continued by Mr. Stevenson Burke, of counsel for the appellants in No. 112 and appellees in No. 209.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 15th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George A. Black it is ordered that George Douglass Van Dyke, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. Walson it is ordered that James M. Head, Esquire, of Nashville, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

112. The Pennsylvania R.R. Co. et al. vs. Appellants
The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R.R. Co.
and
209. The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R.R. Co. vs. Appellant
The Indianapolis & St. Louis R.R. Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Stevenson Burke, of counsel for the appellants in No. 112, and Appellees in No. 209 and Mr. Ashley Pond, of counsel for the appellants in No. 112 and appellees in No. 209, and concluded by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for the appellee in No. 112 and appellant in No. 209.

113.	George Hayes vs. Appellant John Seton.	Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

114.	Elijah M. Dunphy vs. Plff. in Error James M. Ryan.	This cause was argued by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. J. K. Toole and Mr. E. W. Toole, of counsel for the defendant in error.

115.	John Coleman, Nannie C. Hodkinson, Alice C. Hogan et al. vs. Plffs. in Error John W. Allen and Charles Parsons, sole surviving trustee under the will of Wm M. McPherson, deceased.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.

This cause being called for hearing in

its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record. Therefore, on motion of Mr. Edward Branch Cowan, of counsel for the defendants in error, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

116. John H. Ming et al. vs. Pltffs. in Error Alexander M. Woolfolk.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. M. F. Morris and Mr. L. M. Saunders, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Alexander M. Woolfolk, in propria persona.

Alfred J. Hodder, Assignee in bankruptcy of John and Frank P. Byrne vs.

117. vs. Appellant

The Kentucky & Great Eastern Ry. Co. et al.

and

The Farmers Loan & Trust Company

118. vs. Appellant

Alfred J. Hodder, Assignee vs. et al.

Mr. C. L. Raison, Jr., of counsel, suggested the removal of Alfred J. Hodder, as Assignee, and the appointment of Rogers Wright, as Assignee, and moved the Court to make said Rogers Wright, as Assignee of John Byrne and Frank P. Byrne, late partners vs., the party appellant in case No. 117, and a party appellee in case No. 118. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said Rogers Wright, as Assignee of John Byrne and Frank P. Byrne, late partners vs., be, and he is hereby, made the party appellant in case No. 117, and a party appellee in case No. 118.

	Rogers Wright, Assignee &c.	Mr. John A.
117.	vs. Appellant	Campbell, of
	The Kentucky & Great Eastern	counsel for the
	Railway Company et al.	Farmers Loan
	and	& Trust Com-
	The Farmers Loan & Trust Co.	pany, filed and
118.	vs. Appellant	submitted to
	Rogers Wright, Assignee &c. et al.	the considera-
		tion of the

Court a motion for such relief as the Court may seem fit to grant in relation to the cost of printing the transcript of the record in these cases.

	Rogers Wright, Assignee &c.	The argument of
117.	vs. Appellant	these cases was
	The Kentucky & Great Eastern	commenced by
	Railway Co. et al.	Mr. C. L. Raison,
	and	Jr., of counsel
	The Farmers Loan & Trust Co.	for Rogers Wright,
118.	vs. Appellant	Assignee &c.
	Rogers Wright, Assignee &c. et al.	

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 18th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Lilian H. Andrews, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. C. Moody it is ordered that Daniel McLaughlin, Esquire, of Deadwood, Territory of Dakota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, it is ordered that E. C. Marshall, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. L. Woods it is ordered that Montague R. Leverson, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. F. Morris it is ordered that George E. Hamilton, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. W. Hackett it is ordered that Joseph W. Fellows, Esquire, of Manchester, State of New Hampshire, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. W. Sanderson it is ordered that Joseph D. Redding, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. Watson it is ordered that Thomas L. Dodd, Esquire, of Nashville, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John W. Coffey, Claimant of one copper still and other articles

92. vs. Plff. in Error

The United States.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

A. J. Coffey, Claimant of ten barrels of apple brandy and other articles
91. vs. Plff. in Error
The United States.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to enter a judgment for the claimant, dismissing the libel, and to take such further proceedings in regard to restoring the property attached as may be proper and not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Monday, January 18th 1886, continued.

	James Gibbons	Appeal from the
1113.	vs. Appellant	Supreme Court
	The District of Columbia.	of the District of
		Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	The United States	Appeal from the
988.	vs. Appellant	Court of Claims.
	John H. Wallace.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by

this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

George P. Hunt		Appeal from the
869. vs.	Appellant	Court of Claims.
The United States.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The London Assurance Cor-		In Error to the
poration		Circuit Court of
1222. vs.	Plff. in Error	the United States
Eugene J. A. Drennen, Frederick		for the District
W. Starr 2d Edward D. Everett,		of Minnesota.
partners as Drennen, Starr & Everett.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Minnesota.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

741. Samuel A. Walling vs. Plff. in Error The Peoble of the State of Michigan. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be,

and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to take such further proceedings as may not be inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

(The Chief Justice did not sit in this case nor take any part in the decision.)

The United States ex rel: Robert Garrick		In Error to the Supreme Court
1191.	vs. Plff. in Error	of the District
Lucius Q. C. Lamar,		of Columbia.
Secretary of the Interior.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs. per Mr. Justice Field.

	John F. Fletcher and William Wesenberg	In Error to the Circuit Court
1157.	vs. Plffs. in Error	of the United
	Hamlet, Bliss & Elliott,	States for the
	Albert B. Hamlet. Ed.	Eastern District
	ward S. Bliss and	of Louisiana.
	Judson G. Elliott.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Eureka Lake and Yuba Canal	In Error to
	Company, (consolidated)	The Supreme
907.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of the
	The Superior Court of Yuba	State of
	County and Philip W. Keyser,	California.
	Judge of said Court.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and on the motion to dismiss or affirm which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Eureka Lake & Yuba Canal Company (consolidated)		In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.
906.	vs. Plff. in Error	
The Superior Court of Yuba County and Philip W. Keyser, Judge of said Court.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and on the motion to dismiss or affirm, which was argued by counsel. On

consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	William Craig ...	... On motion to en-
48.	vs. Appellant	ter judgment in
	Thomas Leitensdorfer.	accordance with
		stipulation.

In this case it is ordered that the decree be reversed in accordance with an agreement to that effect entered into between Mr. Butler, of counsel for appellant, and Mr. Mallum, of counsel for the appellees, now on file, and that the cause be remanded with instructions to dismiss the bill without prejudice, unless the appellee or some or all the persons who claim to have acquired title to the premises in dispute, or some part thereof, from or through him since the suit was begun, within thirty days from the entry hereof, file a

stipulation in the cause, with security to the satisfaction of the Clerk, to pay all costs and expenses accruing on this appeal since the last term that may be finally adjudged against the appellee, including the cost of printing the record and the Clerk's fee for supervising.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Union Pacific Railway Co. vs. Appellant The United States. (1034.) On consideration of the motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of L. D. Brown and Leander Holmes Petitioners. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition

for a writ of mandamus. It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1180. The District of Columbia vs. Lawrence E. Gannon. Plff. in Error. On consideration of the motions to dismiss and to advance in these cases, It is ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss be continued for argument with the case on its merits and that the motion to advance be denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1246. The United States vs. Nagama, alias "Pastan Billy" et al. Plaintiff

and

1248. The United States vs. Jung Ah Lung. Appellant

Mr. Attorney General Garland moved the Court to advance these cases, Whereupon the Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concerning what order to ren-

1197. John P. Delano, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1198. Harvey Mills, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1199. The Taunton Savings Bank, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1200. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank, App't. vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1201. Charles E. Morrison, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1241. John P. Delano, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1242. Harvey Mills, Plff. in Error vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1243. The Taunton Savings Bank, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1244. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1245. Charles E. Morrison, Plff. in Error vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

Mr. A. A. Ranney, of counsel for the appellee and defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance these causes.

	The Louisville Gas Company	The motion for leave to the Clerk
489.	vs. Plff in Error	to issue the man-
	The Citizens Gaslight Company	date in this cause was sub-

mitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. J. G. Carlisle and Mr. S. S. Prentice, in support of the same, and Mr. John Goode in behalf of Mr. John Mason Brown, Mr. William Lindsay and Mr. George M. Davie, in opposition thereto.

	John G. Phelps et al.	On motion of Mr.
807.	vs. Plffs. in Error	George G. Vest, in
	George B. Oaks et al.	behalf of coun-

sel, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d rule.

	William S. Nassall, Trustee	On motion of Mr.
		W. Hallett Phil-
1008.	vs. Appellant	lips, of counsel,
	A. W. Wilcox et al.	leave is hereby

granted the Clerk to issue a mandate in this cause.

123.	J. H. Kirkpatrick vs. Plf. in Error C. C. Baker.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Walter H. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

90.	Daniel McClure vs. Appellant The United States.	Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause being called to the attention

of the Court by Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Board of County Commissioners of Labette County, Kansas, et al. vs. The United States ex rel: Clarence F. Moulton. Pffs. in Error

Mr. B. W. Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, by leave of Court filed additional brief in support of the motion for a writ of supersedeas in this cause.

1249. The United States vs. William Rauscher. Plaintiff

Mr. S. F. Phillips, in behalf of counsel, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Ex parte: In the matter of James N. Carrington, The Toledo National Bank and Henry Probasco, Petitioners. — Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the petitioners, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus.

992. William S. Roberts vs. Philip Reilly. Appellant — On motion of Mr. James M. Johnston, in behalf of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

65. The Winchester & Parbridge Manufacturing Company vs. Edward Creary et al. Plff. in Error — On motion of Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Rogers Wright, Assignee &c.
117. vs. Appellant
The Kentucky & Great Eastern
Railway Company et al.
and
The Farmers Loan & Trust Co.
118. vs. Appellant
Rogers Wright, Assignee &c. et al.

The argument of these causes was continued by Mr. C. L. Raison, Jr., of counsel for Rogers Wright, Assignee &c., Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the Farmers Loan & Trust Company, Mr. Aaron F. Perry, of counsel for the Kentucky & Great Eastern Railway Company, and Mr. C. L. Raison Jr., of counsel for Rogers Wright, Assignee &c.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 19th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Rogers Wright, Assignee &c. The ar-
117. vs. Appellant gument
The Kentucky & Great Eastern Ry. Co. et al of these
The Farmers' and Loan & Trust Company causes
118. vs. Appellant was
Rogers Wright, Assignee &c. et al. concluded by

Mr. C. A. Caison Jr., of counsel for Rogers Wright, Assignee &c.

(Mr. Justice Matthews did not sit on the argument of these cases.)

120. The Phoenix Insurance Co. of Brooklyn vs. The Erie & Western Transportation Co. Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. George Van Dyke, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. George B. Hibbard, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 20th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. J. R. McMillan, it is ordered that Paul Bakewell, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George A. Black it is ordered that Joseph A. Shoudy, Esquire, of New York City be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

William Glasgow, Jr. Commissioner &c. vs. Plff. in Error John Baker et al. — Mr. M. L. Clardy, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a suggestion of a diminution of the record and a motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause.

1190.

The Phoenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn vs. Appellant The Erie & Western Transportation Co. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. George B. Nibard. of counsel for the appellee and concluded by

Mr. George A. Black, of counsel for the appellant.

	The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific Railroad Company	This cause was argued
121.	vs. Plff. in Error	by Mr. E. M.
	Elias S. Dennis, Sheriff &c.	Johnson, of

counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Thomas O. Benton, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	Edward C. Carrigan, Administrator of Helen M. McDonald, dec'd.	Appeal from the Circuit
122.	vs. Appellant.	Court of the
	John Shepard, Henry Norwell and Robert Ferguson as Shepard, Norwell & Co.	United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and the authority of the appellant to dismiss this cause having been filed, Therefore, on

motion of Mr. F. P. Fish, of counsel for the appellant, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

123. John Chapman et al. vs. E. L. Corrigan, Adm'r. &c. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. A. Dickerson, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. F. P. Fish and Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 21st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. G. Isham it is ordered that Thomas F. Tipton, Esquire, of Bloomington, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Henry Childs, Amzi Childs and Dexter Childs	In Error to the Superior Court of Franklin County, State of
369.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	The First National Bank of Peterborough, New Hampshire	

Massachusetts.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. C. C. Conant, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiffs in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Henry Childs, Amzi Childs and Evander F. Stebbins	In Error to the Superior Court of Franklin County, State of
370.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	The First National Bank of Peterborough, New Hampshire.	Massachusetts.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. C. C. Conant, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiffs in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John Shepard et al.
123. vs. Appellants
E. C. Carrigan, Adm't. &c. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. E. A. Dickerson, of counsel for the appellants.

Susie M. Kerr et al.
Executrix &c.
124. vs. Appellants
The South Park Commissioners. This cause was argued by Mr. E. S. Isham, of counsel for the appellants and Mr. M. W. Fuller, of

	Susie M. Kerr et al.	This cause was
1142.	vs. Appellants	argued by Mr.
	The South Park Commissioners	E. S. Isham, of counsel for the appellants and

Mr. H. W. Fuller, of counsel for the appellees.

	John N. Platt, Assignee	Appeal from
	in bankruptcy of	the Circuit
	Franz A. Newman	Court of the
125.	vs. Appellant	United States
	William F. Preston.	for the South-
		ern District

of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Alfred Berry
126. vs. Appellant
Benjamin F. Green.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 22d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Henry W. Hobson, Esquire, of Denver, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Sherman S. Rogers, it is ordered that Edward S. Hatch, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The State of Tennessee vs. Plff. in Error
734. George K. Whitworth, Trustee &c. ... ...
2nd
The State of Tennessee vs. Plff. in Error
735. George K. Whitworth, Trustee &c.

On motion of Mr. J. B. Neiswell, of counsel, leave is hereby granted three counsel to appear and argue these cases on behalf of the plaintiff in error.

934. The State of Tennessee vs. Plff. in Error George K. Whitworth, Trustee &c.

and

935. The State of Tennessee vs. Plff. in Error George K. Whitworth, Trustee &c.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. S. Watson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Edward N. East, of counsel for the defendant in error in No. 935, Mr. J. B. Keistell, of counsel for the plaintiff in error in No. 935, and Mr. Ed. Baxter, of counsel for the defendant in error in No. 934, and concluded by Mr. James M. Head, of counsel for the plaintiff in error in No. 934.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday. January 25th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Evarts it is ordered that George N. Adams, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that George Bethune Adams, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that Donally F. Trigg and John A. Buchanan, Esquires, of Abingdon, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

1192. Reece M. Oberteuffer et al. vs. William H. Robertson, Collector of the Port of New York. Pffs. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to grant a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

985. The United States vs. De Witt C. Redgrave. Appellant. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	The United States	Appeal from the
926.	vs. Appellant	Court of Claims.
	Lyman B. Perkins.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Elijah M. Dunphy	In Error to the
114.	vs. Plff. in Error	Supreme Court
	James M. Ryan.	of the Territory
		of Montana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment

of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

E. S. Coe		In Error to the Supreme
43. vs. Plff. in Error		Court of the State of
The Town of Errol.		New Hampshire.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New Hampshire and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Iron Silver Mining		In Error to the
Company		Circuit Court
107. vs. Plff. in Error		of the United
Walter S. Cheesman,		States for the
Walter S. Clarke and		District of
Thomas Bennett.		Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

111. Matthew J. Laughlin vs. The District of Columbia. Appellant. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of James H. Carrington, The Toledo National Bank and Henry Probasco. Petitioners. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus,

It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1197. John S. Delano, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1198. Harvey Mills, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1199. The Taunton Savings Bank, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1200. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank, Appt. vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1201. Charles E. Morrison, Appellant, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1241. John S. Delano, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1242. Harvey Mills, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1243. The Taunton Savings Bank, Plff. in Error,
Linus M. Price, Receiver v&c.

1244. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank, Plff. in Error,
vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver v&c.

1245. Charles E. Morrison, Plff. in Error, vs.
Linus M. Price, Receiver v&c.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cases assigned for argument on the second day of the next term.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1246. The United States vs. Kagama, alias Pactah Billy et al. Plaintiff

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument at the head of the call on the first Monday in March next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	On consideration of
1248.	vs. Appellant	the motion to advance
	Jung Ah Lung.	this cause, It is now

here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	On consideration
1249.	vs. Plaintiff	of the motion to
	William Rauscher.	advance this cause,

It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the cause assigned for argument on the first Monday in March next after No. 1246.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	William Glasgow Jr.,	On considera-
	Commissioner &c.	tion of the sug-
964.	vs. Plaintiff in Error	gestion of a
	John Baker et als.	diminution of

the record and a motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court

that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted without prejudice to the right of the defendants in error to move to strike out the new matter after the return is made.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Louisville Gas Company ... Pltff. in Error
vs.
489. The Citizens Gas Light Company ...

On consideration of the motion to grant leave to the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied. It is further ordered that, unless cause be shown to the contrary, this cause will be included in an order to be made on Monday next allowing mandates to issue in all cases decided before January 11.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Pennsylvania Railroad Co. et al. vs. The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R.R. Co. and The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R.R. Co. vs. The Indianapolis & St. Louis Railway Co.

112. 209. Appellants / Appellant

It is ordered by the Court that counsel in these cases be allowed to file printed arguments on the question of the plea to the jurisdiction of the Circuit Court made by The Indianapolis & St. Louis Railway Company, together with copies of the statutes the consideration of which is involved, at any time before February 20th next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chief Justice announced that the Court would adjourn on Monday, February 1st, until Monday, March 1st, next.

The Kansas City, Lawrence & Southern Kansas Railroad Co. vs. Benjamin Harris Brewster, Attorney General &c. — Appellant — Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee, filed and submitted

1117. to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause, which was opposed by Mr. Walter H. Smith, in behalf of counsel for the appellant.

The Kentucky Central R.R. Co. vs. The County of Bourbon. — Plff. in Error — Mr. J. G. Carlisle, in behalf of counsel

1098. for the plaintiff in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

George T. Otis vs. The Oregon Steamship Co. — Plff. in Error — The motion to

1250. dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George

H. Adams, in support of the same, and Mr. John Sessions and Mr. John R. Abney, in opposition thereto.

615. The Liverpool & Great Western Steam Co., App't.,
vs. The Phoenix Insurance Co.

616. The Liverpool & Great Western Steam Co., App't.,
vs. The Insurance Co. of North America.

The motion for a writ of certiorari in these cases was submitted to the consideration of the Court by Mr. George Bethune Adams, in support of the same, and Mr. Wilhelmus Mynderse, in opposition thereto.

The Crescent City Live Stock Landing & Slaughter House Company et al.
1247. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Butchers Union Slaughter House & Live Stock Landing Company.

Mr. William A. Maury called the attention of the Court to the motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause, and on

his motion it is ordered by the Court that

the submission of said motion be, and the same is hereby, postponed until the first Monday in March next.

D. B. Baldwin vs. Plff. in Error Morton Marye et al. — Mr. W. L. Royall, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause and also a motion that the cause may be taken as submitted on a brief of the plaintiff in error this day filed to be considered with cases Nos. 973, 974, 975, 1009, 1010 and 1011 now under consideration by the Court.

1255.

George F. Akers vs. Plff. in Error Albert Akers. — Mr. B. Watson, of counsel for the defendant in error, suggested the death of George F. Akers, the plaintiff in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Baxter Smith, Executor of said George F. Akers, the party plaintiff in error in this cause, by stipulation of counsel. Whereupon,

1442.

It is ordered by the Court that Baxter Smith, Executor of George F. Okons, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party plaintiff in error in this cause, per stipulation of counsel.

803. The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company vs. Gus B. Ohle. Pltff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. M. Hubbard and Mr. Charles A. Clark, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. S. S. Burdett, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Edward M. Stickney, William N. Stickney, George Stickney and Carrie Stickney vs. Jeannie K. Stickney. Appellants

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

J.S.b.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. S. S. Henkle, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause without prejudice, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs, without prejudice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Edward M. Stickney et al.		On motion
958.	vs.	Appellants	of Mr. S. S.
	Jeannie K. Stickney.		Henkle, of
			counsel for

the appellants, leave is hereby granted him to withdraw the original transcript of the record from the files of this Court upon leaving with the Clerk a receipt therefor.

	Alfred Mitchell		Appeal from the Cir-
173.	vs.	Appellant	cuit Court of the
	Seth Wheeler.		United States for
			the District of

Kansas.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Wash. F. Lothrop Petitioner. Mr. A. L. Parker, of counsel for the petitioner, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus and for a rule to show cause why said writ should not issue.

Mr. Walter H. Smith asked that in case leave to file the petition be granted he be allowed to file a brief in opposition to the petition.

4, Original. The State of Alabama vs. Isaac S. Burr, Samuel A. Carleton, John De Merritt, John C. Stanton and Daniel T. Stanton } Plaintiff } At Law.

The demurrers to the plaintiff's declaration in this cause having been sustained, & now, on motion of Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the defendants, It is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the plaintiff take nothing by its suit and that the defendants go hence without day and recover against said plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

955. The City of Waterville vs. Palius Van Slyke. Pltff. in Error

On motion of Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted to submit this cause pursuant to stipulation on file.

The City of Waterville		This cause was sub.
955. vs.	Plff. in Error	mitted to the consid.
Baltus Van Slyke.		eration of the Court
		under the 20th rule,

on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. Stillings, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. S. E. Brown, of counsel for the defendant in error.

C. P. Pickard, Comptroller &c.		The argument
933. vs.	Plff. in Error	of these
The Pullman Southern Car Co.		causes was
and		commenced
The State of Tennessee		by Mr. J.
936. vs.	Appellant	B. Keiskell,
The Pullman Southern Car Co.		of counsel
		for the

plaintiff in error and appellant, and continued by Mr. O. A. Lochrane, of counsel for the defendant in error and appellee, and Mr. Thomas L. Dodd, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve

Tuesday, January 26th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Morrow it is ordered that Robert Y. Hayne, Esquire, of San Mateo and Charles L. Weller and James P. Langhorne, Esquires, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and

counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

George L. Rand Jr. vs Martha A. Walker. Appellant

1135. This cause having been heretofore, on motion of counsel for the appellant, advanced under the provisions of the 32d Rule, It is now here ordered by the Court, on motion of Mr. E. J. Isham, of counsel for the appellee, that the briefs be filed in this cause on the part of the appellant on or before the 20th day of February, and on the part of the appellee on or before the first day of March next, and that the cause be submitted on that day to the consideration of the Court.

P. S. Pickard, Comptroller &c. vs. The Pullman Southern Car Co. Plff. in Error

933. The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. E. J. Isham, of

and

The State of Tennessee vs. The Pullman Southern Car Co. Appellant

936.

counsel for the defendant in error and appellee, and concluded by Mr. S. A. Champion, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellant.

The Union Pacific Railway Co. vs. The United States — Appellant

and

The United States vs. The Union Pacific Railway Co. — Appellant

These cases were argued by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the Union Pacific Railway Company, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the United States.

Joseph L. Mackin et al. vs. The United States — Pl'ffs. in Error

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, re-assigned for argument on the first Monday in March next, after No. 1249.

464. The County of Santa Clara, Plff. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Ry. Co.

619. The County of San Bernardino, Plff. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Ry. Co.

620. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Northern Railway Co.

621. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Central Pacific Ry. Co.

622. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific R.R. Co.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. D. M. Delmas, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 27th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Winfield S. Keyes et al. Pltfs. in Error
vs.
James B. Grant et al.

Mr. James Grant, in behalf of counsel for the defendants in error;

suggested a diminution of the record and moved the Court to award a writ of certiorari in this cause. Whereupon, It is

ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, awarded, subject to exceptions on the part of the plaintiffs in error on the filing of the return to said writ.

464. The County of Santa Clara, Plff. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Railway Co.
619. The County of San Bernardino, Plff. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Railway Co.
620. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Northern Railway Co.
621. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Central Pacific Railway Co.
622. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Railway Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. D. M. Delmas, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. S. W. Sanderson, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 28th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Morrow it is ordered that James E. Murphy, Esquire, of Crescent City, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counselor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

1101. The Sun Mutual Ins. Co. vs. The U. S. ex rel: Judah Hart. Plff. in Error

and

1123. The Board of Liquidation of the City of New Orleans vs. The U. S. ex rel: Judah Hart. Plff. in Error

Mr. William A. Maury, in behalf of counsel, moved the Court for leave to Folsom Brothers to intervene in these cases and for leave to their counsel to file a printed argument in these cases. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

464. The County of Santa Clara, Plff. in Error, vs. The Southern Pacific R'y. Co.

619. The County of San Bernardino, Plff. in Error, vs. The Southern Pacific Ry. Co.

620. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error, vs. The Northern Railway Co.

621. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error, vs. The Central Pacific Railway Co.

622. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Railway Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. S. W. Sanderson, and Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the defendants in error, and Mr. A. L. Rhodes, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 29th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

The United States		On motion of Mr.
988. vs.	Appellant	C. C. Lancaster, of
John H. Wallace.		counsel for the ap-
		pellee, leave is here-

by granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

1611 The County of Santa Clara, Plff. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Ry. Co.

619. The County of San Bernardino, Plff. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Ry. Co.

620. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Northern Railway Co.

621. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Central Pacific R'y. Co.

622. The People of the State of California, Plffs. in Error,
vs. The Southern Pacific Ry. Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. A. L. Rhodes, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. William H. Evarts, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. E. C. Marshall, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Leave was granted Mr. Edmunds, of counsel for the defendants in error, to file an additional brief on or before February 4th and to counsel for the plaintiffs in error to file a reply thereto on or before February 27th. Leave was also granted counsel on both sides to file printed copies of their oral arguments.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, February 1st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles H. Reed it is ordered that William H. Buttner, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. A. Chamberlain it is ordered that Isaac H. Maynard, Esquire, of Stamford, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. L. E. Payson it is ordered that Lo. Stuart Beattie, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Chicago Tyre & Spring Works Company	In Error to the
921.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	Jesse Spalding, Collector	of the United
	of Customs for the Port and	States for the
	District of Chicago.	Northern Dis-
		trict of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern

District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

973. Robert P. Barry vs. Plff. in Error
E. J. Edmunds, Treasurer of Fauquier County, Virginia. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby,

remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to take such further proceedings therein as the law requires, and in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

A. G. Schaffin
vs. In Error to The Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia.
William Taylor.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgments of the said Supreme Court of Appeals and of the Circuit Court of the County of Henrico, State of Virginia, in this cause be, and the same are hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court of the County of Henrico, State of Virginia, with instructions for further proceedings to be had

therein in accordance with law and in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

William L. Royall		In Error to the Su
1010. vs.	Plff. in Error	preme Court of Appeals
The State of Virginia.		of the State of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia, and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgments of the said Supreme Court of Appeals and of the Hustings Court of the City of Richmond, State of Virginia, in this cause be, and the same are hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Hustings Court of the City of Richmond, State of Virginia, with instructions for further proceedings to be had therein in accordance with law and in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

1009 William H. Lands vs. Pltff. in Error
E. J. Edmunds, Treasurer of the County of Fauquier, Virginia.

In Error to the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgments of the said Supreme Court of Appeals and of the Circuit Court of the County of Fauquier, State of Virginia, in this cause be, and the same are hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court of the County of Fauquier, State of Virginia, with instructions for further proceedings to be had therein in accordance with law and in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	John Shepard Henry Norwell and Robert Ferguson. as Shepard, Norwell & Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachu. setts.
123.	vs. Appellants	
	Edward C. Carrigan, Administrator of Helen M. McDonald, deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, The same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

	John N. Ming and John Kinna	In Error to the
116.	vs. Pltfs. In Error	Supreme Court
	Alexander M. Woolfolk.	of the Territory

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana and was argued by counsel. On considera-tion whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

James C. Jones and Jacob Weil, partners as Jones & Weil vs. Plffs. in Error
88. Benjamin F. Simpson.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Dis-trict of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit

Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

James B. Jones and Jacob Weil, partners as Jones & Weil
89. vs. Plffs. in Error
George Noisington.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs, And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

Edward A. Boyd and George A. Boyd, claimants of thirty five cases of plate glass		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
983. vs.	Plffs. in Error	
The United States.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

	The Northern Pacific Railroad Company vs. Plff in Error Clayton D. Herbert.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakotas.
87.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakotas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Blatchford
" " Bradley
" " Matthews
" " Gray.

	Christian Liebke and Charles H. Schrage vs. Plffs. in Error William Thomas.	In Error to the St. Louis Court of Appeals in the State of Missouri.
300.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the St. Louis Court of Appeals, in the State of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said St. Louis Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said St. Louis Court of Appeals with instructions for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	Christian F. Liebke and Charles A. Schrage	In Error to the Supreme Court
635.	vs. Pltffs. in Error	of the State of
	William Thomas.	Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court of the State of Missouri, with instructions for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

William Harlow vs. Pltf. in Error Henry Memory. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

1063.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit

Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George A. Ellis
1250. vs. Plff. in Error
The Oregon Steamship Company.

In Error to the Court of Appeals of the State of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, and on a motion to dismiss which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Kentucky Central Railroad Co.	On considera.
1098.	vs. Plff. in Error	tion of the mo.
	The County of Bourbon.	tion to advance
		this cause, It
		is ordered by

the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Liverpool & Great Western	On consider.
	Steam Company	ation of the
615.	vs. Appellant	suggestion
	The Phoenix Insurance Co.	of a dimin.
	and	ution of the
	The Liverpool & Great Western	record and
	Steam Company	a motion
616.	vs. Appellant	for a writ
	The Insurance Co. of North	of certiorari
	America.	in these
		cases, It is

ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, awarded without prejudice to the right of the appellees to move to strike out the new matter on the filing of the return and subject to such further orders as to costs as may appear to be proper.

The Kansas City, Lawrence & Southern Kansas R.R. Co.
1147. vs. Appellant
Benjamin Harris Brewster, Attorney General rel.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after the cases already assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

D. B. Baldwin
1255. vs. Plff. in Error
Morton Marye et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Order.

It is ordered by the Court that mandates issue in all cases decided prior to January 1st 1886, when applied for, except

where a petition for rehearing is pending and cases docketed and dismissed under the 9th rule.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company		Mr. O. B. Henderson, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error,
307.	vs. Pltf. in Error	
John M. O'Neill.		

suggested the death of John M. O'Neill the defendant in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make E. J. McLaughlin, Executor of said John M. O'Neill, the party defendant in error. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that E. J. McLaughlin, Executor of John M. O'Neill, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party defendant in error in this cause.

The Consolidated Middlings Purifier Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern Dis.
483.	vs. Appellant	
The Case Manufacturing		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Rodney Mason, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

985. The United States vs. Appellant De Witt C. Redgrave

and

986. The United States vs. Appellant Lyman B. Perkins.

On motion of Mr. J. H. Douglass, of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

1172. Henry S. Little, Receiver vs. Pltff. in Error James Hackett.

On motion of Mr. W.A. McKenney, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourn

Monday, March 1st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Morrow it is ordered that Horace G. Platt, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A.A. Ranney, it is ordered that J. Lyman, Esquire, of Council Bluffs, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. B. Edmondson it is ordered that E. F. Adams, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, and James P. Clarke, Esquire, of Helena, State of Arkansas, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that John B. Luce, Esquire, of Ft. Smith, State of Arkansas, and E. W. Grant, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

Rogers Wright, Assignee in bankruptcy of John Byrne and Frank P. Byrne, late partners doing business as The Kentucky & Great Eastern Railroad Construction Company

117. vs. Appellants

The Kentucky & Great Eastern Railway Company, The Farmers Loan & Trust Co. et al.

and

The Farmers Loan & Trust Company

118. vs. Appellant

Rogers Wright, Assignee in bankruptcy &c. et als.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky on separate appeals, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said

Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs to the appellee on each appeal, subject to the condition that the Farmers Loan and Trust Company recover of Rogers Wright, Assignee &c., one half of the costs of printing the record and one half of the Clerk's fee for supervising said printing.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

(Mr. Justice Matthews did not sit in these cases or take any part in their decision.)

J. C. Pickard, Comptroller of the Treasury of the State of Tennessee		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.
933. vs.	Plff. in Error	
The Pullman Southern Car Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is

now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Tennessee.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

736. The State of Tennessee vs. The Pullman Southern Car Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

R. Van Brocklin and J. F. Stacy
vs. Pltfs. in Error
Butler A. Anderson, Commissioner of Revenue; The State of Tennessee; The County of Shelby; The Taxing District of Shelby County and Lawrence Lamb, Receiver and Back Tax Collector of the City of Memphis. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	The Phoenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.
120.	vs. Appellant	
	The Erie & Western Transportation Company.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley.

	The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific Railroad Company	In Error to the Supreme
121.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of the
	Elias S. Dennis, Sheriff of Madison Parish Louisiana.	State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Field
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller &
Mr. Justice Bradley.

Everett B. Preston vs Alpheus B. Manard and James W. Manard. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

732.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	Johnson Hagood, Comptroller General of the State of South Carolina, R. S. Porcher, County Treasurer of Oconee County, and Samuel E. Moore, County Treasurer of Anderson County	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States the District of South Carolina.
108.	vs. Appellants	
	John P. Southern and James P. Low, Assignees of the Blue Ridge Railway Company, in South Carolina, a Bankrupt.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr Justice Matthews.

Johnson Hagood, Comptroller General of the State of South Carolina, S.L. Leaphart, Treasurer of the State of South Carolina, P.C. Gaillard, County Treasurer of Charleston County, W.N. Gibbes, County Treasurer of Richland County, N.F. Alexander, County Treasurer of Oconee County & Wm McGukin, County Treasurer of Anderson County, Appellants

109. vs.

Amos D. Williams.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court

	Antonio Tua	In Error
1090.	vs. Plff. in Error	to the
	Oliver Barrieres, Testamentary	Circuit
	Executor of Antoine Barrieres,	Court of
	deceased. Emilie L. Barrieres,	the United
	Charles J. Barrieres, 2nd & M.	States for
	Seiras, Syndic of Emilie L. Bar-	the East-
	rieres 2nd Charles J. Barrieres, in-	ern Dis-
	dividually and as surviving mem-	trict of
	bers of the firm of A. Barrieres	Louisiana.
	& Sons, Intervenors.	

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Edward M. Higgins & al Fred. F. Gilbert, partners doing business as Higgins & Gilbert		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.
1193. vs.	Plffs. in Error	
James McCreal.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause, in favor of the defendant on the cause of action alleged in the plaintiffs' petition be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, and the judgment in favor of the defendant on the cause of action set up in his answer by way of counter claim be, and the same is hereby, reversed, costs in this Court to be paid by the said defendant; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter

a judgment for the plaintiffs and against the defendant on the counter-claim of the latter.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Ex parte: William L. Royall. (No. 1) — Appeal from and In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

974. App't. & Plff. in Error.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Ex parte: In the matter of William L. Royall (No. 2). — Appeal from and In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for

975. App't. & Plff. in Error.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Ex parte: In the matter of William L. Royall, Original — Petitioner. } Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.

3,

On consideration of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus and of the argument of counsel thereupon had in support of the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	The Leather Manufacturers National Bank	In Error to the Circuit
U.S.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of the
	Edmond Charles Morgan, William Thomas Berners, and Thomas Percy Fox.	United States for the Southern Dist. of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial and for further proceedings in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

	John Patch	In Error to the Su-
58.	vs. Pltff. in Error	preme Court of the Dis-
	Elias E. White.	trict of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Woods

" " Matthews

" " Gray and

" " Blatchford

	Peter Graffam &c.	Appeal from
	Herbert F. Dobbs	the Circuit Court
79.	vs. Appellants	of the United
	Christine J. Burgess	States for the
		District of Mas-

sachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Miller

" " Woods

" " Matthews

" " Gray

886. The Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians vs. The United States and The Cherokee Nation, commonly called The Cherokee Nation West. — Appellant — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Field.

1221. Ashbel H. Barney, Jesse Hoyt, Alfred M. Hoyt et al. vs. The Winona & St. Peter Railroad Company. — Appellants — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a new decree in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Joseph Reynolds and John D. Morrissey vs. The Iron Silver Mining Company. Plffs. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.

No 3.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the District of Colorado and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to set aside the verdict and grant a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The City of Waterville, Marshall County, Kansas vs. Pltff. in Error
955. Baltis Van Slyke.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and

was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The State of Tennessee		In Error to the
735.	vs. Pltff. in Error	Circuit Court of
George R. Whitworth,		the United States
Trustee of Davidson		for the Middle Dis-
County, Tennessee.		trict of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The State of Tennessee	In Error to the
934.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court of the
	George S. Whitworth,	United States for
	Trustee of Davidson	the Middle District
	County, Tennessee.	of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Chicago & Northwestern	In Error to
	Railway Company	the Circuit
803.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court of the
	Gus B. Ohle.	United States
		for the South-

ern District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Memphis and Little Rock Railroad Company (as reorganized) vs. The Southern Express Company. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

22

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dissolve the injunction and, after adjusting the accounts between the parties for business done while the injunction was in force and decreeing the payment of any amounts that may be found to be due, to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Miller
" Field

(Mr. Justice Matthews took no part in the decision of this cause.)

26. The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company vs. The Southern Express Company. Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dissolve the injunction, and, after adjusting the accounts between the parties for business done while the injunction was in force, and decreeing the payment of any amounts that may be found to be due, to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Miller and
Mr. Justice Field.

(Mr. Justice Matthews took no part in the decision of this cause.)

	The Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.
55.	vs.	
	William B. Dinsmore, as President of the Adams Express Company and a shareholder therein.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dissolve the injunction and, after adjusting the accounts between the parties for business done while the injunction was in force and decreeing the payment of any amounts that may be found to be due, to dismiss

the bill.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Miller and

" " Field.

(Mr. Justice Matthews took no part in the decision of this cause.)

Ex parte: In the matter of Wash F. Lothrop
vs. Original — Petitioner.

On consideration of the motion for leave to file the petition of Wash F. Lothrop for a writ of habeas corpus, it is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Wash F. Lothrop
vs. Original — Petitioner.

On Petition for a writ of Habeas Corpus.

On consideration of the petition of Wash F. Lothrop.

It is now here ordered by the Court that cause be shown by the Sheriff of Cochise

County, Territory of Arizona, before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia, on the first Monday in April next, at twelve o'clock noon of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of habeas corpus should not issue as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Henry Ziegler vs. Pff. in Error Theron R. Hopkins. 1240. The motion of Albert S. Rosenbaum to dismiss this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. A. H. Garland and Mr. D. M. Delmas, in support of the same, and Mr. E. F. Preston, Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, Mr. J. M. Wilson and Mr. P. G. Galpin, in opposition thereto.

The Town of Urbana, Illinois vs. Pff. in Error Mary J. A. Seymour. 452. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John Goode, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

654. James K. Johnson et al. Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

655. George S. Wells et al. Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

658. Otto Goldsticker et al. Plffs in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

659. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

660. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

661. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

662. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

On motion of Mr. John Goode, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

Ex parte: In the matter of Wash F. Lothrop — Original — Petitioner. — On motion of Mr. Walter A. Smith leave is hereby granted him to file a printed argument in this case in behalf of certain interested parties.

80. The Bridgewater Iron Co. vs. Herman Lissberger. — Plff. in Error — On motion of Mr. A. A. Ramney, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, It is ordered by the Court that the judgment entered in this cause by this Court on December 14th 1885 be, and the same is hereby, rescinded.

80. The Bridgewater Iron Co. vs. Herman Lissberger. — Plff. in Error — Mr. A. A. Ramney, in behalf of counsel for

the defendant in error suggested the death of Herman Lissberger, the defendant in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Lazarus Lissberger, Administrator of said Herman Lissberger, the party defendant in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said Lazarus Lissberger, Administrator of Herman Lisberger, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party defendant in error in this cause.

	The Bridgewater Iron Co.	In Error to the
80.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	Lazarus Lissberger,	of the United
	Administrator of Herman	States for the
	Lissberger, deceased.	District of
		Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this

Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Sol Star & Seth Bullock copartners as Star & Bullock vs. Appellants

1274. Benjamin N. Warder, Asa S. Bushnell and John J. Glessner, copartners as Warder, Bushnell & Glessner.

Mr. S. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

Supreme Court of Dakota Territory.

I, James A. Haight, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Dakota Territory, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein Benjamin N. Warder, Asa S. Bushnell and John J. Glessner, copartners as Warder, Bushnell and Glessner, were plaintiffs and

respondents and Col Star and Seth Bullock copartners as Star and Bullock were defendants and appellants a final judgment was rendered by said Supreme Court on the 15th day of October A. D. 1884, in favor of said plaintiffs and respondents and against said Star and Bullock defendants and appellants and that on the eighth day of January A. D. 1885, said appellants Star and Bullock served and filed their appeal, in accordance with the rules of this Court, in my office, appealing from said judgment to the Supreme Court of the United States.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Supreme Court at Bismarck Dakota Territory this 10th day of February, A. D. 1886.

(Seal)

James A. Haight, Clerk."

and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here

ordered and adjudged by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1263. Daniel K. Stewart vs. Plff. in Error The State of Virginia). On motion of Mr. William L. Royall, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced, pursuant to the 32d Rule.

Mr. William L. Royall submitted to the consideration of the Court an application for a special rule to apply to cases coming from the State of Virginia that involve the constitutionality of legislation of that State touching tax receivable coupons.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phoenix Insurance Company, of London, England, The Guardian Fire & Life Insurance Company, of London, England, The North British Mercantile Insurance Company, of London, England and Edinburg, Scotland, and The Commercial Union Assurance Company of London, England

Y. Original — Petitioners.

On motion of Mr. George F. Hoar, leave is hereby granted to file a petition for a writ of mandamus.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phoenix Insurance Company of London, England, The Guardian Fire & Life Insurance Company, of London, England, The North British Mercantile Insurance Company, of London, England and Edinburg, Scotland, and The Commercial Union Assurance Company, of London, England

N. Original — Petitioners

On petition for a Writ of Mandamus.

On consideration of the petition of the

Phoenix Insurance Company, of London, England, et al.

It is now here ordered by the Court that cause be shown by the judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Vermont, before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia, on the twenty second day of March instant, at twelve o'clock noon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of mandamus should not issue as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1083 J. W. Jefferson, Trustee &c. et al. vs. John B. Driver, Adm'r. &c. Appellants

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John S. Harnot, Mr. G. C. Tappan, Mr. D. E. Myers and Mr. W. M. Ewell, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. E. F. Adams, of counsel for the appellee.

	Walter H. Smith	On motion of Mr.
29.	vs. Appellant	S. S. Henkle, of
	Daisy P. D. Black,	counsel for the ap-
	Trustee.	pellant, leave is
		hereby granted

the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

	R. H. Paul	Appeal from the Su-
893.	vs. Appellant	preme Court of the
	H. B. Cullum.	Territory of Arizona.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause without prejudice, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs, without prejudice to the right of the appellant to pray another appeal.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

R. N. Paul
873. vs. Appellant
N. B. Cullum.

On motion of Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The Fidelity Insurance, Trust & Safe Deposit Company,
1236. vs. Appellant
Collis P. Huntington.

On motion of Mr. W. F. Mattingly, in behalf of counsel, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32nd Rule.

The Fidelity Insurance, Trust & Safe Deposit Company
1236. vs. Appellant
Collis P. Huntington.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 32nd Rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. R. C. Dale, Mr. E. W. Kittredge and Mr. John C. Bullitt, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. R. A. Harrison, Mr. W. M. Ramsey, Mr.

Lawrence Maxwell Jr., and Mr. Mortimer Matthews, of counsel for the appellee.

William Craig
118. vs. Appellant
Thomas Leitensdorfer.

On motion of Mr. Walter H. Smith, leave is hereby granted Mr. A. T. Britton, Mr. J. H. McGowan and Mr. A. B. Browne to withdraw their appearance as counsel for Mrs. Leann S. King in this cause.

The Choctaw Nation
1273. vs. Appellant
The United States.

Mr. F. P. Cuppy, of counsel for the appellant, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

John C. Phelps et al.
807. vs. Plffs. in Error
George B. Oaks et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 32d rule on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. B. Ladd.

of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Charles W. Horner, of counsel for the defendants in error.

552. Morgan's Louisiana & Texas Railroad & Steamship Company vs. Plffs. in Error The Board of Health of the State of Louisiana et al.

Mr. Charles W. Horner, of counsel for the defendants in error,

submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

705. John Sloane et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Marcus Anderson.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. M. Flower, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. M. P. Wing and Mr. J. Hubley Ashton, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Baxter Smith, Executor of George F. Akers, deceased
1112. vs. Plff. in Error
Albert Akers.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 32d rule, on a printed argument by Mr. J. Walson, of counsel for the defendant in error, per stipulation of counsel.

Samuel Shiona
1256. vs. Plff. in Error
The District of Columbia.

Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 2d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

George C. Rand Jr. Appellant
1135. vs. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the
Martha A. Walker.

32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. C. Goudy, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. E. J. Isham, of counsel for the appellees.

284.	John Johnson vs. Plff. in Error Lonnie Keith et al.	The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the

consideration of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. F. M. Cockrell, in support of the same.

944.	Norman B. Harwood et als. vs. Emil Dickerhoff et al. Appellants	The argument of the motion to increase the amount

of the supersedeas bond in this cause was commenced by Mr. William C. Earle, in support of the same, continued by Mr. Henry Jackson, in opposition thereto, and concluded by Mr. Charles S. Babbitt, in support of the motion.

1246.	The United States vs. Kagama, alias Pactah Billy and Mahawaha, alias Pon. Plaintiff	This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the plaintiff, and Mr.

Joseph D. Redding, of counsel for the defendants.

1249. The United States vs. William Rauscher. Plaintiff

This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the plaintiff, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. A. J. Dittenhoefer, of counsel for the defendant.

924. Joseph C. Mackin et al's. vs. The United States. Plffs. in Error

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John C. Richberg, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 3d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford.

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Gray it is ordered that James H. Hoffecker, Jr., Esquire, of Wilmington, State of Delaware, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John N. Mitchell it is ordered that John M. Miller, Esquire, of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

924. Joseph G. Martin et al vs. Plffs. in Error The United States. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

28. William N. Glasgow, Executor &c. vs. Appellant David N. Lipsey, in his own right, and as Executor &c. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Thomas J. Kirkpatrick, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. John G. Wise and Mr. G. W.

	The United States	On motion of
1246.	vs. Plaintiff	Mr. Joseph D.
	Kapaaua, alias "Cactah	Redding, of
	Billy" and Mahawaha	counsel for the
	alias "Ben".	defendants,

leave is hereby granted him to file additional authorities.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 4th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Evarts it is ordered that Abraham U. W. Van Vechten, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	William A. Glasgow, Executor &c.	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. G. W. Hanscrough, of counsel for the appellees, and
28.	vs. Appellant	
	David H. Lipse, in his own right and as Executor &c.	

concluded by Mr. W. J. Robertson, of counsel for the appellant.

	The District of Columbia	The argument
138.	vs. Plff. in Error	of this cause
	John McElligott.	was commenced by

Mr. A. G. Riddle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Henry Wise Garnett and Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. H. E. Davis, of counsel for the plaintiff in error

	John Curtin	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New York.
139.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The People of the State of New York.	

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that authority of counsel for the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

140. Kate Applegate, Wm Applegate, Harriet B. Clark et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Lexington & Carter County Mining Company et al.

Mr. D. W. Armstrong, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, suggested the death of William Applegate and Harriet B. Clark, two of the plaintiffs in error, and moved the Court that the suit as to said Harriet B. Clark proceed in the names of the surviving plaintiffs in error as the heirs at law of said Harriet B. Clark. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

	Nate Applegate et al.	This cause
140.	vs. Pl'ffs. in Error	was argued
	The Lexington & Carter	by Mr. D. W.
	County Mining Com-	Armstrong,
	pany et al.	of counsel
		for the plaint-

iffs in error, and submitted on printed arguments by Mr. A. J. Cruikshield, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. F. Dulin, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 5th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. J. Hentkes it is ordered that Michael Brennan, Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John C. Kenna, it is

ordered that Wesley Mallohan, Esquire, of Charleston, State of West Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Heirs of John F. Benjamin, deceased vs. Appellants
225. Guy N. Schley (formerly Guy N. Allen).

Mr. A. S. Worthington, of counsel for the appellee, suggested the death of Guy N. Schley (formerly Allen), and moved the Court to mate Charles L. Dubois, Administrator of said Guy N. Schley (formerly Allen), the party appellee in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said Charles L. Dubois, Administrator of Guy N. Schley (formerly Allen) deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party appellant in this cause.

The Heirs of John F. Benjamin, deceased vs. Appellants
225. Charles L. Dubois, Adm'r. &c.

On motion of Mr. S. S. Nemle, of counsel for the

appellants, leave is hereby granted to withdraw from the files of this Court the transcript of the record in this cause for the purpose of having the same properly authenticated.

145. The Town of Mahomet vs. Plff. in Error / John M. Quackenbush. — On motion of Mr. S. S. Henkle, leave is hereby granted counsel for the plaintiff in error to file a printed brief in this cause on or before the 11th instant.

146. The Town of Blue Ridge vs. Plff. in Error / Sarah Hyde. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. S. S. Henkle, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this

cause is, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Singer Rocking Chair Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.
141.	vs. Appellant	
	Joseph Zangerle, August Suter, Martin Waldren and Alois Kathrein, copartners under the firm name and style of Joseph Zangerle & Company.	

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Michael Keacy	Appeal from the Cir-
142.	vs. Appellant	cuit Court of the
	Edward P. Allis.	United States for the
		Eastern District of

Wisconsin.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Robert Given et al.	This cause was
143.	vs. Plffs. in Error	argued by Mr.
	Henry Wright, Collector	P. A. Voorhees, of
	of Taxes &c.	counsel for the
		plaintiffs in

error, and Mr. John P. Stockton and Mr. Charles E. Hendrickson, of counsel for the defendants in error.

William Stone
114. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of South Carolina.

This cause was argued by Mr. William E. Earle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. James Lowndes, and Mr. Charles Richardson Miles, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday. March 8th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter H. Smith it is ordered that George J. Murray, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Hunt it is ordered that William Armstrong, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. N. H. Gilfry it is ordered that Sidney Dell, Esquire, of Portland, State of Oregon, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George N. Shields it is ordered that Banton G. Boone, Esquire, of Clinton, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. M. Evarts it is

ordered that W. H. Beecher, Esquire, of Brooklyn, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John Johnson		In Error to
388.	vs. Plff. in Error	The Supreme
Perrie Keith, Bettie Wolf,		Court of the
George W. Wolf, Mollie		State of Mis-
Perrie, John Perries,		souri.
J. Keith and F. Keith.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri, and on the motion to dismiss which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Baxter Smith, Executor of George F. Akers, deceased		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Mid-
1112. vs.	Plff. in Error	
Albert Akers.		

dle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Morgan's Louisiana & Texas Railroad & Steamship Company		On consider- ation of the motion to
552. vs.	Plff. in Error	advance
The Board of Health of the State of Louisiana, et al.		this cause. It is ordered by the

Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument at the foot of the call on the first Monday in April next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1273. The Choctaw Nation vs. The United States. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause,

It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1235. Sabine Robbins vs. The Taxing District of Shelby County. Plff. in Error

Ordered by the Court that the submission of this cause be, and the same is hereby, set aside and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after No. 1273.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

On consideration of the application of Mr. William L. Rayall for a special rule to apply to cases coming from the State of Virginia that involve the constitutionality of legislation of that State touching tax receivable coupons,

It is now here ordered by the Court that said application be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Norman P. Harwood et als. vs. Emil Dickerhoff et al. Appellants

jun.

On consideration of the motion to increase the amount of the supersedeas bond in this cause, which was argued by counsel,

It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Three Trunks containing 718 doz. Kid Gloves &c., Sampson Levy, claimant	On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Goode,
117.	vs. Plff. in Error	of counsel for
	The United States.	the defendant in error, leave

is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

	The Chicago & Eastern Illinois Railroad Company	On motion of Mr. William Armstrong,
163.	vs. Appellant	of counsel for
	Abel Dennison	the appellant,
	and	leave is here
	The Chicago & Eastern Illinois Railroad Company	by granted
164.	vs. Appellant	To withdraw
	Joseph J. Sanger.	the appearance

of Mr. Charles M. Osborn as counsel for the appellant in these cases. Mr. Armstrong also, by leave of Court, filed stipulations of counsel amending the records in these cases.

The Crescent City Live Stock Landing and Slaughter House Co. et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
1247. The Butchers' Union Slaughter House and Live Stock Landing Co.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. B. R. Forman and Mr. E. H. McCaleb, in support of the same, and Mr. Robert Mott and Mr. William A. Maury, in opposition thereto.

Lewis H. Beeson et al, Trustees under the will of S. M. Beeson, dec'd. vs. Plffs. in Error
686. Henry Johns and Henry Ohrt.

Mr. Nathaniel Bacon, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, suggested the death of Henry Johns, one of the defendants in error in this cause and moved the Court for an order, under the 15th rule, to make the proper representatives parties. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the

proper representatives of the said Henry Johns, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the plaintiffs in error shall be entitled to open the record and on hearing have the judgment reversed, if it be erroneous: Provided, however, That a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation within the State of Iowa, for three successive weeks, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

Lewis N. Beeson et al., Trustees vs. Plffs. in Error
1886. vs. Henry Johns and Henry Chut.

Mr. Nathaniel Bacon, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for a writ of scire facias to issue to William Johns, Administrator of Henry Johns, deceased. Whereupon, the Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concerning what order to render in the premises, took time to consider.

	The Town of Mahomet	This cause was
145.	vs. Plff. in Error	submitted to the
	John M. Quackenbush.	consideration
		of the Court on

the record and printed arguments by Mr. John McMulta, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. C. Mather, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	Albert Kleinschmidt et al.	This cause
147.	vs. Plffs. in Error	was argued
	James McAndrews et al.	by Mr. M. F.
		Morris, of

counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. B. K. Toole, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	The Inhabitants of the	This cause was
	Township of New Providence	argued by Mr.
148.	vs Plffs. in Error	Thomas F. McCarter, of coun-
	Abraham Halsey.	sel for the

plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Henry C. Pitney, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	James B. Dingley et al.	The argument
49.	vs. Plffs. in Error	of these cases
	Wesley M. Oler et al.	was commen-
	9 41	ced by Mr.
	Wesley M. Oler et al.	Orville Dewey
50.	vs. Plffs. in Error	Baker, of coun-
	James B. Dingley et al.	sel for Dingley
		et al.

	John Calhoun, Receiver,	The motion to
	et al.	dismiss or
270.	vs. Plffs. in Error	affirm in
	George Alfred Lanaux.	this cause

was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. B. F. Jonas, in support of the same and Mr Joseph P. Hornor and Mr [illegible] W. Eaton, in opposition thereto.

	Charles D. J. Noelke	Mr. W. C. Beecher,
	and Jacob Marks	of counsel for the
282.	vs. Plffs. in Error	defendants in
	The People of the State	error, having
	of New York.	filed in open

Court the following certificate, to wit:
"Court of Appeals of the State of New York.

I, Edward O. Perrin, Clerk of the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein Charles D. F. Stoelker and Jacob Manko were appellants and the People of the State of New York were respondents, a final judgment was rendered by said Court of Appeals on the 20th day of November A. D. 1883, was in favor of the said respondents and against the said appellants; and that on the 30th day of November, A. D. 1883, said appellants sued out a writ of error to said Court of Appeals directed to remove said cause to the Supreme Court of the United States.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Court of Appeals at the City of Albany, this 17th day of February, A. D. 1886.

(Seal) E. O. Perrin

Clerk, Court of Appeals, State of New York."

and it appearing to the Court that the

plaintiffs in error have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Court of Appeals of the State of New York be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Appeals to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 9th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin Harrison it is ordered that William E. Hogan, Esquire, of Bath, State of Maine, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1275.	Frederick M. Orr vs. Plff. in Error The People of the State of Illinois.	Mr. L. E. Payson, in behalf of counsel, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.
149.	James B. Dingley et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Wesley M. Oler et al.	The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Orville Dewey Baker, of counsel for Dingley et al., and
150.	and Wesley M. Oler et al. vs. Plffs. in Error James B. Dingley et al.	Mr. Bernard Carter, of counsel for Oler et al., and concluded by Mr. Orville Dewey Baker, of counsel for Dingley et al.
151.	The Kenton Furnace Railroad & Manufacturing Company vs. Plff. in Error George W. McAlpin.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern Dis

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Kenton Furnace Railroad & Manufacturing Company		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.
152.	vs. Pltff. in Error	
John W. Ellis		

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

P. J. Healy (as Interpleader)		In Error to
153.	vs. Plff. in Error	the Circuit
J. W. Caldwell, James		Court of the
Younge and F. H. Waite, part-		United States
ners as J. J. Caldwell &		for the East-
Company.		ern Disbrict of
		Arkansas.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that a motion by the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause has been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Samuel H. Emerson,		This cause
(as Interpleader)		was submit-
154.	vs. Plff. in Error	ted to the con-
W. M. Senter and W. F. Wilkins,		sideration of
as Senter & Company.		the Court on
		the record and

printed arguments by Mr. U. M. Rose, of

counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Thomas C. McRae, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Calvin Littlefield
155. vs. Appellant
W. D. Blocham, Governor
et al., Trustees &c.
and
Edward E. Reed
174. vs. Appellant
W. D. Blocham, Governor
et al., Trustees &c.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. N. Bisbee Jr., of counsel for the appellant in No. 155, and continued by Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel for the appellees in both cases, and Mr. N. Bisbee Jr., of counsel for the appellant in No. 155.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 10th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

	Calvin Littlefield	The argument
55.	vs. Appellant	of these cases
	W. D. Bloxham, Governor	was concluded
	et al., Trustees &c.	by Mr. N. Bis-
	Edward J. Reed and	bee Jr., of coun-
174.	vs. Appellant	sel for the ap-
	W. D. Bloxham, Governor	pellant in cts
	et al., Trustees &c.	155 and No. 174

was submitted on a printed argument by Mr. F. Aug. Johnson, of counsel for the appellant, and leave was granted Mr. H. Bisbee Jr. to file a brief in reply thereto on or before Friday next.

	John Van Riswick	This cause
156.	vs. Appellant	was argued
	William E. Spalding et al.	by Mr. T. A.

Lambert, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Leigh Robinson, of counsel for the appellees.

	The United States Rifle	The argument
	Cartridge Company et al.	of this cause
157.	vs. Appellants	was com-
	The Whitney Arms Com-	menced by
	pany et al.	Mr. F. E. Hyn-

don Hyde, of counsel for the appellants and continued by Mr. Benjamin F. Thurston, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 11th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Randolph Tucker it is ordered that Patrick Hagan, Esquire, of Clinch, Scott County, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Peter A. Lozier & Preston Stevenson, Executors &c. ..... vs. ..... Appellants ..... Daniel R. Lyddy. .....

1254.

On motion of Mr. Preston Stevenson, of counsel for the appellants,

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d rule.

The United States Rifle and Cartridge Company, et al. ..... vs. ..... Appellants ..... The Whitney Arms Company et al. .....

157.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Benjamin F. Thurston, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Frederic H. Betts, of counsel for the appellants.

Henry W. Boardman, surviving partner &c. ..... vs. ..... Plff. in Error ..... John J. Toffey. .....

158.

This cause was argued by Mr. Preston Stevenson, of counsel for the defendant in error, and submitted on a printed

argument by Mr. James B. Uredenburgh, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

159. Mary A. Hoyt (now Mary A. Vestel) et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Joseph H. Russell. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. William Chumasero, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

160. W. Whitehill Fulkerson et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Seth C. Holmes et al. This cause was argued by Mr. John A. Buchanan, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Patrick Hagan and Mr. William Pinkney Whyte, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 12th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. F. A. McMillan it is ordered that Charles E. Otis and William D. Cornish, Esquires, of Saint Paul, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

	The Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company	This cause was submitted to
162.	vs. Appellant	the considera
	Jonathan Young Scammon.	tion of the Court

on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. S. Isham, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. W. F. Bul-ver, of counsel for the appellee.

	The Chicago & Alton R.R. Co.	Ordered by
163.	vs. Pff. in Error	the Court
	The Wiggins Ferry Company.	that this

cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

	The Yale Lock Manu-	On motion of
	facturing Company	Mr. F. H. Betts,
164.	vs. Appellant	of counsel for
	James Sargent.	the appellant,

one hour additional time is allowed counsel on each side in the argument of this cause.

	The Yale Lock Manufacturing Company	The argument of this cause was
164.	vs. Appellant	commenced by Mr.
	James Sargent.	Frederic H. Betts,
		of counsel for the

appellant, and continued by Mr. George Tichnor Curtis, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday. March 15th, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Stephen W. Dickerson, Esquire, of Providence, State of Rhode Island, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Clinton Rowell it is ordered that George W. Faussig, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

John W. Coffey, Claimant vs.		On consider-
92.	vs. Plff. in Error	ation of the
The United States.		petition for
		a re-hearing

in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

John C. Phelps, B. N. Throop,		In Error to
and Mary J. Phelps		the Circuit
807.	vs. Plffs. in Error	Court of the
George R. Oaks, Maria		United States
Zeidler and John Zeidler,		for the West-
her husband.		ern District
		of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to proceed in the action according to law.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Kate Applegate, Charlotte Hol-
lingsworth, Martha O. Clark and
James Clark, in their own right
& as heirs at law of Harriet B.
Clark, decd. — Pltffs. in Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

140. — vs. —

The Lexington & Carter County Mining Company, The Morton Iron Works, The Eastern Kentucky Railway Co. et als.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to grant a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

William A. Glasgow, Executor of Charles C. Spears, deceased, vs. Appellant

28. David A. Lipse, in his own right and as surviving Executor of Moses Lipse, deceased, et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the suit.

-per Mr. Justice Field.

Henry W. Boardman, surviving partner of the firm of William H. Abel & Company
158. vs. Plff. in Error
John J. Toffey.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here

ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John Sloane, William D. Sloane, Henry F. Sloane, Thomas C. Sloane, Walter W. Law et al. ... ... Pltffs. in Error

vs.

Marcus Anderson. ... ... Defts. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Wisconsin and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court, in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

J. W. Jefferson, Trustee,	Appeal from the
J. J. Jefferson, et al.	Circuit Court
1083. vs. Appellants	of the United
John B. Driver, Admin-	States for the
istrator de bonis non of	Eastern District
James H. Edrington,	of Arkansas.
deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Fidelity Insurance,	Appeal from the
Trust & Safe Deposit	Circuit Court of
Company	the United States
1236. vs. Appellant	for the Southern
Collis P. Huntington.	District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1275. Frederick M. Ker vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the State of Illinois.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the cause assigned for argument at the head of the call on the second Monday in April next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

247. The Crescent City Live Stock Landing & Slaughter House Co., et al. vs. Plffs. in Error The Butchers Union Slaughter House & Live Stock Landing Co.

On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, continued, to be heard with the case on its merits, and that the motion to affirm be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

240. John Calhoun, Receiver, et als. vs. Plffs. in Error George Alfred Lanaux.

On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause. It is ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, continued to be heard with the case on its merits, and that the motion to affirm be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Louis M. Beeson, et al., Trustees &c. vs. Pltffs. in Error
1886. Henry Johns and Henry Ohrt.

On consideration of the motion in this cause for a writ of scire facias to issue to William Johns, Administrator of Henry Johns, deceased, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States vs. Appellant
1291. The Central Pacific R.R. Co.

Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause. Mr. J. E. McDonald, of counsel for the appellee, united in the motion.

	Charles D. J. Noelke et al.	On motion of
282.	vs. Plffs. in Error	Mr. W. C. Beech
	The People of the State of	er, of counsel
	New York.	for the defend-
		ants in error,

Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

277. Lorenzo Snow, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States

278. " " " " " " " "

279. " " " " " " " "

Mr. Jeff Chandler, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court motions to advance these cases.

	A. S. Corey et al.	This cause was
422.	vs. Plffs. in Error	submitted to the
	John F. Vinal.	consideration of
		the Court, under

the 32d Rule, on the record and a printed argument by Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the defendant in error.

1028. Johnson & A. Camden
vs. Appellant
F. L. B. Mayhew & Co., et als.

The motions to dismiss and to vacate the supersedeas in this cause were submitted to the consideration of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. L. L. Cole, in support of the same.

91. A. J. Coffey, Claimant &c.
vs. Plff. in Error
The United States.

On motion of Mr. M. F. Morris, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

92. John W. Coffey, Claimant &c.
vs. Plff. in Error
The United States.

Ordered by the Court that leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

	The Yale Lock Manufacturing Company vs. James Sargent.	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. George Ticknor Curtis and Mr. Edmund Wetmore, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Frederic H. Betts, of counsel for the appellant.
164.	Appellant	
	The Yale Lock Manufacturing Company vs. James Sargent.	The argument of this cause was commenced by Frederic H. Betts, of counsel for the appellant.
165.	Appellant	

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 16th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph H. Choate it is ordered that Charles Henry Butler, Esquire, of Yonkers, and Herbert T. Ketcham and George M. Marshall, Esquires, of New York City, State of New York, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. E. Flandrau it is ordered that Walter N. Sanborn, Esquire, of Saint Paul, State of Minnesota, and Grant Hagerman, Esquire, of Keokuk, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

165. The Yale Lock Manufacturing Co. vs. Appellant James Sargent. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Frederic H. Betts, of counsel for the appellant, and concluded by Mr. Edmund Wetmore, of counsel for the appellee.

166. The Yale Lock Manufacturing Co. vs. Appellant Herbert S. Greenleaf. This cause was argued by Mr. Frederic H. Betts, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Edmund Wetmore, of counsel for the appellee.

167. Abby Ball Knapp vs. Plff. in Error The Homeopathic Mutual Life Insurance Company. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Samuel W. Clifford Jr., of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Fullman B. B. Allen, of counsel for the defendant in error.

168. Elijah M. Dunphy vs. Plff. in Error Ellen Sullivan. This cause was argued by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. K. Toole, of counsel for the defendant in error.

169. The Sioux City & St. Paul R. R. Co., et al. vs. Appellants The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Co. These cases were argued by Mr. John W. Cary, of counsel for The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway

and

	The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company	and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. J.B. Spooner, of counsel for the Sioux City & St. Paul R.R. Co. et al.
517.	vs. Appellant	
	The Sioux City & St. Paul R.R. Co., et al.	

	John W. Hobbs, Assignee &c.	Ordered by the Court that this cause be
170.	vs. Appellant	
	John A. McLean et al.	

passed until after No. 173 is argued.

	The Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York	The argument of this cause was commenced by
173.	vs. Plff in Error	Mr. Joseph H. Choate, of coun.
	Julia Armstrong, Admx &c.	sel for the Plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 17th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
} Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Edmunds it is ordered that Martin H. Goddard, Esquire, of Ludlow, State of Vermont, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles King it is ordered that William B. King, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Francis Kernan it is ordered that George Underwood, Esquire, of Auburn, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Colburn Barrell et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
324. C. E. Tilton. On motion of Mr. John H. Mitchell, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted to withdraw from the files of this Court the transcript of the record in this cause for the purpose of having the same properly authenticated.

173. The Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York vs. Plff. in Error Julia Armstrong, Adm'x. vs. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Joseph H. Choate, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Herbert J. Nelham, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Joseph H. Choate, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

(Mr. Justice Matthews did not sit during the argument of this cause.)

170. John W. Hobbs, Assignee vs. Appellant vs. John A. McLean et al's. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. James Hagerman, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. C. E. Flandrau, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 18th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that Joseph Christian, Esquire, of Richmond, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. J. R. McMillan it is ordered that James A. Castle, Esquire, of Stillwater, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. F. Clarke it is ordered that Hoyt Post and George W. Radford, Esquires, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph H. Choate it is ordered that C. F. Kneeland, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. W. Fuller it is

ordered that Monroe H. Willard, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

170. John W. Hobbs, Assignee &c. vs. John A. McLean et al's. Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles E. Flandrau and Mr. Walter H. Sanborn, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. James Hagerman, of counsel for the appellant.

177. William Gardner et al's. vs. Martin Herz et al's. Appellant's

Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed, per stipulation of counsel, subject to the provisions of Section 9, Rule 24.

	Clinton C. Tripp	In Error
178.	vs. Pltff. in Error	to the Circuit
	J. E. Davidson, James	Court of the
	Smith, Mrs. M. C.	United States
	Smith, John McMinn,	for the Dis-
	Joseph Wright, Sylvanus	trict of
	Wright et al.	California.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Giant Powder	Appeal from the
	Company	Circuit Court of the
179.	vs. Appellant	United States for
	The Vulcan Powder	The District of
	Company.	California.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

180. Turpin v Brother vs. Plffs. in Error / Rush Burgess, Collector &c. — This cause was argued by Mr. Charles S. Stringfellow, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error.

181. Addison Thomas vs. Plff. in Error / The Town of Lansing. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. R. Cox, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

	Addison Thomas	In Error to the
181.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court of
	The Town of Lansing.	of the United States
		for the Northern

District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Harvey Mellen	In Error to the
182.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court of
	The Town of Lansing.	the United States
		for the Northern

District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

183. Elisha T. Loring et al. vs. Charles H. Palmer. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Joseph H. Choate, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 19th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George H. Howard it is ordered that J. Snowden Bell, Esquire, of Pittsburgh, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Cary it is ordered that Horace A. J. Upham, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Robert W. Hyman, Henry A. Barling, Abner H. Davis 2d Edward D. Mandel		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern Distrist of Illinois.
684.	vs. Appellants	
Louisa F. Chew, Jane C. Willis, Eliza S. Washington, et al.		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Joseph N. Choate, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellants.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

183. Elisha J. Loring et al. vs. Charles H. Palmer. Appellants. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Ashley Pond and Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. C. F. Walker, of counsel for the appellants.

184. The New York Life Insurance Co. vs. Thomas C. Fletcher, Executor &c. Plff. in Error. This cause was argued by Mr. F. A. Judson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. George D. Reynolds, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 22d, 1886.

Curiuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that W. B. Glisson and Richard D. Jordan, Esquires, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr J. Hubley Ashton, it is ordered that David Willcox, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter H. Smith it is ordered that Andrew A. Lipscomb, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Hoar it is ordered that W. S. B. Hopkins, Esquire, of Worcester, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode,

it is ordered that John D. Atkinson, Esquire, of Owensboro, State of Kentucky, and George K. Thompson, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

924. Joseph L. Martin and William J. Gallagher vs. Plffs. in Error The United States. On a certificate of division in opinion between the Judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and on the points or questions on which the judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion, and which were certified to this Court for its opinion agreeably to the act of Congress in such cases made and provided, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the first question certified must be answered in the affirmative and the second in the negative. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

156. John Van Liswick vs. William E. Spalding, William L. Spalding, James B. Spalding, Annie Spalding, Lottie Spalding, George W. Bonnell, William N. Thomas and William W. Rapley. Appellant

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause

be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Albert Kleinschmidt, Reinhold Kleinschmidt, Cornelius Vawter, Isaac Greenhood, Ferdinand Bohm, Louis M. Cohn, Richard O. Nickman et al.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana.
147. vs.	
Jeffs.	
James S. McAndrews and John S. Bristol.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to take further proceedings therein in conformity with law.

	W. Whitehall Fulkerson, Margaret Fulkerson, Lee S. Fulkerson, Napoleon E. Beatie et al.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia.
180.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	Seth B. Holmes, Anna Isabella Holmes, Harriet A. Holmes William J. Holmes et al.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

	L. M. Marshall	In Error to the Cir.
110.	vs. Plff. in Error	cuit Court of the
	Stephen Hubbard.	United States for
		the Eastern District

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Wisconsin.

Per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Mary A. Hoyt (now Mary A. Vestel) and John W. Gonn vs. Pltff. in Error Joseph H. Russell.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana.

159.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this

Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Inhabitants of the Township of New Providence, in the County of Union and State of New Jersey		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.
118.	vs. Pltfs. in Error	
Abraham Halsey.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court That the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and

the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George C. Rand, Junior Appellant
1135. vs. Appeal from the
Martha A. Walker. Circuit Court of
the United States
for the Northern
District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	A. S. Core and Benjamin S. Compton	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.
112.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	John J. Vinal.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Elijah M. Dunphy	In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana.
168.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	Ellen Sullivan.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana and

was argued by counsel. On considera
tion whereof, It is now here ordered and
adjudged by this Court that the judgment
of the said Supreme Court in this cause
be, and the same is hereby, affirmed
with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Johnson N. Camden
1028. vs. Appellant
J. L. B. Mayhew & Co. et als.

On considera-
tion of the mo
tions to dis-
miss and to
vacate the Supersedeas in this cause, It is
ordered by the Court that said motions be, and
the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Morgan's Louisiana & Texas Rail
road & Steamship Company
552. vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Health of the State
of Louisiana et al.

and

Frederick H. Ker
275. vs. Plff. in Error

Ordered by
the Court
that the
orders as-
signing
these cases
for argu-
ment, &c

first Monday in April and No. 1275 on the second Monday in April, be, and they are hereby, set aside and the cases re-assigned in the order of their numbers for argument on the last Monday in April.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1277. Lorenzo Snow, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States

1278. " " " "

1279. " " " "

On consideration of the motions to advance these cases, It is ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, granted, and the cases assigned for argument on the fourth Monday in April next after No. 1275.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1291. The United States, Appellant, vs. The Central Pacific Railroad Company.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted

and the cause assigned for argument on the fourth Monday in April next after the 1279.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Samuel Strong
1256. vs. Plff. in Error
The District of Columbia.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chief Justice announced that the call of the docket in its regular order will be stopped on Friday, April 23d. Cases advanced for hearing at this term will be taken up on Monday, April 26th, and their call continued during that week if necessary. The Court will adjourn for the term on Monday, May 10.

Mr. Attorney General Garland submitted to the consideration of the Court the following,

In the Supreme Court U.S.

Oct. Term 1885.

In the matter of the custody and appearance of prisoners on appeals taken under sections 763 & 764, Rev. Stats.

Comes A. H. Garland, Attorney General of the United States, and suggests and shows to the Court that there are no rules or regulations touching the custody and appearance of prisoners on appeals allowed under sections 763 & 764 of the Revised Statutes; and frequently of late, it has been a question what disposition should be made of prisoners in such cases, and in certain instances the government has been seriously embarassed for the want of some rules in that regard.

Wherefore, he moves the Court to make and publish proper rules and regulations in the premises.

A. H. Garland, Atty. Genl. U.S.

705.	John Sloane et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Marcus Anderson.	On motion of Mr. J. Hubley Ashton, of counsel for the defendant in error,

Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

709.	James W. Barker vs. Plff. in Error George Maulsby.	In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. S. Riddle, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

997. Robert P. Barry, Plff. in Error, vs.
E. G. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.

1009. Wm N. Sands, Plff. in Error, vs.
E. G. Edmunds, Treasurer &c.

1010. Wm L. Royall, Plff. in Error, vs.
The State of Virginia.

1011. R. B. Chaffin, Plff. in Error, vs.
William Taylor.

On motion of Mr. William L. Royall, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

759. Alexander Bruce et al. vs. The Manchester & Keene Railroad. Appellants. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Frank W. Hackett and Mr. J. W. Fellows, in support of the same, and Mr. F. A. Brooks, in opposition thereto.

Ex parte: In the matter of Charles W. Fonda Petitioner.

Mr. William E. Earle, of counsel for the petitioner, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

James H. Cuddy, Administrator of the estate of William H. and David Cuddy, deceased,

vs. Appellant

The Steamer "Garland", her boats &c., The Detroit River Ferry Company, Claimant.

742.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. H. B. Wisner, of counsel for the appellees, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellant.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phoenix Insurance Company of London, England, et al.
7. Original — Petitioners.

The argument on the return to the rule to show cause issued in this case was commenced by Mr. W. F. B. Hopkins, of counsel for the petitioners. The Court declined to hear further argument.

Anthony W. Dimock
185. vs. Plff. in Error
The Revere Copper Company of Boston, Mass.

This cause was argued by Mr. George Putnam Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. David Willcox, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The County of Daviess
186. vs. Plff. in Error
J. M. Dickinson.

This cause was argued by Mr. J. D. Atchison, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. Hubley Ashton, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday. March 23d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

187. James A. Burnes vs. Plff. in Error / Wm L. Scott v Jos. McCarter, Executors v &c. — This cause was argued by Mr. B. F. Stringfellow, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. G. W. De Camp and Mr. S. M. Brainard, of counsel for the defendants in error.

188. Horace S. Johnston vs. Plff. in Error The District of Columbia.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. F. T. Browning, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. N. E. Davis, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 21st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips it is ordered that J. O. Nicholson, Esquire, of Laredo, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. R. Nudd it is ordered that M. U. Discailuz, Esquire, of Los Angeles, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. J. Baker it is ordered that J. W. Harrison, Esquire, of Emmetsburg, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1287. Schmidt Brothers, Appellants, vs. E. M. Cobb.
1288. Arthur O'Malley, Appellant, vs. J. P. Farley.

On motion of Mr. N. D. Beam, on behalf of counsel for the appellees, Ordered by the Court that these cases be, and the same are hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d rule.

188. Horace S. Johnston vs. Plff. in Error The District of Columbia. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. N. C. Davis, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. F. T. Browning, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

189. Richard F. Knox and Joseph Osborn vs. Appellants The Quicksilver Mining Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellants.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

190. Walton Ferguson vs. Plff. in Error / Chester A. Arthur, late Collector &c. — This cause was argued by Mr. Edwin B. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error.

192. John A. Poage vs. Appellant / The H. P. Gowan Pump Company et al. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

193. Thomas J. Sturges & Susan Sturges vs. Clffs. in Error The United States. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. Hubley Ashton and Mr. Thomas Harland, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendant in error.

194. Exlein Norton vs. Plff. in Error Shelby County, Tennessee. On motion of Mr. F. D. Jordan, of counsel for the defendant in error,

Ordered by the Court that three counsel be allowed to argue this cause for the defendant in error.

194. Exlein Norton vs. Plff. in Error Shelby County Tennessee. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Joseph H. Choate of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. W. B. Ellison, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Thursday, March 25th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Edmunds it is ordered that Nathan W. Harris, Esquire, of Auburn, and Charles B. Reade, Esquire, of Lewiston, State of Maine, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The Leather Manufacturers National Bank
45. vs. Pff. in Error
E. C. Morgan et als.

On motion of Mr. Joseph N. Choate, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Eckstein Norton.
194. vs. Pff. in Error
Shelby County, Tennessee.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. W. B. Ellison, Mr. R. D. Jordan and Mr. Julius A. Taylor, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. D. M. Poston, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company
196. vs. Pff. in Error
John N. Walrath.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George P. Miller, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and Mr. James E. Jenkins, of counsel for the defendant in

198. Juan S. Hart, Admr. &c. vs. The United States. Appellant

This cause was argued by Mr. John J. Weed, of counsel for the appellant, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee.

199. W. Hallett Phillips et al., Executors &c. vs. James L. Tegley. Plffs. in Error

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 26th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal,
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. T. C. Coleman it is ordered that John Walter Shortridge, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. S. Bragg it is ordered that Edwin Hurlbut, Esquire, of Oconomowoc, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Market National Bank of Boston (impleaded with others) ..... Appellant

vs.

The Northwestern Manufacturing & Car Company.

1302.

Mr. J. A. Castle, of counsel for the appellee, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Circuit Court of the United States
For the District of Minnesota.

I, Oscar B. Millis, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein The Market National Bank of Boston, impleaded with others, was complainant, and The Northwestern Manufacturing and Car Company was

defendant, a final decree or order remanding said cause to the State Court from whence it was removed was rendered by said Circuit Court on the 5th day of January, 1885, in favor of said defendant and against said complainant, and that on the 11th day of April, 1885, said complainant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States, which was duly allowed.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at St. Paul, this 22nd day of March, A.D. 1886.

(Seal)

Oscar B. Hillis, Clerk."

and having moved the Court to docket and dismiss this appeal, pursuant to the 9th rule of this Court, and it appearing to the Court that the appellant has failed to have its cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District

of Minnesota be, and the same is hereby, dockoted and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States
1299 vs. Appellant
William J. Landram.

Mr. G. P. Raum, of counsel for the appellee, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Charles N. Brooks
1195. vs. Pff. in Error
Edward S. Clark.

On motion of Mr. N. T. Fenton, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error,

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

W. Hallett Phillips et al.. Executors &c.
199. vs. Plffs. in Error
James S. Negley.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Job Barnard, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. John Selden, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

The Iron Silver Mining Co.
200. vs. Plff. in Error
The Elgin Mining & Smelting Company et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Walter H. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. E. M. Patterson, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday. March 29th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. U. W. Van Voorhees it is ordered that James N. Tuthill, Esquire, of Riverhead, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D.B. Henderson it is ordered that Jed Lake, Esquire, of Independence, State of Iowa, and Charles C. Baldwin, Esquire, of Cleveland, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E.J.R. McMillan it is ordered that Isaac V.D. Heard, Esquire, of St. Paul, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1034. The Union Pacific Railway Company
vs. Appellant
The United States
And
The United States
1035. vs. Appellant
The Union Pacific Railway Company

Appeals from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, on appeal and cross appeal and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Susie M. Kerr, Rosa L. Kerr and Joseph B. Kerr
1142. vs. Appellants
The South Park Commissioners.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and

the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Susie M. Kerr, Rosa L. Kerr, and Joseph B. Kerr, Executors of William P. Kerr, deceased
vs.
The South Park Commissioners.

Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

No. 24.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with equity and justice, and not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Yale Lock Manufacturing Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
165. vs.	Appellant	
James Sargent.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Yale Lock Manufacturing Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District
166. vs.	Appellant	
Halbert S. Greenleaf.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

John W. Nobbs, Assignee in bankruptcy of C.T. Peck vs. John A. McLean and William Harmon. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

1790.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern

District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

138. The District of Columbia vs. John C. McElligott. Pff. in Error — In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The New York Life Insurance Company		In Error to the Circuit Court
184. vs.	Plff. in Error	of the United
Thomas C. Fletcher,		States for the
Executor of Chinonda		Eastern District
S. Alford, deceased.		of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Sioux City & St. Paul Railroad Company and Alexander H. Rice & E. F. Drake, Trustees

169. vs. Appellants

The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company.

and

The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company

517. vs. Appellant

The Sioux City & St Paul Railroad Company and Alexander H. Rice, Elias F. Drake, John H. Gear et als.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Iowa, on appeal and cross appeal and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that so much of the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause as holds that

50,539 73/100 acres of the lands in controversy within the ten mile limits of both roads belong to both the companies in equal undivided moieties and appointing commissioners to make partition of them, and so much of the decree of said Court dividing equally between the parties the 42,188 93/100 acres found to be within the twenty mile indemnity limit of both roads and not within the ten mile or absolute grant limit of either road be, and the same is hereby, affirmed; and that in all other respects the decree of said Court be, and the same is hereby, reversed, each appellant to pay the costs of its appeals in this Court; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa with directions to enter a decree in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Calvin Littlefield

155. vs. Appellant

William D. Bloxham, Governor, Henry A. L'Engle, Treasurer, et al. Trustees of the Internal Improvement Fund of the State of Florida

and

Edward J. Reed

174. vs. Appellant

William D. Bloxham, Governor, et al., Trustees &c.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida, on separate appeals, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Thomas J. Sturges and Susan Sturges	In Error to the Circuit Court of
93.	vs. Pltfs. in Error	the United States
	The United States.	for the Southern
		District of New

York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to reverse the judgment of the District Court and to remand the case to that Court for further proceedings according to law.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.
194. vs.	Plff. in Error	
John N. Walrath.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phoenix Insurance Company, of London, England, The Guardian Fire & Life Insurance Company, of London, England, The North British & Mercantile Insurance Company, of London, England, and Edinburg, Scotland, and The Commercial Union Assurance Company, of London, England,

7, Original. Petitioners

On petition for a writ of Mandamus.

On consideration of the petition for a writ of mandamus, the return to the rule to show cause issued herein and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be and the same is hereby, denied with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States

1299. vs. Appellant

William J. Landram.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, &c

is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted

and the case may be submitted on printed arguments at any time during the present term, or it may be argued orally after the cases already assigned for the fourth Monday in April.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Order.

Ordered, That the following regulations be established under Section 776 of the Revised Statutes.

Rule 34.

Custody of Prisoners on Habeas Corpus.

1st. Pending an appeal from the final decision of any Court or judge declining to grant the writ of habeas corpus, the custody of the prisoner shall not be disturbed.

2d. Pending an appeal from the final decision of any Court or judge discharging the writ after it has been issued, the prisoner shall be remanded to the custody from which he was taken by the writ, or shall, for good cause shown be detained in custody of the Court or judge.

3d. Pending an appeal from the final decision of any Court or judge discharging the prisoner, he shall be enlarged upon recognizance, with surety, for appearance to answer the judgment of the appellate Court, except where for special reasons sureties ought not to be required.

350. William Mueller and Henry A. Christy vs. Appellants William A. Phelps and Daniel W. Goodenough. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Robert Rae, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phoenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn, et al. Petitioners. Mr. Robert Rae, of counsel for the petitioners, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of prohibition.

William S. Welzel and James D. Weatherwax, copartners as W. S. Welzel & Company vs. Plffs. in Error
1303 Thomas C. Power and John W. Power, copartners as T. C. Power & Brother. Mr. J. K. Toole of counsel for the defendants in error, having filed in open Court the following certificate to wit:

In the Supreme Court of Montana Territory.

William S. Welzel and James D. Weatherwax, copartners doing business under the firm name of W. S. Welzel & Co.
vs. Plaintiffs
Thomas C. Power and John W. Power, copartners doing business under the firm name of T. C. Power & Bro.

Territory of Montana
Court Chamber at Helena } ss.

I, Isaac P. Alden, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Montana Territory, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein William S. Welzl and James D. Weatherwax, copartners etc., were plaintiffs, and Thomas C. Power and John W. Power, copartners, etc., were defendants, a final judgment was rendered by said Supreme Court on the 9th day of January, A. D. 1884, in favor of said defendants and against said plaintiffs, and that on the 14th day of February, 1884, said plaintiffs sued out a writ of error from the Supreme Court of the United States directed to remove said cause from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana to the Supreme Court of the United States.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Supreme Court of Montana Territory at Helena, Montana Territory, this 17th day of March, A. D. 1886.

(Seal)

and having moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause, pursuant to the 9th rule, and it appearing to the Court that the plaintiffs in error have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court That the writ of error in this cause to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Elijah M. Dunphy
168. vs. Plff. in Error
Ellen Sullivan.

On motion of Mr. J. R. Toole, of counsel for the defendant, in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in this cause.

George Hayes
1304. vs. Appellant
George Bickelhaupt, Senior.

Mr. A. v. Briesen, of counsel for the appellee,

having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Circuit Court of the United States.
For the Southern District of New York.

George Hayes
vs.
George Bickelhoupt Sr. } In Equity, No. 2203.

I, Timothy Griffith, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, in the second Circuit, do hereby certify that on the 24th day of November, 1884, there was entered in my office a judgment for five hundred and ninety dollars and sixty-two cents in favor of George Bickelhoupt, Senior, the above named defendant, and against George Hayes, the above named complainant.

And I do further certify that such judgment was entered pursuant to a final decree of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District made in the above entitled cause and entered in my said office on the 11th day of November, 1884.

And I do further certify that on the 3d day of December, 1884, the said George Hayes took his appeal, which was then duly sued out and allowed in the aforesaid suit, to the Supreme Court of the United States, and filed his bond for costs and damages and a citation addressed to the said George Dick. Chaupt, Senior, citing him to be and appear in the said Supreme Court on the 2d Monday of October, 1885, was issued on the 3d day of December, 1884, out of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

All of which appears from the records of the said Court in my custody.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed
(Seal) The seal of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York this 27th day of February, 1886.

Timothy Griffith, Clerk."

and having moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause, pursuant to the 9th rule, and it appearing to the Court that

the appellant has failed to have his cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court — It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

22. The Memphis & Little Rock Railroad Co. (as reorganized) vs. Appellant
The Southern Express Co.

26. The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Co. vs. Appellant
The Southern Express Co.
and
The Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Co.

55. vs. Appellant
W. B. Dinsmore, President &c.

On motion of Mr. Walter H. Smith, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

200. The Iron Silver Mining Co. vs. Plff. in Error / The Elgin Mining & Smelting Company et al. — The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. G. G. Symes, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

197. Jesse P. Farley vs. Appellant / Norman W. Kittson et al. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Henry D. Beam, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. George B. Young, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 30th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Richard P. White it is ordered that William A. Redding, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Tuesday, March 30th 1886, continued.

197. Jesse P. Farley vs. Appellant
Norman W. Kittson et als.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. George B. Young and Mr. William M. Evarts, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellant.

201. Edward J. Canbell et al. vs. Appellants
Washington Wallick.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John Walker Shortridge, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Charles Nowson, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 31st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford
John C. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. B. F. Thurston it is ordered that Thomas Macon, Esquire, of Denver, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. N. Bastin it is ordered that John A. Marshall, Esquire, of Salt Lake City, Territory of Utah, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Nate Applegate et al.,
140. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Lexington & Carter County Mining Co. et al.

On motion of Mr. A. J. Crutchfield, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The State of Alabama et al.
335. vs. Plffs. in Error
Theodore G. Montague et al.

and

The State of Alabama et al.
984. vs. Appellants
Theodore G. Montague et al.

On motion of Mr. S. F. Rice, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error and appellants, It is ordered by the Court that

these cases be advanced with leave to counsel to submit the same on printed arguments.

335. The State of Alabama et als. vs. Plffs. in Error Theodore G. Montague et al.

and

984. The State of Alabama et al. vs. Appellants Theodore G. Montague et als.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the records and printed arguments by Mr. S. F. Rice, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error and appellants and Mr. Xenophon Wheeler, of counsel for the defendants in error and appellees.

1280. Yick Wo, vs. Plff. in Error Peter Hopkins, Sheriff &c.

and

1281. Wo Lee vs. Appellant Peter Hopkins, Sheriff &c.

On motion of Mr. D. L. Smoot, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellant,

It is ordered by the Court that these cases be, and the same are hereby, advanced with leave to submit the same on printed arguments to be filed.

201. Edward J. Cantrell et al vs. Washington Wallick. Appellants. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles Howson, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. John Walker Shortlidge, of counsel for the appellants.

202. John Dobson et al. vs. John Dornan et al. Appellants. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Hector J. Fenton, of counsel for the appellants, continued by Mr. L. C. Cleeman, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Richard P. White, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, April 1st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Charles B. Roberts, Esquire, of Westminster, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Southwestern Railroad Co.	On motion of Mr. Clifford Anderson, of counsel for the defendants in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.
96.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	W. A. Wright, Comptroller General et al.	
	and	
	The Southwestern Railroad Co.	
471.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The State of Georgia.	

	John Mullan et al.	This cause was argued by Mr. Walter H. Smith, of counsel for the
203.	vs. Appellants	
	The United States.	

appellants, and Mr. W. W. Morrow, of counsel for the appellee, and was submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellee.

204.	The City of New Orleans vs. The State of Louisiana ex rel: Henry Shepherd.	Plff. in Error	Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

205.	Joseph Jackson et als. vs. Frederick H. Lawrence et al.	Appellants	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Aaron S. Everest, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. B F. Lucas, of counsel for the appellees.

206.	Francis M. Long & wife vs. Daniel Bullard.	Plffs. in Error	This cause was argued by Mr. Thomas B. Gresham, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Clifford Anderson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Robert G. Campbell
207. vs. Plff. in Error
The District of Columbia

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, April 2d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. Teackle Wallis it is ordered that Henry D. Loney, Esquire, of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. B. Henderson it is ordered that Elbert W. Weeks, Esquire, of Guthrie Center, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

207. Robert E. Campbell vs. Plff in Error The District of Columbia. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. A. G. Riddle, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

208. Winfield S. Keyes et al. vs. Plffs. in Error James B. Grant et al. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. G. G. Symes, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, continued by Mr. B. F. Thurston and Mr. Thomas Macon, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. George Harding, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 5th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford } Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that Abram Burlew, Esquire, of Charleston, State of West Virginia, be admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John B. Henderson it is ordered that Robert B. Oliver, Esquire, of Jackson, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips it is ordered that Henry F. Ring, Esquire, of Houston, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Henry B. Harris, Esquire, of Defiance, State of Ohio, and Thomas Mitchell, Esquire, of Tombstone, Territory of Arizona, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The Union Trust Company of New York, Appellant

1212. vs.

The Illinois Midland Railway Company, et al.

Simon Borg, Leo Speyer & Sam'l. Lichtenstädter, partners as S. Borg & Co., Appellants,

1213. vs.

The Illinois Midland Railway Company, et al.

William Waring, Henry Waring & Cha's Waring, partners as Waring Bros.

1214. vs. Appellants

The Union Trust Company of New York, et al.

Stoughton J. Fletcher & Francis M. Churchman, partners as S. A. Fletcher & Co.

1225. vs. Appellants

The Illinois Midland Railway Company et al.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois, on separate appeals, and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the interlocutory decree of June 11th 1884, and the final decree of June 3d 1885, of the said Circuit Court, in these causes, be, and the same are hereby, reversed, so far as they allow to Waring Brothers the benefit of the 994 mortgage bonds of The Paris & Decatur Railroad Company as unexchanged and uncanceled bonds, and so far as they deny priority over the mortgage bonds of that company to the items of $30,322.09 and $14,140.67, claimed by Waring Brothers, and so far as they fail to provide that there shall be no priority or preference (with the exception of debts for taxes, and receiver's certificates issued to borrow money to pay taxes or to discharge tax liens,) among the debts and claims, whether receiver's certificates or other debts, which are allowed precedence over the mortgage bonds of any road; And that these causes be, and the same are hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to make those modifications in the decrees, and in all other respects the decrees are affirmed.

No costs are allowed in this Court for or against any party, and the expense of printing the record is to be borne equally by Borg and others and Waring Brothers.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Yale Lock Manufacturing Company vs. James Sargent. 164. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause, as to the award of costs be, and the same is hereby, reversed, and in all other respects affirmed, with interest, until paid at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of New York;

And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to modify the decree accordingly. Each party will bear his own costs in this Court and one-half of the expense of printing the record.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Walton Ferguson vs. Pff. in Error Chester A. Arthur, late Collector of the Port of New York. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

190.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Abby Ball Knapp	In Error to the
167.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court of
	The Homeopathic Mutical	The United States
	Life Insurance Company.	for the District
		of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	James B. Dingley and Fuller Dingley, co.	In Error
	partners under the firm name of Dingley Bros.	to the Cir.
149.	vs. Plffs. in Error	cuit Court
	Wesley M. Oler and William H. Oler, copartners	of the
	under the firm name of W. M. Oler & Company	United
	and	
	Wesley M. Oler and William H. Oler, copartners	States for
	under the firm name of W. M. Oler & Company	the District
150.	vs. Plffs. in Error	of Maine.
	James B. Dingley and Fuller Dingley, copartners	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maine, on writ of error and cross-writ, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed upon the writ of error sued out by the defendants below; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein according to law. And it is further ordered that the writ of error sued out by plaintiffs below be, and the same is hereby, dismissed. Costs in this Court to be paid by Dingley Brothers.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	James A. Burnes	In Error to
187	vs. Pff. in Error	the Circuit
	William L. Scott and Joseph	Court of the
	McCarter, Executors of Milton	United States
	Courtright, deceased.	for the Western

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Missouri.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

	Jurpin & Brother	In Error
180.	vs. Pleffs. in Error	to the Cir-
	Rush Burgess, Collector of	cuit Court
	Internal Revenue for the third	of the United
	district of Virginia.	States for the
		Eastern

District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern

District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.
1st 3. vs.	Plff. in Error	
Julia Armstrong, Administratrix of John M. Armstrong, deceased.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be,

and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Field.

(Mr. Justice Matthews did not sit during the argument of this case or take any part in its decision.)

	Anthony W. Dimock,	In Error to
185.	vs. Pff. in Error	the Supreme
	The Revere Copper Company,	Court of the
	of Boston, Massachusetts.	State of New
		York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New York, being possessed of the record and proceedings upon a remittitur from the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	William Stone	In Error to the
144.	vs. Plff. in Error	Supreme Court
	The State of South Carolina.	of the State of
		South Caro-

lina.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of South Carolina and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Town of Mahomet	In Error to the
145.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	John M. Quackenbush.	of the United
		States for the

Southern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern

District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Alexander Bruce 2nd		Appeal from the
William Shepard		Circuit Court of
759. vs.	Appellants	the United States
The Manchester & Keene		for the District of
Railroad.		New Hampshire.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Hampshire, and on the motion to dismiss or affirm, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed

for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn, et al. Petitioners. — On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of prohibition, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn, Maximillian Gagnon, Joseph Leish, John Atkinson, James McDowell and The First Presbyterian Church of Green Bay, Wisconsin & Original. Petitioners — On petition for a writ of prohibition.

On consideration of the petition of The Phenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn et al.,

It is now here ordered by the Court that cause be shown by the Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia, on the 12th day of October next, at twelve o'clock noon of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of prohibition should not issue as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Charles W. Fonda, Petitioner. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. It is now hereordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States vs. William J. Landram. Appellant 1299. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and

printed arguments by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. E. B. Kaum, of counsel for the appellee.

The Jacksonville, Pensacola & Mobile Railroad Co. vs. The United States. — Appellant — Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

1305.

A. S. Cores et al. vs. Plffs. in Error John F. Vinal. — On motion of Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the defendant in error,

Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

422.

Catharine Conley vs. Rachel D. Tailor et al. — Appellant — Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the appellees, suggested a diminution of the record in this cause and

423.

moved the Court to award a writ of certiorari. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

Ex parte: In the matter of Wash F. Lothrop — Petitioner. — On motion of Mr. A. L. Parker, of counsel for the petitioner, Ordered by the Court that the hearing on the return to the rule to show cause issued herein be postponed until Monday next.

6, Original.

John W. Ebbinghaus, Trustee vs. John G. Killian et als., Trustees. — Appellant — Mr. H. W. Garnett, of counsel for the appellees, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

1022.

George Cashman
1233. vs. Appellant ..... This cause was submitted to the considera-
The Amador & Sacramento tion of the
Canal Company et als.

Court, under the 32° rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. L. Rhodes, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. J. H. McKune, of counsel for the appellees.

William R. Peters
1122. vs. Plff in Error In Error to the
William H. Robertson, Circuit Court of
Collector of the Port of the United States
New York. ..... for the Southern Dis-
trict of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Linden Kent, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Cambria Iron Company vs. Thomas L. Ashburn, Trustee &c. — Appellant

296.

On motion of Mr. Mortimer Matthews, of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d rule.

The Cambria Iron Company vs. Thomas L. Ashburn, Trustee &c. — Appellant

1296.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. M. Ramsey, Mr. Lawrence Maxwell Jr. and Mr. Mortimer Matthews, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. C. B. Matthews, of counsel for the appellee.

John B. Sargent & Wharton Branch, Intervenors vs. Eliza M. O'Brien et al. — Plffs. in Error

796.

Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error,

filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause for hearing with case No. 256, Hamrick vs. O'Brien et al.

302. John Halsted vs. Plff. in Error Sarah A. Buster et als. — Mr. A. Burlew, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, suggested a diminution of the record in this cause, and moved the Court to award a writ of certiorari. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted to withdraw the transcript of the record in this cause for the purpose of correcting the same.

5, Original — Ex parte: In the matter of Nollon Parker, Petitioner. — On motion of Mr. Walter N. Smith, It is ordered by the Court that time within which to make return to the rule to show cause issued herein be, and the same is hereby, extended to the next term.

Daniel K. Stewart
1263. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Virginia.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. William L. Royall and Mr. A. B. Guigon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. R. A. Ayers, of counsel for the defendant in error.

John S. Anderson,
Executor &c.
76. vs. Plff. in Error
The Township of Santa Anna.
And
John Confarr
77. vs. Plff. in Error
The Township of Santa Anna.

Ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

George W. Gotson
211. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Maryland.

This cause was argued by Mr. Henry D. Loney and Mr. S. Teackle Wallis,

of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and was submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Charles B. Roberts and Mr. C. J. H. Swann, of counsel for the defendant in error.

212. Spraigue, Soulee & Co. vs. Plffs. in Error / Joseph J. Thompson. — This cause was argued by Mr. H. B. Tompkins, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, & no counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

213. George D. Hill vs. Plff. in Error / The Territory of Washington ex rel: Elwood Evans, District Attorney. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Washington.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this

Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

G. B. Hunt et al., vs. David D. Oliver. 214. Appellants

On motion of Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellants, Ordered by the Court that one hour additional time be allowed to counsel on each side in the argument of this cause.

G. B. Hunt et al., vs. David D. Oliver. 214. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Henry M. Duffield, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 6th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan — Associate
William B. Woods — Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Henry M. Duffield it is ordered that John C. Fay, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

214. G. B. Hunt et al., vs. David D. Oliver. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Henry M. Duffield, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Charles F. Burton, and Mr. Alfred Russell, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 7th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

G. B. Hunt et al., vs. Appellants
214. David D. Oliver.

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellants.

The County Court of Cape Girardeau County, Mo.
215. vs. Plff. in Error
The United States ex rel:
John J. Hill. . . . . .

This cause was argued by Mr. R. B. Oliver, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John B. Henderson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Steamer "Harrisburg" &c., et al. . . . . .
217. vs. Appellants
Emma S. Pickards et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. Thomas Hart Jr. of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Henry Flanders, of counsel for the appellees.

William Gray
218. vs. Plff. in Error
Mary Maple.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10

of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States ..... This cause was
714. vs. Appellant submitted to the
John Hailey, Adm'r. &c. consideration of
the Court on the
record and printed argument by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellant - & no counsel appearing for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, April 8th, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter D. Davidge it is ordered that Irving Williamson, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

220. The South Boston Iron Company vs. The United States. Appellant

This cause was argued by Mr. John G. Fay, of counsel for the appellant, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellees.

221. Nicholas E. Paine vs. The Central Vermont Railroad Company. Plff. in Error

This cause was argued by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Guy G. Noble and Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, April 9th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. F. Dolph it is ordered that J. W. Whalley, Esquire, of Portland, State of Oregon, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Augusta B. Allen et al. This cause
222. vs. Plffs. in Error was argued
The St. Louis National Bank. by Mr. John
A. Rogers,
of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr.
James Hagerman, of counsel for the defend-
ant in error.

The Memphis & Little Rock In pursu-
Railroad Company (as ance of a
reorganized) stipulation
22. vs. Appellant of counsel
The Southern Express Company. for the respect-
The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & ive parties
Southern Railway Company filed in these
26. vs. Appellant cases, It is
The Southern Express Company. ordered by the
And Court that the
The Missouri, Kansas & Texas decrees enter-
Railway Company ed in these
55. vs. Appellant cases by this
W. B. Dinsmore, President Court be, and
of Adams Express Com- they are here-
pany vs. by, amended
by adding

thereto the following:

It is further ordered that said reversal shall be without prejudice to the proceeding already had in adjusting the accounts between the parties of the business done while the injunction therein granted by that Court was in force, but not to fix any particular standard of compensation in respect of the transactions in question; and proceed with the adjusting of such accounts and to make proper orders for the speedy adjusting of the same to the end that just compensation made to the defendant below on dismissing said bill for services performed pending the suit; and to that end the master heretofore appointed therein, or such other master as the Court may appoint in his place, may consider all the proofs relevant thereto heretofore taken in the cause, whether before or since a final hearing, and such other proofs as may be adduced relating to the extent and value of the service rendered by the defendant below for the complainant and the payments made on

account thereof, and relating to such other matters necessary to be inquired into, in order to adjust said accounts between the various to the end that a proper final decree may be entered in accordance with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 12th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William G. Russell it is ordered that William Caleb Loring, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William Leese it

is ordered that Charles E. Magoon, Esquire, of Lincoln, State of Nebraska, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. F. Phillips it is ordered that James F. Miller, Esquire, of Gonzales, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. C. P. Breckinridge it is ordered that Joseph D. Sayres, Esquire, of Bastrop, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company	
162.	vs. Appellant	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.
	Jonathan Young Scammon, Maria S. Scammon, Florence A. D. Reed, Joseph S. Reed, Arianna C. Scammon, Martin Andrews, Caroline Andrews, Mark Kimball, Assignee, Charles Comstock, First National Bank of Macomb, Illinois, Hiram Wilson, et al.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, except as to the strip of land referred to in it, 69 5/8 inches wide in front and 9 7/8 inches in the

rear, and the depth of the lot, and is reversed as to that strip; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to strike out of the decree everything relating to that strip; and the appellees F. A. D. Reed and A. E. Seamon are to have costs on the appeal against the appellant.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The County of Daviess vs. Plff. in Error ..... In Error to the
1886. E. M. Dickinson ..... Circuit Court
..... of the United
States for the
District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby,

remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to set aside the verdict and award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

W. Hallett Phillips and Clavius Phillips, Executors of Philip Phillips, deceased vs. James S. Negley. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

199. Plffs. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to dismiss the motion of the defendant, but without prejudice to his right to file a bill in equity.

	Edward J. Cantrell & Henry B. Petty, trading as Cantrell & Petty.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Penn.
201.	vs. Appellants	
	Washington Wallick.	
		Sylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of Pennsylvania.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

	Joseph Jackson 2nd John C. Fortune	Appeal from the Circuit Court
205.	vs. Appellants	of the United
	Frederick A. Laurence,	States for the
	Mary L. Laurence,	Western Dis-
	Edward A. Tallman,	trict of Missou-
	Henry Tallman et al.	ri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

	Samuel A. Emerson, as Interpleader,	In Error to the Circuit Court of
154.	vs. Plff. in Error	The United States
	W. M. Senter and W. T.	for the Eastern
	Wilkins, partners as	District of
	Senter & Company.	Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter judgment on the facts found in favor of the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	The State of New Jersey, Robert Given, Edward J. Thompson and Mahlon Prickitt, prosecutors,	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New Jersey.
143.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	Henry Wright, Collector of Taxes in the Township of Shamong.	

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New Jersey, being possessed of the record and proceedings upon a remittitur from the Court of Errors and Appeals of the State of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The State of Alabama, John Swann & John A. Billups, Trustees &c. vs. J. G. Montague, J. A. Bass et al. — Appellants

084. A suggestion of the death of J. A. Bass, one of the appellees in this cause, having been heretofore filed,

It is now here ordered by the Court that A. D. Bass, widow and Administratrix of said J. A. Bass, deceased, and Robert Bass, Edward Bass, Archie Bass and Mary Bass, heirs at law of said J. A. Bass, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellees in this cause.

The State of Alabama.

John Swann and John A. Billups, Trustees & Assignees ..... Appellants

vs.

J. J. Montague, Xenophon Wheeler, Isaac H. Tower, George M. Sherwood, Charles Woodbury, A. D. Bass, widow and Adm'x. of J. A. Bass, deceased, Robert Bass, Edward Bass, Archie Bass and Mary Bass, heirs at Law of J. A. Bass, deceased, ... Marion Carlile, Samuel Worthy, Jacob Rauber, G. C. Reinhardt, Jacob Geyer, J. P. McMillin & D. C. McMillin Jr. ..... Appellees

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee.

984.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The State of Alabama, John Swann and John A. Billups, Trustees as well as Grantees,

335. vs. Plaintiffs in Error,

Theodore G. Montague, in his own right, and as Agent for Xenophon Wheeler, George M. Sherwood, Isaac N. Tower, and Francis Woodburry; also Xenophon Wheeler, George M. Sherwood, Isaac N. Tower, Francis Woodburry, Lewis Aufrecht, Charles Woodburry, ~~~~ Monteith, formerly ~~~~ Woodburry, and her husband George Monteith, Arthur Woodburry, Sarah M. Sherwood, Marion Carlile, Walter Carlile, Nellie Younge, W. W. Younge, Cornelia Carlile, Harriet Garrabrant, J. A. Kauber, Bradford Post, Maggie Roberts, D. F. Clanton, Mattie Worley, and her husband, Samuel Worley, Jacob Pryor, ~~~~ Adcock, widow of J. A. Adcock, Albert D. Mahoney, Bird Ashley, George Harris, C. B. Reeves, M. B. Rogers and William Barlow.

~~~~

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee.
~~~~

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Henry Zeigler
1240. vs. Plff. in Error
Theron R. Hopkins.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Francis M. Long and his wife Betsy A. Long	In Error to the Supreme Court of
206.	vs. Plffs. in Error	The State of Georgia.
	Daniel Bullard.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Robert G. Campbell	In Error to the
207.	vs. Plff. in Error	Supreme Court
	The District of Columbia.	of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and

adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1263. Daniel K. Stewart vs. Plff. in Error The State of Virginia. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1305. The Jacksonville, Pensacola & Mobile Railroad Company vs. The United States. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term immediately after the cases already assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1022. John W. Ebbinghaus, Trustee, vs. John G. Tillian et al., Trustees. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term immediately after No. 1305 on the present docket.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John B. Sargent and Wharton Branch, Intervenors, ... On considera-
796. vs. Plffs. in Error tion of the mo-
Eliza M. O'Brien et al. tion to advance
..... this cause and
assign the same
for hearing
with No. 256, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Edward A. Boyd et al. On motion of
983. vs. Plffs. in Error Mr. Solicitor
The United States. General Goode,
of counsel for
the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Schmidt Brothers Mr. W. A. Maury,
1287. vs. Appellants in behalf of counsel
E. M. Cobb for the appellants,
and submitted to the
Arthur O'Malley consideration of
1288. vs. Appellant the Court a motion
J. P. Farley. to

to continue these cases until the next term.

866. Jenas C. Robbins vs. Edward A. Rollins. Appellant. Mr. John Selden, of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Edward A. Rollins, the appellee in this cause, and moved the Court for an order, under the 15th rule, to make the proper representatives in the personality and in the reality of the said decedent in the premises, parties; Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives in the personality and in the reality of the said Edward A. Rollins, deceased in the premises, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the appellant shall be entitled to open the record and, on hearing, have the decree reversed, if it be erroneous; Provided, however that a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia for three successive weeks, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

289. The Raleigh & Gaston R.R. Co. vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Commissioners of Wake County.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. F. Phillips, in support of the same, and Mr. Edmund Randolph Robinson and Mr. John W. Hinsdale, in opposition thereto.

48. William Craig vs. Appellant
Thomas Leitensdorfer.

Mr. O. D. Barrett, of counsel for the appellant, moved the Court to fix a day for the hearing of this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

980. The Mexican National Construction Company vs. Plff. in Error
Guillaume Reusens.

The motion to require the plaintiff in error to give additional security was

submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Michael H. Cardozo, in support of the same, and Mr. Theodore F. H. Meyer and Mr. Joseph H. Choate, in opposition thereto.

The Pacific National Bank of Boston,
Linus M. Price, Receiver,
729. vs. Plaintiffs in Error
George Mixter.
The Pacific National Bank of Boston,
Linus M. Price, Receiver,
730. vs. Plaintiffs in Error
Henry M. Whitney.
1154. The National Security Bank, Plff. in Error,
vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver.
1155. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c., Appt., vs
Lewis Coleman et al.
The Pacific National Bank of Boston,
Linus M. Price, Receiver,
1156. vs. Plaintiffs in Error,
Daniel L. Demmon.
1197. John P. Delano, Appt. vs. Linus M.
Price, Receiver &c.
1198. Harvey Mills, Appt. vs Linus M. Price,

1199. The Taunton Savings Bank, App't. vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1200. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank, App't., vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1201. Charles E. Morrison, App't vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1241. John P. Delano, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1242. Harvey Mills, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1243. The Taunton Savings Bank, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1244. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank. Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

1245. Charles E. Morrison, Plff. in Error, vs. Linus M. Price, Receiver &c.

Mr. A.A. Ranney, of counsel, suggested the removal of Linus M. Price as Receiver of the Pacific National Bank of Boston, and the appointment of Peter Butler as such Receiver, and moved the Court to make said Peter Butler, Receiver &c. a party in these cases in place of said Linus M. Price. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that

said Peter Butler, as Receiver of the Pacific National Bank of Boston, &c, and he is made a party in these cases in place of said Linus M. Price.

Quin Bohanan
1261. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Nebraska.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. William Leese, in support of the same, and Mr. Charles O. Whedon, in opposition thereto.

E. G. Merriwether
372. vs. Plff. in Error
The Judge of the Muhlenberg County Court, Kentucky.

On motion of Mr. W. C. P. Breckinridge, leave is hereby granted Mr. William Lindsay to withdraw his appearance as counsel for the defendant in error in this cause.

Ex parte: In the matter of Wash F. Lothrop
6, Original -- Petitioner.

This case was submitted by Mr. A. L. Carter, in support of the petition, and argued by Mr. Thomas Mitchell, in opposition thereto.

Catharine Conley
223. vs. Appellant
Rachel D. Tailor et als.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 13th, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

1315. Elizabeth J. Oakley vs. Pltff. in Error / Edward K. Goodnow. — The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. George Crane, in support of the same, and Mr. L. H. Gatch in

223. Catharine Conley vs. Rachel D. Taliaferro et als. Appellant. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. C. C. Cole and Mr. W. A. Root, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the appellant.

224. Charles C. Cadman vs. William Peter. Appellant. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. C. J. Walter, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Harrison Geer, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 14th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. M. Evarts it is ordered that Hamilton R. Squier, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

In motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. S. Henkle it is ordered that F. A. Lehmann, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Yick Wo
1280. vs. Pltff. in Error.
Peter Hopkins, Sheriff vs.,
and
Wo Lee
1281. vs. Appellant
Peter Hopkins, Sheriff vs.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the records and printed arguments by Mr. Hall McAllister and Mr D. L. Smoot, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellant, and Mr. Alfred Clarke and Mr. H. G. Beirist, of counsel for the appellee and defendant in error.

Charles C. Cadman
224. vs. Appellant
William Peter.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr.

Harrison Geer, and concluded by Mr. Ashley Pond, of counsel for the appellee.

The Heirs of John F. Benjamin, deceased,
225. vs. Appellants
Charles L. DuBois, Adm'r. vcs.

The motion to dismiss this appeal was argued by Mr. A.S. Worthington, in support of the same, and Mr. S.S. Henkle, in opposition thereto. The argument on the merits was postponed for the present.

The United States
226. vs. Appellant
C. S. Wilson.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed until to-morrow.

Elizabeth A.L. Hyatt
227. vs. Appellant
W. P. Southworth.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause being called for hearing

in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the Transcript of the record It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

228. The Wabash, St. Louis & Pacific Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the State of Illinois.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. H. S. Greene, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and concluded by Mr. George Hunt, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, April 15th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that James A. Bope and Jacob F. Burket, Esquires, of Findlay, State of Ohio, and Jacob Trieber, Esquire, of Helena, State of Arkansas, be admitted to practice as attorneys

and Counsellors of this Court and They were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph Lyman it is ordered that C. E. Lake, Esquire, of Marengo, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. K. Goodloe it is ordered that Alex.r Pope Humphrey, Esquire, of Louisville, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. O. D. Barrett it is ordered that Eugene M. Johnson, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The Heirs of John F. Benjamin, deceased,	Appeal from the
225.	vs. Appellants	Supreme Court of
	Charles L. Du Bois, Administrator of Guy N. Schley deceased. (formerly Guy N. Allen.)	the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and on the motion to dismiss which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Inhabitants of the Town of Wiscasset in the County of Lincoln and State of Maine	In Error to the Supreme
1149.	vs. Plffs. in Error	Judicial Court of the
	Frank W. Robinson.	State of Maine.

This cause being called to the attention

of the Court by Mr George C. Hazelton, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiffs in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Inhabitants of the Town of Wiscasset		On motion of Mr. George C. Hazelton,
1119.	vs. Plffs. in Error	in behalf of coun-
Frank W. Robinson.		sel, leave is here-
		by granted the

Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The Wabash, St. Louis &		The argument of
Pacific Railway Company		this cause was
228.	vs. Plff. in Error	continued by Mr.
The People of the State of		George Hunt, of
Illinois.		counsel for the
		defendants in

error, and concluded by Mr. W. C. Goudy, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

226. The United States vs. C. S. Wilson. Appellant

This cause was argued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellant, & no counsel appearing for the appellee.

230. The Louisiana State Lottery Company vs. Thomas P. Morgan. Plff. in Error.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

231. The United States vs. Plff. in Error The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Company. This cause was argued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. E. N. East, of counsel for the defendant in error.

232. William F. Graham et al. vs. Appellants The Boston, Hartford & Erie Railroad Company et al. On motion of Mr. William G. Russell, of counsel for the appellees, Ordered by the Court that counsel on each side be allowed one and a half hours additional time on the argument of this cause.

232. William F. Graham et al. vs. Appellants The Boston, Hartford & Erie Railroad Company et al's. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Eugene H. Johnson, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. William Caleb Loring, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Friday. April 16th, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. G. G. Symes it is ordered that Sam. P. Rose, Esquire, of Denver, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. P. Luppy it is ordered that E. N. Hereford, Esquire, of Tucson, Territory of Arizona, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

William F. Graham et al. vs. The Boston, Hartford & Erie Railroad Company et al. — Appellants

232.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. William Cobb Loring, Mr. William G. Russell, Mr. John C. Gray and Mr. C. S. Bradley, of counsel for the appellees, and Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the appellants.

The United States vs. John M. Langston — Appellant

1322.

Mr. George A. King, of counsel for the appellee, in behalf of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 19th, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler it is ordered that Charles Fisk Beach, Jr. Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. O. D. Barrett it is ordered that Edwin J. Rice, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John Dobson and James Dobson	Appeal from the Circuit Court
202. vs. Appellants	of the United States for the
John Dornan, Francis Maybin, Robert Dornan and John Pickens, trading as Dornan, Maybin & Company	Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the final decree of the said Circuit in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said

Circuit Court with a direction to dis-allow the award of damages, and to award six cents damages, and to allow to the defendants a recovery for their costs after interlocutory decree and to the plaintiffs a recovery for their costs to and including interlocutory decree.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The United States Rifle and Cartridge Company and E. Remington & Sons, Appellants vs. The Whitney Arms Company, Eli Whitney, President, and Eli Whitney.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said

Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

188. Horace S. Johnston vs. Plff. in Error The District of Columbia. In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

208. Winfield Scott Keyes and Albert Arents vs. Plffs. in Error James B. Grant & James Grant. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The United States, Appellant vs. William J. Landram. — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

1299.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

	The County Court of Cape Girardeau County, Missouri, and William Hager, Samuel Hill and Robert Snider, the Judges thereof	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
215.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	The United States ex rel: John T. Hill.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	The Eureka Mutual Insurance Company, Intervenor	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
1101.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The United States ex rel: Judah Hart.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause, ordering a mandamus, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, but that the cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with instructions to modify the directions of the mandamus as to the payment of the bonds issued, in accordance with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Board of Liquidation of the City Debt of New Orleans		In Error to the Circuit Court
1123.	vs. Plff. in Error	of the United
The United States ex rel: Judah Hart		States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on

the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause, ordering a mandamus, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, but that the cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with instructions to modify the directions of the mandamus as to the payment of the bonds issued, in accordance with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The South Boston Iron Company vs. The United States. — Appeal from the Court of Claims.

220.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now

here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Cambria Iron Company vs. Thomas 2. Ashburn, Trustee of the First Mortgage Bond-holders. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Ohio.

296.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Craig Buckman
1233. vs. Appellant
The Amador & Sacramento Canal Company et als.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Mexican National Construction Company
980. vs. Plff in Error
Guillaume Reusens.

On consideration of the motion to require the plaintiff in error to give additional security, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the

same. It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is here by, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Quin Bohanan
261. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Nebrasta.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Elizabeth J. Oakley
1315. vs. Plff. in Error
Edward K. Goodnow

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa, and on the motion to dismiss or affirm which was argued by by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is

now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States
1322. vs. Appellant
John M. Langston.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted, with leave to submit the case on printed arguments to be filed on or before the 26th instant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Kings County Savings Institution
195. vs. Plff. in Error
Benjamen F. Blair Adm'r &c.
And
Turpin & Brother
180. vs. Plffs. in Error
Rush Burgess, Collector &c.

On motion of Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the defendants in error, leave is hereby

granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

289. The Raleigh & Gaston Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error The Board of Commissioners of Wake County. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of North Carolina.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. S. F. Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error, and the authority of the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

163. The Chicago & Alton R.R. Co. vs. Plff. in Error The Wiggins Ferry Company. On motion of Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the defendant in error, It is ordered by the Court that the order continuing this cause until the next term be, and

The Chicago & Alton R.R. Co. vs. Plff. in Error,
163. The Wiggins Ferry Company. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Henry Hitchcock and Mr. E.A. Finkelnburg, in support of the same, and Mr. C. Beckwith, in opposition thereto.

Ex parte: In the matter of Joseph S. Laurie Petitioner. Mr. John M. Glover filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus and for a rule to show cause why said writ should not issue.

The Schraeder Mining and Manufacturing Company vs. Plff. in Error
1084. Elisha A. Packer. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record

and printed arguments by Mr. C. H. Armes and Mr. D. C. DeWitt, in support of the same, and by Mr. John F. Sanderson, in opposition thereto. Mr. John F. Sanderson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error also submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a new bond in this cause.

657. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error, vs.
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

658. Otto Goldstucker et als., Plffs. in Error, vs.
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

659. George S. Wells et als., Plffs. in Error, vs.
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

660. George S. Wells et als., Plffs. in Error, vs.
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

661. George S. Wells et als., Plffs. in Error, vs.
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

662. George S. Wells et als., Plffs. in Error, vs.
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

The motion to recall the mandates issued in these cases, and to reinstate the cases on the docket, was submitted to

The consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Alexander Porter Morse and Mr. James D. Thompson, in support of the same, and Mr. L. C. Yonge Jr., in opposition thereto.

1269. The Central Trust Company vs. Lewis Seasongood, survivor &c. Appellant. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. E. A. Jordan, in support of the same and Mr. William M. Ramsey, Mr. Lawrence Maxwell Jr. and Mr. Mortimer Matthews, in opposition thereto.

232. William F. Graham et al. vs. The Boston, Hartford & Erie Railroad Company et al. Appellants. The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Benjamin F. Butler, of counsel for the appellants.

Monday, April 19th 1886, continued.

77. William Gardner et al. vs. Martin Herz et al. Appellants. This cause was argued by Mr. C. H. Dickerson, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. James P. Foster, of counsel for the appellees.

233. J. A. Fay & Company vs. Norman D. Fraser, Administrator of Eber C. Preble, deceased. Appellants. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Margaret Sherman	Appeal from the
234.	vs. Appellant	Supreme Court
	Ellida J. Middleton.	of the District of
		Columbia.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Salt Lake City	The argument
235.	vs. Appellant	of this cause
	O. J. Hollister, Collector &c.	was commenced
		by Mr. F. S.

Richards, of counsel for the appellant.
Further argument postponed for the present.

Washington Libby
236. vs. Plff. in Error
William H. Clark.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George R. Peck, Mr. A. J. Britton and Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and by the defendant in error in propria persona.

John F. Hartranft,
Collector &c.
237. vs. Plff. in Error
Lamont Du Pont.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

The Vicksburg & Meridian
Railroad Company
238. vs. Plff. in Error
Mary E. Pa & John J. O'Brien.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Edgar H. Johnson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 20th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that Charles G. W. French, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Thomas Baldwin ..... On motion of
1324. vs. Plff. in Error ..... Mr. Solicitor
J. C. Franks, Marshal &c. ..... General Goode,
It is ordered
by the Court that this cause be, and the
same is hereby, advanced, to be submitted
on printed arguments, per stipulation on
file.

The New Orleans National ..... Mr. J. R.
Banking Association et al. ..... Beckwith, in
897. vs. Appellants ..... behalf of
E. D. Le Breton, Assignee &c. et al. ..... counsel for
..... the appel-
lants, submitted to the consideration of the
Court a motion to advance this cause.

The Vicksburg & Meridian ..... The argument of
Railroad Company ..... this cause was
238. vs. Plff. in Error ..... continued by
Mary E. and John J. O'Brien. ..... Mr. Edgar M.
..... Johnson, of
counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. C.
Catchings, of counsel for the defendants in error,
and concluded by Mr. Edgar M. Johnson, of

	Benjamin F. Lee	In Error to the Cir-
239.	vs. Pff. in Error	cuit Court of the
	Francis F. Morlon and	United States for
	William P. Chesley.	the Southern Dis-
		trict of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and a motion by the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Benjamin F. Lee	In Error to the Circuit
240.	vs. Pff. in Error	Court of the United
	Francis F. Morlon and	States for the South-
	William P. Chesley.	ern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and a motion by the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that

the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Charles McGrath, Receiver of The Southern Minnesota Railroad Company
241. vs. Appellant
Lewis Grieser and Henry Powell.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10 of this Court, in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Richard T. Francis et al.	This cause was
242.	vs. Appellants	argued by Mr.
	James M. Flinn.	J. R. Beckwith,
		of counsel for

the appellants, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Joseph P. Hornor, of counsel for the appellee.

	William H. Levine et al.	On motion of Mr.
243.	vs. Appellants	J. R. Beckwith, of
	Robert M. Wilson et al.	counsel for the ap.
		pellants, Ordered

by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

	Caroline Carson	The argument of
245.	vs. Plff. in Error	these cases was
	Mary E. Hyatt et al.	commenced by Mr.
	And	H. E. Young, of coun-
	Caroline Carson	sel for the plaintiff
462.	vs. Appellant	in error and ap-
	Mary A. Hyatt, Executrix, et al.	pellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 21st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Morrow it is ordered that Edward Lynch, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. R. Judd it is ordered that Henry M. Markham Esquire, of Pasadena, State of California, and George B. Markham, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. E. McDonald it is ordered that Thomas F. Davidson, Esquire, of Crawfordsville, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

270. Richard F. Knox and Joseph Osborn vs. The New Idria Mining Company. — Appellants — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause being called to the attention

of the Court by Mr. D. L. Smoot, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed; Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellants.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Caroline Carson	The argument of
245.	vs. Plff. in Error	these cases was
	Mary E. Hyatt et al.	continued by Mr.
	and	Edward McCrady
	Caroline Carson	Jr., of counsel for
462.	vs. Appellant	the defendants in
	Mary A. Hyatt, Ex-	error and appel-
	ecutrix, et al.	lees, and conclud-
		ed by Mr. James

Lowndes, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellant.

	The Home Insurance	Ordered by the Court
	Company of New York,	that this cause be, and
246.	vs. Plff. in Error	the same is hereby, continued
	The People of the State	until the next term, per stipu-

247. The Fire Association of Philadelphia vs. Plff. in Error The People of the State of New York.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

248. The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co. vs. Plff. in Error A. E. White, Administrator &c.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

249. Thomas Hopper vs. Plff. in Error The Town of Covington, Indiana.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Thomas F Davidson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	Schmidt Brothers	Ordered by the
287.	vs. Appellants	Court that these
	E. M. Cobb.	cases be, and
	Arthur O'Malley	the same are
1288.	vs. Appellant	hereby, continued until the
	J. P. Farley.	next term.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, April 22d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present.

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Goode it is ordered that Evans S. Pillsbury, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. J. Hammond it is ordered that Hoke Smith, Esquire, of Atlanta, State of Georgia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1323. Richard A. Tilghman vs. William Proctor et al. Appellant

On motion of Mr. George Harding of counsel for the appellant, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the first Monday in November next at the foot of the call.

249. Thomas Hopper vs. The Town of Covington, Indiana. Plff. in Error

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

235. Salt Lake City vs. O. J. Hollister, Collector &c. Appellant

The argument of this cause was continued by

Mr. Franklin S. Richards, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Richards, for the appellant.

250. The Vicksburg & Meridian Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error
Israel Putnam. This cause was argued by Mr. Edgar M. Johnson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Hoke Smith, of counsel for the defendant in error.

251. Jarvis Ingersoll, James H. Ingersoll & Theodore P. Austin vs. Plffs. in Error.
Isaiah S. Williams and Royall S. Cranes. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to comply with the provisions of Section 2, Rule 10, of this Court in regard to printing the transcript of the record, It is now

here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Marie P. Evans et al.
252. vs. Plffs. in Error
Mrs. Mary A. Pike et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the defendants in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. William Grant, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 26th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present.

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. A. Poston it is ordered that J. B. Edgington, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter H. Smith it is ordered that John C. Fitz Gerald, Esquire, of Grand Rapids, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John J. Waite it is ordered that Robert S. Rudd, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Morrow it is ordered that Joseph McKenna, Esquire, of Suisun, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. C. Bancroft Davis it is ordered that A. McF. Davis, Esquire, of Cambridge, State of Massachusetts, be

admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Charles L. Coatman — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan.
224. vs. Appellant
William Peter.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Juan S. Hart, Administrator — Appeal from
of the estate of Simeon Hart, dec'd., The Court
198. vs. Appellant of Claims.
The United States.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

231. The United States vs. Plff. in Error
The Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis Railway Company.
In Error to the Circuit Court of the Middle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause

be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to set aside the verdict and for further proceedings in conformity with law and with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Spraigue, Saulles & Company owners of the Steamer "Saxon" vs. Pffs. in Error Joseph J. Thompson — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia.

212.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Georgia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to take further proceedings therein according to law and in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

The United States
226. vs. Appellant
C. S. Wilson.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed without prejudice to the right of the appellant to bring an action at law.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Catharine Conley
223. vs. Appellant
Rachel D. Tailor, Washington J. Tailor, Matthew Trimble 2nd Lizzie Trimble, his wife, James W. Clarke, Frances Clarke, his wife.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Lottie A. Clay		Appeal from the Dis-
677. vs.	Appellant	trict Court of the
Lucy B. Freeman and		United States for the
David J. Field.		Northern District of
		Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this

Court that the decree of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said District Court with directions to overrule the demurrer and to proceed in the cause according to law and the principles announced in the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

200. The Iron Silver Mining Company vs. Plff. in Error
The Elgin Mining & Smelting Company, John W. Newton, Charles Dennison, M. B. Carpenter S. L. Havens, J. W. Beeman & Wm Havens.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and

adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite and Mr. Justice Bradley.

(Mr. Justice Gray did not hear the argument and took no part in the decision of this case.)

The Pennsylvania Railroad Company
The Pennsylvania Company, The Pittsburg, Fort Wayne & Chicago Railway Company, The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Company and The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railroad Company

112. vs. Appellants

The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute Railroad Company

And

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the

The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute Railroad Company
vs. Appellant
The Indianapolis & St. Louis Railway Company The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railroad Company. The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Company. The Pittsburgh, Fort Wayne & Chicago Railway Company and The Pennsylvania Railroad Company.

United States for the Dist. Court of Indiana.

209.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana, on appeal and cross-appeal, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause so far as relates to the appellants The Pennsylvania Railroad Company, The Pennsylvania Company,

The Pittsburgh, Fort Wayne & Chicago Railway Company, The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Company and The Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad Company be, and the same is hereby, reversed, and in all other respects that said decree be, and the same is hereby, affirmed; costs in this Court to be paid by the St. Louis, Alton - Terre Haute Railroad Company; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill as to the above named appellants.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley and Mr. Justice Harlan.

8 Cal.

The Southern Pacific Railroad Company vs. The People of the State of California.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions that it be sent back to the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, for removal to the Circuit Court of the United States in accordance with the prayer of the petition filed for that purpose.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Wash F. Lothrop
6, Original — Petitioner.

On petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

On consideration of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus, the return to the rule to show cause issued herein and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, It is now here ordered by the Court that

said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1322. The United States vs. John M. Langston. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. E. M. Watson, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. George A. King, of counsel for the appellee.

669. The Union Central Life Insurance Company vs. John F. Lowekamp, Executor of John H. Lowekamp, deceased. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. H. Dillon, Junior, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and

a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Alexander Bruce et al. vs. The Manchester & Keene Railroad. 759. Appellants

On motion of Mr. Frank W. Hackett, of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Walter N. Smith vs. Daisy P. O. Black, Truslee &c. 29. Appellant

A motion for leave to file a bill of review in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Frank. W. Hackett, in support of the same, and Mr. Walter N. Smith, in opposition thereto.

George L. Dent et al. — A motion to re-
1234. vs. Appellants ..... scind the decree
William Ferguson et al. docketing and
dismissing this

appeal and for leave to file the record and docket the cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. D. M. Poston and Mr. W. K. Poston, in support of the same, and Mr. J. B. Edgington, in opposition thereto.

James K. Johnson et al. — Mr. Alexander
254. vs. Plffs. in Error Porter Morse, of
Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c. counsel for the
plaintiffs in

error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to recall the mandate issued in this cause and to restore the cause to the docket.

657. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

658. Otto Goldstucker et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

659. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,

660. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

661. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

662. George S. Wells et al., Plffs. in Error,
vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

On motion of Mr. Alexander Porter Morse leave is hereby granted him to file an additional affidavit in support of the motion to recall the mandates issued in these cases and to restore the cases to the docket.

949. The Plymouth Consolidated Gold Mining Company et al. vs. The Amador & Sacramento Canal Company. Appellants

and

950. The Plymouth Consolidated Gold Mining Company et al. vs. The Amador & Sacramento Canal Company. Plffs. in Error

On motion of Mr. W. A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, Ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, advanced.

pursuant to the 32d Rule.

The Plymouth Consolidated Gold Mining Company et al. vs. The Amador & Sacramento Canal Company ..... Appellants

and

The Plymouth Consolidated Gold Mining Company et al. vs. The Amador & Sacramento Canal Company. ..... Plffs. in Error

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record in No. 949 and printed arguments by Mr. J. H. Boalt, of counsel for the appellants and plaintiffs in error and Mr. J. H. McKune, of counsel for the appellee and defendant in error. A motion to dismiss the writ of error in No. 950 was submitted to the consideration of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. J. H. McKune, in support of the same.....

949.

950.

	Thomas Baldwin	This cause was
324.	vs. Plff. in Error	submitted to the
	E. C. Franks, Marshal	consideration of
	of the United States for	the Court on the
	the District of Cali-	record and print-
	fornia.	ed arguments by

Mr. A. L. Hart, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Hall McAllister, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	Dick E. Arrowsmith	The motion to dis-
1106.	vs. Plff. in Error	miss or affirm
	Amelia Harmoning,	in this cause was
	Adm'x. &c.	submitted to the
		consideration of

the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. C. Cochran, in support of the same, and Mr. Henry Heurbegin, in opposition thereto.

	Morgan's Louisiana & Texas Railroad & Steamship Company	The argument of this cause
552.	vs. Pff. in Error	was com-
	The Board of Health of the State of Louisiana et al.	menced by Mr. Henry J. Leovy, of coun-

sel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. E. B. Zacharie and Mr. William M Evarts, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 27th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John C. Fay it is ordered that S. H. Gray, Esquire, of Camden, State of New Jersey, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

George L. Dent et al. vs. William Ferguson et al. — Appellants

1234. On motion of Mr. J. B. Edgington, of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted to submit, provided counsel for both sides file with the Clerk printed arguments, a motion for an order and writ of mandamus to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee to vacate its order reinstating the Receiver and for an order directing a restoration of the property to the appellees under their writ of possession claimed to have been executed, and also for a writ of mandamus for fieri facias to issue on the decree of the Court below.

Richard Viterbo vs. Samuel Friedlander. — Appellant

1089. Mr. Albert Voorhies, in behalf of counsel for the appellee, suggested the death of Samuel Friedlander, the appellee in this cause, and moved the Court to make Max Dinkelspiel and J. Friedlander, Testamentary Executors of said Samuel

Friedlander, the parties appellees in this cause. Whereupon, It is now hereordered by the Court that Max Dinkelspiel and J. Friedlander, Testamentary Executors of Samuel Friedlander, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made the parties appellees in this cause.

552. Morgan's Louisiana & Texas Railroad & Steamship Company vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Health of the State of Louisiana, et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. William M. Evarts, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

127. Frederick M. Kerr vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the State of Illinois.

This cause was argued by Mr. C. Stuart Beattie, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. P. S. Grosscup and Mr. George Hunt, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned un-

Wednesday, April 28th 1880.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that John B. Larner, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. F. Phillips it is ordered that Eugene Rosis, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Walter H. Smith
29. vs. Appellant
Daisy P. D. Black, Trustee.

On motion of Mr. Frank W. Hackett leave is hereby granted him to file an additional affidavit in support of the motion for leave to file a bill of review in this cause.

1277. Lorenzo Snow, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States.
1278. " " " " " " " " "
1279. " " " " " " " " "

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Franklin S. Richards and continued by Mr. George Tichnor Curtis, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until

Thursday, April 29th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. White, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
William B. Woods
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

377. Harris Eames, Appellant, vs. William D. Andrews et al.

456. William D. Andrews et al., Appellants, vs. George Hovey.

761. William D. Andrews et al., Appellants, vs. Royal D. Cone.

A motion to pass No. 377 until No. 456

is reached and to advance No. 761 to No. 455, the three cases to be heard together when No. 456 shall be reached in regular call of the docket, was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. D.B. Henderson, in support of the same, and Mr. George F. Edmunds, in opposition thereto.

321. W. H. Mace vs. Plff. in Error Edward Merrill. Mr. Frank W. Hackett, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, suggested a diminution of the record in this cause and moved the Court to award a writ of certiorari. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, awarded.

277. Lorenzo Snow, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States.

278. Lorenzo Snow, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States.

279. Lorenzo Snow, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Franklin S. Richards, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

The United States
129. vs. Appellant
The Central Pacific
Railroad Company.

This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Goode, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Joseph E. McDonald and Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday May 10th next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, May 10th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
William B. Woods Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. J. Willard it is ordered that Daniel Cleveland, Esquire, of San Diego, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Morrow it is ordered that Chester Seeber, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

177. William Gardner, Claimant. and Gardner and Jane E. Gardner vs. Martin Herz and John N. Mayo. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	William F. Graham Pltf	Appeal from the
	Amelia Teresa Raymond	Circuit Court
232.	vs. Appellants	of the United
	The Boston, Hartford & Erie	States for the
	Railroad Company et al.	District of
		Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

(Mr. Justice Gray took no part in the decision of this cause.)

	Lorenzo Snow	In Error to the Su-
1277.	vs. Plff. in Error	preme Court of the
	The United States.	Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah, and was argued by counsel. In consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Lorenzo Snow — In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.
1278. vs. Plff. in Error
The United States.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Lorenzo Snow	In Error to the Su-
1279.	vs. Pltff. in Error	preme Court of the
	The United States.	Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Angus M. Cannon	In Error to the Supreme
1169.	vs. Pltff. in Error	Court of the Territory
	The United States.	of Utah.

On further consideration of this cause, It is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment rendered by this Court on December 14, 1885, affirming the judgment of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah herein, be, and the same is hereby, set aside and vacated and the mandate

recalled. And it is further ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Nicholas E. Paine
221. vs. Pltff. in Error
The Central Vermont Railroad Company.

In Error to the Cir. cuit Court of the United States for the District of Vermont.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Vermont, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

249. Thomas Hopper vs. Plff. in Error The Town of Covington, Indiana. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

1280. Yick Wo vs. Plff. in Error Peter Hopkins, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of

the State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to discharge the petitioner from custody and imprisonment.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Wo Lee	Appeal from the
1281.	vs. Appellant	Circuit Court of
	Peter Hopkins, Sheriff of	the United States
	the City and County of	for the District of
	San Francisco.	California.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit

Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to discharge the petitioner from custody and imprisonment.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

214. Garrett B. Hunt and Jacob Eschleman vs. David D. Oliver. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause, against the appellants, be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs;

And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill as to the said appellants.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

237. John E. Hartranft, Collector of Customs for the District of Philadelphia. vs. Lamont Du Pont. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Pltff. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with

directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Bradley.

The United States — Appeal from the
1291. vs. Appellant — Court of Claims.
The Central Pacific
Railroad Company.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Woods.

The County of Santa Clara — In Error to
1464. vs. Deff. in Error — the Circuit
The Southern Pacific Rail- — Court of the
road Company. — United States
for the District

Monday, May 10th 1886, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The People of the State of California		In Error to the Circuit Court
622.	vs. Plffs. in Error	of the United
The Southern Pacific		States for the
Railroad Company.		District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said

Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	The People of the State of California	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.
521.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
	The Central Pacific Railroad Company.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

619. The County of San Bernardino vs. Plff. in Error
The Southern Pacific Railroad Company. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

620. The People of the State of California vs. Plff. in Error
The Northern Railway Company. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The United States vs. John M. Langston. Appellant

1322. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

George Place and Charles Place; Charles D. Bigelow, survivor of Bigelow & Trask; William H. Wright et al., Claimants of the Schooner General S. Van Vliet and Dan Smith et al., Claimants of the cargo, ... Appellants

62. vs. ..... Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.

The Norwich & New York Transportation Company.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Dissenting: .....

Mr. Justice Matthews, Mr. Justice Miller, Mr. Justice Harlan & Mr. Justice Gray.

Joseph W. Dyer, William Leavitt, Samuel B. Shaw, James Phillips, The Republic of Peru and Henry N. Rollins vs. The National Steam Navigation Company.	Appellants	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.

No. 48.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Matthews
Mr. Justice Miller
Mr. Justice Harlan and
Mr. Justice Gray.

	Hans Thomessen and Julius Smith, owners of the Norwegian Bark "Daphne"	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.
333.	vs. Appellants	
	Mark Whitwill.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Matthews
Mr. Justice Miller
Mr. Justice Harlan and
Mr. Justice Gray.

	Marie P. Evans and William R. Evans	In Error to
252.	vs.	the Circuit
	Plffs. in Error	Court of the
	Mrs. Mary A. Pike, widow of William S. Pike and natural tutrix of the minor Gertrude D. Pike; John H. Pike; Emma C. Pike, widow of Gray Dos. well, William S. Pike, Junior, and Mary L. Pike.	United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

	Evelin Norton	In Error to the
194.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court of
	Shelby County, State	the United States
	of Tennessee.	for the Western
		District of

Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

	Richard Francis, William J.	Appeal from
	Levine, G. W. Read, William F.	the Circuit
	Higgins, John McLaughlin,	Court of the
	Joseph Smith, John Ervine	United States
	et al.,	for the Eastern
242.	vs. Appellants	District of
	James M. Finn.	Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Salt Lake City
235. vs. Appellant
O. J. Hollister, Collector of Internal Revenue.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the

decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

552. Morgan's Louisiana & Texas Railroad and Steamship Company vs. The Board of Health of the State of Louisiana and The State of Louisiana. Pltff. in Error. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley.

	Washington Libby	In Error to the Su-
236.	vs. Pltf. in Error	preme Court of the
	William H. Clark.	State of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	The United States	On a certifi-
1246.	vs. Plaintiff.	cate of divis-
	Kagama, alias "Pacolah Billy",	ion in opin-
	an Indian and Mahawahas,	ion between
	alias "Ben", an Indian.	the Judges of
		the Circuit

Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the District of California and on the points or questions on which the judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion, and which were certified to this Court for its opinion agreeably to the act of Congress in such case made and provided, and was argued by counsels. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the 9th section of the act of March 3, 1885, is a valid law in both its branches, and that the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California has jurisdiction of the offence charged in the indictment in this case.

Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

183. Elisha J. Loring and Charles A. Welch vs. Charles H. Palmer — Appellants — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John Mullan and Francis Avery		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.
203. vs.	Appellants	
The United States.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

245. Caroline Carson vs. Plff. in Error Mary E. Hyatt, widow and heir at law of Edmund Hyatt, deceased, Mary A. Hyatt, Executrix and heir at law of Edmund Hyatt, deceased, Joaquin Delmonte, Executor of Edmund Hyatt, deceased, et al.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of South Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of South Carolina and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to remand it to the Court of Common Pleas of Charleston County, for removal to the Circuit Court in accordance with the prayer of the petition.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision

Caroline Carson
462. vs. Appellant
Mary A. Hyatt, as Executrix and devisee and heir at law of Edmund Hyatt, deceased; Joaquin Delmonte Executor of Edmund Hyatt, Mary E. Hyatt, heir at law of Edmund Hyatt and Julia Delmonte as devisee and heir at law of Edmund Hyatt.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to take jurisdiction and proceed to a final determination of the matter in controversy.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision

	The Plymouth Consolidated Gold Mining Company et al.	In Error to and Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for
949 & 950.	vs. Plff. in Error & App'ts.	
	The Amador & Sacramento Canal Company.	
	The District of California.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Dick E. Arrowsmith	In Error
1106.	vs. Plff. in Error	to the
	Amelia Harmoning, as Administratrix of the estate of John Frederick Harmoning, deceased, Amelia Harmoning, Lydia M. Harmoning et al.	Supreme Court of the State of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Ohio, and on the motion to dismiss or affirm which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

219.	The United States vs. John Hailey, Administrator of A. N. Robie, deceased.	From the Supreme Court of the Territory of Idaho.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Idaho and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed,

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

657. George S. Wells et al.
658. Otto Goldstucker et al.
659. George S. Wells et al.
660. George S. Wells et al.
661. George S. Wells et al.
662. George S. Wells et al.

} Plffs. in Error, vs. Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

In consideration of the motion to recall the mandates issued in these cases and to restore the cases to the docket and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

254. James K. Johnson et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Joseph Wilkins, Sheriff &c.

On consideration of the motion to recall the mandate issued in this cause and to restore the case to the docket, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George W. Corson
211. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Maryland.

This case presents a case in some respects like that in Robbins v. Taxing District, No. 1235 on the present docket which has been ordered for argument on the second day of the next term. As the Attorney General of Maryland was not able to attend Court when this case was argued orally for the plaintiff in error, and the point to be decided is one of great practical importance, a re-argument may be had at the next term in connection with No. 1235 if it is desired by him.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The New Orleans National Banking Association et al.
397. vs. Appellants
E. D. Le Breton, Assignee &c, et als.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the second day of the next term after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

1269. The Central Trust Company vs. Lewis Seasongood, Survivor &c. Appellant

On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that the motion to affirm be, and the same is hereby, denied, and that the motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1084. The Schraeder Mining and Manufacturing Company vs. Elisha A. Packer. Plff. in Error

On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1234. George G. Dent et al. vs. William Ferguson et al. Appellants

On consideration of the motion to rescind the decree

docketing and dismissing this appeal and for leave to file the record and docket the cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted upon payment by the appellants of the costs on this motion and on the motion to docket and dismiss.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George G. Dent et al. vs. William Ferguson et al. — Appellants — On consideration of the motion for a writ of mandamus in this

1234.

cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Joseph S. Laurie — Petitioner. — On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

377. Horace Eames, Appellant, vs. William D. Andrews et al.

456. Wm D. Andrews et al., Apps., vs. George Hovey

761. Wm D. Andrews et al., Apps., vs. Royal D. Cone.

On consideration of the motion that these cases be heard together when No 456 shall be reached in the regular call of the docket, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

163. The Chicago & Alton R.R. Co: vs. The Wiggins Ferry Company. Pltff. in Error

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Walter N. Smith
29. vs. Appellant
Daisy P. D. Black,
Trustee.

On consideration of the motion for leave to file a bill of review in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter
of William O'Brien,
Petitioner.

On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of prohibition, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Vicksburg & Meridian
Railroad Company
250. vs. Plff. in Error
Israel Putnam.

Ordered that the additional brief of the defendant in error be filed as requested by his counsel and that

the counsel for the plaintiff in error have leave to file a brief in reply before the first of September next.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

112. The Pennsylvania R.R. Co., et al., Appellants vs. The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R.R. Co. and The St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute R.R. Co. Appellants vs. The Indianapolis & St. Louis Railway Co. et al.

209.

Ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted to file a petition for a re-hearing in these cases and its consideration is postponed until the next term and the mandate withheld.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Amendment to Rule 34.

Ordered by the Court that the 2d section of Rule 34 of this Court be, and the same is hereby, amended by adding thereto the

following.

", or be enlarged upon recognizance as hereinafter provided."

Order.

It appearing that owing to the number of decisions made at this term a second volume of Reports is necessary, Ordered that the Reporter cause it to be printed and published pursuant to the provisions of Section 681 of the Revised Statutes and of Section 1 of Chapter 389 of the Acts of the 1st Session of the 47th Congress.

The East Tennessee, Virginia & Georgia R.R. Co.
614. vs. Appellant
John W. Grayson.

On motion of Mr. H. E. Davis, in behalf of coun. sel, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced, pursuant to the 32d Rule.

George G. Dent et al.
340. vs. Appellants
William Ferguson et al.

Mr. J. B. Edgington, of counsel for the appellees,

suggested the death of William Ferguson, one of the appellees in this cause, and moved the Court to make Isaac A. Ferguson, E. E. Ferguson, William N. Ferguson and Albert L. Ferguson, heirs at law of said William Ferguson, parties appellees in this cause, per stipulation of counsel. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that Isaac A. Ferguson, E. E. Ferguson, William N. Ferguson and Albert L. Ferguson, heirs at law of William Ferguson, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellees in this cause per stipulation of counsel.

294. Joseph Campbell vs. Plff. in Error Oswego Township. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, and authority of the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and

adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

537. William C. Wilson vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Commissioners, Clerk and Treasurer of Cherokee County, Kansas.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Wm A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, and authority of the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

538. William C. Wilson vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Commissioners, Clerk and Treasurer of Cherokee

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, and authority of the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Claude Lapsley, Master of the Steamship "Craigallion".	Appeal from the Circuit
845.	vs. Appellant	Court of the
	Samuel Henry, Joseph Henry, & Antonio Martinez, partners trading as Henry Brothers & Company.	United States for the District of Maryland.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Wm A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Louisville Gas Company		In Error to
248. vs.	Plff. in Error	the Court of
The Citizens Gaslight Company		Appeals of the
		State of

Kentucky.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Wm A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, and a motion by the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Inhabitants of the		In Error to the
Township of Mullica, in		Circuit Court
the County of Atlantic		of the United
137. vs.	Plffs. in Error	States for the
George W. Middleton.		District of New
		Jersey.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William A. McKenney,

in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiffs in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1264. Peter A. Lozier and Preston Stevenson, as Executors of Jane McDonald, deceased, Elizabeth Patterson and Catharine Bonner vs. Daniel R. Lyddy. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Wm A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellants.

Monday, May 10th 1886, continued.

Order.

It is now here ordered by the Court that all the cases on the docket not decided and all the other business of the term not disposed of by the Court be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term of the Court.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned to the time and place appointed by law.

Minutes

of the

Supreme Court

of the

United States

October Term

1886.

Monday, October 11th 1886.

At the Capitol of the United States, in the City of Washington and District of Columbia, being the present seat of the National Government of the United States, on the second Monday of October, (being the eleventh day of the same month), in the year of Our Lord one thousand, eight hundred and eighty-six, and of the Independence of the United States the one hundred and eleventh, the Supreme Court of the United States met agreeably to law.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that James M. Haven, Esquire, of San Francisco, and Timothy J. Crowley, Esquire, of San Rafael, State of California; John A. Gilmore, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana; Frank W. Owers, Esquire, of Leadville, State of Colorado; and S. Prentiss Nutt, Esquire, of Natchez, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. A. Ranney it is ordered that Benjamin A. Johnson, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. Chester it is ordered that Edmund Adcock and George C. Fry, Esquires, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Tichnor Curtis it is ordered that William Hildreth Field, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Green B. Raum it is ordered that Haviland Tompkins, Esquire, of Fairfield, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that William F. McRae, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Chapin Brown it is ordered that John C. Baldwin, Esquire, of Council Bluffs, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice

as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. G. Jenkins it is ordered that George G. Greene, Esquire, of Green Bay, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Chief Justice announced that the Court would commence the call of the docket tomorrow, pursuant to the 26th Rule.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, October 12th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Emerson B. Tuttle, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this

behalf by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips it is ordered that Henry Ach, Esquire, of Portland, State of Oregon, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. D. Rouse it is ordered that Frank L. Richardson, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. S. Henkle it is ordered that Josiah P. Filch, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

532. Jonas C. Robbins vs. Edward A. Rollins. Appellant

The death of Edward A. Rollins, the appellee in this cause having been suggested at the last term of this Court and an order of publication

issued, now, on motion of Mr. H. H. Wells, of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that Clarence H. Clark, Harrison M. Lutz and Daniel S. Rollins, Executors & Trustees of Edward A. Rollins, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made the parties appellees in this cause.

The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company and Homer A. Holt, Judge of the Circuit Court of Greenbrier County, West Virginia,		In Error to the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of West Virginia.
16.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
A. E. White, Administrator of John D. White, deceased.		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William J. Robertson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Germania Insurance Co., of New Orleans,		On motion of Mr. James G. Jenkins, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiffs in error. It is ordered by the Court that these cases be,
948.	vs.	Plff. in Error	
	The State of Wisconsin.		
	and		
	The People's Insurance Co., of New Orleans		
951.	vs.	Plff. in Error	
	The State of Wisconsin.		

and they are hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

	William Craig		Mr. B. F. Butler, late counsel for the appellant, as amicus curiae, suggested the
2.	vs.	Appellant	
	Thomas Leitensdorfer.		

death of William Craig, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court for leave to make the Executor or Administrator of said William Craig, when duly appointed and qualified, the party appellant in this cause.

Mr. B. F. Butler also suggested the death of Thomas Leitensdorfer, the appellee

in this cause, whereupon, on motion of Mr. C. W. Hornor, of counsel for the appellee, It is ordered by the Court that Edward J. Hubbard, Administrator of Thomas Leilensdorfer, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party appellee in this cause.

John Hayes vs. Plff. in Error The State of Missouri. — Mr. Jeff. Chandler, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to docket and prosecute this cause in this Court without payment of any costs on the ground that the plaintiff in error is a pauper.

47. The City of New Orleans vs. Appellant The U. S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines. — Mr. J. D. Rouse, in behalf of Mr. J. R. Beckwith, of counsel for the City of New Orleans, suggested the death of Myra

48. The City of New Orleans vs. Plff. in Error The U. S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines

183. The City of New Orleans vs. Myra Clark Gaines. Appellant

Clark Gaines, the appellee and defendant in error in these cases, and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to make James F. Christmas and Mrs. Hattie L. Whitney as the representatives of the estate of the said Myra Clark Gaines, deceased, in their capacity of tutor and tutrix of the minor heirs at law of the said deceased, the parties appellees and defendants in error in these cases.

Mr. Rouse also submitted a motion to consolidate these cases and assign a day for the argument.

855. Schmidt Brothers vs. E. M. Cobb. Appellants

856. Arthur O'Malley vs. J. P. Farley. Appellant

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 32d Rule, on the records and printed arguments by Mr. H. B. Fouke, of counsel for the appellants.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phoenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn et al. Petitioners. 4, Original.

This case was argued by Mr. Robert Rae, in support of the petition, and Mr. George G. Greene and Mr. James G. Jenkins, in opposition thereto.

789. John P. Delano
790. Harvey Mills
791. The Taunton Savings Bank
792. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank
793. Charles E. Morrison

Appellants, vs. Peter Butler, Receiver &c.

820. John P. Delano
821. Harvey Mills
822. The Taunton Savings Bank
823. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank
824. Charles E. Morrison.

Plffs. in Error, vs. Peter Butler, Receiver &c.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Benjamin A. Johnson, of counsel for the appellants and plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. A. A. Ranney, of counsel for the appellee and defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow morning at eleven o'clock.

Wednesday, October 13th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray
Samuel Blatchford
} Associate Justices and

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that James M. Hamill, Esquire, of Belleville, State of Illinois, and G. A. Jenks, Esquire, of Brookville, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The Honorable George A. Jenks appeared and produced the following Letters Patent which were ordered by the Court to be recorded on the Minutes of this Court, in the following words, viz:

Grover Cleveland,

President of the United States of America,

To all who shall see these Presents, Greeting:

Know Ye; That reposing special trust and confidence in the Integrity and Ability of George A. Jenks, of Pennsylvania, I have nominated and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, do appoint him, to be Solicitor General; and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfil the duties of that Office according to Law, and to have and to hold the said Office with all the powers, privileges and emoluments thereunto of right appertaining, unto him the said George A. Jenks, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In testimony whereof I have caused these Letters to be made patent and the Seal of the

United States to be hereunto affixed.

(Seal) Given under my hand, at the City of Washington, the Thirtieth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand, eight hundred and eighty-six, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and eleventh.

Grover Cleveland.

By the President:
J. F. Bayard
Secretary of State.

200. G. F. D. Lanier et al. vs. John Nash et al. Appellants

Mr. William Lawrence, of counsel for the appellees, suggested a diminution of the record in this cause and moved the Court to award a writ of certiorari. Whereupon, it is now here ordered by the Court that a writ of certiorari be, and the same is hereby, awarded, subject to exceptions by the appellants.

789. John P. Delano,
790. Harvey Mills,
791. The Taunton Savings Bank,
792. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank,
793. Charles E. Morrison,

} Appellants, vs. Peter Butler, Receiver &c.

820. John P. Delano,
821. Harvey Mills,
822. The Taunton Savings Bank,
823. The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank,
824. Charles E. Morrison,

} Plffs. in Error, vs. Peter Butler, Receiver &c.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. A. A. Ranney, of counsel for the appellee and defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. George F. Hoar, of counsel for the appellants and plaintiffs in error.

Caroline J. Whitney et al., Executors &c.
1065. vs. Plffs. in Error
Peter Butler, Receiver &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. E. R. Hoar, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. A. A. Ranney, of counsel for the defendant in error.

816. Sabine Robbins vs. Plff. in Error
The Taxing District of Shelby County.
and
8. George W. Corson vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Maryland.

Ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, re-assigned for argument on November first next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, October 18th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that James Alfred Jones, Esquire, of Richmond, and Richard Walke, Esquire, of Norfolk, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court, and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips it is ordered that E. H. Graham, Esquire, of Waco, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. P. Bell it is ordered that Francis W. Parker, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

10. Augusta B. Allen et al. vs. The St. Louis National Bank. Pffs. in Error

On consideration of the motion for leave to file a supplemental brief on behalf of the plaintiffs in error in this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company — Mr. Attorney General Garland submitted to the consideration of the Court motions to advance these cases.

534. vs. Appellant

The United States

The United States

945. vs. Plff. in Error

H. G. Parker et al.

William H. Peters, Receiver &c.

1005. vs. Appellant

Robert J. K. Bain et al.

and

John J. Griffin et al., Trustees &c.

1181. vs. Appellants

William H. Peters, Receiver &c.

1199. Albert S. Rosenbaum, Plff. in Error, vs.
The Board of Supervisors City & County of San Francisco.

1200. Albert S. Rosenbaum, Plff. in Error, vs.
John A. Bauer, Treasurer &c.

On motion of Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

Eliza B. Causlen
1209 vs. Appellant
James N. C. Young, Josephine Young, Rebecca E. Young, Isadora C. Causlen et al.

Mr. N. H. Wells, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open court the following

certificate, to wit:

"Supreme Court of the District of Columbia"

I, R. J. Meigs, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, do hereby certify that in a cause lately pending in said Supreme Court, wherein Eliza B. Causlen was complainant and James N. C. Young, Josephine Young, Rebecca E. Young, Isadora C. Causlen, James N. Causlen, Mary Emma Carvallo, Jose Carlos Carvallo, Mary K. Carvallo, Josephine de Carvallo, Manuel Carvallo, Victor Carvallo, Henrietta G. de Beneventi, Marino Beneventi, Robert Shriver, Henry Shriver, Eliza Fundenberg, Stanley Fundenberg, Mary C. Swartzwalder, Henry Swartzwalder, Henrietta Shriver, Henry Johnson, Robert Shriver, Administrator, Sarah Cresap, Administratrix, William C. Hill, John T. Arms, Osceola C.

Green, Trustee, John W. Thompson, Trustee, Arthur E. Baleman, Trustee, and Washington McLean, Trustee, were defendants, a final decree was rendered by said Supreme Court on the 19th day of April, 1886, in favor of said defendants and against said complainant and that on the 19th day of April, 1886, said complainant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States which was allowed.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the (Seal) seal of said Supreme Court this 18th day of October, A. D. 1886.

R. J. Meigs, Clerk.

by L. P. Williams, Ass't. Clerk."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule; And it appearing to the Court that the appellant has failed to have her cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court - It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed

with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Louis Trayer vs. Plff. in Error Henry Frank. 1210.

Mr. James Lowndes, of counsel for the plff. for the defendant in error, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"In the Second Circuit Court of Appeals for the State of Louisiana and Parish of Concordia.

I, M. A. Joyce, ex officio Clerk of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals for the State of Louisiana and Parish of Concordia, being the Court of last resort in the State, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein Henry Frank was plaintiff and Louis Trayer and William H. Noble were defendants, a final judgment was rendered in favor of said plaintiff and against the said defendants by the said Court on the 28th day of July

A. D. 1886; and that the said Louis Trayer was duly allowed and sued out on the 21st day of Sept. A. D. 1886, and lodged in my office a writ of error to the said Court to remove the cause to the Supreme Court of the United States at its October Term 1886.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto signed my name and set the seal of the said Second Circuit Court of Appeals at Vidalia this 12 day of Oct. A. D. 1886.

(Seal)

M. A. Joyce

Clerk 2d Circuit Court of Appeals."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule; And it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff in error has failed to have his cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals for the State of Louisiana and Parish of Concordia &c. and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded

to the said Second Circuit Court of Appeals to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1094. Edward B. Hancock vs. Mrs. Eliza Jane Holbrook et al. Appellant. On motion of Mr. J. D. Rouse, of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

180. The Denver, South Park & Pacific Railway Company vs. John Fitzgerald, R. B. Cushing and George W. Osborne, partners as John Fitzgerald & Company. Plff. in Error. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is

A.D. 1886; and that the said Louis Trayer was duly allowed and sued out on the 21st day of Sept. A.D. 1886, and lodged in my office a writ of error to the said Court to remove the cause to the Supreme Court of the United States at its October Term 1886.

In testimony whereof I have hereun-
(Seal) to signed my name and set the seal of the said Second Circuit Court of Appeals at Vidalia this 12 day of Oct. A.D. 1886.

M. A. Joyce
Clerk 2d Circuit Court of Appeals."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule; And it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff in error has failed to have his cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals for the State of Louisiana and Parish of Concordia be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded

to the said Second Circuit Court of Appeals to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Edward B. Hancock		On motion of
1094.	vs.	Appellant	Mr. J. D.
	Mrs. Eliza Jane Holbrook et al.		Rouse, of coun-
			sel for the

appellant, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

	The Denver, South Park &		In Error to the
	Pacific Railway Company		Circuit Court
180.	vs.	Plff. in Error	of the United
	John Fitzgerald, R. B.		States for the
	Cushing and George W.		District of
	Osborne, partners as John		Colorado.
	Fitzgerald & Company.		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed. It is

now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

215. John Miller, Treasurer of Colfax County, Nebraska, vs. The Union Pacific Railroad Company. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the appellee.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Mrs. E. J. Ralston and her husband, Petitioners. — Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the petitioners, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for an alternative writ of mandamus.

749. The Kansas City, Lawrence & Southern Kansas R.R. Co. Appellant vs. Benjamin Harris Brewster, Attorney General of the U.S. — On motion of Mr. J. W. McCrary, of counsel for the appellant one hour additional time is allowed counsel on each side in the argument of this cause.

749. The Kansas City, Lawrence & Southern Kansas R.R. Co. Appellant vs. Benjamin Harris Brewster, Attorney General of the U.S. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. A. J. Britton, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. William Lawrence, of counsel for the appellee, and Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until

Tuesday, October 19th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George W. McCrary it is ordered that John G. Woolley, Esquire, of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	S. W. Little et al.	This cause was sub-
352.	vs. Appellants	mitted to the consid-
	Ezekiel Giles et al.	eration of the Court

pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. M. Marquette, Mr. N. S. Harwood, Mr. J. H. Ames, and Mr. W. J. Lamb, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. J. M. Woolworth, of counsel for the appellees.

	The Town of Oregon	This cause was
1150.	vs. Pltff. in Error	submitted to the
	Eliza Jennings.	consideration of

the Court, pursuant to the 20th Rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. James K. Edsall, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. S. W. Packard, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	The Kansas City, Lawrence &	The argument
	Southern Kansas R.R. Co.	of this cause
749.	vs. Appellant	was continued
	Benjamin Harris Brewster,	by Mr. E. M.
	Attorney General of the U. S.	Watson, of

counsel for the

appellee, and concluded by Mr. George W. McCrary, of counsel for the appellant.

848. The Choctaw Nation, App't., vs. The United States.
850. The United States, App't., vs. The Choctaw Nation.

Ordered by the Court that counsel on each side be allowed four hours in the argument of these cases.

848. The Choctaw Nation, App't., vs. The United States.
850. The United States, App't., vs. The Choctaw Nation.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. John B. Weed, of counsel for the Choctaw Nation.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, October 20th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

The Continental Life Insurance Company, of Hartford, Connecticut

1228 vs. Plff. in Error

Thomas W. Pumphrey.

Mr. Michael Bannon, of counsel for the defendant in error, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

In the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland.

I, James W. Chew, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court wherein Thomas W. Pumphrey was plaintiff and The Continental Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut, was defendant, a final judgment was rendered by said Circuit Court on the fifteenth day of January, A.D. 1886, in favor of said plaintiff and against the said defendant, The Continental Life Insurance Company, of Hartford, Connecticut, for Five thousand, two hundred and forty-nine dollars and seventeen cents ($249.17) and costs of suit; and that on the twenty-ninth day of January, A.D. 1886, said defendant The Continental Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut, sued out a writ of error to said Circuit Court directed to remove said cause to the Supreme Court of the United States, which said writ was allowed.

In testimony whereof I

(Seal) hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of the said Circuit Court at Baltimore this twentieth day of October 1886.

Jas. U. Chew,
Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for District of Md."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule; and it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff in error has failed to have its cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Choctaw Nation	The argument of
848.	vs. Appellant	these cases was con-
	The United States	tinued by Mr. John
	and	J. Weed, of counsel
	The United States	for the Choctaw
850.	vs. Appellant	Nation, Mr. Assist-
	The Choctaw Nation.	ant Attorney Gen-

eral Howard and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the United States, and Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the Choctaw Nation.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, October 21st, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. F. Morris it is ordered that Paul Arnold, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The New York Mutual Gas Light Company	In Error to the Circuit Court of
239.	vs. Plff. in Error	the United States
	Albert G. Thorp.	for the Southern District of
		New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. C. W. Horner, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Choctaw Nation	The argument of
848.	vs. Appellant	these cases was
	The United States.	concluded by
	and	Mr. Samuel
	The United States	Shellabarger, of
850.	vs. Appellant	Counsel for the
	The Choctaw Nation.	Choctaw
		Nation.

867. The Jacksonville, Pensacola & Mobile Railroad Company vs. The United States. Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. S. F. Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. E. M. Watson, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. A. J. Willard, of counsel for the appellant.

644. J. W. Ebbinghaus, Trustee vs. J. G. Killian et al., Trustees. Appellant

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby passed.

554. The New Orleans National Banking Association et al.; vs. E. D. LeBreton, Assignee &c., et al. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. D. Rouse and continued by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, October 22d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Rufus McCreery — Mr. Walter H.
46. vs. Plff. in Error — Smith, of counsel
Mrs. A. E. Fuller et al. — for the defendants in error, suggested the death of Mrs. E. A. Fuller, one of the defendants in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Ellen Haskell, the successor in interest of the said Mrs. E. A.

Fuller a party defendant in error in this cause. Whereupon It is ordered by the Court that Ellen Haskell, as successor in interest of Mrs. E. A. Fuller, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and she is hereby, made a party defendant in error in this cause.

554. The New Orleans National Banking Association et al., vs. E. D. LeBreton, Assignee &c. et als. Appellants

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellants and the cause was submitted on printed argument by Mr. James McConnell, of counsel for the appellees.

1. The Wasatch & Jordan Valley Railway Company vs. John W. Snell and Joseph J. Snell. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that this is the second

term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, it is considered by the Court that this cause should be dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff in error, pursuant to the 19th rule of this Court. Whereupon It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

2. William Craig vs. Edward G. Hubbard, Adm't. &c. Appellant

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed.

3. George Hayes vs. John Selon. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its

regular order on the docket and it appearing to the Court that this is the second term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, it is considered by the Court that this cause should be dismissed at the cost of the appellant, pursuant to the 19th rule of this Court. Whereupon, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Francis Dainese,
4. vs. Appellant
John Blake Kendall, Executor &c., et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. J.W. Douglass, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Job Barnard, of counsel for the appellees.

	The Chicago & Alton R.R. Co.	The argument of this cause was
5.	vs. Plff. in Error	commenced by
	The Wiggins Ferry Co.	Mr. C. Beckwith,

of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, October 25th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Hiram J. May, Esquire, of Cannelton, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. B. H. Bristow it is ordered that Thomas Spratt, Esquire, of Ogdensburg, and Denis O'Brien, Esquire, of Watertown, State of New York, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks it is ordered that Frank O. McCleary, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John J. Morgan it is ordered that Thomas H. McClellan, Esquire, of Montgomery, State of Alabama, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. F. Morris it is ordered

that George Hoadly, Junior, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. D. Baldwin it is ordered that Hubert Howson, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Vicksburg and Meridian Railroad Company		In Error to the Circuit Court
17. vs.	Plff. in Error	of the United States for the
Israel Putnam.		Northern District

of Georgia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Georgia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is

now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to set aside the verdict and to order a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

11. The Wabash, St. Louis and Pacific Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the State of Illinois.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And

that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Gray.

William H. Peters, Receiver &c. Appellant
1005. vs.
Robert J. T. Bain et al.
and
John J. Griffin et al.,
Trustees &c.
1181. vs. Appellants
William H. Peters, Receiver &c.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	Schmidt Brothers, a firm composed of Albert Schmidt and Titus Schmidt	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern
855.	vs. Appellants	
	E. M. Cobb.	

District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

	Arthur O'Malley	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Iowa.
856.	vs. Appellant	
	G. P. Farley.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court

of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

John Hayes
vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Missouri.

On consideration of the motion for leave to docket and prosecute this cause in this Court without payment of any costs, It is ordered by the Court that leave be granted the plaintiff in error to docket this cause without security for the payment of the fees of the Clerk as required by Rule 10.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of
Mrs. E. J. Ralston and
her husband.
Petitioners.

On considera-
tion of the mo-
tion for leave
to file a peti-
tion for an

alternative writ of mandamus. It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

47. The City of New Orleans, Appt. vs. The U.S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.

48. The City of New Orleans, Plff. in Error, vs. The U.S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.

183. The City of New Orleans, Appt. vs. The U.S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.

On consideration of the suggestion of the death of Myra Clark Gaines, the appellee and defendant in error in these cases, and of the motion to make the proper representatives of the estate of the said Myra Clark Gaines, deceased, parties appellees and defendants in error in these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said Myra Clark Gaines, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the appellant and plaintiff in error shall be entitled to open the records,

and, on hearing, have the decrees reversed if they be erroneous; Provided, however, that a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation in the State of Louisiana for three successive weeks, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

534 The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company vs. The United States. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

945. The United States vs. N. G. Parker et al. Plff. in Error,

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument at the foot of the call on the first Monday in December next.

	Jesse P. Farley	Ordered by the
6.	vs. Appellant	Court that this
	Norman W. Kittson et al.	cause be, and
		the same is here-

by, assigned for re-argument on the first Monday in December next after No. 945.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	Mr. Attorney General
944.	vs. Appellant	Garland, of counsel
	The Maxwell Land	for the appellant,
	Grant Company et al.	filed and submitted

to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

	Alexander B. McKinley	Mr. John W. Ross,
859.	vs. Plff. in Error	in behalf of coun-
	Jerome B. Wheeler et al.	sel for the plff. in
		error, submitted to

the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause

	The Mutual Life Insurance	In Error to the Cir-
	Company of New York	cuit Court of the
116.	vs. Plff. in Error	United States for the
	Walburga Wackerle.	Eastern District

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. B. H. Bristow, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a motion by the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

55. Charles William Siemens et al., Appellants vs. William Sellers et al.

Mr. C. S. Whitman, of counsel for the appellants, suggested the death of Charles William Siemens, one of the appellants in this cause and moved the Court to make The Guarantee Insurance, Trust & Safe Deposit Company, Administrator cum testamento annexo of said Charles William Siemens, deceased, a party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that The Guarantee Insurance, Trust & Safe Deposit Company, Administrator cum testamento annexo of Charles William Siemens, deceased, be, and it is hereby, made a party appellant

in this cause; And it is further ordered that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term per stipulation of counsel.

	Robert L. Eastman and Benjamin Brooke	Appeal from the Circuit Court
139.	vs. Appellants	of the United
	Frederick Ecker, Henry	States for the
	J. Ecker, Joseph A. Ecker	Eastern Dis-
	and George F. Ecker, trading	trict of Penn-
	as Ecker & Company.	sylvania.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Hubert Howson, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Western Union Telegraph Co.	Mr. T. A. Mc-
1066.	vs. Pltf. in Error	Clellan, of
	E. A. O'Neal, Governor, et al.	counsel for
		the defendants

in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Nephi W. Clayton
1107. vs. Appellant
The People of the Territory of Utah ex rel: Wm H. Dickson, U. S. Atty.
and
James Jack.
1108. vs. Appellant
The People of the Territory of Utah ex rel: Wm H. Dickson, U. S. Atty.

The motions to dismiss in these cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the records and printed arguments by Mr. P. L. Williams, in support of the same, and Mr. J. E. Sutherland, Mr. J. R. McBride, Mr. Arthur Brown, Mr. Eppa Hunton and Mr. Jeff Chandler, in opposition thereto.

Moses R. Crow
934. vs. Plff. in Error
The Township of Oxford, Sumner County, Kansas.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule

on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. E. Brown, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. Wade McDonald, of counsel for the defendant in error.

5. The Chicago & Alton R.R. Co. vs. The Wiggins Ferry Company. Plff. in Error. The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. C. Beckwith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

7. The City of New Orleans vs. The State of Louisiana ex rel: Henry Shepherd. Plff. in Error. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and it appearing to the Court that this is the second term at which this case has been called for argument and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, it is considered by the Court that this cause

should be dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff in error, pursuant to the 19th rule of this Court. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	William J. Levine, Charles W. Read, William F. Higgins, John McLaughlin, George A. Falconi, Joseph Smith et al.,		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
13.	vs.	Appellants	
	Robert M. Wilson and Bernard Michel.		

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and the consent of the appellants that this cause be dismissed having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by

this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Home Insurance Company of New York
144. vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the State of New York.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. B. N. Bristow, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, October 26th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. P. Clough it is ordered that C. J. Benedict, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as as attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph H. Choate it is ordered that Charles F. Offield, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

14. The Home Insurance Company, of New York vs. Plff. in Error The People of the State of New York.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Denis O'Brien, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. B. H. Bristow, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

15. The Fire Association of Philadelphia vs. Plff. in Error The People of the State of New York.

This cause was argued by Mr. Joseph H. Choate, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Denis O'Brien, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Charles W. Butts,
Executor &c.
18... vs. Appellant
The Northern Pacific
R.R. Co.

The argument of
this cause was
commenced by
Mr. S. E. Riddle,
of counsel for the
appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday. October 27th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Hubley Ashton, it is ordered that George Denégre, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this

behalf by Mr. William A. McKennan it is ordered that Rufus H. Thayer, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

18. Charles W. Buttz, Executor &c., Appellant vs. The Northern Pacific R.R. Co. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. W. P. Clough, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. H. G. Davis, of counsel for the appellant.

19. The Consolidated Kaplij Value Co., Appellant vs. Erastus B. Kunkler. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Thomas William Clarke, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. J. M. Raymond, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, October 28th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. W. Walker it is ordered that J. F. Burke, Esquire, of Blue Springs, State of Nebrasta, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co.
1239. vs. Plff in Error & Appt.
Joseph S. Miller, Auditor & al. et al.
and
The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co.
1240. vs. Plff. in Error & Appt.
The Board of Public Works of the State of West Virginia.

On motion of Mr. Alfred Caldwell, of counsel for the defendants in error and appellees, It is ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

The County of Upshur.
999. vs. Appellant
Benjamin Rich et al.

Mr. Alfred Caldwell, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Co.,
19. vs. Appellant
Erastus B. Kunkle.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr.

J. H. Raymond, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Thomas William Clarke, of counsel for the appellant.

E. G. Harvick
20. vs. Plff. in Error,
W. A. Patrick, Adm'r. &c., etal.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. W. Hallett Phillips, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. J. J. Brady, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, October 29th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshall
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

E. G. Hanrick vs. Pltff. in Error
W. A. Patrick, Admr. &c, et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. J. Brady, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. L. W. Goodrich, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

20.

	John B. Largent 2nd Wharton Branch, Intervenors	This cause was argued by Mr.
475.	vs. Plffs. in Error	M. F. Morris, of
	W. J. Patrick, Adm'r.	counsel for the
	&c. et al.	plaintiffs in
		error, and Mr.
		J. T. Brady, of

counsel for the defendants in error.

	Theodore J. White et al.,	The argument of
21.	vs. Appellants	this cause was
	George N. Dunbar et al.	commenced by
		Mr. William

H. Henderson, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Melville Church, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 1st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is is ordered that P. Redfield Kendall, Esquire, of Rutland, State of Vermont, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John Johns it is ordered that Olin Wellborn, Esquire, of Dallas, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Ex parte: In the matter of The Phenix Insurance Company of Brooklyn, New York, et al. — Petition for a writ of prohibition.

4. Original. Petitioners.

On consideration of the petition for a writ of prohibition and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that a writ of prohibition be, and the same is hereby, awarded as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

320. John P. Delano vs. Peter Butler, Receiver of the Pacific National Bank of Boston. — Pff. in Error — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

789. John P. Delano vs. Peter Butler, Receiver of the Pacific National Bank of Boston. — Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Harvey Mills		Appeal from the Cir.
790.	vs. Appellant	cuit Court of the
Peter Butler, Receiver of		United States for
The Pacific National		the District of
Bank of Boston.		Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

The Taunton Savings Bank
791. vs. Appellant
Peter Butter, Receiver of the Pacific National Bank of Boston.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Charlestown Five Cent Savings Bank
792. vs. Appellant
Peter Butter, Receiver of the Pacific National Bank of Boston.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

793. Charles E. Morrison, Appellant vs. Peter Butler, Receiver of The Pacific National Bank of Boston. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and

the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Harvey Mills
821 vs. Plff. in Error
Peter Butler, Receiver
of the Pacific National
Bank of Boston.

On Error to the
Circuit Court
of the United
States for the
District of
Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	The Taunton Savings Bank	In Error to the Circuit Court
822.	vs. Pff. in Error	of the United
	Peter Butler, Receiver	States for the
	of the Pacific National	District of
	Bank of Boston.	Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	The Charlestown Five	In Error to the Cir.
	Cent Savings Bank	cuit Court of the
823.	vs. Pff. in Error	United States for
	Peter Butler, Receiver	the District of
	of the Pacific National	Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

824. Charles E. Morrison vs. Plff. in Error Peter Butler, Receiver of The Pacific National Bank of Boston. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by

counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Caroline J. Whitney, Edward B. Eaton, Arthur H. Whitney & Edward B. Eager, Executors of Leonard Whitney, deceased,		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.
1065. vs.	Plfs. in Error	
Peter Butler, Receiver of the Pacific National Bank of Boston.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court

that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment for the defendants.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Vicksburg & Meridian Railroad Company vs. Mary E. O'Brien and John J. O'Brien.

12. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for a new trial and for further proceedings not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Field
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Miller
Mr. Justice Blatchford.

S. W. Little, Samuel Arbuckle, D. B. Alexander, A. J. Sawyer, S. G. Owen, Lorenzo Assmesson, R. H. Oakley, Sarah J. Purcell A. P. S. Stewart, Thomas R. Clark, Mary A. McElhinney, D. C. Tinsell, Hannah Selwood, Alexander C. Hueston, Allen W. Hawley, W. J. Van Dorn, F. W. Lashus, Charles D. C. Heuston, A. F. Lewis, Samuel Arthur, G. F. Thornton, Sarah Cummings, William H. Rundell, Jacob Freedman, O. P. Austin, J. A. Buckstaff, Mary J. McNair, George D. Camp, Wilber F. Kellogg, Elizabeth N. Chase, Sarah A. Gable, Samuel Hughey, Clarinda A. Bumstead, Elizabeth

Caden, Martha E. Snowden, Thomas Woods, Joseph Hoagland, Eliza W. Gillsbury, Henry U. Hoagland, S. W. Garrison, C. L. Hooper, S. E. Story, U. S. Farmer, Josephine St. Louis, Frank J. Wassika, Ellen et Miller, John H. Schwa. bold, Helen Webber, Samuel Henderson, Christian Bohleman, Joseph Richardson, Patrick Lyons, W. Sandford Lee, Allen Cogswell, M. B. Cheeney, Mary E. Ma. loney, Emma A. Beach, Barrett F. Loath, Francis D. Howell, L. S. Howell, Samuel D. Bacon, Henry S. Gordon, Executor of the es. tate of E. H. Tuttle, deceased, Jacob Freedman, Samuel J. English, Guy A. Barnum, H. C. Snow, George Warder, D. D. Muir, George W. Severance, Cody A. Prindle, Mina W. Brown, W. J. Van Dorn, J. W. Lashus and Martha Alexander,

352. vs. Appellants

Ezekiel Giles, L. C. Burr, H. H. Wheeler, Albert L. Dawson, M. C. Dawson, William R. Dawson, Sarah N. Dawson, M. S. Dawson, A. A. Knickley, William A. J. Goodwin and Melita C. D. Tillman.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It appearing to the Court that two of the appellants, R. N. Oakley and L. G. Owen, have filed a motion in writing to dismiss this appeal as to them. It is now here ordered by the Court that this appeal so far as it relates to the said R. N. Oakley and L. G. Owen be, and the same is hereby, dismissed; And it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decrees of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same are hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to remand the same to the District Court of Lancaster County from which it was removed.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

867. The Jacksonville, Pensacola & Mobile Railroad Company vs. The United States. Appellant. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Field.

1055. The New York Elevated Railroad Company vs. The Fifth National Bank of the City of New York. Plff. in Error. On considera. tion of the mo. tion to dis. miss in this cause, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in supp. port of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied. per Mr.

The United States
974. vs. Appellant
The Maxwell Land
Grant Company, et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument at the head of the call on the first day that the Court is in session after the February recess.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Western Union
Telegraph Co.
1066. vs. Plff. in Error
E. A. Otis, Governor,
et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Alexander B. McKinley
859. vs. Plff. in Error
Jerome B. Wheeler et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and

the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The County of Upshur vs. Benjamin Rich et al. — Appellant

999. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied, but as a decision in cases Nos. 1239 and 1240 may determine the question of jurisdiction, under the removal in No. 999, leave is given counsel in that case to file printed briefs on the submission of the others if they desire to do so.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States vs. Bradley Barlow et al. — Plff. in Error

899. Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

	Acalus L. Palmer	The motion to dis-
445.	vs. Plff. in Error	miss or affirm in
	Erwin A. Hussey.	this cause was sub-

mitted to the consid-
eration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. W. Bower, in support of the same, and Mr. A. W. Spier, in opposition thereto.

	Henry S. Hale et al. and	in Error to the
	The Hale & Kilburn Man-	Supreme Court
	ufacturing Company	of the State of
41.	vs. Plfs. in Error	Pennsylvania.
	Elisha E. Everett.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. George Harding, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Anton Schindelholz		Appeal from
358.	vs.	Appellant	the Circuit
	The New York & Colorado		Court of the
	Mining & Milling Company.		United States
			for the District

of Colorado.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Leigh Robinson, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Molecular Telephone		Mr. G. P.
	Co., et al.		Lowrey and
367.	vs.	Appellants	Mr. W. H.
	The American Bell Tele.		Peckham,
	phone Co. et al.		of counsel
	The American Bell Tele.		for the Mole.
	phone Co. et al.		ular Telephone
368.	vs.	Appellants	Company.

	The Peoples Telephone Co. et al.		and Mr. L.
1164.	vs.	Appellants	Hill, of coun-
	The American Bell Tele-		sel for the
	phone Co. et al.		Peoples Tele-
	The Overland Telephone Co.		phone Com-
	et al.		pany and The
1165.	vs.	Appellants	Overland
	The American Bell Tele-		Telephone
	phone Co., et al.		Company,

submitted to the consideration of the Court motions to advance these cases for argument with case etc 113 on the present docket and to fix a day for the argument of all of the cases.

	The Selma, Rome & Dalton		Mr. George A.
	Railroad Company		King, of counsel
1014.	vs.	Appellant	for the appellant,
	The United States.		filed and sub-

mitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

James Hamilton
23. vs. Plff. in Error
The Vicksburg, Shreveport
& Pacific R.R. Co.

On motion of Mr. M. F. Morris, and by consent of counsel, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be argued in the place of No. 36 and vice versa.

Ex parte: In the matter
of Mrs. E. J. Ralston
& her husband,
5, Original. Petitioners.

On motion of Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the petitioners, leave is hereby given to amend the petition in this case.

Ex parte: In the matter
of Mrs. E. J. Ralston
& her husband.
5, Original. Petitioners.

Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the petitioners, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for a rule to show cause in this case.

Theodore J. White et al. vs. George H. Dunbar et al. — Appellants

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. William G. Henderson, of counsel for the appellants.

21.

The New York, Lake Erie & Western R.R. Co. vs. Tom Nickalls and Sidney Clarke. — Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. William D. Shipman, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Charles Edward Tracy, of counsel for the appellees.

22.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, November 2d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

22. The New York, Lake Erie & Western R. R. Co. Appellant vs. Tom Nickals and Sidney Claris.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles Edward Tracy, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Benjamin H. Bristow, of counsel for the appellant.

Mary E. Freeman et al. (Substituted
36. vs. Plffs. in Error for et. 23.)
Benjamin Alderson et al. This cause was argued by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and submitted on printed arguments by Mr. L. W. Goodrich and Mr. E. H. Graham, of counsel for the defendants in error.

G. D. Newhall This cause was ar-
24. vs. Appellant gued by Mr. Charles
E. J. Le Breton, Adm'r. H. Armes and Mr.
&c., et al. Henry Beard, of counsel for the appellant, and submitted on printed argument by Mr. E. S. Pillsbury, of counsel for the appellees.

Benjamin F. Buzard et al., This cause was
27. vs. Appellants argued by Mr.
Robert A. Houston. H. E. Barnard, of counsel for the appellants and submitted on printed argument by Mr. James F. Miller, of counsel for the appellee.

28. Dolores del Moral y Gonzales et al., vs. Plffs. in Error Edward Ross et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. H. E. Barnard, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. C. W. Ogden and Mr. Bethel Coopwood, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, November 3d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. C. B. Davis it is ordered that Gherardi Davis, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

Chester A. Arthur, late Collector of the Port of New York.
26. vs. Plff. in Error
Thomas Barbour & Robert Barbour.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern Dist. of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John J. Shipman
25. vs. Appellant
The District of Columbia
and
The District of Columbia
57. vs. Appellant
John J. Shipman.

These cases were argued by Mr. U. Willoughby, of counsel for Shipman, and Mr. Solicitor General

Jenks, of counsel for the District of Columbia.
(Mr. Justice Gray was not present during the argument of these cases.)

883. Richard A. Tilghman, App't., vs. William Proctor et al.
89 S. William Proctor et al., App'ts. vs. Richard A. Tilghman.

On motion of Mr. George Harding, of counsel for Tilghman, one hour additional time is allowed counsel on each side in the argument of these cases.

883. Richard A. Tilghman, App't., vs. William Proctor et al.
89 S. William Proctor et al., App'ts., vs. Richard A. Tilghman.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Francis T. Chambers, of counsel for Tilghman.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, November 4th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Richard A. Tilghman
883. vs. Appellant
William Proctor et al.
and
William Proctor et al.,
895. vs. Appellants
Richard A. Tilghman.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. R. H. Parkinson and Mr. W. H. Ramsey, of counsel for Proctor et al., and Mr. George Harding, of counsel for Tilghman.

Proclamation being made the Court is ad.

Friday, November 5th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles W. Hoffman it is ordered that Edward B. Esher, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Harding it is ordered

that David A. Gourick, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. D. Benedict it is ordered that Frank D. Sturges and William C. Trull, Esquires, of New York City, and Herman H. Shook, Esquire, of Brooklyn, State of New York, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Ramsey it is ordered that Robert B. Murray, Esquire, of Youngstown, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

883. Richard A. Filghman vs. William Proctor et al: and William Proctor et al., vs. Richard A. Filghman. — The argument of these cases was concluded by Mr. George Harding, of counsel for Filghman.

816. Sabine Robbins vs. Plff. in Error The Saving District of Shelby County. — This cause was argued by Mr. Luke E. Wright, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. S.P. Walker, of counsel for the defendant in error.

8. George W. Gorson vs. Plff. in Error The State of Maryland. — This cause was argued by Mr. S.T. Wallis, of counsel for the plff. in error, and submitted on printed arguments by Mr. C.B. Roberts and Mr. C. J. M. Gwinn, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 8th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. U. M. Rose it is ordered that Josiah M. Blakey, Esquire, of Decatur, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. G. Perkins it is ordered that Robert J. Cornell, Esquire, of West Chester, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Henry O. Harkness	Appeal from the Su-
398.	vs. Appellant	preme Court of the
	Russell & Company.	Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Kansas City, Lawrence and Southern Kansas Railroad Company. vs. Benjamin Harris Brewster, Attorney General of the United States, for and on behalf of The United States of America. Appellant

749. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	The Pennsylvania Railroad Co., et al.	On consid.
112 of Oct. T. 1885.	vs. Appellants	eration of
	The St. Louis, Alton & Terre	the petition
	Haute R.R. Co.	for a re.
	and	hearing
	The St. Louis, Alton & Terre	in these
	Haute R.R. Co.	cases It
204 of Oct. T. 1885.	vs. Appellant	is now
	The Indianapolis & St. Louis	here order.
	R.R. Co. et al.	ed by the
		Court that
		said peti-

tion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Ex parte: In the matter of Mrs. E. J. Ralston and her husband.	On petition for a writ of mandamus.
5, Original. Petitioners.	

On consideration of the petition of Mrs. E. J. Ralston and her husband,

It is now here ordered by this Court that cause be shown by George W. Dupre, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of

Louisiana, before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia, on the sixth day of December next, at twelve o'clock noon of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of mandamus should not issue as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1107. Nephi W. Clayton, Appellant vs. The People of the Territory of Utah ex rel: W. H. Dickson, U. S. Attorney

And

1108. James Jack, Appellant vs. The People of the Territory of Utah ex rel: W. H. Dickson, U. S. Attorney.

Ordered by the Court that the further consideration of the motions to dismiss in these cases be, and the same is hereby, postponed until the cases are heard on their merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Selma, Rome & Dalton Railroad Company
1014. vs. Appellant
The United States.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States
899. vs. Pet. in Error
Bradley Barlow et al.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

667. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

1164. The People's Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs.
The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.
1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs.
The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

In consideration of the motions to advance Nos. 667, 668, 1164 and 1165 for hearing with No 113, and to assign a day for the argument, It is ordered by the Court that Nos. 113, 667, 668, 1092, 1164 and 1165 be, and they are hereby, assigned for argument as one cause on the 24th day of January, 1887, at the head of the calls.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States vs. Plff. in Error
1193. Clement Hugh Hill et al. Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Mrs. Annie M. Upshur 2nd James A. Upshur vs. Mrs. Mary E. Briscoe, widow; Mrs. Betty Scott 2nd G. Garneal Goldman, her husband; Mrs. Elizabeth Andrews 2nd Thomas C. Clinton, her husband; and Mrs. Frances Andrews and Duncan Chamberlain, her husband.

1278. Plffs. in Error

Mr. William A. Maury, of counsel for the defendants in error, having filed in open Court the following

certificate, to wit:

Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana. Clerk's Office. New Orleans, October 27th 1886.

I, hereby certify that in the case entitled Mrs. Annie M. Upshur et al., vs. Mrs. Mary E. Briscoe et al., being No. 9103 of the docket of this Court, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, wherein Mrs. Annie M. Upshur and James A. Upshur were plaintiffs and appellants, and Mrs. Mary E. Briscoe, widow, and Mrs. Betty Scott and G. Garneal Goldman, her husband, and Mrs.

Elizabeth Andrews and Thomas P. Clinton, her husband, and Mrs. Francis Andrews and Duncan Chamberlain, her husband, were defendants, appellees, a final judgment was rendered by this Court on March 16th, 1885, on re-hearing, against said plaintiffs and appellants.

I further certify that the said plaintiffs and appellants have lodged in this office with me, as Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Louisiana, a writ of error from the Supreme Court of the United States, directed to the Supreme Court of Louisiana, from the said judgment in the above mentioned case; said writ of error bearing date August 2d, 1886, and having been allowed by the Hon. W. B. Woods, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States; with said writ of error was also sent and lodged in this office, the bond for the writ of error required by law duly approved by the Hon. W. B. Woods.

In testimony whereof I have

(Seal) hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at the City of New Orleans this 28th day of October Anno Domini 1886 and in the 110th year of the Independence of the United States of America.

George W. Dupre, Clerk."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th Rule; and it appearing to the Court that the plaintiffs in error have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the Rules of this Court. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Chester A. Arthur, late Collector &c. vs. Plff. in Error
26. Thomas and Robert Barbour.

The motion to vacate the judgment of dismissal entered in this cause, and to enter a judgment of affirmance with costs and interest was argued by Mr. M. W. Divine, in support of the same, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, in opposition thereto.

The East Tennessee, Virginia & Georgia Railroad Company
331. vs. Appellant
John W. Grayson.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 32d Rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. William M. Baxter, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. N. E. Davis, Mr. F. P. Ward and Mr. R. W. Walker, of counsel for the appellees.

Henry E. Davis, Adm'r. d.b.n. of Philip B. Fouke, deceased. vs. John J. Key. Appellant

Mr. H. E. Davis, of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of John J. Key, the appellee in this cause, and moved the Court for an order, under the 15th rule, to make the proper representatives parties; Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said John J. Key, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the appellant shall be entitled to open the record and, on hearing, have the decree reversed if it be erroneous; Provided, however, That a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia for three successive weeks, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

Henry W. Kingsbury
1149. vs. Appellant
Simon B. Buckner,
Jane C. Kingsbury,
John J. D. Kingsbury,
Corydon Beckwith and
Ambrose E. Burnside.

Mr. Fillmore Beall, in behalf of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Ambrose E. Burnside, one of the appellees in this cause, after final decree herein in the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and before the appeal herein was allowed, and moved the Court for an order, under paragraph 3 of Rule 15 of this Court, that J. Howard Manchester Administrator of the estate of Ambrose E. Burnside, deceased, make himself a party to this cause. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the said J. Howard Manchester, Administrator of the estate of Ambrose E. Burnside, deceased, shall make himself a party to this cause within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the appellant

shall be entitled to open the record and, on hearing, have the decree reversed if it be erroneous; Provided, however, That a proper citation reciting the substance of this order shall be served upon the said J. Howard Manchester, either personally, or by being left at his residence, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of this Court next ensuing.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Mrs. E. J. Ralston and her husband, George Ralston

5, Original. Petitioners.

Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the petitioners, suggested the death of Mrs. E. J. Ralston, one of the petitioners in this case.

The St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Railway Company

701. vs. Plff. in Error

Frank Crosnoe.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Missouri Pacific Railway Company		In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.
702.	vs. Plff. in Error	
Adolphus P. Smoddy.		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Hollon Parker
3, Original. Petitioner.

Mr. Walter H. Smith, of counsel for the petitioner,

moved the Court to award a peremptory writ of mandamus in this case which was opposed by Mr. J. H. Hoffecker Jr., of counsel. Whereupon, the Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concerning what order to render in the premises took time to consider.

Hiram M. French
628. vs. Plff. in Error
Assyria Hall.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Amos Stock, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Edward O. Wolcott, of counsel for the defendant in error.

29. The Cunard Steamship Company (limited) vs. Plff. in Error Patrick Carey.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Frank D. Sturges, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Hermon H. Shook, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. E. D. Benedict, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

30. The Greenwich Insurance Co. vs. Plff. in Error The Providence & Stonington Steamship Company.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. William Allen Butler, Mr. J. E. Stillman and Mr. J. N. Hubbard, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	Abbie B. Clark, Executrix, et al.	The argument of this cause was commenced
31.	vs. Appellants	by Mr. Frederick P.
	George H. Wooster.	Fish, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, November 9th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. H. S. Greene it is ordered that David Hutchison, Esquire, of Decatur, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham it is ordered that Leopold Wallach and William B. Curtis, Esquires, of New York City, and William G. Beale, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

William H. Fowle, Junior, Administrator of William H. Fowle, deceased,
383. vs. Appellant
Alexander May.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. H. O. Claughton, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Abbie B. Clark,
Executrix, et al.
31. vs. Appellants
George N. Wooster.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Frederick P. Fish, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Frederic H. Betts, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Frederick P. Fish, of counsel for the appellants.

The Willamette Woolen Manufacturing Company
32. vs. Appellant
The Bank of British Columbia.

This cause was argued by Mr. J. A Dolph, of counsel for the appellee, and was submitted on a printed argument by Mr. George H. Williams, of counsel for the appellant.

The Continental Life Insurance Company, of Hartford, Conn.
33. vs. Pff. in Error
Ann Eliza Rhoads, Adm'x &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. S. B. Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Robert J. Cornwell, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Wednesday, November 10th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief-Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Don M. Dickinson it is ordered that William R. Davis, Esquire, of Oakland, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

33. The Continental Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Conn. vs. Plff. in Error Ann Eliza Rhoads, Adm'x. &c. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Robert J. Cornwell, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. S. C. Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

34. J. P. and Z. J. Addington vs. Plffs. in Error George Adams Burke & Bro. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed.

35. The Board of Commissioners of the County of Washington vs. Plff. in Error Edward Ballinger. — This cause was argued by Mr. C. M. Busbee, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. S. F. Phillips, of counsel for the defendant in error.

23. James Hamilton vs. Pliff in Error The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific Rail-road Company. (Substituted for No. 36) This cause was argued by Mr. George Hoadly Jr., of counsel for the defendant in error and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. John J. Ludeling, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

37. Shirley C. Ashby vs. Sidney M. Hall et al. Appellant This cause was argued by Mr. Eppa Hunton, of counsel for the appellant - No counsel appearing for the appellees. Leave was granted counsel for appellant to file, on or before December 15th next, affidavits showing the value of the matter in controversy; copies of same to be served on counsel for the appellees.

Charles H. Hapgood et al. The argument
38. vs. Appellants of this cause
Horace L. Hewitt. was com-
menced by
Mr. Everett W. Pattison, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, November 11th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Edmunds it is ordered that Benjamin Butterworth, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. D. Mussey it is ordered that S. H. Holding, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Bishop Goodrich and Mary Goodrich vs. Samuel C. Schaeffer. — Appellants — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri.

232.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the appellee, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Charles H. Hapgood et al.	The argument of this cause was
38.	vs. Appellants	concluded by
	Horace L. Hewitt.	Mr. Everett W. Pattison, of

counsel for the appellants, and the cause was submitted on a printed argument by Mr E.E. Wood and Mr. Edward Boyd, of counsel for the appellees.

	Nelson Story	This cause was
39.	vs. Plff. in Error	submitted to the
	Madison M. Black et al.	consideration

of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Nathaniel Wilson and Mr. G. Hubley Ashton, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Edwin W. Toole and Mr. Joseph K. Toole, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	The Memphis & Little Rock	On motion
	R.R. Co., (as reorganized)	of Mr. Wager
40.	vs. Appellant	Swayne, of
	Robert T. Dow et al.,	counsel for
	Trustees.	the appellant,

one hour additional time is allowed counsel on each side in the argument of this cause.

40. The Memphis & Little Rock R.R. Co. (as reorganized) vs. Robert T. Dow et al., Trustees. Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. B. C. Brown, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. U. M. Rose and Mr. John M. Bowers, of counsel for the appellees, and Mr. Wager Swayne, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, November 12th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Francis Miller it is ordered that Lynde Harrison, Esquire, of New Haven, State of Connecticut, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John K. Cowen it is ordered that Hugh L. Bond, Junior, Esquire, of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

40. The Memphis & Little Rock Railroad Co. (as reorganized) vs. Robert K. Dow et al., Trustees. Appellant

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Wager Swayne, of counsel for the appellant.

42. Frederick Kramer, Assignee &c. vs. Isaac Cohen et al. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Morris M. Cohn, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. M. H. Sandels, of counsel for the appellees.

VOL

5

UME

The Minneapolis & St. Louis Railway Company
43. vs. Plff. in Error
" The Columbus Rolling Mill Co.

This cause was argued by Mr. Ep. pa Hunton, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Richard A. Harrison, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Smith Ely
44. vs. Plff. in Error
Ann Eliza Mitchell, Edwin C. Sturgis & Bleecker A. Mitch. ell, surviving Executors of Samuel L. Mitchell, deceased.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is, thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and

the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.
per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Co.
45. vs. Plff. in Error
D. W. McClung.

This cause was argued by Mr. S. M. Holding, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Benjamin Butterworth, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Rufus McCreery
46. vs. Plff. in Error
Ellen Haskell, successor in interest &c., et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Walter H. Smith, of counsel for the defendants in error.

47. The City of New Orleans, App't., vs. The U. S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.
48. The City of New Orleans, Plff. in Error, vs. The U. S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.

Ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, passed.

The Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co. Plff. in Error
vs.
George Bates

No. 149. This cause was argued by Mr. Hugh L. Bond, Jr., of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and submitted on printed arguments by Mr. John K. Cowen, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Gibson Atherton, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 15th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray, and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Charles E. Gibson, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. P. Bell it is ordered that Frank Bell, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that William Herbert Smith, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George W. Biddle it is ordered that John Rodman Paul, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Town of Oregon vs. Plff. in Error
1150. Eliza Jennings. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Consolidated Safety Valve Company vs. Appellant
19. Erastus B. Trunkle. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford

The Fire Association of Philadelphia vs. The People of the State of New York. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New York.

15.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New York, being possessed of the record and proceedings upon a remittitur from the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the

judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Dissenting:

Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Choctaw Nation

848. vs. Appellant

The United States.

And

The United States

850. vs. Appellant

The Choctaw Nation.

Appeals from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, on appeal and cross-appeal, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims

with directions to enter a judgment in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Dissenting:

Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Theodore J. White, William A. Gordon and Emmet C. Pecot, Appellants vs. George H. Dunbar, Francis B. Dunbar and Charlotte Z. Dunbar. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

21.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions

to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Charles W. Buttz, Executor of Francis Peronto, deceased, vs. The Northern Pacific Railroad Company. Appellant

18. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Steamer "Harrisburg", Edwin M. Lewis, Franklin B. Gowen & Stephen A. Caldwell, Receivers of the Philadelphia & Reading Railroad Company, owners of said Steamer, vs. Appellants

9. Emma S. Rickards, & Mary E. Rickards, by her next friend Emma S. Rickards, widow & daughter of Silas E. Rickards, late first officer on

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the libel.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Francis Dainese	Appeal
4.	vs. Appellant	from the
	John Blake Kendall Executor,	Supreme
	& Lilian Kendall Russell and	Court of
	John Blake Kendall, heirs & devisees	the District
	of John E. Kendall, deceased,	of Colum-
	John D. McPherson and	bia.
	Charles U. Gordon.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia. and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

John J. Shipman.
25. vs. Appellant
The District of Columbia. Appeals from the Court of Claims
and
The District of Columbia
157. vs. Appellant
John J. Shipman.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, on appeal and cross appeal and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Scalus L. Palmer vs. Plff. in Error Erwin A. Hussey. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New York.

445

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New York, being possessed of the record and proceedings upon a remittitur from the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Hollon Parker, Petitioner. — On consideration of the motion for a peremptory writ of mandamus in this cause,

3. Original.

It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, set down for final hearing on the 20th of December next with leave to file a return to the rule to show cause

at any time before that date. In the mean time counsel for the petitioner are required to serve a copy of this order together with a copy of the petition on counsel for Denney in the Court below at least fifteen days before the time fixed for the hearing.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States vs. Plff. in Error
1193. Clement Hugh Hill et al. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the first Monday in December next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Nelson Story vs. Plff. in Error
39. Madison M. Black and Rosa G. Black. In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Cunard Steamship Company (limited). — In Error to the
29. vs. Plff. in Error — Circuit Court
Patrick Carey. — of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum

that similar judaments bear in the Courts of the State of New York.

	The Home Insurance Company of New York	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of New York.
14.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	The People of the State of New York.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of New York, being possessed of the record and proceedings upon a remittitur from the Court of Appeals of the State of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Order.

Whereas by the act of Congress of August fourth, eighteen hundred and eighty-six, entitled "An act making appropriations for sundry civil expenses of the Government for the fiscal year ending June thirtieth, eighteen hundred and eighty seven, and for other purposes" there is appropriated for stenographic clerk for the Chief Justice and for each Associate Justice of the Supreme Court, at not exceeding one thousand six hundred dollars each; and whereas in accordance with that act certain persons have already been, and others may hereafter be, designated and engaged, at the compensation of one thousand six hundred dollars each, to act as stenographic clerks of the Justices respectively for the said fiscal year;

It is therefore ordered that the Marshal of the Court take the necessary steps from time to time to obtain the amount of the appropriation aforesaid and apply it to the payment of the compensation aforesaid for said stenographic clerks.

1169. Leon Klein and M. E. Baer vs. Jesse Spalding, late Collector of Customs for the Port and District of Chicago.

Pffs. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs, without prejudice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1288. Charles Mali, Consul of His Majesty the King of the Belgians, and Joseph Wildenhus vs. The Keeper of the Common Jail of Hudson County, New Jersey.

Appellants

Mr. Edward J. Jones, of counsel for the appellants moved the Court for a special

citation returnable at this term, and also to advance this cause. Whereupon, it is now here ordered by the Court that a special citation, returnable on the first Monday in December next, be, and the same is hereby, granted, and that the motion to advance be postponed until that time.

The North Bloomfield Gravel Mining Company and The Milton Water and Mining Company
1289. vs. Appellants
Edwards Woodruff.

Mr. A. B. Browne, of counsel for the appellee, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Circuit Court of the United States
Northern District of California.

I, L. S. B. Sawyer, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of California, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Circuit Court wherein Edwards Woodruff was complainant and the North Bloomfield

Gravel Mining Company" and the "Millon Water and Mining Company" and the "Omega Gold Mining and Ditch Company" and the "Excelsior Water and Mining Company" and B. D. Chadwick and O. D. Campbell, and the firm or partnership of Chadwick and Campbell, composed of the said two last named persons, and Hannah Conly, Executrix of the last will and testament of John Conly, deceased, and Orrin Powell were defendants, a final decree was rendered by said Circuit Court on the 23rd day of January 1884, in favor of the said complainant and against said defendants, and that on the 11th day of January 1886, two of said defendants, to wit: the "North Bloomfield Gravel Mining Company" and the "Millon Water and Mining Company" prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States which was allowed.

In testimony whereof I have unto subscribe my name and affix the seal of the (Seal) ___ said Circuit Court at

San Francisco this 28th day
of October A.D. 1886.
L. S. D. Sawyer
Clerk Circuit Court U. S.
Northern District of California.

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause, pursuant to the 9th rule; and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of California be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

316. Levina Campbell et al., Plfs. in Error vs. The Laclede Gas Light Co.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court

pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Leverett Bell, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Charles Gibson and Mr. Charles E. Gibson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Mary E. Wilson
580. vs. Plff. in Error
Robert Blair.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. O. Whedon, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. L. A. Groff and Mr. C. S. Montgomery, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Edward P. Kirby, Executor &c.
50. vs. Appellant
The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railroad Company et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John C. Fay, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. J. E. Burrill, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, November 16th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief-Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William N. King it is ordered that Walter E. Ward, Esquire, of Albany, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George W. Biddle it is ordered that Pierce Archer and Edward F. Hoffman, Esquires, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

Edward P. Kirby, Executor &c. vs. The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railroad Company et al. Appellant

50. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. E. Burrill, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. George Harris, of counsel for the appellant.

Edward P. Kirby, Executor &c. vs. The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railroad Company, William H. Vanderbilt et al. Appellant

50. Mr. J. E. Burrill, of counsel for the appellees, suggested the death of William H. Vanderbilt, one of the appellees

in this cause, and moved the Court to make Maria Louise Vanderbilt, Cornelius Vanderbilt, William K. Vanderbilt, Frederick W. Vanderbilt and George W. Vanderbilt, Executrix and Executors of William H. Vanderbilt, deceased, parties appellees in this cause. Whereupon, it is now here ordered by the Court that said Maria Louise Vanderbilt, Cornelius Vanderbilt, William K. Vanderbilt, Frederick W. Vanderbilt and George W. Vanderbilt, Executrix and Executors of William H. Vanderbilt, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellees in this cause.

51. R. D. Wood & Company vs. The City of Fort Wayne. Pffs. in Error, The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. Rodman Paul, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, continued by Mr. L. M. Hinde, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. George W. Biddle, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Tuesday, November 16th 1886. continue

The Peoples Savings Bank et al.
52. vs. Plffs. in Error
Levi M. Bates et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by M John Atkinson, o counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, November 17th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Ward Gurley, Jr., it is ordered that W. H. Rogers, Esquire, of New Orleans, State of Louisiana, and Charles E. Coddington, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. N. M. Hubbard it is ordered that W. A. Foster, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Henry C. Parsons it is ordered that Henry C. McCormick, Esquire, of Williamsport, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

787. Charles H. Brooks vs. Plff. in Error
Edward S. Clark.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Frank P. Prichard and Mr. John G. Johnson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Pierce Archer, of counsel for the defendant in error.

52. The Peoples Savings Bank et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Levi M. Bates et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. John Atkinson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Don M. Dickinson, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. Atkinson, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

53. Charles G. Peper et al. vs. Appellants Samuel W. Fordyce, Assignee &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the appellants, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Eben W. Kimball, of counsel for the appellees.

54. The City of New Orleans et al. vs. Appellants J. D. Houston, State Tax Collector & The Louisiana State Lottery Co.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. W. N. Rogers, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourn.

Thursday, November 18th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John C. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. G. F. Edmunds it is ordered that William E. Cushing, Esquire of Cleveland, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this

behalf by Mr. John A. Campbell it is ordered that Oliver H. Dean, Esquire, of Kansas City, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Henry C. Parsons it is ordered that Henry W. Watson, Esquire, of Williamsport, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by C. La Rue Munson it is ordered that George M. Sharp, Esquire, of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

54. The City of New Orleans et al. vs. Appellants
G. D. Houston, State Tax Collector & The Louisiana State Lottery Co.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. John A. Campbell,

of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. J. Ward Gurley Jr., of counsel for the appellants.

56. William A. Coit vs. Appellant
The North Carolina Gold Amalgamating Co. et al.

Mr. E. F. Hoffman, of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of William A. Coit, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court to make Franklin Coit, Administrator of William A. Coit, deceased, the party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Franklin Coit, Administrator of William A. Coit, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party appellant in this cause.

Franklin Coit, Adm'r. &c. vs. The North Carolina Gold Amalgamating Co. et al. — Appellant

56.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. J. Hoffman, of Counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. R. C. McMurtrie, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, November 19th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Hubbard it is ordered that A. B. Cummins, Esquire, of Des Moines, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

200. J. F. D. Lanier et al., Appellants vs. John Nash et al. On motion of Mr. D. S. Hounshell, of counsel for the appellees, Ordered by the Court that the motion for a restraining order in this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned for hearing on Monday the 22d instant.

56. Franklin Coit, Adm'r. &c. Appellant vs. The North Carolina Gold Amalgamating Co. et als. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. R. C. McMurtrie, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Charles Hart, of counsel for the appellant.

57. Patrick Cullinane Appellant vs. The District of Columbia. Mr. U. B. Edwards, of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Patrick Cullinane, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court to make Edward D. Donnelly and John F. Cullinane

Executors of Patrick Cullinane, deceased, the parties appellants in this cause. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that Edward D. Donnelly & John F. Cullinane, Executors of Patrick Cullinane, deceased, be, and they are hereby, made the parties appellants in this cause.

Edward D. Donelly & John F. Cullinane, Executors &c. vs. Appellants The District of Columbia. No. 57. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. U. B. Edwards, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellees.

The Clark Pomace Holder Co. vs. Appellant William N. Ferguson. No. 58. This cause was argued by Mr. Walter E. Ward, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. William H. King, of counsel for the appellee.

Mr. Attorney General Garland addressed the Court as follows:

May it please the Court,

The President of the United States has, by official proclamation, announced to the country the sad intelligence of the death of Ex. President Chester A. Arthur, and pursuant to that proclamation the executive branches of the government will be closed on the day of the funeral, Monday next, the 22d instant; and in my official capacity as Attorney General, I make this announcement that the Court may pay a fitting tribute of respect to this eminent citizen, and I therefore suggest to the Court the propriety of now adjourning until Tuesday next.

The Chief Justice replied as follows,

The Court receives with sorrow the sad intelligence and in compliance with your suggestion will now adjourn until Tuesday next.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Tuesday next at [illegible]

Tuesday, November 23d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger it is ordered that N. W. Chynoweth, Esquire, of Madison, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks it is ordered that James J. Brownson, Jr., Esquire, of Washington. State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Chester A. Arthur, late Collector &c.
26. vs. Aff. in Error
Thomas Barbour and Robert Barbour.

On consideration of the motion to vacate the judgment of dismissal entered in this cause and to enter a judgment of affirmance with costs and interest, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Charles Mali, Consul &c. et al.
1288. vs. Appellants
The Keeper of the Common Jail of Hudson County, New Jersey.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is

now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the case assigned for argument on the first Monday in December next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

1282. Ex parte: In the matter of Lorenzo Snow Appellant. Mr. George Tick. nor Curtis, of counsel for the appellant, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

25. John J. Shipman vs. Appellant
The District of Columbia
and
157. The District of Columbia vs. Appellant
John J. Shipman.

On motion of Mr. W. Willough. by, of counsel for Shipman. Leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the Mandate in these cases.

The State of Wisconsin
vs. Plaintiff
The Pelican Insurance
Company of New Orleans, La.

Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the plaintiff, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a declaration and for process against the defendant.

Louis Phillips et al.,
819. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Mound City Land
& Water Association et al.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. J. Britton, Mr. A. B. Browne, and Mr. Walter H. Smith, in support of the same, and Mr. George F. Edmunds and Mr. George H. Smith, in opposition thereto.

Jacob C. Seale
1237 vs. Appellant
The Parish of Madison.
Jacob C. Seale
1238. vs. Appellant
H. B. Holmes, Sheriff &c.
Mrs. Martha A. Gibbs et al.
1295. vs. Appellants
A. W. Crandall, Adm'r. &c.

On motion of Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the appellants, It is now here ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d Rule.

Hamilton Ormsby et al.,
1154. vs. Plffs. in Error
William B. Webb & C. D. Drake.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. Enoch Totten, in support of the same, and Mr. Wm Stone Abert and Mr. J. J. Johnson, in opposition thereto.

J. F. D. Lanier et al.
200. vs. Appellants
John Nash et al.

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration

of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. D. S. Hounshell, in support of the same.

1079 John L. Street vs. E. P. Ferry. Appellant

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. E. Sutherland and Mr. Arthur Brown, in support of the same, and Mr. John A. Marshall, in opposition thereto.

No. 8. The Hermania Insurance Company of New Orleans vs. Plff. in Error The State of Wisconsin.

and

951. The Peoples Insurance Company of New Orleans vs. Plff. in Error The State of Wisconsin.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the records and printed arguments by Mr. F. W. Holzhausen, of counsel for the plaintiffs in

error, and Mr. H. W. Chumoweth, of coun-
sel for the defendant in error.

59. John Halsted vs. Plff. in Error
Sarah A. Butler et als.

This cause was argued by Mr. A. Burlew, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. F. Brown, of counsel for the defendants in error.

60. Henry C. Davis, Adm'r &c. vs. Appellant
John G. Fay.

Ordered by the Court that this cause &c, and the same is hereby passed.

61. The Williamsport National Bank vs. Plff. in Error
Daniel B. Knapp et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. C. La Rue Munson, of coun-
sel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Henry C. McCormick & Mr. Henry C. Parsons, of counsel for the defendants in error, and Mr. William H. Armstrong of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until

Wednesday, November 24th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Ex parte: In the matter of Lorenzo Snow Appellant

1282.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the 17th day of January next at the foot of

The Town of Enfield
v. Plff. in Error
C. N. Jordan.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. N. Patton, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. C. Mather, of counsel for the defendant in error.

1261.

The Celluloid Manufacturing Company and The Celluloid Brush Company
v. Appellants
Somerby C. Noyes, Hayden and Somerby N. Noyes, copartners as S. C. Noyes & Co.

Mr. Edwin B. Smith, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following

1299.

certificate, to wit:

"United States of America,
District of Massachusetts, ss.

I, John T. Nelson, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, certify that in the following entitled cause,

No 1534.

Celluloid Manufacturing Company and the Celluloid Brush Company, both of whom are corporations duly organized and doing business under the laws of the State of New York and having offices for the transaction of business in the city of New York, County of New York, State of New York,

Complainants

v.

Somerby C. Hoyes, Hayden Brown and Somerby H. Hoyes, copartners doing business under the firm name of S.C. Hoyes & Co. and having a manufactory and place of business at West Newbury, in the State of Massachusetts,

Defendants.

lately pending in said Circuit Court, a final decree was entered October 31st, 1885, dismissing the said complainants bill of complaint with costs taxed in favor of defendants, amounting to $335.97; that the said complainants claimed an appeal from said decree to the Supreme Court of the United States and gave a bond to the said

defendants to prosecute their appeal to effect and answer all damages and costs if they should fail to make their plea good, and said bond was approved by the Court and said appeal was allowed Feb. 10, 1886; that thereupon a citation issued to the defendants to appear in the Supreme Court of the United States at the October Term, A.D. 1886, thereof to show cause, if any there be, why the said decree rendered against the said complainants should not be reversed, and why speedy justice should not be done to the parties in that behalf, which citation appears to have been served by copy on Stanley, Clark & Smith, Defendants' Solicitors, at their office, No. 160 Broadway, New York City, by delivery of the same to a person in charge of their office, Feb. 11, 1886.

In testimony whereof I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of the (Seal) said Circuit Court at Boston, in said District, this 23d day of October, A.D. 1886.

John G. Nelson, Clerk."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule; and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1300. The Celluloid Manufacturing Company & The Celluloid Brush Company vs. The American Zylonite Company and Emil Kipper, the President of the Company. Appellants

Mr. Edwin B. Smith, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"United States of America.
District of Massachusetts, ss.

I, John H. Ketcham, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, certify that in the following entitled cause,

No. 1834, Equity.

Celluloid Manufacturing Company and Celluloid Brush Company, both corporations duly organized and doing business under the laws of the State of New York and having offices for the transaction of business in the City of New York, County of New York and State of New York, citizens of the United States, Complainants

v.

American Zylonite Company, a corporation organized and doing business under the laws of the State of New York, but having its principal manufactory and carrying on business in the vicinity of the town of Adams in the State of Massachusetts, and Emil Kipper, the President of said Company, Defendants,

lately pending in said Circuit Court.

a final decree was entered October 31st 1885, dismissing the said Complainants' bill of complaint with costs taxed in favor of the defendants, amounting to $243.07; that the said complainants claimed an appeal from said decree to the Supreme Court of the United States and gave a bond to the said defendants to prosecute their appeal to effect and answer all damages and costs if they should fail to make their plea good, and said bond was approved by the Court and said appeal was allowed Jan. 27th, 1886; that thereupon a citation issued to the defendants to appear in the Supreme Court of the United States at the October Term, A. D. 1886, thereof to show cause, if any there be, why the said decree rendered against the said complainants should not be reversed, and why speedy justice should not be done to the parties in that behalf, due service of which citation was admitted by Edwin H. Felt, Defendants' Attorney, Feb. 2d 1886.

In testimony whereof I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of said Circuit

(Seal)

Court at Boston, in said District, this 23d day of October, A. D. 1886

John G. Fletcher, Clerk.

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule; and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Frederick Hopt	On motion of Mr.
1077.	vs. Plff. in Error	Assistant Attorney
	The People of the Territory of Utah.	General Maury, of counsel for the defendants in

error, it is now here ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on January 17th next after No. 1282.

	The Williamsport	The argument of
	National Bank	this cause was
61.	vs. Plff. in Error	concluded by
	Daniel B. Knappetals.	Mr. William H.
		Armstrong, of

counsel for the plaintiff in error.

	Fanny D. Wylie	This cause was
62.	vs. Plffs. in Error	argued by Mr.
	The Northamblon	George H. Adams,
	National Bank.	of counsel for the
		plaintiff in error,

and Mr. W. G. Peckham, of counsel for the defendant in error.

August W. Goetz Jr., et al. The argument
63. vs. Plffs. in Error of this cause
The Bank of Kansas City. was commenced
by Mr. F. W.
Goltzhausen, of counsel for the plaintiffs in
error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, November 29th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John C. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. B. Henderson it is ordered that David A. De Armond, Esquire, of Butler, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. McCallum it is ordered that Hugh Porter, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles P. Lincoln it is ordered that Henry W. Magee, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William H. Henderson it is ordered that James T. Law, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Moses R. Crow	In Error to the
934.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	The Township of Oxford,	of the United
	Sumner County, Kansas.	States for the
		District of

Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Charles N. Napgood.	Appeal from the
	John Packer and James	Circuit Court
	H. Hesse,	of the United
38.	vs. Appellants	States for the
	Horace L. Hewitt.	District of
		Indiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

43. The Minneapolis & St. Louis Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error The Columbus Rolling Mill Company. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the

said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

E. G. Harriet ... In Error to the
20. vs. Plff. in Error ... Circuit Court
W. A. Patrick, Adminis- ... of the United
trator of Eliza M. O'Brien, ... States for the
deceased, Philip O'Brien ... Northern Dis-
and William Brady ... trict of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Texas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

John B. Sargent and Wharton Branch, (Intervenors)		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Texas.
475.	vs. Plffs. in Error	
W. A. Patrick, Administrator of Eliza M. O'Brien, deceased, Philip O'Brien and William Brady.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Texas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of ~~the~~ said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Board of Commissioners of the County of Washington		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for
35.	vs. Plff. in Error	
Edward Sallinger.		

The Eastern District of North Carolina.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of North Carolina, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of North Carolina.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

628. Hiram M. French vs. Plff. in Error
Assyria Hall.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that

the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The New York, Lake Erie & Western Railroad Company
22. ...vs. Appellant
Commissioner Sidney Clarke.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsels.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded

to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

24. J. D. Newhall, Appellant, vs. E. J. Le Breton, Administrator of the estate of Theodore Le Roy, deceased, Victor Le Roy, Georges Le Roy and Eugene Le Roy, heirs at law of Theodore Le Roy, deceased. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of California.

Mary E. Freeman, D. C. Freeman, J. B. Puckett, William Moore and William G. McElhenny,
36. vs. Plffs. in Error
Benjamin Alderson, Amelia Alderson, Malinda Johns and husband Robert Johns, Alice Grimes and husband, B. Grimes, James Alderson et al.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Texas, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Willamette Woolen Manufacturing Company
32. vs. Appellant
The Bank of British Columbia.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The Continental Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut.
33. vs. Plff. in Error
Ann Eliza Rhoads, Administratrix of Maris Rhoads, deceased.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The East Tennessee, Virginia & Georgia Railroad Company, Appellant
vs.
John W. Grayson.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Alabama.

331.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

381. John Sliney et al., Plffs. in Error, vs. The United States.

1064. The United States, Plaintiff, vs. E. A. Northway.

1142. David Richmond, Plff. in Error, vs. M. B. Blake, Collector &c.

Mr. Attorney General Garland submitted to the consideration of the Court motions to advance these cases.

J. P. Winchester, Trustee et al. vs. J. B. Heistell et al. — Pltffs. in Error

1148. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Henry Craft and Mr. Thomas B.

Turley, in support of the same, and Mr. B. M. Estes, in opposition thereto.

Adolphus Eckloff,
251. vs. Plff. in Error
The District of Columbia.

Mr. A. G. Riddle, of counsel for the defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

John W. Ebbinghaus, Trustee,
644. vs. Appellant
John G. Killian, John Schenck and John Schneider, Trustees of the German Evangelical Concordia Church.

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Dis. trict of Columbia.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. P. E. Dye, of counsel for the appellant, and a stipulation to dis. miss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company	Mr. Charles W. Hornor, of
77.	vs. Appellant	counsel for
	George D. Allen.	the appellant,
	and	submitted
	The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company	to the consid-
78.	vs. Appellant	eration of the
	Silas Weeks.	Court a pe-
		tition for a
		commission

to take additional testimony in these cases.

	G. F. D. Lanier et al.	The motion for a
200.	vs. Appellants	restraining order
	John Nash et al.	in this case was
		submitted to the

consideration of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. D. S. Hounshell, in support of the same.

	August W. Goetz Jr., et al.	The argument
63.	vs. Plffs. in Error	of this cause
	The Bank of Kansas City	was concluded
		by Mr. O. N.

Dean, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company
64. vs. Plff. in Error
E. J. McLaughlin, Executor &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. S. M. Hubbard, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. W. A. Foster, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, November 30th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

64. The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company vs. Pfff in Error
E. J. & M. McLaughlin, Executors &c.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. William A. Foster, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Charles A. Clark, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

65. Jacob Johnson et al. vs. Plffs. in Error / The Chicago & Pacific Elevator Company. This cause was argued by Mr. Henry W. Magee, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Robert Rae, of counsel for the defendant in error.

66. Stewart Harlshorn vs. Appellant / The Saginaw Barrel Co. et als. This cause was argued by Mr. James T. Law, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Charles J. Hunt, of counsel for the appellees.

67. Eliza Jane Wolverton et al. vs. Plffs. in Error / Erastus A. Nichols et al. This cause was argued by Mr. Wm Herbert Smith and Mr. Walter N. Smith, of counsel for the defendants in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Edward O. Wolcott, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, December 1st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. H. R. Dawson it is ordered that William A. Collier, Esquire, of Clanton, State of Alabama, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. S. Stout it is ordered that Charles G. Page and William Zimmerman, Esquires, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

	The Chicago, Burlington & Kansas City R.R. Co.	Mr. B. G. Boone, of counsel for the de-
1244.	vs. Pelf. in Error	fendant in
	The State of Missouri ex rel: John F. Guffey, Collector &c.	error, filed and submit-

ted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

	John Hayes	On motion of Mr.
1261.	vs. Pelf. in Error	B. G. Boone, of
	The State of Missouri.	counsel for the
		defendant in

error, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on the 3d day of January next at the head of the calls.

Roswell G. Rolston, et al, Trustees &c. vs. Appellants
68. Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al.
and
Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al. vs. Appellants
213. Roswell G. Rolston et al., Trustees &c.

On motion of Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel, One hour additional time is allowed counsel on each side in the argument of these cases.

Roswell G. Rolston et al, Trustees &c. vs. Appellants
68. Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al.
and
Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al. vs. Appellants
213. Roswell G. Rolston et al., Trustees &c.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for Rolston et al., and continued by Mr. D. A. D'Armond & Mr. John B. Henderson, of counsel for Crittenden, Governor, et al.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, December 2d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. S. Hounshell it is ordered that C. E. Nicol, Esquire, of Brentsville, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Alden B. Stockwell
230. vs. Plff. in Error
James Boyce.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the court by Mr. Michael N. Cardozo, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Alden B. Stockwell
230. vs Plf. in Error
James Boyce.

On motion of Mr. Michael N. Cardozo, of counsel for the plaintiff in error,

leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Rosewell G. Rolston et al., Trustees &c. vs. Appellants
68. Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al.
Thomas J. Crittenden, Governor, et al. vs. Appellants
213. Rosewell G. Rolston et al., Trustees &c.

The argument of these causes was continued by Mr. John B. Henderson, of counsel for Crittenden, Governor, et al., and concluded by Mr. Elihu Root, of counsel for Rolston et al.

Edward Prinson vs. Appellant
69. Charles H. Hutton et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellant, continued by Mr. W. W. Corlett, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the appellant.

The National Life Insurance Company of the United States of America. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota.

70. vs. Plff. in Error

Albert Scheffer, George R. Finch and Charles Nelson, as Executors & Trustees of Charles Scheffer, dec'd.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record. It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Albert Baldwin, subrogated for Joseph C. Keyser, — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr.

71. vs. Appellant — R. H. Browne, of counsel

John W. Black. — for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday. December 3d 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite. Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin F. Thurston it is ordered that R. A. Bakewell, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, and C. Frank Parkhurst, Esquire, of Providence, State of Rhode Island, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A.T. Coburn it is ordered that Le Grand W. Perce, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R.D. Mussey it is ordered that Philip T. Dodge, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Van H. Manning it is ordered that Calvin Perkins, Esquire, of Memphis, State of Tennessee, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Albert Baldwin, subrogated for Joseph C. Keyser vs. John W. Black. Appellant

71.

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. R.H.

Browne, of counsel for the appellee, and the cause was submitted on printed arguments by Mr. Robert H. Marr, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Henry C. Miller, of counsel for the appellees.

Herman Gilbert et al.
72. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Moline Plow Company.

This cause was argued by Mr. R. D. Mussey, of counsel for the defendant in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. H. N. Whilton, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Robert Newton
73. vs. Appellant
The First & Bradley
Manufacturing Company.

This cause was argued by Mr. L. L. Coburn, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. L. L. Bond, of counsel for the appellees.

The St. Tammany Water
Works Company et al.
74. vs. Appellants
The New Orleans Water Works Co.

This cause was submitted to the consideration

of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. G. L. Hall, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. J. R. Beckwith and Mr. Edgar H. Farrar, of counsel for the appellees.

Charles G. Francklyn et als. Appellants
75. vs.
Amasa Sprague et als.

This cause was argued by Mr. William Allen Butler, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Benjamin F. Thurston, of counsel for the appellees.

Leave was granted counsel on each side to file additional printed arguments on or before the 11th instant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday. December 6th 1880.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that George W. Wingate, Esquire, of New York City, and C. H. Winfield, Esquire, of Jersey City, State of New Jersey, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. P. Cuppy it is ordered that W. S. Boyd, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Richard Wood, Edward T. Wood, George Wood, Walter Wood and Stuart Wood, partners, as R. D. Wood & Company	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Dis-
51.	vs. Plffs. in Error	trict of In-
	The City of Fort Wayne.	diana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be,

and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Clark Pomace Holder Company
58. vs. Appellant
William H. Ferguson.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The City of New Orleans, The Board of Assessors of the State of Louisiana in and for the Parish of Orleans by E. J. Leche, President thereof, et als.		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
54.	vs. Appellants.	
J. D. Houston, State Tax Collector and The Louisiana State Lottery Co.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Abbie B. Clark, Executrix, and Rufus D. Chase, Executor of Andrew J. Clark, deceased, John W. Wheeler, William T. Elliot, Abijah French and Allen Schenck

31. vs. Appellants

George H. Wooster.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of New York.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

23.	James Hamilton vs. The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific Railroad Company. Plff in Error	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

56.	Franklin Coit, Administrator of William A. Coit, deceased, vs. The North Carolina Gold Amalgamating Company et al. Appellant	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Rufus McCreery vs. Plff. in Error
Ellen Haskell, Successor in interest of Mrs. E. A. Fuller, deceased and J. C. Haskell. } In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

46.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

827. The United States vs. William Rauscher. Plaintiff. On a certificate of division in opinion between the Judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and on the points or questions on which the Judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion, and which were certified to this Court for its opinion agreeably to the act of Congress in such case made and provided, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the first of the questions certified be answered in the negative and the second and third questions in the affirmative. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court That it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Dissenting: Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

849.	Frederick M. Ker vs. Plff. in Error The People of the State of Illinois	In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

200.	J. F. D. Lanier et als. vs. Appellants John C. Nash et al.	On consideration of the motions to dismiss and for a restraining

order in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, denied; And that the further consideration of the motion for a stay of execution is continued for notice to the other side to appear and show

cause to the contrary on the third Monday of the present month. Service is to be made by delivering a copy of the motion and of the brief which has been filed in support of it and of this order on the counsel in the Court below of William Goodrich, against whom the rule is asked, at least one week before the day fixed for the hearing.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Hamilton Ormsby et al.	On consideration
1154	vs. Plffs. in Error	of the motions to
	W.B. Webb & C.D Drake.	dismiss or af-
		firm in this

cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and they are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Louis Phillips et al.	Ordered by the
819	vs. Plffs. in Error	Court that the
	The Mound City Land &	motion to dis-
	Water Association et al.	miss in this

cause be, and the same is hereby, continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The State of Wisconsin vs. Plaintiff, The Pelican Insurance Company, of New Orleans, La. On consideration of the motion of Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, It is ordered that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted to enter and prosecute this cause as an original action in this Court, and that a summons issue, returnable on the 24th day of January, 1887, and requiring the defendant to plead or demur to the declaration on or before the 28th day of February, 1887. Every Monday thereafter shall be a rule day until the issues are made up.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

77. The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company vs. George D. Allen. Appellant

and

78. The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company vs. Silas Weeks. Appellant

On consideration of the petition for a commission to take additional testimony in these cases. It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1244. The Chicago, Burlington & Kansas City R.R. Co. vs. The State of Missouri ex rel: John F. Guffey. Collector &c. Plff. in Error

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the first Monday in January next after the case heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1064 The United States vs. Stephen A. Caithway. Plaintiff

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on the first Monday in January next immediately after No. 1244.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1251. Adolphus Eckloff, vs. The District of Columbia. Plff. in Error

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1142. David Richmond, Plff. in Error, vs. A. P. Blake, Collector &c.
381. John Sliney et al., Plffs. in Error, vs. The United States.

On consideration of the motions to advance these cases, It is ordered by the Court that said motions be, and they are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1143. Joseph Rothercliff et al., Plffs. in Error, vs.
W. H. Robertson, Collector &c.

1158. John Erwin, Plff. in Error, vs. The San Francisco Savings Union et al.

Mr Attorney General Garland submitted to the consideration of the Court motions to advance these cases.

848. The Choctaw Nation vs. The United States. Appellant

850. The United States vs. The Choctaw Nation. Appellant

Mr. F. P. Cuppy, of counsel for the Choctaw Nation, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to grant leave to the Clerk to issue the mandate in these cases.

1100. The United States vs. Ramon Arjona. Plaintiff

Mr. George W. Wingate, of counsel for the defendant, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Henry C. Huiskamp et al. ... The motion
194. ... vs. Plffs. in Error ... to dismiss
The Moline Wagon Company. ... in this
... cause was
submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. M. Osborn, in support of the same, and Mr. James Hagerman, in opposition thereto.

317. John Stryker, Plff. in Error, vs. Edward K. Goodnow.

318. John Stryker, Plff. in Error, vs. Edward K. Goodnow.

319. E. C. Litchfield, Adm'r. &c., Plff. in Error, vs. Edward K. Goodnow.

655. J. B. Plumb, Plff. in Error, vs. Edward K. Goodnow.

1044. G. W. Welles, Executor &c., Plff. in Error, vs. Edward K. Goodnow.

1045. E. N. Litchfield, Adm'r. &c. Plff. in Error, vs. Edward K. Goodnow.

1046. E. N. Litchfield, Adm'r. &c., Plff. in Error, vs. Edward K. Goodnow.

Mr. W. L. Boyd, of counsel for the defendant in error, suggested the death of Edward K. Goodnow, the defendant in error in these cases, and moved the Court to make George Crane, Administrator of Edward K. Goodnow, deceased, the party defendant in error in these cases. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that George Crane, Administrator of Edward K. Goodnow, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and he is hereby, made the party defendant in error in these cases.

The United States vs. John Paul Jones, Adm'r. &c. — Appellant

1024. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John Paul Jones, in support of the same, and Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. N. J. May, in opposition thereto.

1023. The United States vs. Appellant F. M. Symonds. and 1029. The United States vs. Appellant Joshua Bishop.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the records and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. F. P. Dewees, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John Paul Jones and Mr. R. B. Lines, of counsel for the appellees.

1030. The United States vs. Appellant Charles H. Rockwell.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. F. P. Dewees, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John Paul Jones and Mr. R. B. Lines, of counsel for the appellees.

1032 The United States vs. Appellant Henry R. Philbrick. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. F. P. Dewees, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John Paul Jones and Mr. R. B. Lines, of counsel for the appellee.

940. The California Artificial Stone Paving Company vs. Appellant J. W. Schalicke. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. M. A. Wheaton, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Manuel Eyre, of counsel for the appellee.

76. W. H. Mace vs. Plff. in Error Edward Merrill. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr.

A. J. Britton, Mr. A. B. Browne and Mr. Walter N. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Edward B. Merrill, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Merchants Mutual Ins. Co.,
77. vs. Appellant
George D. Allen.
and
The Merchants Mutual Ins. Co.,
78. vs. Appellant
Silas Weeks.

Ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, passed.

Colburn Barrell et als.
79. vs. Plffs. in Error
C. E. Tilton.

This cause was argued by Mr. W. W. Upton, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Edmund L. Cope et al. This cause was
80. vs. Appellants argued by Mr.
The Vallette Dry Dock Co. G. R. Beckwith,
of counsel for
the appellants and submitted on a print-
ed argument by Mr. Alfred Goldthwaite,
of counsel for the appellees.

U. S. to Whitford. The argument of this
82. vs. Plff. in Error cause was com-
The County of Clark. menced by Mr.
Clinton Rowell, of
counsel for the plaintiff in error, and con-
tinued by Mr. M. G. Reynolds, of counsel
for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is
adjourned until tomorrow at twelve
o'clock.

Tuesday, December 7th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford,
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. R. Coudert it is ordered that Millard R. Powers, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George B. Young it is ordered that Greenleaf Clark, Esquire, of St. Paul, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The State of Missouri ex rel: George W. Marshman vs. John Winterbottom, Elias U. Wilson, F. M. Gifford et al. — Pltff. in Error — 85.

Mr. John Henderson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, suggested the death of Elias U. Wilson and F. M. Gifford, two of the defendants in error in this cause, and moved the Court for an order under the 15th Rule, to make the proper representatives parties. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said Elias U. Wilson & F. M. Gifford, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the plaintiff in error

shall be entitled to open the record and, on hearing, have the judgment reversed, if it be erroneous. Provided, however, That a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation in the State of Missouri for three successive weeks at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

L. C. Whitford vs. Plff. in Error The County of Clark. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. M. G. Reynolds, and Mr. W. H. Hatch,

82. of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. John B. Henderson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Emil Heinemann et al. vs. Plffs. in Error C. A. Arthur, late Collector &c. — Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the defend.

81. ant in error, moved the Court to dismiss this cause pursuant to the 16th Rule. Whereupon, this Court not being now here sufficiently advised of and concerning

what order to render in the premises, took time to consider.

Moses G. Bignall
83. vs. Plff. in Error
James H. Gould.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. H. H. Pollard, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. & to counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

Charles Mali, Consul &c. et al.
1288. vs. Appellants
The Keeper of the Common Jail of Hudson County, N. J.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Edward T. Jones, of counsel for the appellants, continued by Mr. C. H. Winfield, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. F. R. Coudert, of counsel for the appellants.

The United States
945. vs. Plff. in Error
H. G. Parker et als.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, re-assigned

for argument on January 3d 1887, after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

The State of Missouri ex rel: George W. Harshman vs. Plff. in Error John Winterbottom et al. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

85.

Horace H. Eldred vs. Plff. in Error The Bell Telephone Company of Missouri. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. M. R. Powers, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the defendant in error.

84.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, December 8th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph Lyman it is ordered that William Connor, Esquire, of Des Moines, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

84. Horace K. Eldred vs. Plff. in Error The Bell Telephone Co. of Mo. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Henry Hitchcock, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. M. R. Powers, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

6. Jesse P. Farley vs. Appellant Norman W. Kittson et al. — The reargument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Henry D. Bean, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. George B. Young and Mr. William M. Evarts, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, December 9th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Ross it is ordered that Frank P. Martin, Esquire, of Easton, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

James b. Fargo, as President of the Merchants Despatch Transportation Co.,
842. vs. Pff. in Error
W. b. Stevens, Auditor General of the State of Michigan.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Ashley Cond, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Edward Bacon, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Jesse P. Farley
6. vs. Appellant
Norman W. Kittson et al.

The re-argument of this cause was continued by Mr. William M. Evarts, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellant.

The United States
1193. vs. Pff. in Error
Clement H. Hill et al.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed until Wednesday the 15th instant.

George Knecht. Appellant
86. vs.
Emil Haas et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. E. D. Hoge, of counsel for the appellant. No counsel appearing for the appellees.

Louis P. Sutter et al.,
87. vs. Appellants
Isaac Robinson & Julius Rosenthal, Adm'rs. &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Thomas A. Banning, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. John W. Munday, of counsel for the appellees.

Louis P. Sutter et al.
87. vs. Appellants
Isaac Robinson & Julius Rosenthal, Administrators &c.

On motion of Mr. Thomas A. Banning, and consent of Mr. John W. Munday, Ordered that file-wrapper and contents of Letters patent No. 216,293 be made a part of the record in this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Friday, December 10th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

81. Emil Heinemann et als. vs. Plffs. in Error C. A. Arthur, late Collector &c. On motion of Mr. P. A. Collins leave is hereby granted coun. sel for the plaintiffs in error to file a printed brief on or before the 24th instant.

	Louis P. Sutter et al.	The argument of this cause
87.	vs. Appellants	was continued by Mr. John
	Isaac Robinson & Julius	W. Munday, of counsel for
	Rosenthal, Admrs. &c.	the appellees, and conclud-
		ed by Mr. Thomas A. Ban-

ning, of counsel for the appellants.

	The Iron Mountain & Helena	This cause was ar-
	Railroad Company et al.	gued by Mr. Walter
88.	vs. Plffs. in Error	N. Smith, of counsel
	A. N. Johnson.	for the plaintiffs
		in error, and Mr.

A. N. Garland, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	William L. Huse et al.,	This cause was ar-
89.	vs. Appellants	gued by Mr. G. S. El-
	Joseph O. Glover et al.	dredge, of counsel
		for the appellants, and

Mr. George Hunt, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, December 13th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. Z. George it is ordered that Marcellus Green, Esquire, of Jackson, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks it is ordered that Willard Brown, Alfred C. Chapin and George S. Coleman, Esquires, of New York City, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Benjamin Harrison it is ordered that William Wallace, Esquire, of Indianapolis, and William W. Canfield, Esquire, of Aurora, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. F. Morris it is ordered that Donn Piatt, Esquire, of Mac-o-chee, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Noadly it is ordered that Herm. Marckworth, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John H. Reagan it is ordered that Horace Chilton, Esquire, of Tyler, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Jacob Johnson and James B. Carter, owners of the Tug Boat "Parker" and Henry A. Christy

vs.

The Chicago and Pacific Elevator Company.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

65. Plffs. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Robert Newton	Appeal from
73.	vs. Appellant	the Circuit
	The Furst & Bradley Manu-	Court of the
	facturing Company, Conrad	United
	Furst, David Bradley, J.	States for the
	Harley Bradley and Byron C.	Northern
	Bradley.	District of
		Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed

by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Benjamin F. Bugard 2nd
Moses Hillard
27. vs. Appellants
Robert A. Houston.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a decree dismissing the bill for want of jurisdiction with the costs in that Court, and without

prejudice to the right of the plaintiffs to sue at law; and that each party pay his own costs on this appeal.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley.

Frederick Kramer, Assignee of Isaac Cohn, a bankrupt, Appellant
112. vs.
Isaac Cohn and M. S. Cohn.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	The Williamsport National Bank	In Error
61.	vs. Pltff. in Error	to the Cir-
	Daniel B. Knapp and William F.	cuit Court
	Thompson, under the firm name of	of the United
	Knapp & Thompson, for the use of	States for
	John Otto & John B. Hazlet as As-	the Western
	signees in trust for the benefit of credit-	District
	ors of said firm of Knapp & Thompson	of Penn-
		sylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	Fannie D. Wylie	In Error to the Circuit
62.	vs. Pltff. in Error	Court of the United
	The Northampton	States for the Southern
	National Bank.	District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Shirley C. Ashby		Appeal from the
37. vs	Appellant	Supreme Court of
Sidney M. Hall and		the Territory of
Henry M. Parchen.		Montana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Levina Campbell, Annie L. Murray, Frank L. Murray and Amelia E. Hartnett		In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.
316.	vs.	
The Laclede Gas Light Company.	Plffs. in Error	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railway Company		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.
48.	vs.	
D. W. McClung.	Plff. in Error	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of

the United States for the Southern District of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company		In Error to the Supreme Court of the
149.	vs. Plff. in Error	State of Ohio.
George Bates.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Ohio and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had therein

in conformity with the opinion of this Court.
per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Charles G. Peper, sued as Charles G. Peper & Company and George G. Latta		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.
53. vs.	Appellants	
Samuel W. Fordyce, Assignee of Walter A. Moore and Walter A. Moore.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill filed originally in that Court by Fordyce against Peper and

Latta without prejudice, and to remand the cause removed from the State Court, each party to pay its own costs in the Circuit Court, and the costs in this Court to be paid one-half by each of the parties.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Edward D. Donnelly and John F. Cullinane, Executors of Patrick Cullinane, deceased, ... Appeal from the Court of Claims.

vs. ..... Appellants

The District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

579. John Halsted vs. Plff. in Error Sarah A. Buster and Mary A. McGee (late Mary A. Buster). In Error to the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed, costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said District Court for further proceedings.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

580. Mary E. Wilson vs. Plff. in Error Robert Blair. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

787. Charles H. Brooks vs. Edward S. Clark. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

The Germania Insurance Company of New Orleans vs. Plff. in Error
1148. The State of Wisconsin.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Wisconsin and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The People's Insurance Company of New Orleans, Louisiana,
751. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Wisconsin

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

J. P. Winchester, Trustee, W. L. Staley, E. B. McHenry, Receiver of Assets of Bank of West Tennessee, The Hernando Insurance Company, Benellay, L. S. Gobe, Adm'r. of L. M. Gobe, deceased, and Taylor, Gray & Co. for use of W. F. Taylor,

1148. vs. Plffs. in Error

J. B. Heiskell, W. L. Scott & C. W. Heiskell.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee and was argued

by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1024. The United States vs. John Paul Jones, Adm'r. &c. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same. It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1079. John L. Street vs. E. P. Ferry. Appellant

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah, and was argued

by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1113. Joseph Nethercliff et al. vs. Pltffs. in Error W. H. Robertson, Collector &c. and John Erwin vs. Pltff. in Error The San Francisco Savings Union et al.

On consideration of the motions to advance these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1100. The United States vs. Plaintiff Ramon Arjona.

On consideration of the motion to advance this cause it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cause assigned for argument on

the first Monday in January next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Choctaw Nation	On consideration
848.	vs. Appellant	of the motion for
	The United States	leave to the Clerk
	and	to issue the man-
	The United States	date in these
850.	vs. Appellant	cases, It is ordered
	The Choctaw Nation.	by the Court that
		said motion be

and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Henry C. Huiskamp et al.,	On considera-
194.	vs. Plffs. in Error	tion of the mo-
	The Moline Wagon Company.	tion to dis-
		miss in this

cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

81. Ernil Heinemann et al., vs. Plffs. in Error
Lo. A. Arthur, late Collector &c.

On consideration of the motion to dismiss this cause pursuant to Rule 16, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per. Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1141. W. H. Robertson, Collector &c. vs. Plff. in Error
Louise A. Salomon et al.
and
1202. M. L. Bundy, Receiver &c. vs. Appellant
William M. Cocke.

Mr. Solicitor General Jenks filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court motions to advance these cases.

1258. The Mercantile National Bank of New York vs. Appellant
The Mayor &c. of New York.

Mr. George S. Coleman, in behalf of coun. sel for the appellees, submitted to the consideration of the Court

a motion to advance this cause.

The National Newark Banking Company vs. The Mayor &c. of Newark. — Appellant

1301. Mr. Joseph Coult, of Counsel for the appellees, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

Wm H. Fowle Jr., Admr. &c. vs. Alexander Hay. — Appellant

383. On motion of Mr. H. H. Wells leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Fannie M. Cook vs. The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Co. — Appellant

125. Mr. Samuel Shella Barger, of Counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Fannie M. Cook, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court to make Mamie M. Roberts, Executrix of the said Fannie M. Cook, the party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Mamie M. Roberts,

Executrix of Fannie M. Cook, deceased, as aforesaid, be and she is hereby, made the party appellant in this cause.

Alva Worden et als. vs. Anson Sears. — Appellants

118. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. P. Filch, in support of the same, and Mr. Charles J. Hunt, in opposition thereto.

The Sun Mutual Insurance Company et als., vs. The Kountze Line et als. — Appellants

136. The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. R. N. Browne and Mr. C. B. Singleton, in support of the same, and Mr. O. B. Sansum, in opposition thereto.

	Edward C. Hancock	This cause
1094.	vs. Appellant	was submit-
	Mrs. Eliza Jane Holbrook et al.	ted to the

consideration of the Court, pursuant to the 32d rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. D. Rouse and Mr. William Grant, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Thomas J. Semmes and Mr. Robert Mott, of counsel for the appellees.

	The Northern Pacific	This cause was argued
	Railroad Company	by Mr. W. P. Clough,
90.	vs. Plff. in Error	of counsel for the
	Mark Paine.	plaintiff in error, and
		Mr. E. M. Wilson, of

counsel for the defendant in error.

	Felix A. Boter, Adm'r. &c. et al.	The argument
91.	vs. Appellants	of this cause
	George M. Chapman, Executor &c.	was com-
		menced by Mr.

W. P. Clough, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, December 14th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. M. Cockrell it is ordered that H. C. McDougal, Esquire, of Kansas City, State of Missouri, and M. Marsteller, Esquire, of Susanville, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Shiras Jr. it is ordered that W. Macrum, Esquire, of Pittsburgh, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Meyers & Levi, Samuel Friedlander et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
95. David Bloch.

And

Meyers & Levi, Samuel Friedlander et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
96. Solomon Isaacs.

Mr. J. H. Kennard, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, suggested the death of Samuel Friedlander, one of the plaintiffs in error in these cases, and moved the Court to make Max Dinkelspeil and Mrs. L. B. Cain, Executor and Executrix of the said Samuel Friedlander, parties plaintiffs in error in these cases. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that Max Dinkelspeil and Mrs. L. B. Cain, Executor and Executrix of Samuel Friedlander, deceased, as aforesaid,

be, and they are hereby, made parties plaintiffs in error in these cases.

Henry J. Allen
1310. vs. Plff. in Error
The Texas & Pacific
Railway Company.

Mr. J. H. Kennard, of counsel for the defendant in error, having filed in open Court a copy of the writ of error and citation in this cause and the following certificate, to wit:

"United States of America.
Circuit Court of the United States.
Eastern District of Louisiana.

Henry J. Allen
vs.
Texas & Pacific Railway Co. } No. 11266.

Clerk's Office:

It is hereby certified that a final judgment dismissing the plaintiff's suit was rendered herein on June 7, 1886, and signed June 11, 1886, and that on the 15 day of June, 1886, the plaintiff sued out a writ of error, which was allowed, returnable on the 2d Monday of October, 1886, and that the defendant was cited thereon June 16, 1886;

and that no bond has been filed or transcript taken by said plaintiff.

Witness my hand and seal of said
(Seal) Court at the City of New Orleans
this 11th day of December 1886.
E. R. Hunt, Clerk.

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule; And it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff in error has failed to have his cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

James H. Fisher
241. vs. Pltff. in Error
William H. Perkins.

Mr. A. J. Crutchfield, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in

error, suggested the death of William N. Perkins, the defendant in error in this cause, and moved the Court for an order, under the 15th rule, to make the proper representatives parties. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said William N. Perkins, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court, the plaintiff in error shall be entitled to open the record and, on hearing, have the judgment reversed if it be erroneous; Provided, however, That a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation in the State of Kentucky for three successive weeks at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

91. Felix A. Boyer, Adm'r. &c. et al., Appellants vs. George M. Chapman, Executor &c. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. W. P. Clough, of counsel for the appellants, and

Mr. Charles W. Hornor, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. W. P. Clough, of counsel for the appellants.

92. H. C. and J. O. Speidel, Executors &c. vs. Jacob Henrici et al., Trustees. Appellants. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. William Reinecke, of counsel for the appellants, continued by Mr. George Shiras Jr., of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. George Hoadly, of counsel for the appellants

93. John A. Dushane et al. vs. Joseph Benedict. Plffs. in Error The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. William McCrum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. William F. Mattingly, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, December 15th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley . Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

93. John A. Dushane et al., vs. Plffs. in Error Joseph Benedict. The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. W. Macrum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

94. Hobart B. Ives vs. Appellant Joseph B. Sargent. This cause was argued by Mr. H. J. Blake, of counsel for

the appellant, and Mr. John K. Beach, of counsel for the appellees.

Myers & Levi et al.,
95. vs. Plffs. in Error,
David Block.
and
Myers & Levi et al.,
96. vs. Plffs. in Error
Solomon Isaacs.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. John H. Kennard, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. G. A. Breaux, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, December 16th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

960. The Great Falls Manufacturing Co. Appellant vs. A. H. Garland, Attorney General, et al.

Mr. W. Penn Clarke, in behalf of counsel for the appellant, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause.

93. John A. Dushane et al's. vs. Plffs. in Error Joseph Benedict. On motion of Mr. J. N. Kennard, in behalf of Mr. W. Macrum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, leave is hereby granted him to file an additional brief in this cause, provided the consent of counsel for the defendant in error is obtained

95. Meyers & Levi et al, Plffs. in Error, vs. David Block.
96. Meyers & Levi et al., Plffs. in Error, vs. Solomon Isaacs.

The argument of these cases was concluded by Mr. John N. Kennard, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

97. Roxanna Simonton, Executrix vs. Appellant Hiram Sibley et al's. This cause was argued by Mr. Samuel F. Phillips, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. William E. Earle, of counsel for the appellees.

98. James L. Sharp vs. Christopher Reissner et al. Appellant

This cause was argued by Mr. Arthur V. Briesen, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. B. F. Lee, of counsel for the appellees.

99. Jonathan N. Burke, et al. vs. O. E. Wood et al. Plffs. in Error,

In Error to the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	James Creegan	Appeal from the
101.	vs. Appellant	Circuit Court of
	William D. Andrews,	the United States
	George H. Andrews and	for the Southern
	Nelson W. Green.	District of New
		York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, December 17th, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. H. L. Dawes it is ordered that William F. Slocum, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. A. Ranney it is ordered that M. F. Dickinson, Jr., and William Warren Vaughan, Esquires, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Wilson it is ordered that William Minard Richardson, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, and Andrew Y. Trogdon, Esquire, of Paris, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

456. Henry A. Tarver and Elizabeth G. Tarver vs. Slaughter W. Ficklin et als. and Slaughter W. Ficklin vs. Henry A. Tarver et al.

457. Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Georgia.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. M. Storwood, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that these appeals be, and the same are hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

337. The Pacific Railway Improvement Company vs. Plff. in Error Louis A. Von Hoffman, William Mertens and Robert Reutter. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. M. Wilson, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Charles A. W. Sherman, Adm'r., et al.
102. vs. Appellants
David N. Jerome et al., Executors &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. George W. Miller, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. J. N. McGowan, of counsel for the appellees.

Lucius L. Hubbard
103. vs. Plff. in Error
The New York, New England & Western Investment Company.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. William Warren Vaughan, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. Hugh Porter, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, December 20th 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. R. Doolittle it is ordered that Adelbert Hamilton, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. F. Miller it is ordered that Henry Sayles, Esquire, of Abilene, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. N. W. Blair it is ordered that C. N. J. Taylor, Esquire, of Kansas City State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. T. C. Catchings it is ordered that Edward Mayes, Esquire, of Oxford, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger it is ordered that Joseph A. Carter and Louis N.

Berger, Esquires, of Quincy, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The California Artificial Stone Paving Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.
940.	vs. Appellant	
F. W. Schalicke.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of California.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Horace H. Eldred	In Error to the
84.	vs. Pff. in Error	Circuit Court
	The Bell Telephone Com.	of the United
	pany of Missouri.	States for the
		Eastern District
	of Missouri.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

(announced by Mr. Justice Blatchford.)

	Louis P. Sutter, Adolph Sutter	Appeal from
	and Jacob Sutter,	the Circuit
87.	vs. Appellants	Court of the
	Isaac Robinson and Julius Rosen.	United States
	thal, Administrator of Abraham	for the North.
	Robinson, deceased.	ern District
		of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

(announced by Mr. Justice Blatchford.)

	Moses G. Bignall	In Error to the Cir-
83.	vs. Plff. in Error	cuit Court of the
	James H. Gould.	United States for
		the Eastern District

of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

George Thacktrah		Appeal from
86. vs.	Appellant	the Supreme
Emil Haas, Anthony Godbe,		Court of the
The London Bank of Utah		Territory of
(limited), and The Royal		Utah.
Mining Company of Utah		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings to be had

therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Greenwich Insurance Company
30. vs. Plff. in Error
The Providence & Stonington Steamship Company.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of New York.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

William L. Huse, James L. Huse, Horatio G. Loomis & Luther Loomis
89. vs. Appellants
Joseph O. Glover, Martin Kingman and Benjamin F. Shaw.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Eva Jane Wolverton and William Arthur Wolverton, infant heirs of the late Nelson Wolverton, deceased, by Margaret J. Wolverton Daniels, their guardian and next friend and Margaret J. Wolverton Daniels
67. vs. Plffs. in Error
Erastus A. Nichols and Charles

In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court for further proceedings.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	Herman Gilbert and Jacob Schartzel Jr.,		In Error to the Supreme Court
72.	vs.	Plffs. in Error	of the Territory
	The Moline Plow Company.		of Dakota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby,

affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

L. C. Whitford		In Error to the Cir-
82.	vs.	cuit Court of the
The County of Clark.	Deff. in Error	United States for the
		Eastern District of

Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company		On Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Iowa.
64. vs.	Plff. in Error	
E. J. McLaughlin, Executor of John M. Oiteil, deceased.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Iowa.

The Sun Mutual Insurance Company, of New Orleans, et al.		On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this
136. vs.	Appellants	
The County Line et al.		

cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

W. H. Robertson, Collector &c. Plff. in Error
1141. vs.
Louis A. Salomon et al's.

The Great Falls Manuf'g. Co. Appellant
960. vs.
A. H. Garland, Attorney Gen'l. et al's.

and

M. L. Bundy, Receiver &c. Appellant
602. vs.
William M. Cocke.

On consideration of the motions to advance these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Mercantile National Bank of the City of New York	On considera-
1258.	vs. Appellant	tion of the motions
	The Mayor &c. of the City of New York.	to advance these cases, It is now
	and	here ordered by
	The National Newark Banking Company of New-	the Court that said motions
	ark, N.J.	be, and the same are here-
1301.	vs. Appellant	by, granted
	The Mayor &c. of the City of New York.	and the cases assigned for argument in

the order of their numbers on the first day that the Court shall be in session after the February recess and immediately after the case heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Emil Heinemann et al.,	Mr. Assistant
81.	vs. Plffs. in Error,	Attorney Gen-
	C. A. Arthur, late Collector &c.	eral Maury suggested the

death of Chester A. Arthur, the defendant in error in this cause, and moved the

Court to make Daniel G. Rollins and Charles E. Miller, Executors of the said Chester A. Arthur, the parties defendants in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that Daniel G. Rollins & Charles E. Miller, Executors of Chester A. Arthur, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and they are hereby, made the parties defendants in error in this cause.

Ex parte: In the matter of A. A. Pratt, Petitioner. — Mr. A. J. Hammond, of counsel for the petitioner, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus and for the issuance of a rule to show cause why said writ should not be issued.

Ex parte: In the matter of Stephen P. Mizan Petitioner. — Mr. F. W. Hackett, in behalf of counsel for the petitioner, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ

of habeas corpus and for the issuance of a rule to show cause why said writ should not be issued.

	The Burlington, Cedar Rapids & Northern Railway Company	The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to
777.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	Charles L. Dunn, by his guardian ad litem, Ellis Stone Gorman.	

the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Enoch Totten, in support of the same, and Mr. Eppa Hunton and Mr. Jeff Chandler, in opposition thereto.

Mr. Eppa Hunton, by leave of Court, filed a certified copy of the bond filed with the petition for removal in this cause.

	Alva Worden et al.	Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the appellants, submitted
118.	vs. Appellants	
	Anson Searls.	

to the consideration of the Court motions for writ of certiorari and for leave to file an appeal bond in this cause.

The Pensacola Ice Company,
100. vs. Plff. in Error
David Perry.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. A. Blount, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Wayne MacVeagh, of counsel for the defendant in error.

G. C. Hopkins et als.,
1081. vs Plffs. in Error
John J. McClure,
Adm'r. &c. et als.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. James Lowndes, in support of the same, and Mr. William E. Earle, in opposition thereto.

Mrs. E. J. Ralston and her husband
vs.
The British & American Mortgage Company, Limited.

Mr. James Lowndes submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to vacate the supersedeas in this cause.

Ex parte: In the matter of George Ralston
5, Original. Petitioner.

On motion of Mr. James Lowndes, Ordered by the Court that the fifth paragraph of the return to the rule to show cause in this case be stricken out.

Ex parte: In the matter of George Ralston
5, Original. Petitioner

The petition for a writ of mandamus in this case was argued by Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, in support of the same.

The United States
1031. vs. Appellant
Sarah E. Ramsay, widow &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. F. P. Dewees, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. George A. King and Mr. W. W. Handlin, of counsel for the appellee.

Nancy A. Maag et al. The motion to dis-
1273. vs. Appellants miss in this cause
William L. Hyde et al. was submitted
to the considera-
tion of the Court on printed arguments by
Mr. A. W. Nash and Mr. Lew Wallace Jr., in
support of the same and Mr. D. V. Burns, in
opposition thereto.

The United States These cases were
633. vs. Appellant submitted to the
George K. Otis. consideration of the
And Court, under the
George K. Otis 20th rule, on the
806. vs. Appellant record and print-
The United States. ed arguments by
Mr. Attorney Gen-
eral Garland and Mr. Assistant Attorney
General Howard, of counsel for the United
States, and Mr. James Coleman, of coun-
sel for Otis.

The County of Nemaha This cause was sub-
1048. vs. Plff. in Error mitted to the consid-
Augustus Frank. eration of the Court,

under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. W. G. Lamb and Mr. J. M. Thurston, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. M. Woolworth, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	Robert Gilmer	This cause was submit-
1168.	vs. Appellant	ted to the consideration
	W. W. Stone et als.	of the Court, under the
		20th rule, on the record

and printed arguments by Mr. D. T. Littler, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. James McCartney, of counsel for the appellees.

	Lucius L. Hubbard	The argument of
103.	vs. Plff. in Error	this cause was
	The New York, New England	continued by
	& Western Investment Co.	Mr. Hugh Porter,
		of counsel for the

defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. William Warren Vaughan, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

	Ex parte: In the matter	Ordered by the
	of Hollon Parker	Court that the time
3, Original.	Petitioner.	to file return to

the rule to show cause issued herein be, and the same is hereby, extended to the first Monday in March next.

193. The United States vs. Plff. in Error Clement Hugh Hill et al. — This cause was argued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and Mr. John Lowell, of counsel for the defendants in error.

04. The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company vs. Plff. in Error Fritz H. Raddin, Adm'r. &c. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. M. F. Dickinson, Jr., of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, December 21st 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. James R. Doolittle it is ordered that E. W. Tolerton, Esquire, of Toledo, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Eli Saulsbury it is ordered that Charles B. Lore, Esquire,

of Wilmington, State of Delaware, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. H. Moise Jr., it is ordered that James N. Young, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1126. The Accident Insurance Company of North America vs. Loretta M. Crandall. Pff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the considera-tion of the Court under the 20th rule on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Emerson B. Tuttle, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. George C. Fry, of counsel for the defendant in error.

104.	The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company vs. Fritz H. Raddin, Admr. etc.	Plff. in Error	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. M. F. Dickinson Jr., of

counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. R. M. Morse, Jr., of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. M. F. Dickinson Jr., of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

105.	The United States vs. Barthold Schlesinger et al.	Plff. in Error	Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby passed until No. 129 shall be reached.

106.	Joseph Raymond vs. Joseph Billgery and Widow Joseph Billgery, individually and as tutrix of her minor children Marie, Rosa and Cecelia Billgery.	Appellant	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana

This cause being called for hearing in

its regular order and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is therefore, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Caroline M. Forsyth vs. Plff. in Error
10½. James R. Doolittle et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. J. R. Doolittle Jr., of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, December 22d, 1886.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Edmunds it is ordered that Hiram F. Stevens, Esquire, of St. Paul, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in

this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that John A. Coke, Esquire, of Richmond, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles Levi Woodbury it is ordered that Thomas L. Livermore and Charles G. Chick, Esquires, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

Alva Worden et al vs. Anson Sears. Appellants

On motion of Mr. J. P. Filch, of counsel for the appellee, leave is hereby granted him to file a printed brief in opposition to the motion for a writ of certiorari in this cause.

118.

107. Caroline M. Forsyth vs. Plff. in Error James R. Doolittle et al. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. James R. Doolittle and Mr. Melville W. Fuller, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

110. Gordon McKay et al., Trustees &c. vs. Appellants Edmund M. Stowe et al. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

111. James Huntington et al, Assignees &c. vs. Appellants Mary P. Saunders et al. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. George W. Parke, of counsel for the appellants. The Court declined to hear further argument in this cause.

Hanson W. Beard, Collector &c. vs. Nathan Nichols et al. — This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Charles Lee Woodbury, of counsel for the defendants in error.

12. Pff. in Error

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday, January 3d 1887, at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 3d, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today, at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. J. Hillyer it is ordered that Judson B. Wilds, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in

this behalf by Mr. W. W. Upton, it is ordered that A. J. Marvin, Esquire, of Cleveland, and J. B. Burrows, Esquire, of Painesville, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

279. Matthew Bolles et al. vs. Plffs. in Error The Town of Brimfield. Mr. G. B. Raum, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to postpone the submission of this cause, under the stipulation filed herein, until all of the Justices shall be present.

81. Emil Heinemann et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Daniel G. Rollins and Charles E. Miller, Executors of C. A. Arthur, dec'd., late Collector &c. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Patrick A. Collins, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and

Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1026. The United States vs. Susannah E. Dunn, Adm'x. &c. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. F. P. Dewees, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John Paul Jones and Mr. R. B. Lines, of counsel for the appellee.

1269. Delpine C. Rice, Assignee &c. vs. The United States. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Albert Small, Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, Mr. J. M. Wilson, Mr. Charles C. West and Mr. Charles Marshall, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. N. J. May, of counsel for the appellee.

John Hayes
261. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Missouri.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Jeff. Chandler, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. B. G. Boone of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Chicago, Burlington & Kansas City R.R. Co.
244. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Missouri ex rel: John F. Guffey, Collector &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. Jeff Chandler, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and Mr. John P. Butler, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The United States
245. vs. Plff. in Error
H. G. Parker et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. C. J. Hillyer, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	The United States	This cause was submit-
1100.	vs. Plaintiff	ted to the consideration
	Ramon Arjona.	of the Court on the re-
		cord and printed ar-

guments by Mr. Attorney General Garland, of Counsel for the plaintiff, and Mr. G. W. Wingate, of Counsel for the defendant.

	The Louisville, Evansville &	The argument of
	St. Louis Railway Company	this cause was
1114.	vs. Plff. in Error	commenced by
	S. P. Meyer et al.	Mr. Alexander
		Pope Humphrey,

of Counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 4th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray, and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. W. Huntington, it is ordered that William S. Rogers, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. G. R. Ingersoll it is ordered that Elihu G. Loomis, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Alexander Pope Humphrey it is ordered that Charles H. Gibson and Temple Bodley, Esquires, of Louisville, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

1014. The Selma, Rome & Dalton Railroad Company vs. The United States. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George A. King and Mr. W. W. Beltnap, of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Howard, of counsel for the appellee.

The City of Quincy vs. James W. Steel. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George A. Anderson, Mr. Joseph A. Carter and Mr. L. H. Berger, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. William McFadon, of counsel for the appellee.

250. Appellant

The Vicksburg & Meridian Railroad Company vs. Israel Putnam. — On motion of Mr. A. J. Hammond, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

17. Pltff. in Error

The Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis Railway Company vs. S. P. Meyer et al. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Alexander Pope Humphrey, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Wm Stone Albert,

114. Pltff. in Error

of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. C. F. Trabue, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

1064. The United States vs. Stephen A. Northway. Plaintiff

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the plaintiff, and continued by Mr. J. B. Burrows and Mr. A. J. Marvin, of counsel for the defendant.

1064. The United States vs. Stephen A. Northway. Plaintiff

On motion, leave is hereby granted counsel for the defendant to file printed briefs in this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 5th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. S. Kentle it is ordered that J. C. Ambler, Esquire, of Salem, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Silas Worden et al.,	On consideration
118.	vs. Appellants	of the motion for a
	Anson Sears.	writ of certiorari
		in this cause, it is

now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted; And it is further ordered that this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned for argument on the first day that the Court may be in session after the February recess after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	The argument of this
1064.	vs. Plaintiff	cause was continued
	Stephen A. Northway.	by Mr. A. J. Marvin,
		of counsel for the de.

fendant, and concluded by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the plaintiff.

	E. G. Meriwether	This cause was
115.	vs. Plff. in Error	argued by Mr.
	The Judge of the Mecklenberg	Alexander Pope
	County Court, Kentucky.	Humphrey, of
		Counsel for the

plaintiff in error, and Mr. Thomas W. Thom, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	The Ouachita & Mississippi River Packet Company et al.	This cause was argued by Mr.
114.	vs. Appellants	John N. Kennard,
	Mrs. Katharine M. Aiken, Administratrix &c., et al.	of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. W. S.

Benedict, of counsel for the appellees.

	The Town of Bloomfield	The argument of
119.	vs. Plff. in Error	this cause was
	The Charter Oak National Bank of Hartford, Conn.	commenced by Mr. C. E. Perkins, of counsel

for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 6th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Daniel W. Jones, Esquire, of Little Rock, State of Arkansas, and John Pope Hodnett, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. D. Leggett it is ordered that William G. Howard, Esquire, of Kalamazoo, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Matthew Bolles et al. vs. Pltffs. in Error
279. The Town of Brimfield.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas S. McClelland and Mr. George A. Sanders, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. H. B Hopkins, of counsel for the dependant in error.

The Little Rock & Fort Smith Railway
1077. vs. Pltf. in Error
R. W. Worthen, Collector &c.
and
C. W. Huntington and George Ripley, Trustees,
1078. vs. Appellants
R. W. Worthen, Collector &c.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the

records and printed arguments by Mr. C. W. Huntington, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellants, and Mr Daniel W. Jones, of counsel for the defendant in error and appellee.

1113. Bradley G. Kehley vs. Pff. in Error / Pullman's Palace Car Co. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. Corning Judd and Mr. Tillotson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Alfred Ennis, of counsel for the defendant in error.

1167. The Crescent City Live Stock Landing & Slaughter House Co., et als. vs. Pffs. in Error / The Butchers Union Slaughter House & Live Stock Landing Co. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. William A. Maury and Mr. Robert Mott, of

counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. Howard McCaleb and Mr. B. R. Forman, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Little Rock & Fort Smith Railway
265. vs. Appellant
C. W. Huntington et al., Trustees &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. William S. Rogers, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. C. W. Huntington, of counsel for the appellees.

The Endowment & Benevolent Association of Kansas
1296. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Kansas.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George W. Delamp, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Charles B. Smith, of counsel for the defendant in error.

787. Charles H. Brooks vs. Pltff. in Error Edward S. Clark. — On motion of Mr. G. Hubley Ashton, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

119. The Town of Bloomfield vs. Pltff. in Error The Charter Oak National Bank of Hartford, Conn. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles E. Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. A. P. Hyde, of counsel for the defendant in error and concluded by Mr. Charles E. Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

120. Harris Eames vs. Appellant William D. Andrews et al. — The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. C. R. Ingersoll, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Wilshire it is ordered that Charles N. Wood, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, and William W. Ker, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Hoadly it is ordered that Charles M. Anderson, Esquire, of Greenville, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Ex parte: In the matter of Nathaniel A. Pratt Petitioner. — On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1287. The County of Carter vs. Plff. in Error David Linton. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George Hoadly, Mr. E. M. Johnson, Mr. Edward Colston and Mr. George Hoadly Jr., of counsel for the defendant in error.

Harris Eames
20. vs. Appellant
William D. Andrews et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. C. R. Ingersoll, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. A. L. Peasley, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. C. R. Ingersoll, of counsel for the appellant.

The King Iron Bridge & Manufacturing Co.
738. vs. Plff. in Error
The County of Otoe, Nebraska.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. A. S. Harwood and Mr. J. H. Ames, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John C. Watson, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Alonzo Richmond et al.
782. vs. Appellants
Joel D. Harvey, Receiver &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record

and printed arguments by Mr. H. B. Hurd, Mr. H. W. Fuller and Mr. H. G. Miller, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. D. J. Schuyler, Mr. E. G. Mason and Mr. James H. Roberts, of counsel for the appellees.

The Indianapolis Rolling Mill Co. Plff. in Error
788. vs. This cause was submitted to
The St. Louis, Fort Scott and the consideration
Wichita R.R. Co. of the Court,
under the 20th
rule, on the

record and printed arguments by Mr. H. C. McDougal, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Laclede Bank et al. These cases were
995. vs. Appellants submitted to the
Harrison B. Schuler consideration
and of the Court,
Harrison B. Schuler under the 20th
1017. vs. Appellant rule, on the re-
The Laclede Bank et al. cord and print-
ed arguments

by Mr. J. E. McKeighan, of counsel for the Laclede Bank et al., and Mr. D. P. Dyer, of counsel for Schuler.

1022. The United States vs. John C. Cooper. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. N. J. May, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Gilbert Moyers, of counsel for the appellees.

1024. The United States vs. John Paul Jones, Adm'r. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consid. eration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. N. J. May, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. J. W. Denver and Mr. John Paul Jones, of counsel for the appellees.

1034. The United States vs. James G. Saunders. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. H. J. May, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Van H. Manning, of counsel for the appellees.

1151. The City of East St. Louis vs. The United States ex rel: H. Amy & Co. Plff. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Charles W. Thomas, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. George A. Sanders, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1280. Henry N. Porter vs. The Pittsburgh Bessemer Steel Co. (limited) et al. Appellant

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the

record and printed arguments by Mr. J. E. McDonald, Mr. J. M. Butler and Mr. R. B. F. Pierce, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John S. Cooper, Mr. A. C. Harris, Mr. W. H. Watkins and Mr. C. W. Tolerton, of counsel for the appellees.

Mrs. Martha A. Gibbs et al. vs. A. W. Crandall, Adm'r. &c. Appellants

1295. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. J. C. Catchings, of counsel for the appellees.

A. J. Beedle vs. Frank O. Bennett et al. Appellant

1320. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Arthur Sim, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. John F. Follett and Mr. Thomas H. Kelley, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Monday, January 10th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Gar. and it is ordered that G. B. McCalmont, Esquire, of Bradford, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

98. James L. Sharp vs. Christoph Riessner and Charles E. Meier. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

71. Albert Baldwin, subrogated for Joseph L. Keyser vs. John W. Black. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley.

	Augusta B. Allen and Jennie M. Crawford	In Error to the Circuit Court of
10.	vs.	the United States
	The St. Louis National Bank	for the Southern District of Iowa.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the

judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter judgment for the original defendants.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	Felix A. Borer, Administrator of John Gordon, deceased, et al.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.
91.	vs. Appellants	
	George M. Chapman, Executor of Eunice Chapman, deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Stewart Markshorn	Appeal from the
66.	vs. Appellant	Circuit Court
	The Saginaw Barrell	of the United
	Company, A. W. Wright,	States for the
	A. J. Brockway and	Eastern District
	Reuben Kimball.	of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Hobart B. Ives	Appeal from the Cir-
71.	vs. Appellant	cuit Court of the United
	Joseph B. Sargent.	States for the District
		of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the tran-
script of the record from the Circuit Court of

the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause &c, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

No. Edward P. Kirby, as Executor &c. vs. The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railroad Company, The New York Central & Hudson River Railroad Company, Mrs Marie Louise Vanderbilt, Cornelius Vanderbilt, Wm K. Vanderbilt, Frederick W. Vanderbilt & George W. Vanderbilt, Executrix & Executors of William H. Vanderbilt, deceased. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause

be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The St. Tammany Water Works Company and The City of New Orleans,		Appeal from the Circuit Court of United States
74.	vs. Appellants	for the Eastern
The New Orleans Water Works Company.		District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The United States		Appeal from the
1030.	vs. Appellant	Court of Claims.
Charles K. Rockwell.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The United States Appeal from the
023. vs. Appellant Court of Claims
F. M. Symonds.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

1029. The United States vs. Joshua Bishop. Appellant. Appeal from the Court of Claims

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Per Mr. Justice Harlan.

1032. The United States vs. Henry R. Philbrick Appellant. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

The Journ of Enfield vs. Pelf. in Error C. N. Jordan.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

661.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Edmund L. Cope, Joseph M. Kearney, William H. Begg, James O'Neal, and Mrs. Beatrice Cooper, widow of Joseph Cooper, Jutrix &c. Appellants vs. The Vallette Dry Dock Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

80.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

August W. Goetz, Junior, and August F. Luening vs. The Bank of Kansas City. 63. Plffs. in Error. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in

this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Wisconsin.

per Mr. Justice Field.
(announced by Mr. Chief Justice Waite.)

	Colburn Barrell and Aurelia J. Barrell	In Error to the Circuit Court of the
79.	vs.	United States for
	Plffs. in Error,	the District of Ore-
	C. E. Tilton.	gon.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Oregon, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.
(announced by Mr. Chief Justice Waite.)

The Northern Pacific Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error Mark Paine. } In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Minnesota.

per Mr. Justice Field.

(announced by Mr. Chief Justice Waite.)

	Edward Swinson	Appeal from the
69.	vs. Appellant	Supreme Court
	Charles H. Hutton and	of the Territory
	Joseph M. Carey and	of Wyoming.
	R. Davis Carey, copartners	
	as Carey Brothers.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Wyoming and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	The Iron Mountain & Helena	In Error to
	Railroad Company and The St.	the District
	Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern	Court of the
	Railroad Company	United States
88.	vs. Plffs. in Error	for the Eastern
	A. H. Johnson.	District of
		Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Charles Mali, Consul of His Majesty the King of the Belgians and Joseph Wildenhus . . . . Appellants
1288. vs.
The Keeper of the Common Jail of Hudson County, New Jersey.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this

cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chicago & Alton Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error	In Error to the Supreme Court
5.	of the State of
The Wiggins Ferry Company.	Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Warren N. Mace vs. Plff. in Error	In Error to the Supreme
76.	Court of the State of
Edward Merrill.	California.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of

the State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Edward C. Hancock		Appeal from the
1094.	vs.	Appellant	Circuit Court of
	Mrs Eliza Jane Holbrook,		the United States
	George Nicholson, R. W.		for the Eastern
	Holbrook and Richard		District of Louis-
	Fitzgerald.		iana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Stephen P. Mirgan, Petitioner. — On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of George Ralston, 5, Original. Petitioner. — On consideration of the petition for a writ of mandamus, the return to the rule to show cause issued herein and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Mrs. E. J. Ralston and her husband, ... vs. ... The British & American Mortgage Company, Limited. — On consideration of the motion to vacate the supersedeas in this case, It is now here ordered by

the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Burlington, Cedar Rapids & Northern Railway Company		On consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.
977.	vs. Plff. in Error	
Charles L. Dunn, by Ellis Stone Gorman, his guardian ad litem.		

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Nancy A. Maag et al.		On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion
1273.	vs. Appellants	
William L. Hyde et al.		

be, and the same is hereby, denied, but without prejudice to its renewal after the record shall have been printed, or so much thereof as may be necessary for the determination of the question of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William S. Lawrence and Lebeus C. Chapin vs. De Witt C. Reed, Heber C. Reed and Adeline Hill, co-partners under the firm name of D.C. & H.C. Reed & Co.

812. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. N. H. Stewart, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Nehemiah Chase, Frederick A. Taylor, George L. Gilkey and Robert S. Babcock
813. vs. Appellants
De Witt C. Reed, Heber C. Reed and Adeline Hill, copartners under the firm name of D.C. & H.C. Reed & Co.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. N. Stewart, of counsel for the appellants, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William S. Lawrence et al.,
812. vs. Appellants
De Witt C. Reed et al

and

Nehemiah Chase et al.,
813. vs. Appellants
De Witt C. Reed et al.

On motion of Mr. A. N. Stewart, of counsel for the appellants, leave is hereby granted him to withdraw the transcripts of the records in these cases from the files of this Court upon his

leaving with the Clerk a receipt therefor.

George Gibson vs. H. H. Shufeldt & Co. 868. Appellant. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on a printed argument by Mr. John A. Cooke and Mr. W. W. Crump, in support of the same.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company vs. Joseph S. Miller, Auditor of the State of West Virginia, The County Court of Wood County, The Board of Education of the District of Parkersburg and The City of Parkersburg. 1239. Plff. in Error & App't. In Error to and Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. E. J. D. Cross, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the writ of error

in this cause and this appeal be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company ..... vs. Plff. in Error and App't. The Board of Public Works of the State of West Virginia. — In Error to and Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.

1210.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. E. J. D. Cross, of counsel for the plaintiff in error and appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the writ of error in this cause, and this appeal be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1239. The Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co. vs. Pff. in Error & App't. Joseph L. Miller, Auditor &c. et al.

and

1240. The Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co. vs. Pff. in Error & App't. The Board of Public Works of the State of West Virginia.

On motion of Mr. Alfred Caldwell, of counsel for the defendants in error and appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases

1114. The City of Joliet vs. Appellant Joseph Miller Foster et als.

Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the respective parties, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to submit this case on the printed record, with leave to counsel on both sides to file briefs within thirty days from January 8th 1887, or as to the briefs that they be not required to be filed before January 31st, 1887.

Caroline Carson
1325. vs. Appellant
C. T. Dunham.

On motion of Mr. James Lowndes, of counsel for the appellant, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced, pursuant to Rule 32.

728. The United States, Appellant, vs. The Pacific Railroad.
1303. The Pacific Railroad, Appellant, vs. The United States.
729. The United States, Appellant, vs. The Atlantic & Pacific R.R. Co.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the records and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland and Mr. E. M. Watson, of counsel for the United States, and Mr. John F. Dillon and Mr. James Coleman, of counsel for the Railroad Companies.

Harrison B. Schuler
1018. vs. Plff. in Error
J. & I. Israel et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed

arguments by Mr. D. P. Dyer, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. E. McLeighan, of counsel for the defendants in error.

George N. Bowman et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
1196. The Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Louis J. Blum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. A. J. Baker, Mr. W. C. Goudy and Mr. James C. Munroe, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Jacob M. Harmon et al. vs. Plffs. in Error
1203. Charles V. McAdams et al., Executors &c.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Robert Doyle and Mr. Charles H. Wood, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. John P. Wilson, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1204. Jeremiah R. Harmon, Plff. in Error vs. Charles U. McAdams et al., Executors &c. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Robert Doyle and Mr. Charles H. Wood, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John P. Wilson, of counsel for the defendants in error.

1304. John C. Spencer Plff. in Error vs. Caroline L. Merchant, Executrix &c. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court, under the 20th rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Albert Ray and Mr. Matthew Hale, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Walter E. Ward, of counsel for the defendant in error.

William H. Plummer, Adm'r. &c. vs. Sargent & Company.

121. Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. G. Loomis, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. John K. Beach, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 11th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. L. S. Dabney it is ordered that William S. Hall, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Town of Santa Anna vs. Pleff. in Error Henry W. Hamlin. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

441.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. H. Powell, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William H. Plummer, Adm'r. &c. vs. Sargent & Company. — Appellant

121.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. John K. Beach and Mr. C. E. Mitchell, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. J. E. Maynardier, of counsel for the appellant.

Tuesday, January 11th, 1887, continued.

Andrew M. Bragg et al. The argument
192. vs. Appellants of this cause
Eleazer T. Fitch et al. was com-
menced by
Mr. W. E. Simonds, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. John M. Beach, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock

Wednesday, January 12th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Sidney Curtis it is ordered that U. A. Endlich, Esquire, of Reading, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

122. Andrew J. Bragg et al. vs. Eleazer T. Filch et al. Appellants. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. John K. Beach, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. W. E. Simonds, of counsel for the appellants.

123. John A. Kelley, John Roberts and Frank Godey vs. James Watson and John S. McDaniel. Appellants. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

124. Jacob Dunton vs. Bennett L. Smedley. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

125. Mamie H. Roberts, Executrix &c. vs. The Phoenix Mut'l. Life Ins. Co. Appellant. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Marc Mundy, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Augustus E. Wilson, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 13th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles F. Manderson it is ordered that Albert W. Crites, Esquire, of Plattsmouth, State of Nebraska, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Mamie M. Roberts, Executrix &c.,	The argument of this cause was contin-
125.	vs. Appellant	ued by Mr. Augus-
	The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Co.	tus G. Willson, of counsel for the ap-

pellee, and concluded by Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the appellant.

	Everett DeWitt et al.,	Ordered by the
126.	vs. Plffs. in Error	Court that these
	Charles McLaughlin	cases be, and
	and	the same are
	George Friend et al.,	hereby, contin-
127.	vs. Plffs. in Error	ued until the
	Tully R. Wise.	next term.

	Martin Durand et al.	This cause was
128.	vs. Plffs. in Error	submitted to the
	Samuel B. Martin.	consideration of

the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. M. Mullany, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. C. D. Wheeler, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Barthold Schlesinger et als. The argu-
129. vs. Plffs. in Error ment of
Hanson W. Beard, Collector &c. these cases
and was com-
Barthold Schlesinger et al. menced
130. vs. Plffs. in Error by Mr.
The United States. William
S. Hall,

of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, continued by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. L. E. Dalney, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

The United States This cause was
105. vs. Plff. in Error argued by Mr.
Barthold Schlesinger et als. Solicitor General
Jenks, of coun-

sel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. William S. Hall and Mr. L. E. Dalney, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Charles M. Jeffries, In Error to the Su-
131. vs Plff. in Error preme Court of the
Mary Herman. Territory of Mon-

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Montana, and the plaintiff in error having been three times solemnly called by the Marshal to come in to Court and prosecute his writ of error, and failing to appear, it is, thereupon, in pursuance of the 16th rule of this Court, and on the motion of Mr. J. M. Wilson, of counsel for the defendant in error, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

132. Hugh J. Murray et al., Pltffs. in Error vs. James K. Pardee. Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

133. James A. Wismer vs. Plff. in Error
William A. Brown et als.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. S. S. Burdett, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. & no counsel appearing for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 11th, 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

134. The Fourth National Bank of the City of New York vs. Plff. in Error
Charles G. Francklyn, Executor &c. .....

This cause was argued by Mr. Benjamin H. Bristow, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. William Allen Butler, of counsel for the defendant in error.

135. John A. Bolinger et al., vs. Plffs. in Error, Jules Fuyes et al. ..... The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. O. B. Sansum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. R. H. Browne, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 17th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that James R. Rogers, Esquire, of Baltimore, State of Maryland, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. W. Ryon it is ordered that James Ryon, Esquire, of Pottsville, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Livingston Scott it is ordered that James Tillinghast, Elisha C. Mowry and William H. Greene, Esquires, of Providence, State of Rhode Island, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. H. E. Davis it is ordered that J. Lewis Stackpole, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Lucius L. Hubbard	In Error to the
103.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	The New York, New England	of the United
	& Western Investment Company.	States for the
		District of

Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	The County of Nemaha	In Error to the Cir-
1048.	vs. Plff. in Error	cuit Court of the
	Augustus Frank.	United States for
		the District of

Nebraska.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Nebraska.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

John Hayes
1261. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Missouri.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Caroline M. Forsyth (impleaded with Jacob Forsyth)
107. ...vs. Plff. in Error
James R. Doolittle, James R. Doolittle, Junior, and Henry McKey.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Field.

James Huntington, Francis G. Parker, and Richard J. Monks, Assignees of William J. Saunders, vs. Mary P. Saunders and William P. Saunders. Appellants

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

111.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The United States vs. Sarah E. Ramsay, widow in community, and Anna E. Wagner, heir of G. Alexander Ramsay, deceased. Appellant

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

1031.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript

of the record from the Court of Claims, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

200. J. F. D. Lanier et als. vs. John Nash et als. Appellants

On consideration of the motion for a restraining order in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

868. George Gibson vs. H. H. Shufeldt & Co. Appellant

On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1111. The City of Joliet vs. Appellant Joseph Miller Foster et al. On consideration of the motion for leave to submit this cause on printed arguments, as per stipulation of counsel, it is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1199. Albert S. Rosenbaum vs. Plff. in Error The Board of Supervisors of the City & County of San Francisco.

And

1200. Albert S. Rosenbaum vs. Plff. in Error John A. Bauer, Treasurer &c.

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 32d rule, on the records and printed arguments by Mr. A. L. Rhodes and Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. P. G. Galpin, of counsel for the defendants in error.

	Albert Grant	Mr. N. W. Blair,
165.	vs. Appellant	of counsel for the
	The Phoenix Mutual	appellants, sub-
	Life Insurance Co.	mitted to the con-
	and	sideration of the
	Albert Grant & N. W. Blair	Court a motion
1201.	vs. Appellants	for an order
	The Phoenix Mutual	directing the Re-
	Life Ins. Co.	ceiver appointed

by the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia in Equity cause No. 4,291, to turn over to the Clerk of this Court, out of the rents and profits in his hands, the sum of $5,500 for costs accruing or to accrue in these cases and for counsel fees. Leave was granted counsel for the appellee to file a printed brief in opposition to said motion and counsel for appellants to file a reply thereto.

	The New Castle Northern	Appeal from the
	Railway Company	Circuit Court
670.	vs. Appellant	of the United
	Thomas P. Simpson.	States for the
		Western District

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. R. B. McComb, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The New Castle Northern Railway Company		On motion of Mr. R. B. McComb, of
270. vs.	Appellant	counsel for the ap-
Thomas P. Simpson.		pellant, leave is hereby granted

the clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The Merchants Mutual Ins. Co.		On motion
77. vs.	Appellant	of Mr. R. H.
George D. Allen.		Browne, of
and		counsel for
The Merchants Mutual Ins. Co.		the appellees,
78. vs.	Appellant	It is ordered
Silas Weeks.		by the Court
		that these

cases be, and they are hereby, assigned for

argument on the first day that the Court shall be in session after the February recess after the cases heretofore assigned for that day. And it is further ordered that the depositions in these cases now in the hands of the Clerk be opened and filed and also any other depositions that may hereafter be returned without further order of the Court, per stipulation of counsel.

237. Jacob C. Seale vs. The Parish of Madison — Appellant

238. And Jacob C. Seale vs. N. B. Holmes, Sheriff &c. — Appellant

These cases were submitted to the consideration of the Court under the 32nd rule, on the records and printed arguments by Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. F. P. Guppy, of counsel for the appellees.

	Edward N. Stanley	Mr. M. F.
292.	vs. Plff. in Error	Morris, in be-
	The Board of Supervisors	half of coun-
	of the County of Albany	sel, submitted
	and	to the consid-
	Chauncey P. Williams	eration of the
384.	vs. Plff. in Error	Court a mo-
	The Board of Supervisors	tion to ad-
	of the County of Albany.	vance these
		cases.

	John N. Bofinger et al.	The argument of
135.	vs. Plffs. in Error	this cause was
	Jules Tuyes et al.	concluded by
		Mr. O. B. San-

sum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

	The Hibernia Insurance	This cause
	Company of New Orleans	was argued
137.	vs. Appellant	by Mr. O. B.
	The St. Louis & New Orleans	Sansum, of
	Transportation Co., et al.	counsel for
		the appellant,

and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Given Campbell, of counsel for the appellees.

The Sun Mutual Insurance Company of New Orleans, et al. vs. The Fountz Line et al.

136. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 18th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

2. William Craig vs. Edward J. Hubbard, Administrator of Thomas Leitensdorfer, deceased. Appellant

Mr. B. F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant, having heretofore suggested the death of William Craig, the appellant in this cause, now here moved the Court to make Francis

Downs, Executor of said William Craig, the party appellant in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that said Francis Downs, Executor of William Craig, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party appellant in this cause.

2. Francis Downs Executor &c. vs. Edward J. Hubbard, Adm't. &c. Appellant

On motion of Mr. B. F. Butler, of counsel for the appellant. It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, assigned for argument on the first day after the February recess that the Court shall be in session after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

113. Bradley G. Schley vs. Pullman's Palace Car Co. Plff. in Error

Mr. Albert Ritchie, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to strike out certain

pages in the printed brief filed by counsel for the defendant in error.

136. The Sun Mutual Insurance Company of New Orleans et al vs. The County Line et al. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. John A. Campbell, of counsel for the appellants, and concluded by Mr. R. H. Browne, of counsel for the appellees.

138. Lucy W. Fletcher et al. vs. Phyfe. in Error Nathan Fuller in his own right and as trustee &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. W. H. Green, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and continued by Mr. Livingston Scott, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 19th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. N. H. R. Dawson it is ordered that John D. Brandon, Esquire, of Huntsville, State of Alabama, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

201.	John Hurd vs. Plff. in Error The Gill Car Manufacturing Company	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Walter H. Smith, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

138.	Lucy W. Fletcher et al. vs. Plffs. in Error Nathan Fuller, in his own right and as Trustee, &c.	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Livingston Scott and Mr. Elisha G. Mowry, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. James Tillinghast, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

40. The Helena Bridge Company vs. Appellant
Z. King & Son.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the appellant. The Court declined to hear further argument in this cause.

140. The Helena Bridge Company vs. Appellant
Z. King & Son.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas and was argued by counsel On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The Backus Water Motor Company	Appeal from the Circuit Court of
141.	vs. Appellant	the United States
	Frederick W. Tuerk Jr., Charles E. Tuerk and James A. Johnston.	for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record. It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Jane Herron et al.,	The argument of this cause
142.	vs. Plffs. in Error	was commenced by Mr.
	Philip Daley et al.	R. P. Allen, of counsel
		for the plaintiffs in

error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 20th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Wilson it is ordered that Adolph L. Sanger, Esquire, of New York City, and Mark G. Flatshet, Esquire, of San. Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

142.	Jane Herron et al., vs. Plffs. in Error Philip Dater et al.	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. R. P. Allen, of counsel for the

plaintiffs in error, and Mr. James Ryon and Mr. John W. Ryon, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. R. P. Allen, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

143.	The Bank of Maysville vs. Plff. in Error John Claypool et al.	This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the

record and printed arguments by Mr. Benjamin H. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. J. Holdsworth Gordon, of counsel for the defendants in error.

144.	George M. Everhart vs. Appellant The Huntsville Female College. And Hugh L. Clay, Adm'r. &c. vs. Appellant The Huntsville Female College.	These cases were argued by Mr. John D. Brandon, of counsel
471.		for the appellee, and

submitted on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Millon Humes, of counsel for Everhart, Mr. S. F. Rice, of counsel for Clay, Adm'r., and Mr. L. P. Walker and Mr. D. D. Shelby, of counsel for the appellee.

Ex parte: In the matter of Lorenzo Snow, Petitioner, Appellant. 282.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Franklin S. Richards, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 21st 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

1237. Jacob C. Seale vs. The Parish of Madison. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Louisiana.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of

counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

238. Jacob. C. Seale vs. N. B. Holmes, Sheriff, and ex officio Collector of State and Parish Taxes for the State of Louisiana and Parish of Madison. — Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Louisiana.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. S. Prentiss Nutt, of counsel for the appellant, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1237.	Jacob C. Seale vs. The Parish of Madison and	On motion of Mr. S. Prentiss Hutt, of counsel for the appellant, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.
1238.	Jacob C. Seale vs. M. B. Holmes, Sheriff &c.	

2101.	Maud Eggleston, Alice L. Eggleston and Jennie M. Eggleston, vs. The Centennial Mutual Life Association of Burlington, Iowa.	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. James O. Broadhead, in behalf of counsel for plaintiffs in error, and the authority of the plaintiffs in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Friday, January 21st 1887, continued.

Ex parte: In the matter of Lorenzo Snow, Petitioner, Appellant. 282. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Franklin S. Richards, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the respondent, and concluded by Mr. George Tucknor Curtis, of counsel for the appellant.

Frederick Hopt vs. Plff. in Error The People of the Territory of Utah. 1099. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Ben Sheeks and Mr. P. L. Williams, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Friday, January 21st, 1887, continued.

Thomas McNally
145. vs. Appellant
The Steam Tug "L. P. Dayton"
et al.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. D. McCarthy, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. Joseph F. Mosher, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 24th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews &
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Lysander Hill it is ordered that T. S. E. Dixon, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, Henry C. Andrews, Esquire, of New York City, and M. W. Jacobs, Esquire, of Harrisburg, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. P. Bell it is ordered that A. E. Painter, Esquire, of Minneapolis, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. A. Dickerson it is ordered that N. D. Donelly, Esquire, of New York City, and John B. Gleason, Esquire, of Delhi, State of New York, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The United States

633. vs. Appellant

George K. Otis. Appeals from the Court of Claims.

and

George K. Otis

806. vs. Appellant

The United States

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of

Claims on appeal and cross-appeal, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims, in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Emil Heinemann, Francis Payson and George H. Morgan	In Error to
81.	vs. Plff. in Error	the Circuit
	Daniel G. Rollins and Charles E.	Court of the
	Miller, Executors of Chester	United
	A. Arthur, deceased, late	States for
	Collector of the Port of New York.	the Southern
		District of
		New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is

hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Mamie M. Roberts, Executrix of Fannie M. Cook, deceased,		Appeal from the Circuit
125. vs.	Appellant	Court of the
The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut.		United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The United States		In Error to the
105.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
Barthold Schlesinger and		of the United
Sebastian B. Schlesinger,		States for the
copartners doing business		District of
under the firm name and style		Massachusetts.
of Naylor & Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The United States		In Error to the
746.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court of
H. G. Parker and W. M.		the United States
Stewart.		for the District of
		Nevada.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the District of Nevada and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

1167. The Crescent City Live Stock Landing & Slaughter House Company & Bertrand Saloy, vs. Plffs. in Error
The Butchers Union Slaughter House & Live Stock Landing Company.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that so much of the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause, on the bond itself for damages occasioned by its breach against the principal and surety, to wit, for the sum of $6,588.80, with interest, be, and

the same is hereby affirmed; And that that part which constitutes a judgment against the plaintiff in error solely for damages for the malicious prosecution, to wit, for the sum of $12,500., damages, and the further sum of $2500., attorneys fees, be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions to take further proceedings therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Memphis & Little Rock Railroad Company (as reorganized), Appellant vs. Robert T. Dow, Watson Matthews, and Charles Moran, Trustees. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

40.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas, and was argued

by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed so far as it gives the appellees interest upon the amount paid by them into the Treasury of the State at the rate of eight per cent. per annum, and the cause is remanded with directions to allow interest on said sum at the rate only of six per cent. per annum from the date of the payment of such amount into the State Treasury. In all other respects the decree is affirmed. The appellant will have its costs incurred in this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Little Rock and Fort Smith Railway,
1077 vs. Pltff in Error
R. W. Worthen as Collector of Pulaski County et al.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas and was

argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Field.

C. W. Huntington and George Ripley, Trustees,
1078. vs. Appellants
R. W. Worthen, as Collector of Pulaski County, et als.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The United States
1022. vs. Appellant
John L. Cooper.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The Little Rock & Fort Smith Railway,
1265. vs. Appellant
Charles W. Huntington and George Ripley, Trustees.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here

ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a decree in accordance with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The United States — Appeal from the,
1034. vs. Appellant — Court of Claims.
James C. Saunders.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	The Pensacola Ice Company	In Error to the
100.	vs. Pff. in Error,	Circuit Court
	David Perry.	of the United
		States for the
	Northern District of Florida.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Mrs. Martha A. Gibbs,	Appeal from
	Nathaniel Hoggatt, Mrs.	the Circuit
	Alice Peeples and Mrs.	Court of the
	Nellie A. Mason,	United States
1295.	vs. Appellants	for the West-
	A. W. Crandall, Admin-	ern District
	istrator of the estate of	of Louisiana.
	Thomas J. Martin, dec'd.	

This cause came on to be heard on the Tran-
script of the record from the Circuit Court
of the United States for the Western District
of Louisiana and was argued by counsel.
On consideration whereof, It is now here
ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court
that the decree of the said Circuit Court in
this cause be, and the same is hereby, af-
firmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Endowment and Benevolent Association of Kansas			In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas.
1296.	vs.	Plff. in Error	
The State of Kansas.			

This cause came on to be heard on the
Transcript of the record from the Supreme
Court of the State of Kansas and was ar-
gued by counsel. On consideration where-
of, It is now here ordered and adjudged
by this Court that the writ of error in this
cause be, and the same is hereby, dismiss-
ed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Edward A. Stanley
222. vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Supervisors
of the County of Albany.
and
Chauncey P. Williams
387. vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Supervisors
of the County of Albany.

On consideration of the motion to advance these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted and the cases assigned for argument in the order of their numbers on the first Monday in March next after Nos. 1258 and 1301.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The First National Bank
of Cleveland, et al.,
1317. vs. Appellants
John M. Shedd, Trustee, et al.

The motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause were submitted to the consideration of the Court by Mr. Francis Rawle, Mr. G. J. Bispham and Mr. D. J. Watson, in support of the same, and Mr. John Dalzell and Mr. R. B. Murray, in opposition thereto.

William Rea and George F. Robinson, copartners as Robinson, Rea & Co., J. C. Kay and Woodruff McKnight, copartners as Kay, McKnight & Co., A. W. Cadman and —— Cadman, copartners as A. W. Cadman & Co., Joseph C. McC. Biggert, and Joseph Leighton and Walter B. Jordan, copartners as Leighton & Jordan,

1331. vs. Appellants

The Steamer "Eclipse" and William Braithwaite.

Mr. J. G. Pig slow, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open court the following certificate, to wit:

"I, James A. Kaight, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota, do hereby certify that in a certain cause pending in said Court, wherein William Rea and George F. Robinson, copartners as Robinson, Rea & Co., J. C. Kay and Woodruff McKnight, copartners as Kay, McKnight & Co., A. W. Cadman —— Cadman, copartners, as A. W. Cadman & Co., Joseph C. McC. Biggeot, and Joseph Leighton and

Waller B. Jordan, copartners as Leighton & Jordan, were appellants, and the Steamer "Eclipse" and William Braithwaite were appellees, a final decree was rendered by said Supreme Court on the 25th day of May, A.D. 1886, in favor of said appellees and against said appellants, and that on the 17th day of July, A.D. 1886, said appellants prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States which was duly allowed.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the (Seal) seal of the said Supreme Court at Bismarck in the Territory of Dakota this 17th day of January A.D. 1887.

James A. Haight, Clerk."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule, and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this

appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1023. The United States, Appellant, vs. F. M. Symonds.
1029. The United States, Appellant, vs. Joshua Bishop.
1030. The United States, Appellant, vs. Charles H. Rockwell.
1032. The United States, Appellant, vs. Henry A. Philbrick.

On motion of Mr. R. B. Lines, of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

The Pacific National Bank of Boston et al.,
413. vs. Plffs. in Error,
George Mixter.

The Pacific National Bank of Boston et al.,
414. vs. Plffs. in Error

Mr. A. A. Ranney, of counsel, submitted to

The National Security Bank,
755. vs. Plff. in Error
Peter Butler, Receiver &c.
Peter Butler, Receiver &c.
756. vs. Appellant
Lewis Coleman et al.
and
The Pacific National Bank of Boston et al.
757. vs. Plffs. in Error
Daniel L. Demmon.

the consideration of the Court motions to advance these cases.

Eliza Adams et al.,
238. vs. Appellants
William E. Collier, Assignee of Benjamin B. Barnes, a bankrupt.

Mr. N. J. Hammond, of counsel for the appellee, suggested the death of William E. Collier and the appointment of A. C. Riley as Assignee of Benjamin B. Barnes, and moved the Court to make said A. C. Riley, assignee as aforesaid, the party appellee in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that A. C.

Riley, as assignee of Benjamin B. Barnes, a bankrupt, be, and he is hereby, made the party appellee in this cause.

Thomas McNally vs. The Steam Tug "L. P. Dayton" &c. et al. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Joseph F. Mosher and Mr. William D. Shipman, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Charles M. DaCosta, of counsel for the appellant.

No. 167. Samuel B. Marlin vs. Anthony Thompson. — Plff. in Error. The motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause were submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. M. Mullany, in support of the same.

No. 46. The German American Hail Insurance Company vs. F. J. Schreiber. — Plff. in Error, In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the Transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

147. The Barnard and Leas Manufacturing Company vs. James Millikin, Caleb C. Burroughs, Charles C. Gradwahl and John M. Flood, doing business under the name of The Union Iron Works. — Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order on the docket and the authority of the appellant to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed

George S. Grier
118. vs. Appellant
John F. Wiet.

The cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. F. O. McCleary, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. C. A. Duncan, and Mr. Leonard E. Curtis, of counsel for the appellee.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.
667. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.
668. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.
1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.
1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.
1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. J. E. Maynardier, of counsel for Dolbear et al.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, January 25th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Don M. Dickinson it is ordered that Nathan A. Griggs, Esquire, of Battle Creek, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Ker it is ordered that Alonzo P. Douglass, Esquire, of Philadelphia,

State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John J. Morgan it is ordered that Samuel A. Honey, Esquire, of Newport, State of Rhode Island, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Winthrop Iron Company et als. vs. Arthur B. Meeker et als. — Appellants

154. Mr. R. N. Messey, of counsel for the appellants, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to reverse the decree of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan in this cause and to remand the cause to that Court with special directions, as per stipulation of counsel.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

664. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

1144. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. G. E. Maynardier, of counsel for Dolbear et al., and Mr. G. P. Lowrey, of counsel for the Molecular Telephone Company et al.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, January 26th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices.
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford.
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles P. Crosby it is ordered that George C. Morehouse, Esquire, of Utica, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Lysander Hill it is ordered that Albert E. Lynch, Esquire, of Cleveland, State

of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. W. Ker it is ordered that Rochester Ford, Esquire, of St. Louis, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Winthrop Iron Company, The Winthrop Hermatite Company, James O. St. Clair, Eugene G. St. Clair, George A. St. Clair and Julius A. St. Clair,		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan.
154.	vs. Appellants	
Arthur B. Meeker, William L. Brown and Thomas B. Brooks.		

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. R. D. Mussey, of counsel for the appellants, and a stipulation to reverse the decree of the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan, in this cause, having been filed, It is thereupon, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, in accordance with such stipulation, that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; costs in this Court to be paid by the appellants; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions that the defendants pay and satisfy all the costs incurred and not heretofore paid by the complainants in said cause, being the costs, fees and expenses of all officers of said Circuit Court in said cause, and after which payment to dismiss said suit at the costs of the said defendants and without any costs as against the complainants.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et als., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

667. The Molecular Telephone Co. et als., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co., et al., App'ts. vs. The Molecular Telephone Co.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co., et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. G. P. Lowrey, of counsel for the Molecular Telephone Company et al., and Mr. Lysander Hill, of counsel for the Peoples Telephone Company and The Overland Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, January 27th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

667. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs.
The American Bell Telephone Co.

1165 The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs.
The American Bell Telephone Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Lysander Hill, of counsel for the Peoples Telephone Company and The Overland Telephone Company, and Mr. James J. Storrow, of counsel for the American Bell Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, January 28th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. E. McDonald it is ordered that Augustin Boice, Esquire, of Indianapolis, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

666. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1072. The Delay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. James J. Storrow, of counsel for the American Bell Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, January 31st, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles B. Tilden it is ordered that E. B. Stocking, Esquire, of Washington, District of Columbia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that John G. Nelson, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George L. Roberts it is ordered that Charles S. Hanks, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1193. The United States vs. Clement Hugh Hill et al. Pff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	The Hibernia Insurance Company of New Orleans		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
137.	vs.	Appellant	
	The St. Louis & New Orleans Transportation Company and The Babbage Transportation Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company	In Error to the Circuit Court of
104.	vs. Plff. in Error	the United States
	Fritz H. Raddin, Special Administrator of Sewell Raddin, deceased.	for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

John A. Bofinger, Theodore Lavielle, David R. Powell, Samuel Stillwell and Enoch Stillwell, composing the late firm of Stillwell, Powell & Company, and Walter R. Carter, surviving copartner of the late firm of Carter & Conn,

135. vs.

Jules Tuyes, Alfred Moulton, G. W. Hincks and Pierre S. Willy.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Pffs. in Error

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	E. G. Meriwether	In Error to the
115.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	The Judge of the Muhlenberg	of the United
	County Court in the State	States for the
	of Kentucky.	District of
		Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to overrule the demurrer to the original petition and for such further proceedings as may be consistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The King Iron Bridge & Manufacturing Company		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska.
738.	vs. Pltff. in Error	
The County of Otoe, State of Nebraska.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nebraska and was argued by coun. sel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed, costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings not inconsist- ent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Meyers v Levi, (composed of John Meyers and Moses Levi); Meyer Weill; Lehman, Godchaux & Company (composed of A. Lehman, Henry Godchaux & Gustave Lehman); Michael Frank and Max Dinkelspiel and Mrs. E. B. Cain, Executor & Executrix of Samuel Friedlander, dec'd., pls. vs. Plffs. in Error
David Block. } In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Meyers & Levi, (composed of John Meyers and Moses Levi); Meyer Weill, Lehman Godchaux & Company (composed of A. Lehman, Henry Godchaux & Gustave Lehman); Michael Frank and Max Dinkelspiel & Mrs. L. B. Cain, Executor & Executrix of Samuel Friedlander, deceased,

96. vs. Pltffs. in Error
Solomon Isaacs.

On Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The United States

728. vs. Appellant

The Pacific Railroad — Appeals from the Court of Claims.

and

The Pacific Railroad

1303. vs. Appellant

The United States.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, on appeal and cross appeal, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court That the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims with directions to enter a judgment for the full amount claimed by the Railroad Company for its services.

per Mr. Justice Field.

The United States		Appeal from the
739. vs.	Appellant	Court of Claims.
The Atlantic & Pacific		
Railroad.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Per Mr. Justice Field.

The Indianapolis Rolling		In Error to the
Mill Company		Circuit Court
988. vs.	Plff. in Error	of the United
The St. Louis, Fort Scott &		States for the
Wichita Railroad Company		District of
		Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The City of Quincy vs. James W. Steel. — Appellant — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

1250.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a decree sustaining the demurrer to the

plaintiff's bill and dismissing the bill.
per Mr. Justice Miller.

Hanson W. Beard, Collector of Customs for the Revenue District of Boston and Charlestown		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.
112.	vs. Pltff. in Error	
Nathan Nichols and Edward M. Farnsworth, copartners, under the firm name of Nichols & Farnsworth.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Massachusetts.

	Barthold Schlesinger and Sebastian Schlesinger, co-partners under the style of Naylor & Company. vs. Plffs. in Error,	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States
129.	Hanson W. Beard, Collector of Customs.	for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment upon the agreed facts in favor of the plaintiffs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Barthold Schlesinger and Sebastian Schlesinger, co-partners, doing business under the firm name and style of Naylor & Company.		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.
130. vs.	Plffs. in Error	
The United States.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment upon the agreed facts in favor of the defendants.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

George M. Everhart ..... Appeals from
1144. vs. Appellant ..... the Circuit
The Huntsville Female College ..... Court of the
and ..... United States
Hugh L. Clay, Administrator ..... for the North
de bonis non of A. R. Erwin ..... ern District
deceased, ... of Alabama.

1471. vs. ..... Appellant
The Huntsville Female College et al.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Alabama, on separate appeals, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed, costs in this Court to be paid by George M. Everhart; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

143. The Bank of Maysville vs. Pltff. in Error
John Claypool and
William J. Thayer.

In Error to the
District Court
of the United
States for the
District of
West Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

413. The Pacific National Bank of Boston et al., Pltffs. in Error, vs. George Mixter.

414. The Pacific National Bank of Boston et al., Pltffs. in Error, vs. Henry M. Whitney.

755. The National Security Bank, Pltff. in Error, vs. Peter Butler, Receiver &c.

756. Peter Butler, Receiver &c., Appellant, vs. Lewis Coleman et als.

757. The Pacific National Bank of Boston et al., Plffs. in Error, vs. Daniel L. Demmon.

On consideration of the motions to advance these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Albert Grant	On consideration
165.	vs. Appellant	of the motion for
	The Phoenix Mutual Life	an order direct-
	Insurance Company.	ing the Receiver
	and	appointed by the
	Albert Grant & H. W. Blair	Supreme Court
1201.	vs. Appellants	of the District
	The Phoenix Mutual Life	of Columbia,
	Insurance Company.	in equity cause
		No. 4.291. to pay

to the Clerk of this Court, out of the rents and profits in his hands the sum of $5,500. for costs accruing or to accrue in these cases and for counsel fees, and of the

argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that there be paid by the said Receiver to the Clerk of this Court the sum of $1,275. to be by him used in payment of the amount now due for printing the record in No. 165 and the amount of his own taxable fees in said case not already paid by the appellant; and that a copy of this order be certified to the Court below in order that it may be carried into effect by an appropriate order of that Court upon the receiver.

It is further ordered that so much of said motion as relates to case No. 1201 be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1148. J. P. Winchester, Trustee etal. vs. J. B. Heistell et al. Pffs. in Error

On consideration of the petition for a rehearing in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Pensacola Ice Company
100. vs. Plff. in Error
David Perry.

Ordered by the Court that the judgment of dismissal entered herein on the 24th instant be, and the same is hereby, rescinded and annulled and the cause restored to the docket.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Iron Mountain & Helena
Railroad Company et al.
88. vs. Plffs. in Error
A. H. Johnson.

On motion of Mr. W. A. Maury, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The Texas Transportation
Co., et al.
841. vs. Appellants
Henry Seeligson.

On motion of Mr. W. E. Earle,

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced pursuant to the 32d rule.

281. The St. Louis, Fort Scott & Wichita Railroad Company vs. William B. Dinsmore, as President of the Adams Express Company and a shareholder therein. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas.

This cause having been called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Walter H. Smith, in behalf of counsel, and a stipulation to reverse the decree of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas in this cause having been filed, It is thereupon, in accordance with said stipulation, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed, each party paying its own costs in this Court; And it is further ordered, in accordance with said stipulation, that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill, each party paying its own costs in that Court.

Ex parte: In the matter of George M. Bain, Jr. Petitioner. — Mr. Richard Walker, of counsel for the petitioner, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

128. Martin Durand et al., vs. Plff. in Error, Samuel B. Martin. — On motion of Mr. W. W. Morrow, leave is hereby granted counsel for the defendant in error to file a supplemental brief in this cause.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

667. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts, vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., Appts., vs.
The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. James J. Storrow, of counsel for the American Bell Telephone Company, and Mr. W. W. Ker, of counsel for the Clay Commercial Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, February 1st, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief-Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

659. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co., et al. App'ts. vs. The Molecular Telephone Co.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al. App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Don M. Dickinson, of counsel for the Peoples Telephone Company and the Overland Telephone Company, and Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for The Peoples Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, February 2d, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief-Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

604. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

608. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co., et al.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co., et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. James J. Storrow, of counsel for the American Bell Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, February 3d, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present.

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Don M. Dickinson it is ordered that Daniel J. Campan, Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Ex parte: In the matter of Henry S. Barron, Petitioner. Mr. W. G. Goudy, of counsel for the petitioner, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

667. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

668. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al. App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. James J. Storrow and Mr. Edward A. Dickerson, of counsel for the American Bell Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Friday, February 4th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. G. Kimball it is ordered that James B. Harper, Esquire, of Fort Wayne, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. James J. Storrow it is

ordered that Charles H. Swan, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John R. Buck it is ordered that Willard J. Miner, Esquire, of Saratoga Springs, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. H. Peckham it is ordered that Adelbert Cronise, Esquire, of Rochester, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Don M. Dickinson it is ordered that Dan. H. Ball,

Esquire, of Marquette, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

Henry Baron ... Ordered by the
1335. vs. Pltff. in Error, Court that this
G. W. Burnside, cause be, and
Sheriff &c. the same is here-
by advanced and
assigned for argument on the second Monday in March next at the head of the call.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Winthrop Iron Co. On motion
et al., of Mr. R. D.
154. vs. Appellants Mussey, of
Arthur B. Meeker et al. counsel for
the appel-
lants, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

167. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

168. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

1164. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

1165. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Edward F. Dickerson, of counsel for the American Bell Telephone Company.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, February 7th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley — Associate
John M. Harlan — Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that S. G. Hilborn, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. E. Maynardier it is

ordered that George Edwin Smith, Esquire, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. A. Ranney it is ordered that James Herrmann, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. J. Hammond it is ordered that W. N. Moore, Esquire, of Dalton, State of Georgia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Charles A. W. Sherman, Administrator of Charlotte Sherman deceased, Maria Cameron, James Sherman and Sarah E. Morse,	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.
102.	vs. Appellants	
	David H. Jerome and Charles W. Grant, Executors of Sarah E. Little, deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to take such further proceedings therein as shall not be inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Ex parte: In the matter of Lorenzo Snow, Petitioner, Appellant. } Appeal from the Third Judicial District Court of Salt Lake County, Territory of Utah.

1282

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Third Judicial District Court of Salt Lake County, Territory of Utah, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Third Judicial District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Third Judicial District Court with a direction to grant the writ of habeas corpus prayed for, and to take such proceedings thereon as may be in conformity with law and not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

6.	Jesse P. Farley vs. Appellant Norman W. Kittson, James J. Hill and the St. Paul, Minneapolis & Manitoba Railway Co.	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to overrule the plea of the defendants and to order them to answer the bill.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

Thomas McAtally,
45. vs. Appellant
The Steam Tug "L.P. Dayton" her tackle &c., N. McKay Twombly, Claimant; The Steam Tug "James Bowen", her tackle &c., Daniel Shea, Claimant, and the Float or Scow called "No 4", Hugh J. Jewett, Receiver &c., Claimant.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The United States
vs. Plaintiff,
Stephen A. Northway.

On a certificate of division in opinion between the Judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio, and on the points or questions on which the judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion, and which were certified to this Court for its opinion, agreeably to the act of Congress in such case made and provided, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the second, third and fourth questions certified should be answered in the negative and the fifth and sixth questions in the affirmative.

Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

Per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Jacob M. Harmon 2nd
Oscar P. Harmon
203 vs. Plffs in Error
Charles V. Mc Adams and
Thomas C. Moore, Executors
of Jacob Harmon, deceased.

On Error to
the Circuit
Court of the
United States
for the North-
ern District
of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Jeremiah R. Harmon	In Error to the
1204.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	Charles V. McAdams	of the United
	and Thomas C. Moore,	States for the
	Executors of Jacob	Northern Dis-
	Harmon, deceased.	trict of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The United States

1026. vs. Appellant — Appeal from
Susanna E. Dunn, Admin- the Court of
istratrix of George Dunn, Claims.
deceased.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Martin Durand 2nd
Anthony Thompson — In Error to the
1128. vs. Plffs. in Error — Supreme Court of
Samuel B. Martin. — the State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Samuel B. Martin
Hy. vs. Pff. in Error
Anthony Thompson.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis Railway Company		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.
vs.	Plff. in Error	
S. P. Meyer, John Hay, E. W. Simmons and Edward D. McCarthy, partners as Meyer, Hay & Company.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Kentucky.

The Pensacola Tel Company vs. Plff in Error David Perry. } In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida.

100.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Florida and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof, and the defendant in error having confessed the eighth assignment of errors. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Home Insurance Company of New York, vs. Plff. in Error, The People of the State of New York.

On consideration of the petition for a re-hearing in this cause it is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, granted, the judgment entered herein on November 15th, 1886, rescinded and annulled and the cause restored to its place on the docket for re-argument before a full bench.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of George H. Bain, Jr., Petitioner. } On petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of George M. Bain, Jr., Petitioner. } On petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

On consideration of the petition of George M. Bain, Jr., It is now here ordered by the Court that cause be shown by Thomas W. Scott, Marshal of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia, before this Court, at Washington, in the District of Columbia, on the first Monday in March next, at twelve o'clock, noon, of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why a writ of habeas corpus should not issue as prayed in said petition.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1341. N. A. Carper, Jailor &c., Appellant vs. Richard L. Fitzgerald. } Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument on March 7th next after the cases heretofore assigned for that day.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Orders.

It is ordered by the Court that mandates issue in all cases decided prior to January 1st, 1887, when applied for, except where a petition for rehearing is pending and cases docketed and dismissed under the 9th Rule

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1031. The United States, Appellant, vs. Sarah E. Ramsay, widow &c.

1034. The United States, Appellant, vs. James C. Saunders.

1064. The United States, Plaintiff, vs. Stephen A. Northway.

1282. Ex parte: In the matter of Lorenzo Snow, Petitioner, Appellant.

Ordered by the Court that the Clerk be, and he is hereby, granted leave to issue the mandates in these cases.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Crescent City Live Stock Landing & Slaughter House Co., et al.,
1169. vs. Plffs. in Error
The Butchers Union Slaughter House & Live Stock Landing Co.

On motion of Mr. W. A. Maury, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The Crescent City Live Stock Landing & Slaughter House Company & Bertrand Saloy,
825. vs. Plffs. in Error,
The Butchers Union Slaughter House & Live Stock Landing Company.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. William A. Maury, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and he having moved the Court to strike this cause from the docket, and the consent thereto by counsel for the defendant in error having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this

Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

433. The United States, Appellant, vs. George K. Otis.

George K. Otis, Appellant, vs. The United States.

428. The United States, Appellant, vs. The Pacific Railroad.

303. The Pacific Railroad, Appellant, vs. The United States.

429. The United States, Appellant, vs. The Atlantic & Pacific R.R. Co.

On motion of Mr. James Coleman, of counsel, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandates in these cases.

498. Thurber, Whyland & Company vs. A. W. Woodward and A. W. Langdon. Appellants. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. C. Goudy, in behalf of counsel, and a motion by the appellants to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

810. James M. Veach et al., Appellants vs. Ada S. Rice et al. Mr. A. J. Hammond, of counsel for the appellants, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to omit certain parts of the transcript of record in this cause in printing the same. Leave was granted Mr. W. K. Moore to file a brief in opposition to said motion.

200. J. F. D. Lanier et al. Appellants vs. John Nash et al. Mr. Wm Stone Albert, in behalf of Mr. D. S. Hounshell, of counsel for the appellees, submitted a motion for a restraining order in this cause.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

114. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts, vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

115-8. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1012. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

114. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., App'ts. vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

115. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Edward N. Dickerson, of counsel for the American Bell Telephone Co., Mr. Causten Browne, of counsel for Dolbear et al., and Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham, of counsel for the Molecular Telephone Co., et al.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, February 8th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George S. Boutwell it is ordered that Clinton L. Conkling, Esquire, of Springfield, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. B. Cassell it is ordered that O. B. Thomas, Esquire, of Prairie du Chien, and George H. Noyes, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

The City of Joliet
1114. vs. Appellant
Joseph Hiller Foster et al.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas Dent and Mr. M. W. Fuller, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. James A. High, of counsel for the appellees.

113. Amos E. Dolbear et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co.

114. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

118. The American Bell Telephone Co. et al., App'ts., vs. The Molecular Telephone Co. et al.

1092. The Clay Commercial Telephone Co., Appt. vs.
The American Bell Telephone Co.

1111. The Peoples Telephone Co. et al., Appts. vs. The
American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

1116. The Overland Telephone Co. et al., Appts. vs.
The American Bell Telephone Co. et al.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham, of counsel for the Molecular Telephone Company, and Mr. Charles P. Crosby, of counsel for the Overland Telephone Company, and concluded by Mr. Sysander Hill, of counsel for the Peoples Telephone Company and the Overland Telephone Company.

1140. The Helena Bridge Co. vs. Z. King & Son. Appellant

On motion of Mr. A. G. Riddle, of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Tuesday, February 8th 1887, continued.

	The United States	On motion of Mr.
236.	vs. Appellant	R. B. Lines, of coun
	Susannah E. Dunn,	sel for the appellee,
	Adm'x &c.	leave is hereby
		granted the Clerk

to issue the mandate in this cause.

	Charles Mali, Consul &c. et al.	Ordered by
288.	vs. Appellants	the Court
	The Keeper of the Common Gaol	that the
	of Hudson County.	Clerk be, and
		he is here.

by, granted leave to issue the mandate in this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday, March 7th, at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 7th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. B. Reed it is ordered that James A. Louttit, Esquire, of Stockton, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that J. Marion Brooks, Esquire, of Ventura, State of California, George B. Greene, Esquire, of Buffalo, State of New York, and J. Catlett Gibson, Esquire, of Culpeper, State of Virginia, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. G. Carlisle it is ordered that Theodore F. Hallam, Esquire, of Lexington, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that J. A. Bentley, Esquire, of Denver, State of Colorado, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. G. G. Symes it is ordered that Isaac N. Taylor, Esquire, of Carrollton, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter N. Smith it is ordered that Hugo Donzelmann, Esquire, of Cheyenne, Territory of Wyoming, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John Johns it is ordered that A. Anson Jones, Esquire, of Houston, State of Texas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. O. D. Barrett it is ordered that Reuben S. Benton, Esquire, of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota,

be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Eppa Hunton it is ordered that Charles H. Page, Esquire, of Providence, State of Rhode Island, and Thomas J. Ramsdell, Esquire, of Manistee, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. B. Vance it is ordered that Thomas J. White, Esquire, of Atchison, State of Kansas, and John S. Henderson, Esquire, of Salisbury, State of North Carolina, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Hoar it is ordered that Andrew J. Bailey and

William H. Stockbridge, Esquires, of Boston, and Samuel E.B. Holmes, Esquire, of New Bedford, State of Massachusetts, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S.A. Burdett it is ordered that John O. Winship, Esquire, of Cleveland, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. E. Earle it is ordered that O. K. Orr, Esquire, of Anderson, State of South Carolina, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

George S. Grier
148. vs. Appellant
John F. Wilt.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Delaware.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Delaware, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to dismiss the bill of complaint with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Albert S. Rosenbaum
200. vs. Plff. in Error
John A. Bauer, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the order of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of California.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
" " Harlan
" " Matthews

1199 Albert S. Rosenbaum vs. The Board of Supervisors of the City & County of San Francisco. Deft. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California.

This cause came on to be heard on the

Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the order of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of California.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Dissenting:
Mr. Justice Bradley
" " Harlan
" " Matthews.

703. Richard Viterbo vs. Max Dintelspiel and J. Friedlander, Testamentary Executors of Samuel Friedlander, deceased. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Monday, March 7th, 1887, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to take such further proceedings therein as may be in conformity with law and not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

A. G. Speidel and G. O. Speidel, Executors &c., of Elias Speidel, dec'd. Appellants

vs.

Jacob Henrici and Jonathan Henry, Trustees of The Harmony Society of Beaver County, Penna. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

92.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Accident Insurance Company of North America vs. Loretta M. Crandal. 1126. Plff. in Error

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit

Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois:

per Mr. Justice Gray.

William N. Plummer, Administrator of Hiram Tucker, deceased, Appellant
121. vs.
Sargent & Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

Jane Herron and Charles D. Herron, her husband, in right of said Jane; Elizabeth B. Jackson and John A. Jackson, her husband, in right of said Elizabeth B.; Charles J. Power and A. M. Cummings, Pffs. in Error,
vs.
Philip Dater and Elizabeth L. Fellows.

On Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Pennsylvania.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

	Bradley G. Ochley	In Error to the Cir-
1113.	vs. Pltf. in Error	cuit Court of the
	Pullman's Palace Car	United States for
	Company.	the Northern Dis-
		trict of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	The Peoples Savings Bank	In Error to the
	and Leopold Freud	Circuit Court of
52.	vs. Pltfs. in Error	the United States
	Levi M. Bates, John N.	for the Eastern
	Reed and Martin S. Cooley.	District of
		Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Michigan.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Robert Gilmer
1868. vs. Appellant
William W. Stone and Joseph M. Walker, Executors of Robert Gilmer, deceased; The Board of Foreign Missions of the Presbyterian Church of the United States of America and The Board of Home Missions of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the

Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Justice Harlan.

The Chicago, Burlington & Kansas City Railroad Company		In Error to the Su-
1284. vs.	Off. in Error.	preme
The State of Missouri ex rel: John F. Guffey, Collector of Revenue of Putnam County.		Court of the State of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Matthew Bolles and
M. Shephard Bolles
279. vs. Pffs. in Error
The Town of Brimfield.

In Error to the
Circuit Court of
the United States
for the Northern
District of
Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings consistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	Sabine Robbins	In Error to the
816.	vs. Pff. in Error,	Supreme Court
	The Taxing District of	of the State of
	Shelby County, Tennessee	Tennessee.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions that the plaintiff in error should be discharged.

Per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Dissenting:
Mr. Chief Justice Waite
Mr. Justice Field and
Mr. Justice Gray.

George W. Gorton
98. vs. Plff. in Error
The State of Maryland.

In Error to the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland and was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Appeals with instructions that the plaintiff in error should be discharged.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

Frederick Hopt
1099. vs. Plff. in Error
The People of the Territory of Utah.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme

Court of the Territory of Utah and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Per Mr. Justice Field.

Lucy W. Fletcher et al., Pltffs. in Error,
vs.
Nathan Fuller, in his own right and as trustee for Truman B. Fuller et al.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Rhode Island.

1248.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Rhode Island and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions

to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Field.

Harrison B. Nicholas
vs.
9. & 1 Israel and the
Pacific Bank.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Court of the State of Missouri.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

	The Laclede Bank and J. T. Craig, Assignee of J. A. Israel,		
795.	vs.	Appellants	Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.
	Harrison B. Schuler.		
	and		
	Harrison B. Schuler		
1017.	vs.	Appellant	
	The Laclede Bank and J. T. Craig, Assignee of J. A. Israel.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri on appeal and cross-appeal and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to dismiss the bill; costs in this Court to be paid by Harrison B. Schuler.

Per Mr. Justice Miller.

Thomas Baldwin
vs. Plff. in Error
J. C. Franks, Marshal
of the United States of
America for the District
of California.

On Error to the
Circuit Court
of the United
States for the
District of Cal
ifornia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of California, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of California for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Dissenting: Mr. Justice Field and
Mr. Justice Harlan.

Roswell G. Rolston, Heman Dowd and Owen Root Jr., Trustees, and The Hannibal & St. Joseph Railroad Company

68. vs. Appellants

Thomas T. Crittenden, Governor; Phil E. Chappell, Treasurer; John Walker, Auditor; and Thomas T. Crittenden, John Walker and D. H. McIntyre, Fund Commissioners of the State of Missouri.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri.

and

Thomas T. Crittenden, Governor; Phil E. Chappell, Treasurer; John Walker, Auditor; and Thomas T. Crittenden, John Walker and D. H. McIntyre, Fund Commissioners of the State of Missouri,

213. vs. Appellants

Roswell G. Rolston, Heman Dowd and Owen Root, Jr., Trustees, and The Hannibal & St. Joseph Railroad Company.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, on appeal and cross appeal, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause, so far as it fixed the amount to be paid to get an assignment of the lien be, and the same is hereby, reversed; in all other respects the decree is affirmed; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to strike out the sum of $476,009.17, with interest from May 11, 1883, as the amount found due, and insert in lieu thereof $153,646.46, and interest at the rate of three per cent per annum from October 3, 1882. Each party to pay its own costs in this Court and the cost of printing the record and the fees of the Clerk for supervision to be paid one half by each party.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this case.)

1100. The United States vs. Ramon Arjona. Plaintiff, On a certificate of division in opinion between the Judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and on the points or questions on which the judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion and which were certified to this Court for its opinion agreeably to the act of Congress in such case made and provided, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that all the questions certified should be answered in the affirmative except the eleventh, and as to that no special answer will be made.

Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The County of Bourbon
1247. vs. Pff. in Error
David Sinton.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kentucky and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Kentucky.

Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Lepine C. Rice, Assignee of Robert Erwin and Charles S. Hardee, trading as Erwin & Hardee,
1269. vs. Appellant
The United States.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

Monday, March 7th, 1887, continued.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

The Selma, Rome & Dalton Railroad Company, Appellant, vs. The United States. Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, That the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

Monday, March 7th, 1887. continued.

John C. Spencer
vs. Pet. in Error
Caroline A. Merchant,
Executrix vs.

Ordered by the Court that the Submission of the cause be, and the same is hereby set aside and the cause restored to its place on the docket.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte. In the matter of George M. Bain, Sr.
Original. Petitioner.

Mr. Attorney Gen. eral Garland filed return to the rule to show cause issued herein.

The Memphis & Little Rock R. R. Co.,
40. vs. Appellant
Robert T. Dow et al.,
Appellees vs.

On motion of Mr. A. H. Garland, on behalf of counsel for the Appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Samuel D. Kissel
1187. vs. Appellant
Anthony M. Dutch et al. The motion to dismiss or advance in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. Parker Gourdan, in support of the same, and by Mr. T. A. Lambert, in opposition thereto.

Edward A. Stanley
1202. vs. Plff. in Error,
The Board of Supervisors of the County of Albany. Mr. Matthew Hale, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, suggested the death of Edward A. Stanley, the plaintiff in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Hattie E. Stanley, Executrix of said Edward A. Stanley, the party plaintiff in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that Hattie E. Stanley, Executrix of Edward A. Stanley, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and she is hereby, made the party plaintiff in error in this cause.

Martin Durand etal. (On motion of Mr.
"28. vs. Plffs. in Error W. W. Morrow.
Samuel B. Thompson. in behalf of coun.
sel for the de.

fendant in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

"7. The Merchants Mutual Ins. Company, Appellant, vs. George K. Allen.
"8. The Merchants Mutual Ins. Company, Appellant, vs. Silas Week.

Mr. J. R. Beckwith, of counsel for the appellees, filed a motion to suppress the depositions taken and filed in these cases in this Court.

The Leather Manufacturers (On motion of
National Bank Mr. A. B. Cam
1313. vs. Plff. in Error bern, of coun.
William C. Cooper &c. sel for the
plaintiff in

error. Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced, pursuant to the 32nd Rule.

Monday March 2nd, 1891, continued.

The Leather Manufacturers' National Bank
1313. vs. Pltff. in Error
William E. Cooper, Jr.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. N. B. Sanborn and Mr. C. M. DaCosta, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John M. Bowers, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Henry S. Gilson
1308. vs. Pltff. in Error
The Town of Dayton.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. E. S. Eldredge, in support of the same, and Mr. G. A. Sanders, in opposition thereto. The motion to perfect the bond in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court by Mr. G. A. Sanders, in support of the same.

Monday, March 9th, 1874, continued.

James M. Siebert, Collector &c., The motion to
415 vs. Plff. in Error dismiss or
The U. S. use &c. S. W. Kaufman. affirm in
this cause

was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr Clinton Rowell, in support of the same.

The Town of Urbana In Error to the Cir-
677 vs. Plff in Error cuit Court of the
George W. Sanford. United States for
the Southern Dis-
trict of Illinois.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. J. N. Rowell, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having filed a motion to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis Railway Co. vs. S. P. Meyer et al. — Plff. in Error — Mr. Wm Stone Albert, of counsel for the defendants in error, moved the Court to grant leave to the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

114.

Elon A. Marsh et al., vs. Nichols, Shepard & Co. — Appellants — The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. E. J. Hill, in support of the same, and Mr. R. C. Parker and Mr. Don M. Dickinson, in opposition thereto.

141.

Elon A. Marsh and Minard Lefever vs. Nichols, Shepard & Co. — Plffs. in Error, — Mr. E. J. Hill, of counsel for James Scott, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file

the transcript of the record, that James Scott be made a party plaintiff in error herein, that said cause be docketed, that his appearance be entered herein and that the writ of error be dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

1290. The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railway Co. vs. Pltf. in Error Brofield, Shurmer & Teagle. Def. motion to dismiss or affirm on the printed arguments submitted to the

consideration of the Court on the record & printed arguments by Mr. J. E. Ingersoll, in support of the same, and Mr. E. J. Estep and Mr. Ashley Pond, in opposition thereto.

782. Melville R. Bissell vs. Adelmer D. Plumb. Appellant Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Michigan.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. W. P. Henderson, in behalf

of counsel, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific R.R. Co., Pltf. in Error vs. Robert M. Smith et al. (130)

The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. U.J. Fall and Mr. S.F. Phillips, in support of the same and Mr. Isaac Hoadly, Mr. E.M. Johnson, Mr. Edward Colston and Mr. George Hoadly Jr., in opposition thereto.

Ex parte: In the matter of Hollon Parker, Petitioner. 3, Original.

This cause was argued by Mr. Walter H. Smith, in support of the petition, and Mr. James M. Hoffecker Jr. in opposition thereto.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 8th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshall.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Cary it is ordered that J. N. Swan, Esquire, of Sioux City, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in

this behalf by Mr. George G. Symes it is ordered that Wm Wallace Brown, Esquire, of Bradford, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John R. Emery it is ordered that George Walton Jenkins, Esquire, of Morristown, State of New Jersey, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Stanley G. Wight, M.B. Stevens and Chester Bullock vs. Jacob G. B. Dubois and David K. Moffat. Appellants

1352.

Mr. G. G. Symes, of counsel for the appellees, having filed in open Court certified copies of the order allowing an appeal and the appeal bond in this cause, moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the

9th rule, and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

G. F. D. Lanier et al. vs. John Nash et al. Appellants

200. Mr. William Lawrence, in behalf of counsel for the appellees, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court additional papers on motion for a restraining order in this cause.

Horace Boughton & W. Bowen Moore, firm of Boughton & Moore,
1353. The Charter Oak Life Insurance Company, Daniel Phillips and Henry H. Tallmadge.

Mr. J. R. Bond, of counsel for the appellees having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

I, R. J. Meigs, Clerk of the said Court do hereby certify that in a cause lately pending in said Court wherein {Horace Boughton and W. Bowen Moore} firm of Boughton & Moore was complainant and {The Charter Oak Life Insurance Company, Daniel Phillips and Henry H. Tallmadge} were defendants a final decree was rendered by said Court on the 13th November, 1885, in favor of said defendants and against said complainants and that on the said 13th November, 1885, in open Court the said complainants prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States which was allowed.

In testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the
(Seal) seal of said Supreme Court of the District of Columbia this 7th day of March, 1887.

R. J. Meigs, Clerk.

By R. J. Meigs, Jr., Ass't. Clerk."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule, and it appearing to the Court that the appellants have failed to have their cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Tuesday, March 8th, 1887, continued.

Ex parte: In the matter of George M. Bain Jr. Original. Petitioner. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Richard Walke, in support of the petition, continued by Mr. John Catlett Gibson and Mr. Attorney General Garland, in opposition thereto, and concluded by Mr. W. W. Crump, in support of the petition.

9714. The United States vs. The Maxwell Land Grant Company et al. Appellant The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 9th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. R. A. Burton it is ordered that John R. Wilson, Esquire, of Deadwood, Territory of Dakota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Wednesday, March 9th, 1887, continued.

974. The United States vs. Appellant, The Maxwell Land Grant Company et al.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury and Mr. J. A. Bentley, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 10th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Ex parte: In the matter of Henry H. Dodge Petitioner.

Mr. O. D. Barrett submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of prohibition.

Mrs. Annie M. Upshur et al. vs. Plffs. in Error, Mrs. Mary E. Briscoe et al. 1278. On motion of Mr. W.A. Maury, of counsel for the defendants in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

James Flower et al. vs. The City of Detroit et al. and Samuel R.C. Matthews et al. vs. James Flower et al. 494. 955. Appellants Appellants Mr. E.J. Hill, of counsel for James Flower et al., suggested the death of the said James Flower and moved the Court to make George Flower and Thomas Flower, Executors of James Flower, deceased, parties appellants in ct. No. 494, and parties appellees in ct. No. 955. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that George Flower and Thomas Flower, Executors of James Flower, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and they are hereby, made parties appellants in ct. No. 494, and parties appellees in ct. No. 955.

Elon A. Marsh et al. — On motion of
641. vs. Appellants — Mr. E. J. Hill,
Nichols, Shepard & Co. — leave is hereby granted him

to file a supplemental brief on the motion to dismiss in this cause.

The United States — The argument of
774. vs. Appellant — this cause was
The Maxwell Land — continued by
Grant Company et al. — Mr. J. A. Bentley of counsel for

the appellant, and Mr. Frank Springer, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 11th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John K. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

Ex parte: In the matter of Thomas N. Harding Petitioner: Mr. J. T. Toole submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion for leave to file a petition for writs of habeas corpus and certiorari.

	The United States	The argument of
744.	vs. Appellant	this cause was
	The Maxwell Land	continued by
	Grant Company et al.	Mr. Frank Spring.
		er and Mr.

Charles E. Gast, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. J. A. Bentley, of counsel for the appellant.

	The Mercantile National	The argument
	Bank of the City of New York	of this cause
1258.	vs. Appellant	was com
	The Mayor &c. of the City	menced by
	of New York.	Mr. G. W.
		Wells, of

counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. James C. Carter, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 14th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John C. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. J. Baker it is ordered that J. A. Sweney, Esquire, of Osage, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Wilkinson Call it is ordered that C. W. Stevens, Esquire, of Madison, State of Florida, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. M. Dolph it is ordered that Joseph Simon, Esquire, of Portland, State of Oregon, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. C. Goudy it is ordered that John J. Herrick, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

John A. Dushane and John W. Stonebraker vs. Joseph Benedict. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to set aside the verdict and to order a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

	Dolores Del Moral y Gonzales, Augustin Gonzales, Juan Manuel Gonzales et al.,	In Error to the Circuit Court of
28.	vs.	Plffs. in Error, the United
	Edward Ross and The International & Great Northern Railroad Company.	States for the Western District of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The City of East St. Louis, Pltff. in Error, vs. The United States ex rel: H. Amy & Company. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

1141.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

G. T. R. Lanier et al. Appellants vs. John Nash et al. — On consideration of the motion for a restraining order in this cause

200.

and of the argument of counsel in support of the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the

same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite

Henry S. Gilson, On consideration
308. vs. Pltf. in Error of the motions to
The Town of Dayton. dismiss or affirm
in this cause and

of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motions be, and the same are hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Samuel S. Cissel, On considera.
437. vs. Appellant tion of the
Anthony M. Dulch et al. motion to dis
miss in this

cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railway Co., vs. Plff. in Error, Scofield, Shurmer & Teagle. — Ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss in this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

1290.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Elon A. Marsh et al., vs. Appellants Nichols, Shepard & Co. — On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

641.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Elon A. Marsh and Minard La Fever, vs. Plffs. in Error Nichols, Shepard & Company. — On consideration of the motion of James Scott for leave to file the transcript of the record and docket

this cause, to amend the writ of error by making him a party plaintiff in error and to dismiss the writ of error for want of jurisdiction, and of the argument of counsel thereupon had as well in support of as against the same, It is now hereordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Vicksburg, Shreveport & Pacific Railroad Company vs. Plff. in Error, Robert T. Smith et al. — Ordered by the Court that the motion to dismiss in this cause

1309.

be, and the same is hereby, continued for hearing with the case on its merits.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Thomas N. Harding Petitioner. — Ordered by the Court that counsel for the petitioner be granted leave to file a printed brief in support of the motion for leave to file petition for

writs of habeas corpus and certiorari.
per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Henry H. Dodge, Petitioner. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of prohibition, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.
per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1100. The United States vs. Plaintiff Ramon Arjona. On motion of Mr. Attorney General Garland, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

10. Jesse P. Farley vs. Appellant Norman W. Kittson et al. Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellant, moved the Court to grant leave to the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause. Whereupon the Court not being now here sufficiently

advised of and concerning what order to render in the premises took time to consider.

Kate W. Goodwin et al: vs. Eleanor Fox et al's. Appellants

759. The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on printed arguments by Mr. W. C. Goudy, in support of the same, and Mr. John A. Jewett, and Mr. Charles H. Wood, in opposition thereto.

47. The City of New Orleans, Appt., vs. The U. S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.

48. The City of New Orleans, Plff. in Error, vs. The U. S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.

183. The City of New Orleans, Appt., vs. Myra Clark Gaines.

Mr. J. R. Beckwith, of counsel for the City of New Orleans, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion that these cases be passed and not taken up for hearing at the present term unless proper

parties are made in due form and the appellant and plaintiff in error has notice of the bringing in of new parties thirty days prior to the date of hearing or trial.

79. Colburn Barrell et al., vs. Plffs. in Error, C. E. Tillon. On motion of Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the defendant in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

1258. The Mercantile National Bank of the City of New York vs. Appellant The Mayor &c., of the City of New York. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. James C. Carter, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. Charles W. Wells, of counsel for the appellant.

The National Newark Banking Company
1301 vs. Appellant
The Mayor &c. of the City of Newark.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Charles W. Wells, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 15th 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

6. Jesse P. Farley vs. Appellant / Norman W. Kittson et al.

Ordered by the Court that leave be granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

	The Belden Mining Company	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.
209.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	John Harvey.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Chapin Brown, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The National Newark Banking Company	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr.
1301.	vs. Appellant.	
	The Mayor &c. of the City of Newark.	

Joseph Coult and Mr. John R. Emery, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. John W. Taylor, of counsel for the appellant.

Hattie E. Stanley,
Executrix &c.
222. vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Supervisors
of the County of Albany.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Matthew Hale, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. E. W. Rosendale, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 16th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. H. Mitchell it is ordered that J. M. Siglin, Esquire, of Marshfield, State of Oregon, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Augusta B. Allen et al.,
10. vs. Plff. in Error,
The St. Louis National Bank.

On motion of Mr. W.A. McKenney, in behalf of

counsel for the plaintiffs in error, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Nellie C. Stanley, Executrix &c.
222. vs. Plff. in Error,
The Board of Supervisors of the County of Albany.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. S. W. Rosendale and Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Matthew Hale, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Chauncey P. Williams
387. vs. Plff. in Error
The Board of Supervisors of the County of Albany.

This cause was argued by Mr. Matthew Hale, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. S. W. Rosendale and Mr. Wheeler H. Peckham, of counsel for the defend-

118. Alva Worden et al., vs. Anson Searls. Appellants

Ordered by the Court that the appeal bond in this cause now here presented by Mr. Charles J. Hunt, of counsel for the appellants, be, and the same is hereby, approved.

118. Alva Worden et al., vs. Anson Searls. Appellants

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Charles J. Hunt, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, March 17th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray, and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William F. Vilas it is ordered that Ogden H. Fethers, Esquire, of Janesville, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Alva Worden et al. vs. Anson Searls. 118. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles J. Hunt, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. G. P. Fitch, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Charles J. Hunt, of counsel for the appellants.

The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company, vs. George D. Allen. 77. Appellant

And

The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company vs. Silas Weeks. 78. Appellant

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Joseph P. Hornot, of counsel for the appellant, and continued by Mr. J. R. Beckwith, of counsel for the appellee in No. 77, and Mr. R. N. Browne, of counsel for the appellee in No. 78.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 18th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John F. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Cary it is ordered that William Barnes, Esquire, of Albany, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Merchants Mutual Ins. Co.,
77. vs. Appellant
George D. Allen.
And
The Merchants Mutual Ins. Co.,
78. vs. Appellant
Silas Weeks.

The argument of these cases was concluded by Mr. Joseph P. Hornor, of counsel for the appellant.

Francis Downs, Executor &c.,
2. vs. Appellant
Edward J. Hubbard, Adm'r. &c.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed, subject to the provisions of Section 9, Rule 26.

William L. Royall,
1351. vs. Plff. in Error,
The State of Virginia.

On motion of Mr. R. A. Ayers, of counsel for the defendant in error, and consent of counsel for the plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced and assigned for argument with No. 1341.

N. A. Harper, Sailor &c.
1341. vs. Appellant
Richard L. Fitzgerald
and
William L. Royall
1351. vs. Plff. in Error,
The State of Virginia.

These cases were argued by Mr. R. A. Ayers, of counsel for the appellant in No. 1341 and defendant in error in No. 1351, and Mr. D. N. Chamberlain and Mr. Bradley T. Johnson, of counsel for the appellee in No. 1341 and plaintiff in error in No. 1351.

Henry Barron
1335. vs. Plff. in Error
T. W. Burnside, Sheriff &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. W. C. Goudy of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. A. G. Baker, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 21st, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. J. T. Brown it is ordered that Edward B. Knight, Esquire, of Charleston, State of West Virginia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. W. G. Henderson it is ordered that Amasa B. Paul, Esquire, of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Evarts it is ordered that Moritz B. Philipp, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1280. Henry N. Porter vs. The Pittsburg Bessemer Steel Company (Limited), The Cleveland Rolling Mill Company, The Smith Bridge Company, Crerar, Adams & Company and Volney L. Irwin. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit

Court of the United States for the District of Indiana and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause made October 9, 1886, so far as it decrees that the claims of the five appellees are prior, superior and paramount to the lien of the mortgages or deeds of trust mentioned in the decree of February 16, 1886, and of the bonds secured thereby, and in so far as it provides for the payment to the appellees, out of the fund in the registry of the Court of the several sums of money specified in the said decree of October 9, 1886, be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to take such further proceedings as shall not be inconsistent with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	The Fourth National Bank of the City of New York,	In Error to the Circuit Court of the
134.	vs.	United States for the South-
	Charles G. Francklyn, as sole acting Executor of the last will and testa-	ern District of New York.
	ment of Edwin Hoyt, deceased.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

Ex parte: In the matter of Hollon Parker		On petition for a writ of man-
3. Original.	Petitioner.	damus.

This case came on to be heard on petition and answer thereto, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that a peremptory writ of mandamus issue from this Court directed to the Judges of the Supreme Court of the Territory of Washington requiring and commanding said Court to set aside the order and judgment of said Supreme Court made and entered on the 24th day of July, 1885, in the case entitled Hollon Parker and John F. Boyer, Appellants, vs. Elizabeth Denney, Executrix of Timothy P. Denney, Appellee, dismissing said appeal and rendering judgment against said Hollon Parker, as appellant therein, for costs, and to reinstate said appeal in said Supreme Court and hear and decide the said case on its merits.

554. The New Orleans National Banking Association & James J. McComb, vs. C. D. LeBreton, Assignee in Bankruptcy of Nolan S. Williams; Samuel H. Kennedy, Julian Vairin, The State National Bank of New Orleans et al. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

The Leather Manufacturers National Bank
1313. vs. Plff. in Error
William B. Cooper, Junior.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not take any part in the decision of this case.)

The City of Joliet
1114. vs. Appellant
Joseph Miller Foster, The Portsmouth Savings Bank, The New Hampshire Guarantee Banking Company et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern Dis

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Nate W. Goodwin et al., Appellants
vs.
Eleanor Fox et al.

No. 759. On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

James M. Siebert,
Collector &c.,
713. vs. Plff. in Error
The United States ex rel:
George W. Harshman.

Ordered by the Court that the further consideration of the motions to dismiss or affirm in this cause be, and the same is hereby, postponed until the plaintiff in error shall have been again notified in accordance with Section 4 of Rule 6 of this Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

47. The City of New Orleans, Appt. vs. The U.S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.
48. The City of New Orleans, Plff. in Error, vs. The U. S. ex rel: Myra Clark Gaines.
183. The City of New Orleans, Appt. vs. Myra Clark Gaines.

On consideration of the motion to continue these cases, It is now here ordered by the Court that these cases be, and they are hereby, continued until the next term, without prejudice however to the right of the personal or legal representatives of Myra

Clark Gaines to enter their appearance under the order that has been made to that effect during the present term.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Ex parte: In the matter of Thomas N. Harding, Petitioner. On consideration of the motion for leave to file a petition for writs of habeas corpus and certiorari and of the argument of counsel in support of the same, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

237. Joseph Davies, as Collector of Chicot County, Arkansas, vs. The United States ex rel: Austin Corbin, J. K. O. Sherwood, E. N. Kirk, L. E. Lewis, F. B. Loomis and Charles R. Bissell. Pff. in Error In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Abner Gaines, as Collector, and George J. Wilkinson as Clerk of Chicot County, Arkansas,	In Error to the Circuit
496 vs. Plffs. in Error,	Court of the United
The United States ex rel: Austin Corbin, J. K. O. Sherwood, E. H. Shirk, C. E. Lewis, J. B. Loomis and Charles R. Bissell.	States for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in

this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	William H. Robertson, Collector of the Port of New York,	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
101.	vs. Plff. in Error	
	William G. Matheson and James A. Steele.	

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Attorney General Garland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and he having moved the Court to dismiss this cause, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Clarence P. Hunt	Mr. D. H. Post.
490.	vs. Appellant	on, in behalf
	Sallie S. Blackburn, Charles B. Blackburn and W. P. Smith.	of Mr. J. B. Heistell of

counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Sallie S. Blackburn, one of the appellees in this cause, and moved the Court for an order, under the 15th rule, to make the proper representatives parties; Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that unless the proper representatives of the said Sallie S. Blackburn, deceased, as aforesaid, shall voluntarily become parties within the first ten days of the ensuing term of this Court the appellant shall be entitled to open the record, and, on hearing, have the decree reversed if it be erroneous; Provided, however, That a copy of this order shall be printed in some newspaper of general circulation in the State of Arkansas, for three successive weeks, at least sixty days before the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court then next ensuing.

131. Charles M. Jeffries vs. Plff. in Error, Mary Herman.

On motion of Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the defendant in error,

leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The State of Wisconsin vs. The Pelican Insurance Company of New Orleans. Original. Plaintiff

On motion of Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the plaintiff,

leave is hereby granted the plaintiff to withdraw the demurrer to the plea numbered II of the defendant first filed, the demurrer to the plea numbered II second filed and the demurrer to the third and last plea filed by the defendant, and to file a replication to the said plea numbered II first filed, the said plea numbered II second filed, and the third and last plea.

1297. William Ferguson et al., vs. George W. Dent et al. Appellants

The motion to vacate the supersedeas in this cause, to revoke the order reinstating the receiver and for a writ of restitution of the property was submitted to the consideration of the

Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. B. Edgington, in support of the same, and Mr. D. N. Poston and Mr. L. W. Finley, in opposition thereto.

1335. Henry Barron vs. Plff. in Error L. W. Burnside, Sheriff &c. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. N. Sweney, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. G. J. Merrick, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

149. The Kansas Pacific Railway Co. vs. Appellant Benjamin W. Lewis Jr. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

150. The Thatcher Heating Co. et al. vs. Appellants John H. Burtis et al. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed, subject to the provisions of Section 9, Rule 26.

Solomon Moses and Solomon Weill, survivors of Solcho Blum, Appellants
151. vs.
George H. Wooster.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Henry R. Kibbie et al.,
152. vs. Appellants
Abraham G. Jennings et al.
and
Thomas Dolan,
153. vs. Appellant,
Abraham G. Jennings et al.

These cases were argued by Mr. John R. Bennett, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. A. V. Briesen, of counsel for the appellees.

Moses N. Katzenberger et al., This cause
155. vs. Plffs. in Error was submit-
The City of Aberdeen, Miss. ted to the
considera-
tion of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Calvin Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. E. C. Sykes and Mr. Baxter McFarland, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Jiery Wright This cause was
156. vs. Plff. in Error submitted to the
B. H. Roseberry et al. consideration of
the Court on the
record and printed arguments by Mr. John Mullan, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. W. C. Belcher, of counsel for the defendants in error.

The District of Columbia This cause was
158. vs. Appellant submitted to
Owen O'Hare. the considera-
tion of the
Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Attorney General Garland

and Mr. F. P. Dewees, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. W. A. Cook and Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the appellee.

James D McCandlish vs. Theodore Wright. 159. Appellant

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. J. F. Brown, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 22nd, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John W. Cary it is ordered that Levin H. Campbell, Esquire, of Warrensburg, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. James Coleman it is ordered that Robert Brown, Esquire, of Rochester, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

159.	James D. McConihay vs. Appellant Theodore Wright.	The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. F. Brown, of

counsel for the appellant, and Mr Edward B. Knight, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. J. F. Brown, of counsel for the appellant.

160.	Vesta Laidley vs. Appellant C. P. Huntington et al.	This cause was argued by Mr. J. F. Brown, of counsel for the appellant

- to counsel appearing for the appellees.

William Barnes, Trustee, (Substituted for
163. vs. Appellant No. 161).
The Chicago, Milwaukee & Ordered by the
St. Paul Railway Co. Court that
Counsel on
each side be allowed one hour additional time in the argument of this cause.

William Barnes, Trustee, The argument
163. vs. Appellant of this cause
The Chicago, Milwaukee & was com-
St. Paul Railway Co. menced by
Mr. William
Barnes, of counsel for the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 23d 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal,
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

163. William Barnes, Trustee, Appellant, vs. The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. William Barnes, of counsel for the appellant, Mr. John W. Cary, of counsel for the appellee, and Mr. Francis Fellowes, of counsel for the appellant.

Thursday, March 24th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James M. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. S. S. Henkle it is ordered that J. N. Giles, Esquire, of Springfield, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William R. Page it is ordered that J. A. Crain, Esquire, of Freeport, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

1347. The Covington Stock Yards Co. vs. Charles W. Keith et al. Appellant — Ordered that additional notice be served on

counsel for the appellees of the motion heretofore filed herein for a supersedeas, and that said motion be submitted on Monday, April 4th.

163. William Barnes, Trustee, vs. The Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company. Appellant — The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. Francis Fellowes, of

counsel for the appellant.

The American Iron Company
162. vs. Appellant
The Anglo American Roofing Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, & it is therefore upon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Town of Concord
161. vs. Plff. in Error,
Sylvia J. Robinson.

This cause was argued by Mr. Henry Dexter, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. George A Somers, of counsel for the defendant in error.

	The Central Construction Company	In Error to the Circuit Court
1644.	vs. Plff. in Error,	of the United
	George Paul.	States for the Northern

District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is, Thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Albert Grant	The argument of
165.	vs. Appellant	this cause was
	The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company.	commenced by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for

the appellant.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, March 28th, 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief-Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray, and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

1201. Albert Grant and H. W. Blair vs. The Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Co. Appellants

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, advanced to be heard with No. 165, per agreement of counsel.

165. Albert Grant
vs. Appellant
The Phoenix Mutual
Life Ins. Co.
and
Albert Grant and H. W.
Blair
1201. vs. Appellants
The Phoenix Mutual
Life Ins. Co.

The argument of these cases was continued by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. William F. Mattingly and Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Henry W. Blair, of counsel for the appellants.

166. Joseph White
vs. Appellant
The Benedict & Burnham
Manufacturing Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to

print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

168. Theodore J. McGowan et als. vs. The American Pressed Jan Bark Company. Plffs. in Error

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. George Hoadly, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, March 28th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George A. King it is ordered that Leland G. Webb, Esquire, of Topeka, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Alva Worden and John S. Worden
118. vs. Appellants
Anson Searls.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the final decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause, and the orders of March 10, 1882, and October 9, 1882, be, and the same are hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to dismiss the bill with costs, but without prejudice to the power and right of the Circuit Court to punish the contempt referred to in those orders by a proper proceeding.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Alonzo Richmond, Charles Comstock, Thomas Ford and William Henri Adams, Administrator de bonis non of the estate of William H. Adams, deceased,

vs. Appellants

Agnes F. Irons and Andrew H. Foskett, Executrix and Executor of the estate of James Irons, deceased, et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

982.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to proceed therein as justice and equity may require in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

The United States

1024. vs. Appellant, Appeal from the
John Paul Jones, Administrator of the estate of George McDougall, deceased. Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Claims with directions to dismiss the petition.

Per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Ex parte: In the matter of George M. Bain Jr., } On petition for a
7, Original. Petitioner. } writ of habeas corpus.

On consideration of the petition in this cause, of the return to the rule to show

cause issued herein and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, It is now here ordered by the Court that the rule be made absolute and the writ of habeas corpus is hereby granted.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company vs. George D. Allen

77. Appellant

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of Louisiana.

The Merchants Mutual Insurance Company, vs. Silas Weeks. — Appellant

48. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of Louisiana.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

N. A. Carper, Jailor of Pulaski County, Virginia vs. Richard L. Fitzgerald. — Appellant

1341. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1351. William L. Royall vs. The State of Virginia. — In Error to the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of Appeals of the State of Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court of Appeals in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said

Supreme Court of Appeals for further proceedings to be had therein not inconsistent with the opinion of this Court in this case and the judgment of this Court in the case of Royall v. Virginia, 116 U.S. 572.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Henry R. Kibbe, Edward J. Chaffee, George H. Shreve, Henry L. Stokes, Albert K. Thompson and Henry L. Kibbe, doing business as Kibbe, Chaffee, Shreve & Company
152. vs. Appellants
Abraham G. Jennings and Warren P. Jennings.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause

be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of New York.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Thomas Dolan, ... Appeal from the
163. vs. Appellant ... Circuit Court
Abraham Jennings 2nd of the United
Warren L. Jennings. States for the
Southern District
of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that decrees bear in the Courts of the State of New York.

The District of Columbia
158. vs. Appellant
Owen O'Hare.

Appeal from the Court of Claims.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Court of Claims and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Court of Claims in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The First National Bank of Cleveland, Ohio; The Mahoning National Bank of Youngstown, Ohio; Wick Brothers Company; Thomas H. Wells; The First National Bank of Greenville, Pennsylvania, and The Second National Bank of Erie, Pennsylvania,
1317. vs. Appellants,
John M. Shedd, Trustee and Henry Rawle, Trustee.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania and on the motion to dismiss or affirm, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1297. William Ferguson et al., Appellants vs. George G. Dent et al. Ordered by the Court that the further consideration of the motion to vacate the supersedeas, to revoke the order reinstating the Receiver and for a writ of restitution in this cause be, and the same is hereby, postponed for the present.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Selma, Rome & Dalton Railroad Company,
1014. vs. Appellant
The United States.

On consideration of the petition for a rehearing in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said petition be, and the same is hereby, granted, the judgment entered herein on March 7th, 1887, rescinded and annulled and the cause restored to its place on the docket for re-argument before a full bench.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Order.

Ordered, That the following section be added to Rule 10:

9. The plaintiff in error or appellant may, within ninety days after filing the record in this Court, file with the clerk a statement of the errors on which he intends to rely, and of the parts of the record which he thinks necessary for the consideration thereof, and forthwith serve on the adverse party a copy of such statement. The adverse party, within ninety days thereafter, may

designate in writing, filed with the Clerk, additional parts of the record which he thinks material; and, if he shall not do so, he shall be held to have consented to a hearing on the parts designated by the plaintiff in error or appellant. If parts of the record shall be so designated by one or both of the parties, the Clerk shall print those parts only; and the Court will consider nothing but those parts of the record, and the errors so stated. If at the hearing it shall appear that any material part of the record has not been printed, the writ of error or appeal may be dismissed or such other order made as the circumstances may appear to the Court to require. If the defendant in error or appellee shall have caused unnecessary parts of the record to be printed, such order as to costs may be made as the Court shall think proper.

The fees of the Clerk, under Rule 24, section 7, shall be computed, as at present, on the folios in the record as filed, and shall be in full for the performance of his duties in the execution hereof.

James M. Veach et al., On considera
810. vs. Appellants, tion of the mo-
Ada S. Rice et al. tion to omit
certain portions

of the record in printing, It is ordered by the Court that the parties to this cause may avail themselves of the provisions of section 9, rule 10, treating the record for that purpose as if filed in this Court today.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William Rea et al., On motion of Mr.
1331. vs. Appellants W. Hallett Phillips,
The Steamer "Eclipse" and in behalf of coun-
William Braithwaite. sel for the appellants,
It is ordered by

the Court that the decree docketing and dismissing this appeal, entered January 24, 1887, be, and the same is hereby, rescinded and annulled, and leave granted to file the record and docket the cause on payment of costs.

The Baxter Mountain Gold Mining Company vs. Plff. in Error, Henry J. Patterson, Francis Robinson, A. J. Brett or Britt & Benjamin H. Dyer. — Mr. Henry Wise Garnett, of counsel for the defendants in error, having filed in open Court the following certificate, to wit:

"Territory of New Mexico - In the Supreme Court.

The Baxter Mountain Gold Mining Company
vs.
Henry J. Patterson, Francis Robinson, A. J. Brett or Britt, Benjamin H. Dyer.

I, Ruel M. Johnson, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Territory of New Mexico, hereby certify that the Baxter Mountain Gold Mining Company, the appellant in the above entitled cause, duly sued out a writ of error from the said Supreme Court of the Territory of New Mexico to the Supreme Court of the

United States on the second day of January, A.D. 1886, and that the same was duly allowed on said last named day.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Supreme Court of the Territory of New Mexico, hereto affixed at Santa Fe, said Territory, this 8th day of January, A.D. 1887.

(Seal) H. M. Johnson, Clerk."

moved the Court to docket and dismiss this cause pursuant to the 9th rule, and it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff in error has failed to have its cause filed and docketed in conformity with the rules of this Court. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause to the Supreme Court of the Territory of New Mexico be, and the same is hereby, docketed and dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court to be proceeded in according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Caroline Carson
1325. vs. Appellant ... This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court,
C. J. Dunham. ... pursuant to the

32d Rule, on the record and printed arguments by Mr. James Lowndes, Mr. Clarence A. Seward, Mr. N. E. Young and Mr. A. G. Magrath, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. William E. Earle, of counsel for the appellee.

Joseph Church et al.,
1302. vs. Plffs. in Error, The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted
Helen M. Kelsey et al. ... to the considera-

tion of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Chapin Brown, in support of the same, and Mr. A. Ricketts, in opposition thereto.

J. C. A. Estes & J. H. Doan,
285. vs. Appellants The motion to dismiss in this cause was submitted to
S. H. Gunter et al. ... the consideration of

the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. H. M. Sullivan and Mr.

Edward Mayes, in support of the same, and Mr. Luke E. Wright, in opposition thereto.

Hattie E. Stanley, Executrix &c., Pltff. in Error,
222. vs.
The Board of Supervisors of Albany Co. Def'd

On motion of Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the plaintiff in error, leave is hereby granted to

Chauncey P. Williams Pltff. in Error
387. vs.
The Board of Supervisors of Albany Co.

file a printed statement showing certain statutes and judicial decisions.

Theodore J. McGowan et al., Pltffs. in Error,
168. vs.
The American Pressed Tan Bark Co.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr.

George Noadley, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, Mr. Thomas McDougall and Mr. E. W. Pittridge, of counsel for the defendant in error, and Mr. J. D. Lincoln, of counsel for the pltffs. in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, March 29th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William G. Henderson it is ordered that Levi C. Hart, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

168. Theodore J. McGowan et al., Plffs. in Error, vs. The American Pressed Tan Bark Co. The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. J. D. Lincoln, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

169. The Cleveland Rolling Mill Co. Plff. in Error vs. Charles D. Rhodes & David L. Bradley. Mr. Enoch Foden, of counsel for the defendants in error, suggested the death of David L. Bradley, one of the defendants in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Lizzie W. Bradley, Executrix of David L. Bradley, deceased, a party defendant in error. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that Lizzie W. Bradley, Executrix of David L. Bradley, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and she is hereby, made a party defendant in error in this cause.

	The Cleveland Rolling Mill Co.,	The argument of this cause was
169.	vs. Pltff. in Error	commenced by
	Charles D. Rhodes et al.	Mr. William E. Cushing of

counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Enoch Totten, of counsel for the defendants in error, and concluded by Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

	John Noonan et al.,	The argument of
170.	vs. Appellants	this cause was
	The Caledonia Gold Mining Co.	commenced by Mr. Daniel Mc Laughlin, of

counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, March 30th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

170. John Noonan et als., vs. The Caledonia Gold Mining Co. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Daniel McLaughlin, of counsel for the appellants, Mr. T. L. Skinner and Mr. S. S. Burdett, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Daniel McLaughlin, of counsel

	Jean Marie Brunet, Dative Tutor of the minors Louis, Jules, Raymond and Jeanne Carité,	Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United
171.	vs. Appellant	States for
	J. B. Clement.	the Eastern District

of Louisiana.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, & it is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Joseph Penn, Assignee &c.	This cause
172.	vs. Appellant	was submit-
	Philo C. Calhoun, Trustee &c.	ted to the con-

sideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Charles W. Thomas, of

counsel for the appellant. No counsel appearing for the appellee.

173. William M. Fisher et al., vs. Plffs. in Error, Ezra Kelsey & Henry A. Lanman, Executors &c. et al. This cause was argued by Mr. John W. Noble, of counsel for the defendants in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Chester H. Krum, of counsel for the plaintiffs in error.

174. Edward Lee et al., vs. Appellants Anderson Fowler. Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed.

175. The Minneapolis Agricultural & Mechanical Association et al. vs. Appellants Thomas H. Canfield. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. E. M. Wilson, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. Charles E. Flandrau, of counsel for the appellee.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned

Thursday, March 31st, 1884.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. W. Fuller it is ordered that Samuel A. Lynde, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

The Minneapolis Agricultural & Mechanical Association et al., Appellants
175. vs.
Thomas H. Canfield.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Charles E. Flandrau and Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. E. H. Wilson, of counsel for the appellants.

Edward Lees et al., Appellants
174. vs.
Anderson Fowler.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. M. W. Fuller, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. William R. Page and Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the appellee, and Mr. M. W. Fuller, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, April 1st, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray, and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Grant E. Bradner, Esquire, of Newark, State of New Jersey, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. C. P. Wheeler it is ordered that Edwin Countryman, Esquire, of Albany, State of New York, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. M. W. Fuller it is ordered that Edward Lane, Esquire, of Hillsboro, and C. C. Brown and James W. Patton, Esquires, of Springfield, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

977. The Burlington, Cedar Rapids & Northern Railway Co. vs. Pfff. in Error Charles L. Dunn, by Ellis Stone Gorman, his guardian ad litem.

Mr. William A. McKenney, in behalf of counsel for the defendant in error, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance this cause pursuant to

Edward Lee et al. vs. Anderson Fowler. — Appellants. — The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. M. W. Fuller, of counsel for the appellants.

174.

Seth Bullock et al. vs. John T. Farwell. — Appellants. — This cause was argued by Mr. Daniel McLaughlin, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. A. H. Garland, of counsel for the appellee.

176.

Edmund Menard vs. Thomas Goggan. — Plff. in Error. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. J. W. Butterfield, of counsel for the plaintiff in error - & no counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

177.

The Albany & Rensselaer Iron & Steel Company
181. ... vs. ... Plff. in Error
Gustaf Lundberg.

This cause was argued by Mr. Edwin Countryman, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. E. P. Wheeler, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 4th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that Oscar M. Springer, Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	Albert Grant	Appeal from the
No. 5.	vs. Appellant	Supreme Court
	The Phoenix Mutual Life	of the District of
	Insurance Company.	Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	Albert Grant v. Henry W. Blair,	Appeal from
1201.	vs. Appellants	the Supreme
	The Phoenix Mutual Life	Court of the
	Insurance Company.	District of
		Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof

It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the order of the said Supreme Court in this cause affirming the order of January 8, 1886. be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; And it is further ordered that the appeal from the order of April 5th, 1886, in regard to the appellant Blair, be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Town of Bloomfield, pltff. in Error,
vs.
The Charter Oak National Bank of Hartford, Connecticut.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this

cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to set aside the verdict and to order a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

The Mercantile National Bank of the City of New York, Appellant, vs. The Mayor, Aldermen & Commonalty of the City of New York and George W. McLean, Receiver of Taxes. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

258.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed

with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

The National Newark Banking Company		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey.
1301. vs.	Appellant	
The Mayor & Common Council of the City of Newark & A. Judson Clark, Receiver of Taxes.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of New Jersey and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

(Mr. Justice Bradley and Mr. Justice Blatchford took no part in the decision of this cause.)

161. The Town of Concord vs. Plff. in Error Sylvia J. Robinson } In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter a judgment for the defendant.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

	James B. Fargo, as President of the Merchants Dispatch Transportation Company,	In Error to the Supreme
842.	vs.	Court of
	William B. Stevens, as Auditor General of the State of Michigan.	the State of Michigan.
	Plff. in Error.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with instructions for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

Moses H. Katzenberger, William Katzenberger, Senior and Isadore Katzenberger, partners under the firm name & style of S. Katzenberger's Sons, Plffs. in Error, vs. The City of Aberdeen in the County of Monroe, State of Mississippi.

In Error to the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

No 110

Vesta Laidly
vs.
C. P. Huntington, Elizabeth Huntington and The Central Land Company.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said District Court with directions to send it back to the State Court as a suit which had been improperly removed and of which the District Court had no jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

285. J. N. Estes & J. H. Doan, Appellants, vs. S. H. Gunter et al. On consideration of the motion to dismiss in this cause and of the argument of counsel thereupon had, as well in support of as against the same, it is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

977. The Burlington, Cedar Rapids & Northern Railway Company vs. Plff. in Error, Charles L. Dunn, by Ellis Stone Gorman, his guardian ad litem. On consideration of the motion to advance this cause pursuant to Rule 32,

It is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, granted.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Goodrich H. Bush, Claimant &c. vs. Plff. in Error The United States. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

1221.

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error, and the authority of the plaintiff in error to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The First National Bank of Cleveland, Ohio, et al., vs. Appellants — On motion of Mr. Walter George Smith, in

1317.

John M. Stedd, Trustee et al.

behalf of counsel for the appellees, leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

The State of Wisconsin
6. Original. vs. Plaintiff,
The Pelican Insurance
Company of New Orleans, La.

Mr. Samuel Shellabarger, of counsel for the plaintiff, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion that the Court make an entry in this case stating that, by consent of the parties, a jury is waived; that an order be made that the testimony to be presented to the Court in the case shall be in the form of depositions taken by the respective parties, in the manner and upon such notice as the Court shall direct, and that the Court make an order directing the manner of taking the depositions and fixing the character of the notice that shall be given by the parties respectively to the attorneys of record of the adverse party, and that the Court will fix a time for hearing the demurrer of the plaintiff to the first plea of the defendant now remaining on file, and that the Court will further direct how the counsel will be heard upon the said demurrer, whether by briefs or oral arguments or both.

The Continental Insurance Company of the City of New York,		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
179.	vs. Plff. in Error	
Thomas B. Johnson.		

This cause being called to the attention of the Court by Mr. Charles W. Horner, of counsel for the defendant in error, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William D. Andrews et al.,		Mr. J. C. Clayton, of counsel for the appellants,
146.	vs. Appellants	
George Hovey.		

submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to pass this case and to hear it and case No. 1440 at the next term at some

day certain, and to apply the amendment to the Rules promulgated March 28th, 1887, to both of said causes.

1347. The Covington Stock Yards Co. vs. Charles W. Keith et al. Appellant

The motion for a supersedeas in this cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. G. G. Carlisle and Mr. T. F. Hallam, in support of the same.

182. The United States vs. E. P. Phillips et al. Plff. in Error,

This cause was argued by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff in error - & to counsel appearing for the defendants in error.

184. C. H. Goodlett, Administratrix. vs. The Louisville & Nashville Railroad Co. Plff. in Error

This cause was argued by Mr. Edward Barrow, of

counsel for the defendant in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. F. C. Williams, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

185. John A. Poage, vs. Appellant Theodore J. McGowan and R. C. Bliss, partners as Theodore J. McGowan & Bliss and Theodore J. McGowan. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th Rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Lizzie Tuttle, Admx &c.,
186. vs. Plff. in Error.
The Detroit, Grand Haven &
Milwaukee Railway Co.

This cause was argued by Mr. C. M. Springer of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. E. W. Meddaugh, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Charles W. Boynton,
187. vs. Plff. in Error,
Plowden N. Ball.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Leonard Swett, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and continued by Mr. J. A. Lorain, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until to-morrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 5th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. William M. Evarts it is ordered that Edward C. Perkins, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

William D. Andrews et al., Appellants
196. vs.
George Hovey.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, and that case No. 440 be heard when No. 196 is argued. No steps need be taken towards printing the records in these cases until the further order of the Court, and with a view to the printing of the records the parties may avail themselves of the provisions of section 9, Rule 10.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Charles W. Boynton, Pltf. in Error
187. vs.
Plowden H. Ball.

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. J. A. Crain, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Leonard Swett, of counsel for the plaintiff in error

The Thatcher Heating Co. et al., Appellants
150. vs.
John H. Burtis et al.

This cause was argued by Mr. B. F. Lee, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. A. J. Todd, of counsel for the appellees.

Francis E. Hinckley, Plff. in Error,
188. vs.
The Pittsburgh Bessemer Steel Co.

On motion of Mr. J. C. Jewett, of counsel for the defendant in error,

Ordered that the additional matter presented by counsel be made a part of the record in this cause, per stipulation of counsel.

Francis E. Hinckley, Plff. in Error
188. vs.
The Pittsburgh Bessemer Steel Co.

This cause was argued by Mr. Thomas S. McClelland, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. John C. Jewett, of counsel for the defendant in error.

William Milne,
Executor &c.
189. vs. Plff. in Error,
Ann Maria Deen.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Edward C. Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 6th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. H. W. Weir it is ordered that William H. Ruppel, Esquire, of Somerset, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

George W. Pile
195. vs. Plff. in Error
Richard S. Hardesty.

Mr. H. W. Weir, of counsel for the defendant in error, suggested the death of Richard S. Hardesty, the defendant in error in this cause, and moved the Court to make Mrs. Mary N. Wilson, Administratrix of the said Richard S. Hardesty, the party defendant in error in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that Mrs. Mary N. Wilson, Administratrix of Richard S. Hardesty, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and she is hereby, made the party defendant in error in this cause.

Charles B. Hoard,
197. vs. Appellant
The Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company.

Mr. Enoch Totten, in behalf of counsel for the appellant, suggested the death of Charles B. Hoard, the appellant in this cause, and moved the Court to continue this cause until the next term. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by

this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

189. William Milne, Executor &c., vs. Plff. in Error, Ann Maria Deen. — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Edward C. Perkins, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Joseph A. Shoudy, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. John C. Gray, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

190. Abraham G. Manny vs. Appellant George K. Oyler. — Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

Wednesday, April 6th, 1887, continued

Abraham G. Manny, Appellant, vs. The St. Louis Malleable Iron Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

191.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Abraham G. Manny, Appellant, vs. The First Nat Bradley Manufacturing Company. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

192.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Charles W. Gauthier,	In Error to the Cir-
193.	vs. Plff. in Error.	cuit Court of the
	Darius Cole and Ira F. Holt.	United States for
		the Eastern Dis-

trict of Michigan.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	Henry C. Huiskamp, et al.,	This cause
194.	vs. Plffs. in Error,	was argued
	The Moline Wagon Company.	by Mr. James
		Hagerman,

of counsel for the plaintiffs in error, and Mr. Samuel A. Lynde, of counsel for the defendant in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, April 7th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. F. M. Cockrell it is ordered that T. A. Frank Jones, Esquire, of Kansas City, State of Missouri, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Edward J. Fox it is ordered that William S. Kirkpatrick, Robert S. Jones and William Beidelman, Esquires, of Easton, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Laurence Maxwell Jr. it is ordered that John Coffey, Esquire, of Cincinnati, State of Ohio, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

George W. Pile
195. vs. Plff. in Error
Mrs. Mary N. Wilson,
Adm'x. &c.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. G. A. Endlich, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

George W. Pile,
195. vs. Pff. in Error
Mrs. Mary H. Wilson,
Administratrix of Richard
S. Hardesty, dec'd.

In Error to
the Circuit
Court of the
United States
for the West-
ern District
of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Pennsylvania, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Pennsylvania.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Henry A. V. Post, Archer A. Martin and Charles C. Pomeroy, composing the firm of Post, Martin & Company,

vs.

Edward Carr, Owner of the Steamship "India."

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Texas.

1138.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Texas, and the appellants having been three times solemnly called by the Marshal to come into Court and prosecute their appeal, and failing to appear. It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 16th rule of this Court, and on the motion of Mr. James Lowndes, of counsel for the appellee, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court to be proceeded in

according to law and justice.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

199. The Lehigh Water Company, Plff. in Error, vs. The Corporation of the Borough of Easton, et al. This cause was argued by Mr. Edward J. Fox, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Robert S. Jones, of counsel for the defendants in error.

200. G. F. D. Lanier et al., Appellants vs. John Nash et al. This cause was argued by Mr. Lawrence Maxwell Jr., of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. John Coffey, of counsel for the appellees.

202. The First National Bank of Washington Court House, Ohio, Appellant vs. The Continental Life Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th Rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

203. The Philadelphia & Southern Mail Steamship Company, Plff. in Error, vs. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

This cause was argued by Mr. Morton P. Henry, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. William S. Kirkpatrick, of counsel for the defendant in error.

204. The Parker & Whipple Co. et al., Appellants vs. The Yale Clock Co. et al.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

205. The United States vs. Baptiste Le Bris. Plaintiff. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the plaintiff - no counsel appearing for the defendant.

206. Andrew B. Gross. vs. Guy E. Sabin, Matthew Carr and Samuel Miller. Appellant. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

207. William W. Dugger et al. vs. Henry C. Taylor. Plffs. in Error

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. James T. Jones, of counsel for the defendant in error. No counsel appearing for the plaintiffs in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 11th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Attorney General Garland it is ordered that Henry W. Putnam and Frederic Dodge, Esquires, of Boston, State of Massachusetts, and Murray F. Smith and A. M. Lea, Esquires, of Vicksburg, State of Mississippi, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this

Court, and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. L. M. Hinde it is ordered that S. R. Holden, Esquire, of Fort Wayne, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court, and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. D. T. Watson it is ordered that J. W. Lee, Esquire, of Franklin, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury it is ordered that W. O. Johnson, Esquire, of Chicago, State of Illinois, and J. A. Hyland, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were

Henry S. Barron, Pltff. in Error, vs. George W. Burnside, Sheriff of Linn County, Iowa. — In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa.

1335.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Iowa, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Supreme Court with an instruction to enter a judgment discharging the plaintiff in error from custody.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

	The Cleveland Rolling Mill Company,	In Error to the Circuit Court
169.	vs. Plff. in Error,	of the United
	Charles D. Rhodes and Lizzie W. Bradley, Executrix of David C. Bradley, deceased.	States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to enter judgment for the original defendant.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

James D. McConihay et al. vs. Theodore Wright. — Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia.

159.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

William M. Fisher and Thomas DuBois, Plffs. in Error, vs. Ezra Kelsey & Henry H. Lamman, Executors of William Kelsey, deceased, and Benjamin Stickney. — In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri.

173.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

Charles E. Francklyn & William S. Hoyt, Committee of the person and estate of Edwin Hoyt, Appellants vs. Amasa Sprague; William Sprague, individually; Fanny Sprague, individually and as Administratrix of Amasa Sprague, deceased; Mary Sprague, individually and as Administratrix of William Sprague, deceased; The A. & W. Sprague Manufacturing Co., and Zechariah Chaffee, Trustee &c.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Rhode Island.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Rhode Island, and was argued by coun. sel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.

(Mr. Justice Blatchford did not sit in this case or take any part in its decision.)

172. Joseph Penn, Assignee of The Peoples Bank of Belleville vs. Philo C. Calhoun, Trustee &c. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration

whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Seth Bullock and Sol Star and Seth Bullock, doing business as Star & Bullock,		Appeal from the Supreme Court of the
176. vs.	Appellants	Territory of
John L. Farwell.		Dakota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, the Court being equally divided in opinion, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

	Edmund Menard	In Error to the Cir-
177.	vs. Plff. in Error,	cuit Court of the
	Thomas Goggan.	United States for
		the Eastern District

of Texas.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Texas and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed, costs in this Court to be paid by the plaintiff in error; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	In Error to the
182.	vs. Plff. in Error	Circuit Court
	E. P. Phillips, John Cardwell	of the United
	and Dennis Corwin.	States for the
		Western District

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

No. 6, Original. The State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff, vs. The Pelican Insurance Company of New Orleans, La. It is ordered by the Court that the demurrer of the plaintiff to the first plea of the defendant be, and the same is hereby, assigned for argument on Monday, April 25th, at the head of the call for that day, and that the printed briefs be filed in accordance with the 21st Rule which is hereby made applicable to all the hearings of the case.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Covington Stock Yards Co., Appellant
1347. vs.
Charles W. Keith et al.

On consideration of the motion for a supersedeas in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chicago, Burlington & Kansas City Railway Company, Plff. in Error
1244. vs.
The State of Missouri ex rel: John F. Guffey, Collector &c.

On consideration of the petition for a rehearing submitted in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted to the Attorney General of the State of Missouri to file on or before April 25th a printed brief in reply thereto.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Order.

The Reporter having represented that owing to the number of decisions at the term it will be impracticable to put the reports in one volumes, It is, therefore, now here ordered that he publish an additional volume in this year, pursuant to Section 681 of the Revised Statutes.

George Gibson ..... The motion to dismiss
868. vs. Appellant ..... in this cause was
M. N. Stupel et & Co. submitted to the consideration of the

Court on a printed argument by Mr. William A. Maury, Mr. John A. Cole and Mr. W. W. Crump, in support of the same.

The District of Columbia On motion of
188. vs. Appellant ..... Mr. C. C. Cole,
Owen O'Hare. ..... of counsel for the appellee,

leave is hereby granted the Clerk to issue the mandate in this cause.

Bradley v. Schley
1113. vs. Pltf. in Error,
Pullman's Palace Car Co.

Mr. Sterling B. Toney, in behalf of Mr. Alfred Ennis,

of counsel for the defendant in error, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to modify the opinion of the Court in this cause.

The Louisiana National Bank,
986. vs. Pltf. in Error & Appellant
Mrs. Hattie L. Whitney, Jutrix &c.
and
The Board of Liquidation of the City Debt,
987. vs. Appellant
Mrs. Hattie L. Whitney, Jutrix &c.

The motion to dismiss or affirm in these cases was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. Thomas J. Semmes and Mr. Alfred Goldthwaite, in support of the same, and Mr. Henry C. Miller, in opposition thereto.

Hobart C. Dugger et al., This cause was
208. vs. Plffs. in Error, submitted to
Henry A. Taylor. the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. James T. Jones, of counsel for the defendant in error. No counsel appearing for the plaintiffs in error.

Florida Laughlin This cause was
210. vs. Appellant argued by Mr.
Joseph D. Mitchell. Murray F. Smith, of counsel for the appellant, and Mr. A. H. Lea, of counsel for the appellee.

Peter Mugler These cases were ar-
211. vs. Plff. in Error, gued by Mr. George
The State of Kansas. G. Vest, of counsel
and for the plaintiff in
Peter Mugler, error, and sub-
212. vs. Plff. in Error mitted on a print-
The State of Kansas. ed argument by Mr. George R. Peck and Mr. J. B. Johnson, of counsel for the de-

214. John A. Coan, vs. Plff. in Error William J. Flagg. — On motion of Mr. W. B. King, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

216. Edward Warren et al. vs. Appellants Frank S. Moody et al., Assignees &c. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed until tomorrow.

217. Mary Wall et al., vs. Appellants. George P. Bissell et al. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be passed until tomorrow.

218. The United States ex rel: W. W. Warden, vs. Plff. in Error W. E. Chandler, Secretary &c. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. W. W. Warden, of counsel for the plaintiff in error - to counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

Luigi Filiberto et al., ... The argument
219. vs. Appellants, of this cause
The Barque "John H. Pearson" &c.. was com-
menced by
Mr. H. W. Putnam, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 12th 1887.

Pursuant to adiournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice.
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley
John M. Harlan
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
Associate Justices

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal.
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Charles F. Benjamin it is ordered that Joseph F. Evans, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. E. Mariner it is ordered that F. C. Winkler, Esquire, of Milwaukee, State of Wisconsin, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

216. Edward Warren et al., vs. Frank S. Moody et al., Assignees &c. Appellants — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, passed.

219. Luigi Filiberto et al., vs. The Barque "John H. Pearson" et al. Appellants — The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. Henry W. Putnam, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. Frederic Dodge, of counsel for the appellee, and concluded by Mr. Henry W. Putnam, of counsel for the appellants.

Tuesday, April 12th 1887, continued.

217. Mary Wall et al., vs. George P. Bissell et al. Appellants / Appellees

Mr. R. S. Taylor, of counsel for the appellees, suggested the death of Oscar A. Simons, one of the appellees in this cause, and moved the Court to make M. Winfield Simons, Executor of the said Oscar A. Simons, a party appellee in this cause. Whereupon, It is now here ordered by the Court that M. Winfield Simons, Executor of Oscar A. Simons, deceased, as aforesaid, be, and he is hereby, made a party appellee in this cause.

217. Mary Wall et al., vs. George P. Bissell et al. Appellants, / Appellees

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. L. M. Hinde, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 13th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph H. Choate it is ordered that H. A. Root and William J. Gibson, Esquires, of New York City, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

217. Mary Wall et al., Appellants vs. George P. Bissell et al. The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. R. S. Taylor and Mr. S. R. Alden, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. L. M. Hinde, of counsel for the appellants.

220. The Orient Mutual Insurance Co., Plff. in Error, vs. John S. Adams et al. This cause was argued by Mr. James Lowndes, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. D. T. Watson, of counsel for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Thursday, April 14th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley . Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. John F. Dillon it is ordered that E. L. Burton, Esquire, of Ottumwa, State of Iowa, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Erwin Davis,
221. vs. Plff. in Error
Algernon S. Patrick.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. James M. Wood.

worth, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. John L. Webster and Mr. John F. Dillon, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Joseph H. Choate, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Tobias Stew,
223. vs. Appellant,
Amzi L. Barber, Andrew Langdon 2nd & John S. Baldwin.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the

same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Memphis & Little Rock Railroad Company, (as reorganized),		In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee.
224.	vs. Plff. in Error,	
Lawrence B. Smith.		

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is thereupon, in pursuance of said stipulation, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed; Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by the defendant in error.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

225. Joseph Morrison vs. Plff. in Error James McCoy. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, April 15th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George F. Edmunds it is ordered that Andrew Howell, Esquire, of Detroit, State of Michigan, and Frank H. Stone, Esquire, of San Francisco, State of California, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. George Payson it is ordered that D. S. Walker, Esquire, of Owensboro, State of Kentucky, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

292. The North Pennsylvania Railroad Company. vs. Plff. in Error. The Commercial National Bank of Chicago.

On motion of Mr. George F. Edmunds, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, It is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term.

226. The Milwaukee & Northern Railway Company, vs. Plff. in Error. The Brooks Locomotive Works.

This cause was argued by Mr. E. Mariner, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. F. C. Winkler, of counsel for the defendant in error.

227. Richard K. Parkinson, Plff. in Error, vs. The United States. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Maury, of counsel for the defendant in error – no counsel appearing for the plaintiff in error.

228. William N. M. Sanger, Appellant, vs. William Nightingale et als. This cause was argued by Mr. Alexander R. Lawton, of counsel for the appellees, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. Henry B. Tompkins, of counsel for the appellant.

211. James M. Fisher, Plff. in Error, vs. William N. Perkins. The death of William N. Perkins, the defendant in error in this cause, having been heretofore suggested, and an order of publication pursuant to Section 1, rule 15, granted. It is now, on motion of Mr. E. S. Walker, of

counsel for the defendant in error, ordered by the Court that Wilfred Barrico, Administrator with the will annexed of William H. Perkins, deceased, be, and he is hereby, made the party defendant in error in this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 18th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. A. J. Hammond it is ordered that P. L. Mynatt, Esquire, of Atlanta, State of Georgia, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

188. Francis E. Hinckley, vs. Plff. in Error The Pittsburg Bessemer Steel Company (Limited) In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Illinois.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

Henry C. Huiskamp, Herman G. Huiskamp, and Luke Huiskamp, Partners as Huiskamp Brothers, Interpleaders,	In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western Dis-
194. vs.	trict of Mis-
Pfeffs. in Error, The Moline Wagon Company.	souri.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with a direction to award a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Thatcher Heating Company, David Stuart, Richard Peterson, Bentley & Bibb and Samuel B. Sexton,		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.
150.	vs. Appellants	
John N. Burtis and John M. Graff.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

The Minneapolis Agricultural and Mechanical Association, Jacob T. Sidle, Robert B. Langdon, The State National Bank of Minneapolis, et al.		Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota.
175.	vs. Appellants	
Thomas N. Canfield.		

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, modified in respect to the amount to be paid by the said Canfield to Sidle and Langdon in the exercise of his privilege of redemption and in all other respects affirmed; And that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court for further proceedings to be had therein in conformity with the opinion of this Court. The costs in this Court to be paid one half by each party.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

199. The Lehigh Water Company, Plff. in Error vs. The Corporation of the Borough of Easton and The Board of Water Commissioners of the Borough of Easton. In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Harlan.

170. John Noonan and Thomas F. Mahan, Appellants vs. The Caledonia Gold Mining Company. Appeal from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the Territory of Dakota, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

	The United States	Appeal from
944.	vs. Appellant	the Circuit
	The Maxwell Land Grant	Court of the
	Company, The Denver &	United
	Rio Grande Railway	States for
	Company, The Pueblo &	the District
	Arkansas Valley Rail-	of Colorado.
	road Company and The	
	Atchison, Topeka & Santa	
	Fe' Railroad Company.	

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed.

per Mr. Justice Miller.

200. G. F. D. Lanier, Charles Lanier, John W. Ellis, Edward D. Adams, Edward Winslow and D. B. Safford, partners as Winslow, Lanier & Company, Appellants vs. John Nash, Ellen Nash, Edward Purcell, Wm H. West, James Walker et al.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

	The United States	On a certificate of
703.	vs.	division in opinion
	Baptiste Le Bris.	between the Judges of
		the Circuit Court of

the United States for the District of Minnesota.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota, and on the points or questions on which the judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion, and which were certified to this Court for its opinion agreeably to the act of Congress in such case made and provided, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that the first question certified be answered in the affirmative - to answer is deemed necessary to the other questions. Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

William W. Dugger,
Alice G. Dugger and
Reuben H. Dugger,
207. vs. Plffs. in Error
Henry A. Jayloe.

In Error to the
Supreme Court of
the State of
Alabama.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Robert C. Dugger and
Alice G. Sprague,
208. vs. Plffs. in Error
Henry A. Jayloe.

In Error to the
Supreme Court
of the State of
Alabama.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Alabama.

and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The United States, ex relatione: William W. Warden, ... Plff. in Error,
218. vs.
William E. Chandler, Secretary of the Navy.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Richard R. Parkinson, Plff. in Error,
227. vs. On a certificate
The United States. of division in
opinion between
the judges of the
Circuit Court of the United States for the
District of Nevada.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Nevada, and on the points or questions on which the judges of the said Circuit Court were opposed in opinion and which were certified to this Court for its opinion, agreeably to the act of Congress in such case made and provided, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is the opinion of this Court that as the judgment of the District Court of the United States for the District of Nevada in this cause must be reversed on the authority of the decisions of this Court in the cases of Ex parte: Wilson, 114 U. S., 417, and Martin v Gallagher vs. The United States, 117 U. S., 348. the

questions certified have become immaterial and their determination unnecessary in the final disposition of the case.

Whereupon, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that it be so certified to the said Circuit Court.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Louisiana National Bank, Garnishee,

986. vs. Plff. in Error and Appellant,

Mrs. Hattie L. Whitney, Natural Tutrix of W. W. Whitney, M. G. Whitney and Zulieme Whitney, heirs of Myra Clark Gaines, deceased, et al.

and

The Board of Liquidation of the City Debt.

987. vs. Appellant

Mrs. Hattie L. Whitney, Natural Tutrix of W. W. Whitney, M. G. Whitney and Zulieme Whitney, heirs of Myra Clark Gaines, deceased, et al.

In Error to and Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and on the motion to dismiss or affirm which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause and also the appeals, be, and the same are hereby, dismissed for the want of jurisdiction.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

1302. Joseph Church and Charles J. Church, Plffs. in Error, vs. Helen M. Kelsey, Supervisor Wise and Ann Wise, his wife, Sidney Philip Stevens, Letta Ann Ruland, L. T. Brown and Rozelle, his wife, et al.

In Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the State of Pennsylvania and

on the motion to dismiss or affirm, which was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Supreme Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Bradley G. Kohley
1113. vs. Plff. in Error,
Pullman's Palace Car Co.

On consideration of the motion to modify the opinion of the Court in this cause, It is now here ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, denied.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

C. N. Goodlett, Adm'r. &c.
184. vs. Plff. in Error,
The Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co.

It is now here ordered by the Court that leave be granted counsel on both sides to file additional printed arguments on the 3d, 4th, 5th and 6th assignments of errors at any time before

Monday, May 2d, if they desire to do so. It is the wish of the Court that this be done.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

The Chief Justice announced that the Court would stop the call of the docket on May 13th and would adjourn for the term on May 23d.

Mary E. R. Boyd, by her next friend, James R. Boyd, Appellant
395. vs.
William G. Wyly et al.

On motion of Mr. W. A. Maury, in behalf of counsel, leave is hereby granted the parties to this cause to avail themselves of the provisions of section 9 of Rule 10 in printing the record in this cause.

Stephenson Waters, Adm'r. &c., Appellant
1091. vs.
John A. Buckner et al.
and
John A. Buckner et al.,
1292. vs. Appellants
Stephenson Waters, Adm'r. &c.

Mr. James Lowndes, of counsel, submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to advance these cases.

The Helena Bridge Company, Appellant,
1110. vs.
Z. King & Son.

Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to recall the mandate issued herein, to reinstate the cause on the docket and for leave to supply a full record.

Andrew B. Cross, Appellant
206. vs.
Guy C. Sabin et al.

Mr. E. J. D. Cross, of counsel for the appellant, filed and submitted to the consideration of the Court a motion to rescind the decree of dismissal entered in

this cause on the 7th instant and to re-
store the case to the docket.

The Ruxler Mountain Gold Mining Company
1363. vs. Pff. in Error,
Henry J. Patterson et als.

Mr. J. A. Hoffeck for Pff. submitted to the consider-
ation of the Court a mo-
tion to rescind the order docketing and dismissing this cause, entered on March 28th, and for leave to file the record and docket the cause.

The Peninsular Iron Co. et als.,
229. vs. Appellants
Andrew B. Stone et als.

The argu-
ment of this cause was commenced by Mr. Andrew Howell, of counsel for the appellants. The Court declined to hear further argument at present.

Alfred Robinson
231. vs. Pff. in Error,
John Anderson et als.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the

record and a printed argument by Mr. A. H. Latimer, of counsel for the plaintiff in error - No counsel appearing for the defendants in error.

Henry F. Bean et al. vs. William L. Patterson et al. — Appellants

233. The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. James S. Botsford, of counsel for the appellants, and continued by Mr. George G. Vest, of counsel for the appellees.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Tuesday, April 19th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
John M. Harlan Associate
Stanley Matthews Justices
Horace Gray 2nd
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

233. Henry F. Bean et als., vs. William L. Patterson et al. Appellants

The argument of this cause was continued by Mr. George G. Vest, of counsel for the appellees, and concluded by Mr. James S. Botsford, of counsel for the appellants.

George B. Snow et al., Appellants
234. vs.
The Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railway Company.

This cause was argued by Mr. James A. Allen, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. George Payson, of counsel for the appellee.

George W. Frost et al., Appellants
235. vs.
Martin Spitley.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. John L. Webster, of counsel for the appellants.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Wednesday, April 20th 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

235. George W. Frostetal., vs. Martin Spitley. Appellants

The argument of this cause was concluded by Mr. John L. Webster of counsel for the appellants. No counsel appeared for the appellee.

The Webster Electric Company.
236. vs. Appellant
John B. Odell and Henry R. May.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order and a stipulation to dismiss this cause having been filed, It is thereupon, in pursuance of said stipulation, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed; Clerk's costs in this Court to be paid by appellant.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

Eliza Adams et al.,
238. vs. Appellants
A. C. Riley, Assignee &c.

This cause was argued by Mr. Thomas B. Gresham, of counsel for the appellants, and Mr. A. J. Hammond, of counsel for the appellee.

240. The Eagle Lock Company vs. Plff. in Error, Lucius Andress. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the writ of error in this cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

241. James H. Fisher, vs. Plff. in Error, Wilfred Barrico, Adm't. &c. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. George W. Colby, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. C. S. Walker, of counsel for the defendant in error.

241. Andrew J. Coffee, Plff. in Error, vs. James M. Groover et als.

This cause was argued by Mr. Angus Paterson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and submitted on a printed argument by Mr. C. W. Stevens, of counsel for the defendant in error.

242. John F. Narbranft, Collector &c. Plff. in Error vs. John H. Weigman et al.

and

243. John F. Narbranft, Collector &c., Plff. in Error vs. Anton Winters.

The argument of these cases was commenced by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve oclock.

Thursday, April 21st, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley, Associate
John M. Harlan, Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks it is ordered that Eugene E. Sheldon, Esquire, of Little Falls, State of New York, and W. Ward, Esquire, of Chester, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as attorneys and counsellors of this Court and they were sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Green B. Raum it is ordered that J. S. Lee, Esquire, of Peoria, State of Illinois, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

	The United States,	On motion of
173.	vs. Plff. in Error,	Mr. Solicitor
	The Philadelphia & Reading	General Jenks,
	Railroad Company.	Ordered by
		the Court

that this cause be, and the same is hereby continued until the next term, per agreement of counsel.

	John F. Hartranft, Collector &c.,	The argu-
242.	vs. Plff. in Error,	ment of
	John H. Weigman et al.	this cause
	and	was con-
	John F. Hartranft, Collector &c.,	tinued
243.	vs. Plff. in Error	by Mr.
	Anton Winters.	Frank
		P. Pritch.

and, of counsel for the defendants in

error, and concluded by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

245. Morris Richter, Appellant vs. George Jerome, Survivor &c., et al.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, for stipulation of counsel.

246. Charles H. Palmer, Appellant vs. The Gatling Gun Company.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this

Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

247. The Metropolitan Railroad Co., vs. Plff. in Error; Hamilton A. Moore, by his next friend Hamilton O. Moore.

The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Nathaniel Wilson, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, continued by Mr. Frank J. Browning, and Mr. William F. Mattingly, of counsel for the defendant in error, and concluded by Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the plaintiff in error.

248. Mary M. Morrison by her next friend Farrar Morrison and Farrar Morrison as guardian of Hattie, Emory Lee, Irene James and Daniel Morrison, minor children of said Mary M. Morrison and Malcolm Morrison, her husband, vs. Appellants, Martha S. Withers and William J. Withers.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

Per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

249. The Hutchill Gold and Silver Mining Company vs. Lewis M. Ellsworth, Receiver of the First National Bank of Georgetown, Colorado. Appellant, Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that this

appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

250. The Argentine Mining Co. vs. Plff. in Error, The Terrible Mining Co. This cause was argued by Mr. Walter H. Smith, of counsel for the plaintiff in error. No counsel appearing for the defendant in error.

251. Frances E. Lawrence, Appt. vs. Plff. in Error, Morgan's Louisiana & Texas Railroad & Steamship Co., et als. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. B. R. Forman, of counsel for the appellant and plaintiff in error, and Mr. A. J. Leovy, of counsel for the appellee and defendant in error.

252. Eugene L. Sullivan, Collector &c., vs. Plff. in Error, Alexander Balfour, et als.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. Solicitor General Jenks, of counsel for the plaintiff in error - & to counsel appearing for the defendants in error.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until tomorrow at twelve o'clock.

Friday, April 22d, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford
John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

216. Edward Warren et al. vs. Frank S. Moody et al., Assignees &c. Appellants. This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. John T. Morgan, of Counsel for the appellants, and Mr. M. L. Woods, of

counsel for the appellees.

Catharine Henderson,
265. vs. Plff. in Error,
The Louisville & Nashville
Railroad Company.

On motion of Mr. W. Tallell Phillips, Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

Joseph Shippen,
253. vs. Plff. in Error,
Thomas M. Bowen.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and a printed argument by Mr. George E. Adams, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, with leave to Mr George G. Symmes, of counsel for the defendant in error, to file a printed argument.

The New Jersey Steamboat Co.
254. vs. Plff. in Error
Leonard H. Brockett.

This cause was argued by Mr.

James Lowndes, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Eugene C. Sheldon, of counsel for the defendant in error.

255. George B. McCoy vs. Frederick Nelson. Appellant, This cause was argued by Mr. Leigh Robinson, of counsel for the appellant. No counsel appearing for the appellee.

256. The Union Metallic Cartridge Company, vs. The United States Cartridge Company. Appellant, Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts.

This cause being called for hearing in its regular order, and it appearing to the Court that the parties have failed to print the transcript of the record, It is thereupon, in pursuance of the 10th rule of this Court, now here ordered, adjudged

and decreed by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with costs.

per Mr. Chief Justice Waite.

257. Elbridge Tufts vs. Appellants / Don C. Tufts, Adm'r. &c. — Ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is hereby, continued until the next term, per stipulation of counsel.

258. The U. S. ex rel: George W. Harshman, vs. Plff. in Error, / The County Court of Knox County. — This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record with leave to counsel for the respective parties to file printed arguments on or before May 2d.

259. Benjamin P. Runkle, vs. The United States. Appellant,

and

260. The United States vs. Benjamin P. Runkle. Appellant

This cause was argued by Mr. M. F. Morris, of counsel for Runkle, and submitted on the record by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Howard, of counsel for the United States.

262. James N. Carpenter, vs. The Washington & Georgetown Railroad Company. Plff. in Error,

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. C. C. Cole, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. Enoch Totten and Mr. Walter D. Davidge, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The State National Bank of Springfield, Illinois
263. vs. Plff. in Error,
The St. Louis Rail Fastening Co.

This cause was submitted to the consideration of the Court on the record and printed arguments by Mr. H. S. Greene, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and Mr. George Hunt and Mr. C. C. Brown, of counsel for the defendant in error.

The Argentine Mining Co.,
250. vs. Plff. in Error,
The Terrible Mining Co.

Ordered by the Court that counsel for the defendant in error be granted leave to file a printed argument in this cause.

Proclamation being made the Court is adjourned until Monday next at twelve o'clock.

Monday, April 25th, 1887.

Pursuant to adjournment the Court met today at the Capitol.

Present

The Honorable Morrison R. Waite, Chief Justice
Samuel F. Miller
Stephen J. Field
Joseph P. Bradley Associate
John M. Harlan Justices
Stanley Matthews
Horace Gray and
Samuel Blatchford

John G. Nicolay, Esquire, Marshal,
James H. McKenney, Clerk.

Proclamation being made the Court is opened.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Joseph E. McDonald it is ordered that Augustus L. Mason, Esquire, of Indianapolis, State of Indiana, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Walter H. Smith it is ordered that S. B. Bradford, Esquire, of Topeka, State of Kansas, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Assistant Attorney General Howard it is ordered that Frank Mac Arthur, Esquire, of New York City, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

On motion first made to the Court in this behalf by Mr. Samuel Dickson, it is ordered that Francis A. Lewis, Esquire, of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, be admitted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court and he was sworn accordingly.

Florida Laughlin,
210. vs. Appellant
Joseph D. Mitchell.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Mississippi and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Blatchford.

The Albany & Rensselaer
Iron & Steel Company,
181. vs. Plff. in Error,
Gustaf Lundberg.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This cause came on to be heard on the

transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York, and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed with costs; and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the said Circuit Court with directions to set aside the verdict and to order a new trial.

per Mr. Justice Gray.

226. The Milwaukee & Northern Railway Company vs. The Brooks Locomotive Works. Pltff. in Error. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern

District of Wisconsin and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof. It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs, and interest, until paid, at the same rate per annum that similar judgments bear in the Courts of the State of Wisconsin.

per Mr. Justice Matthews.

117. The Ouachita v Mississippi River Packet Company, William T. Scovell, William M. Wood, Isabel O'Pry et al., vs. Mrs. Catharine M. Aiken, Administratrix of Joseph A. Aiken, deceased, John H. Menge, John J. Brown and John W. Tobin, copartners, doing business under the firm name of Joseph A. Aiken & Co., and The City of New Orleans.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court that the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs.

per Mr. Justice Bradley.